

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Aug-25	Aug-26	bps	%CHG	Aug-25	Aug-26	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.1%	93.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,534	\$1,579	2.9%
AK - Outlying	91.1%	89.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,628	\$1,749	7.4%
Alaska Average	94.5%	93.4%	-109	-1.2%	\$1,557	\$1,618	4.0%
AL - Birmingham	91.1%	90.2%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,234	\$1,249	1.2%
AL - Huntsville	83.1%	87.1%	400	4.8%	\$1,162	\$1,156	-0.5%
AL - Mobile	89.7%	92.1%	240	2.6%	\$1,256	\$1,292	2.9%
AL - Montgomery	93.3%	92.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,047	\$1,114	6.4%
AL - Outlying	95.5%	91.8%	-369	-3.9%	\$936	\$914	-2.4%
Alabama Average	88.4%	89.8%	140	1.5%	\$1,184	\$1,201	1.5%
AR - Little Rock	90.1%	91.4%	130	1.4%	\$1,030	\$1,032	0.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	84.8%	89.8%	500	5.9%	\$1,121	\$1,147	2.3%
AR - Outlying	96.1%	95.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$834	\$878	5.3%
Arkansas Average	88.2%	91.0%	280	3.2%	\$1,053	\$1,070	1.7%
AZ - Flagstaff	86.4%	89.5%	310	3.6%	\$1,846	\$1,738	-5.8%
AZ - Outlying	94.1%	92.6%	-149	-1.7%	\$1,164	\$1,149	-1.3%
AZ - Phoenix	87.0%	89.0%	200	2.3%	\$1,549	\$1,524	-1.6%
AZ - Tucson	91.2%	90.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,168	\$1,167	-0.1%
Arizona Average	87.4%	89.2%	180	2.0%	\$1,492	\$1,472	-1.4%
CA - Bakersfield	91.4%	94.3%	289	3.2%	\$1,493	\$1,530	2.5%
CA - Central Coast	94.2%	94.8%	60	0.6%	\$2,731	\$2,665	-2.4%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	96.0%	95.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,551	\$1,585	2.2%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.6%	92.9%	30	0.3%	\$2,789	\$2,827	1.4%
CA - Outlying	95.7%	93.8%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,388	\$1,420	2.3%
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	94.0%	189	2.1%	\$1,943	\$1,930	-0.7%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	91.6%	93.6%	200	2.2%	\$2,229	\$2,254	1.1%
CA - San Diego	92.7%	92.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,778	\$2,835	2.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	93.4%	95.3%	189	2.1%	\$3,021	\$3,253	7.7%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	93.0%	95.4%	239	2.6%	\$3,313	\$3,542	6.9%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.0%	93.1%	310	3.4%	\$2,368	\$2,402	1.5%
CA - Stockton	95.6%	94.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,758	\$1,773	0.9%
California Average	92.6%	93.7%	110	1.1%	\$2,648	\$2,719	2.7%
CO - Boulder	89.8%	89.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,060	\$1,999	-3.0%
CO - Colorado Springs	84.7%	91.0%	630	7.4%	\$1,464	\$1,466	0.1%
CO - Denver	86.4%	89.8%	340	3.9%	\$1,868	\$1,811	-3.1%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	87.6%	92.0%	440	5.0%	\$1,762	\$1,761	-0.1%
CO - Outlying	87.3%	92.0%	470	5.4%	\$1,975	\$1,989	0.7%
Colorado Average	86.4%	90.1%	370	4.3%	\$1,812	\$1,769	-2.4%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	84.1%	91.7%	760	9.0%	\$3,095	\$3,092	-0.1%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.7%	91.8%	10	0.2%	\$1,963	\$2,017	2.7%
Connecticut Average	89.3%	91.8%	250	2.8%	\$2,309	\$2,331	1.0%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	89.4%	91.0%	160	1.7%	\$1,540	\$1,527	-0.8%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	89.9%	92.4%	250	2.8%	\$2,467	\$2,460	-0.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	79.0%	84.4%	539	6.8%	\$1,825	\$1,758	-3.6%
