

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Sep-25	Sep-26	bps	%CHG	Sep-25	Sep-26	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	94.3%	88.4%	-589	-6.2%	\$1,540	\$1,587	3.0%
AK - Outlying	93.0%	90.0%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,628	\$1,761	8.2%
Alaska Average	94.1%	88.6%	-549	-5.8%	\$1,561	\$1,627	4.2%
AL - Birmingham	91.7%	91.7%	0	0.1%	\$1,245	\$1,253	0.7%
AL - Huntsville	84.3%	87.8%	350	4.2%	\$1,178	\$1,147	-2.6%
AL - Mobile	90.6%	91.9%	130	1.4%	\$1,275	\$1,289	1.0%
AL - Montgomery	93.7%	92.1%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,060	\$1,105	4.3%
AL - Outlying	96.2%	92.0%	-419	-4.4%	\$933	\$923	-1.0%
Alabama Average	89.2%	90.6%	140	1.5%	\$1,197	\$1,199	0.1%
AR - Little Rock	89.5%	91.5%	200	2.2%	\$1,034	\