FL - Gainesville	91.9%	89.7%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,727	\$1,750	1.3%
FL - Jacksonville	87.0%	89.6%	260	3.1%	\$1,506	\$1,508	0.1%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	80.7%	88.5%	779	9.6%	\$1,581	\$1,549	-2.0%
FL - Melbourne	85.1%	87.6%	250	2.9%	\$1,738	\$1,724	-0.8%
FL - Miami	86.0%	90.5%	450	5.3%	\$2,605	\$2,654	1.9%
FL - Orlando	87.3%	90.4%	310	3.6%	\$1,796	\$1,757	-2.1%
FL - Palm Beach	91.0%	93.3%	230	2.6%	\$2,533	\$2,577	1.8%
FL - Pensacola	87.0%	88.4%	140	1.6%	\$1,593	\$1,628	2.2%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	82.5%	85.9%	340	4.1%	\$1,880	\$1,829	-2.7%
FL - Tallahassee	91.7%	92.1%	40	0.5%	\$1,477	\$1,451	-1.7%
FL - Tampa	89.1%	90.2%	110	1.2%	\$1,865	\$1,806	-3.1%
Florida Average	87.1%	90.0%	290	3.2%	\$1,947	\$1,926	-1.1%
GA - Albany	89.8%	90.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,019	\$1,037	1.7%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	91.6%	94.0%	239	2.6%	\$1,337	\$1,344	0.6%
GA - Atlanta	88.6%	90.3%	170	1.9%	\$1,657	\$1,663	0.4%
GA - Augusta	89.2%	87.0%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,250	\$1,287	2.9%
GA - Columbus	90.0%	93.0%	300	3.4%	\$1,209	\$1,258	4.1%
GA - Macon	87.2%	90.7%	350	4.1%	\$1,190	\$1,211	1.8%
GA - Outlying	94.9%	91.9%	-299	-3.2%	\$1,846	\$1,707	-7.5%
GA - Savannah	81.0%	84.6%	359	4.5%	\$1,703	\$1,653	-2.9%
Georgia Average	88.0%	89.9%	190	2.2%	\$1,596	\$1,600	0.3%
IA - Des Moines	89.6%	92.3%	270	2.9%	\$1,188	\$1,183	-0.4%
IA - Outlying	94.0%	94.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,087	\$1,098	1.0%
Iowa Average	90.0%	92.4%	240	2.7%	\$1,180	\$1,176	-0.3%
ID - Boise	85.5%	91.7%	620	7.3%	\$1,635	\$1,704	4.2%
ID - Outlying	94.9%	94.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$996	\$1,042	4.6%
Idaho Average	85.8%	91.8%	600	6.9%	\$1,609	\$1,678	4.3%
IL - Chicago	93.7%	93.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,141	\$2,218	3.6%
IL - Moline	92.0%	94.5%	249	2.7%	\$1,009	\$1,082	7.2%
IL - Outlying	95.5%	97.1%	160	1.7%	\$815	\$877	7.6%
IL - Springfield	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,117	\$1,164	4.2%
Illinois Average	93.6%	93.7%	10	0.1%	\$2,004	\$2,075	3.6%
IN - Evansville	95.0%	94.2%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,034	\$1,049	1.4%
IN - Fort Wayne	95.0%	93.3%	-169	-1.7%	\$1,117	\$1,185	6.1%
IN - Indianapolis	91.2%	91.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,323	\$1,340	1.3%
IN - Outlying	97.5%	94.8%	-270	-2.8%	\$1,036	\$1,087	5.0%
IN - South Bend	95.9%	92.6%	-329	-3.4%	\$1,270	\$1,323	4.1%
Indiana Average	92.0%	91.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,281	\$1,307	2.0%
KS - Outlying	96.6%	97.1%	50	0.5%	\$749	\$762	1.7%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.4%	94.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,026	\$1,071	4.3%
KS - Wichita	91.7%	93.3%	160	1.7%	\$951	\$987	3.8%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Kansas Average	92.9%	93.7%	80	0.8%	\$977	\$1,015	3.9%
KY - Lexington	90.9%	93.3%	240	2.7%	\$1,251	\$1,269	1.4%
KY - Louisville	90.5%	89.0%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,271	\$1,265	-0.5%
KY - Outlying	90.4%	89.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$872	\$899	3.0%
Kentucky Average	90.6%	90.2%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,255	\$1,257	0.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	90.1%	90.8%	70	0.8%	\$1,195	\$1,221	2.1%
LA - Lake Charles	88.0%	90.7%	270	3.1%	\$1,090	\$1,292	18.5%
LA - Monroe	93.2%	95.5%	229	2.4%	\$968	\$1,033	6.7%
LA - New Orleans	92.0%	89.5%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,287	\$1,273	-1.1%
LA - Outlying	93.4%	88.2%	-520	-5.5%	\$996	\$924	-7.2%
LA - Shreveport	92.6%	93.1%	50	0.5%	\$1,074	\$1,116	3.9%
Louisiana Average	91.2%	90.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,192	\$1,213	1.8%
MA - Boston	92.1%	92.6%	50	0.5%	\$2,941	\$2,959	0.6%
MA - Springfield	96.2%	96.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,722	\$1,758	2.1%
Massachusetts Average	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.5%	\$2,895	\$2,915	0.7%
MD - Baltimore	94.5%	93.4%	-109	-1.2%	\$1,763	\$1,785	1.3%
MD - Outlying	93.0%	97.2%	419	4.5%	\$1,566	\$1,601	2.2%
Maryland Average	94.4%	93.5%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,758	\$1,780	1.3%
ME - Augusta/Portland	88.7%	92.7%	400	4.5%	\$2,122	\$2,112	-0.5%
Maine Average	88.7%	92.7%	400	4.5%	\$2,122	\$2,112	-0.5%
MI - Ann Arbor	91.4%	93.7%	230	2.5%	\$1,610	\$1,580	-1.9%
MI - Detroit	93.8%	93.9%	10	0.1%	\$1,407	\$1,440	2.3%
MI - Flint	95.4%	95.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,112	\$1,119	0.6%
MI - Grand Rapids	94.8%	95.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,493	\$1,538	3.1%
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.7%	92.8%	-189	-2.1%	\$1,221	\$1,276	4.5%
MI - Lansing	94.7%	93.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,334	\$1,372	2.8%
MI - Outlying	95.4%	93.9%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,157	\$1,213	4.8%
Michigan Average	94.0%	94.1%	10	0.2%	\$1,386	\$1,417	2.3%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.9%	92.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,598	\$1,646	3.0%
MN - Outlying	94.3%	90.8%	-349	-3.7%	\$1,318	\$1,412	7.2%
Minnesota Average	91.7%	92.2%	50	0.5%	\$1,590	\$1,638	3.0%
MO - Columbia	96.6%	96.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,060	\$1,113	5.0%
MO - Kansas City	91.6%	92.1%	50	0.5%	\$1,407	\$1,450	3.0%
MO - Outlying	67.0%	82.5%	1549	23.0%	\$822	\$861	4.7%
MO - Springfield	95.8%	93.5%	-229	-2.4%	\$987	\$1,017	3.1%
MO - St. Louis	90.8%	91.6%	80	0.9%	\$1,387	\$1,400	1.0%
Missouri Average	91.3%	92.1%	80	0.8%	\$1,359	\$1,392	2.4%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	94.6%	91.1%	-349	-3.8%	\$1,151	\$1,167	1.4%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.3%	91.0%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,203	\$1,242	3.2%
MS - Outlying	95.3%	92.7%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,132	\$1,158	2.2%
Mississippi Average	94.2%	91.5%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,171	\$1,200	2.5%
NC - Asheville	84.6%	82.9%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,609	\$1,607	-0.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Charlotte	86.1%	88.8%	270	3.1%	\$1,618	\$1,593	-1.6%
NC - Fayetteville	93.9%	91.2%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,283	\$1,284	0.1%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	93.6%	91.2%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,275	\$1,286	0.9%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	96.0%	91.3%	-469	-4.8%	\$1,135	\$1,167	2.9%
NC - Outlying	97.8%	90.7%	-709	-7.2%	\$1,453	\$1,636	12.6%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	88.3%	89.3%	100	1.2%	\$1,579	\$1,572	-0.5%
NC - Wilmington	83.0%	90.8%	780	9.4%	\$1,505	\$1,560	3.6%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.7%	91.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,231	\$1,252	1.7%
North Carolina Average	87.7%	89.3%	160	1.9%	\$1,522	\$1,517	-0.3%
ND - Bismarck	96.0%	94.7%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,236	\$1,239	0.3%
ND - Fargo	92.7%	93.8%	109	1.1%	\$1,037	\$1,080	4.2%
ND - Outlying	94.1%	92.9%	-119	-1.2%	\$1,244	\$1,252	0.7%
North Dakota Average	93.8%	93.6%	-19	-0.1%	\$1,140	\$1,163	2.0%
NE - Lincoln	93.5%	89.0%	-450	-4.8%	\$1,239	\$1,255	1.3%
NE - Omaha	92.5%	91.0%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,302	\$1,340	2.9%
NE - Outlying	97.3%	92.7%	-459	-4.7%	\$1,019	\$1,047	2.7%
Nebraska Average	92.6%	90.2%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,281	\$1,313	2.5%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	90.2%	93.7%	350	3.9%	\$2,223	\$2,284	2.8%
New Jersey Average	87.2%	93.7%	650	7.5%	\$2,223	\$2,284	2.8%
NM - Albuquerque	90.3%	91.5%	120	1.4%	\$1,421	\$1,414	-0.5%
NM - Outlying	94.7%	96.6%	190	2.1%	\$1,391	\$1,434	3.1%
New Mexico Average	90.6%	91.9%	130	1.4%	\$1,418	\$1,416	-0.2%
NV - Las Vegas	91.2%	90.4%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,476	\$1,450	-1.8%
NV - Outlying	94.8%	95.9%	110	1.2%	\$1,261	\$1,290	2.3%
NV - Reno	89.6%	94.0%	439	4.9%	\$1,700	\$1,789	5.3%
Nevada Average	90.9%	91.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,516	\$1,510	-0.4%
NY - Albany	92.2%	94.8%	259	2.7%	\$1,639	\$1,719	4.9%
NY - Buffalo	89.2%	94.0%	479	5.4%	\$1,448	\$1,483	2.4%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	91.9%	92.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,648	\$1,673	1.5%
NY - New York City	84.2%	92.0%	780	9.3%	\$3,417	\$3,493	2.2%
NY - Outlying	80.5%	95.3%	1479	18.5%	\$1,268	\$1,303	2.8%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.7%	95.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,931	\$2,032	5.2%
NY - Rochester	96.8%	95.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,525	\$1,569	2.9%
NY - Syracuse	95.4%	93.6%	-179	-2.0%	\$1,365	\$1,422	4.2%
New York Average	85.9%	92.6%	670	7.8%	\$3,026	\$3,106	2.7%
OH - Cincinnati	92.1%	91.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,436	\$1,472	2.5%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.7%	93.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,253	\$1,330	6.2%
OH - Columbus	90.6%	91.4%	80	0.9%	\$1,391	\$1,416	1.8%
OH - Dayton	94.5%	93.0%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,206	\$1,231	2.1%
OH - Outlying	98.8%	89.6%	-919	-9.4%	\$936	\$1,067	14.0%
OH - Toledo	95.8%	95.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,006	\$1,055	4.8%
Ohio Average	92.0%	92.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,322	\$1,367	3.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
OK - Oklahoma City	90.8%	91.0%	20	0.2%	\$1,031	\$1,047	1.5%
OK - Outlying	90.2%	91.0%	80	0.9%	\$862	\$890	3.1%
OK - Tulsa	90.0%	91.4%	140	1.5%	\$1,047	\$1,060	1.3%
Oklahoma Average	90.5%	91.2%	70	0.8%	\$1,034	\$1,049	1.4%
OR - Central Oregon	93.4%	92.3%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,591	\$1,577	-0.9%
OR - Outlying	97.4%	96.1%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,431	\$1,487	3.9%
OR - Portland	92.5%	93.1%	60	0.6%	\$1,783	\$1,770	-0.7%
Oregon Average	92.4%	93.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,743	\$1,730	-0.8%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	93.7%	93.8%	9	0.1%	\$1,531	\$1,579	3.1%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.2%	93.6%	40	0.4%	\$1,745	\$1,791	2.7%
PA - Outlying	94.3%	94.7%	40	0.3%	\$1,431	\$1,469	2.6%
PA - Philadelphia	90.9%	94.2%	329	3.6%	\$1,881	\$1,925	2.3%
PA - Pittsburgh	95.1%	91.3%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,489	\$1,530	2.7%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.0%	97.3%	30	0.2%	\$1,482	\$1,510	1.9%
Pennsylvania Average	92.0%	93.7%	170	1.9%	\$1,761	\$1,803	2.4%
RI - Providence	94.0%	94.1%	10	0.1%	\$2,165	\$2,180	0.7%
Rhode Island Average	93.0%	94.1%	109	1.2%	\$2,165	\$2,180	0.7%
SC - Charleston	87.2%	90.7%	350	4.0%	\$1,874	\$1,915	2.2%
SC - Columbia	91.9%	89.4%	-250	-2.6%	\$1,348	\$1,361	1.0%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	89.3%	91.6%	230	2.6%	\$1,399	\$1,409	0.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	81.0%	89.2%	819	10.1%	\$1,616	\$1,562	-3.4%
SC - Outlying	91.2%	87.9%	-330	-3.6%	\$1,307	\$1,342	2.7%
South Carolina Average	88.0%	90.6%	260	2.9%	\$1,574	\$1,590	1.0%
SD - Outlying	98.0%	89.2%	-879	-8.9%	\$1,035	\$1,118	8.1%
SD - Rapid City	77.9%	91.2%	1330	17.1%	\$1,257	\$1,297	3.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	88.6%	91.2%	260	2.9%	\$1,088	\$1,139	4.7%
South Dakota Average	86.6%	91.0%	440	5.0%	\$1,123	\$1,172	4.4%
TN - Chattanooga	88.7%	92.2%	350	3.9%	\$1,410	\$1,398	-0.8%
TN - Knoxville	93.0%	89.6%	-340	-3.7%	\$1,526	\$1,514	-0.8%
TN - Memphis	90.0%	90.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,202	\$1,199	-0.2%
TN - Nashville	87.5%	90.3%	280	3.2%	\$1,652	\$1,629	-1.4%
TN - Outlying	96.0%	93.7%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,183	\$1,226	3.6%
Tennessee Average	88.8%	90.4%	160	1.7%	\$1,494	\$1,481	-0.8%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.0%	89.2%	120	1.3%	\$1,524	\$1,505	-1.3%
TX - Greater Dallas	89.0%	89.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,566	\$1,546	-1.3%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.2%	88.9%	170	2.0%	\$1,433	\$1,416	-1.2%
TX - Abilene	95.3%	96.9%	160	1.7%	\$1,138	\$1,338	17.5%
TX - Amarillo	89.1%	92.6%	350	3.9%	\$965	\$1,044	8.2%
TX - Austin	85.6%	88.9%	330	3.8%	\$1,486	\$1,461	-1.7%
TX - Beaumont	92.8%	90.8%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,088	\$1,088	0.0%
TX - College Station	89.6%	88.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,555	\$1,571	1.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.4%	88.6%	120	1.4%	\$1,151	\$1,140	-1.0%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TX - El Paso	91.6%	93.7%	210	2.3%	\$1,103	\$1,132	2.6%	
TX - Houston	88.9%	89.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,342	\$1,327	-1.1%	
TX - Laredo	93.5%	90.7%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,159	\$1,140	-1.6%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	90.3%	88.1%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,078	\$1,067	-1.0%	
TX - Lubbock	87.6%	91.2%	360	4.1%	\$947	\$966	2.1%	
TX - Lufkin	89.3%	90.3%	100	1.1%	\$962	\$1,000	4.0%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.8%	91.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,420	\$1,386	-2.4%	
TX - Outlying	91.1%	93.3%	220	2.4%	\$1,033	\$1,030	-0.3%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	86.7%	90.7%	400	4.6%	\$1,016	\$1,036	1.9%	
TX - San Angelo	94.0%	94.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,084	\$1,113	2.7%	
TX - San Antonio	85.8%	88.3%	250	2.9%	\$1,235	\$1,189	-3.7%	
TX - Texarkana	94.4%	92.0%	-239	-2.6%	\$920	\$935	1.6%	
TX - Tyler	88.6%	90.1%	150	1.7%	\$1,223	\$1,237	1.2%	
TX - Victoria	94.8%	90.1%	-469	-4.9%	\$1,061	\$1,086	2.4%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	87.6%	91.2%	360	4.1%	\$1,150	\$1,145	-0.4%	
TX - Wichita Falls	86.5%	91.9%	540	6.2%	\$887	\$916	3.3%	
Texas Average	87.9%	89.3%	140	1.6%	\$1,390	\$1,374	-1.2%	
UT - Ogden/Logan	91.2%	89.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,477	\$1,492	1.0%	
UT - Outlying	91.9%	91.3%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,600	\$1,625	1.6%	
UT - Provo/Orem	86.4%	85.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,585	\$1,636	3.2%	
UT - Salt Lake City	85.1%	89.3%	420	5.0%	\$1,596	\$1,595	-0.1%	
Utah Average	86.5%	88.9%	240	2.8%	\$1,572	\$1,582	0.7%	
VA - Norfolk	94.4%	95.6%	120	1.2%	\$1,607	\$1,704	6.0%	
VA - Outlying	92.8%	97.0%	419	4.5%	\$1,336	\$1,284	-4.0%	
VA - Richmond	92.1%	91.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,706	\$1,675	-1.9%	
VA - Roanoke	94.9%	93.9%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,285	\$1,305	1.5%	
Virginia Average	93.0%	93.8%	79	0.8%	\$1,624	\$1,655	1.9%	
WA - Olympia	90.3%	90.2%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,766	\$1,755	-0.6%	
WA - Outlying	87.3%	94.9%	759	8.7%	\$1,204	\$1,137	-5.6%	
WA - SE Washington	93.4%	90.3%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,411	\$1,386	-1.7%	
WA - Seattle	92.7%	92.9%	20	0.3%	\$2,186	\$2,186	0.0%	
WA - Spokane	92.6%	91.7%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,430	\$1,450	1.4%	
Washington Average	92.5%	92.6%	10	0.1%	\$2,060	\$2,055	-0.2%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	93.7%	92.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,111	\$1,156	4.1%	
WI - Madison	89.5%	89.6%	10	0.1%	\$1,586	\$1,623	2.4%	
WI - Milwaukee	90.9%	93.5%	260	2.9%	\$1,567	\$1,630	4.0%	
WI - Outlying	79.0%	96.0%	1699	21.6%	\$1,182	\$1,220	3.3%	
Wisconsin Average	89.8%	92.1%	230	2.6%	\$1,482	\$1,535	3.6%	
WV - Charleston	84.4%	90.9%	650	7.8%	\$1,147	\$1,226	6.9%	
WV - Outlying	96.6%	96.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,130	\$1,167	3.3%	
West Virginia Average	88.4%	92.3%	390	4.5%	\$1,143	\$1,212	6.1%	
DC - Washington	92.1%	92.3%	20	0.2%	\$2,300	\$2,295	-0.2%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DE - Outlying	89.7%	91.7%	200	2.3%	\$1,713	\$1,769	3.2%
HI - State of Hawaii	96.3%	95.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$2,571	\$2,704	5.2%
MT - State of Montana	83.6%	85.1%	150	1.9%	\$1,709	\$1,806	5.7%
NH - Concord	86.9%	92.8%	590	6.7%	\$2,022	\$2,028	0.3%
VT - Outlying	75.3%	94.8%	1949	25.9%	\$2,020	\$2,021	0.0%
WY - State of Wyoming	94.6%	88.3%	-629	-6.7%	\$1,292	\$1,459	12.9%
National Average	89.7%	91.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,779	\$1,794	0.8%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Nick Simmons

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 233

Nicks@alndata.com

Cameron Reese

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 239

Cameron@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

Dianna Moreau

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 220

Dianna@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Multifamily Specialist

1.800.643.6416 x 256

Robert@alndata.com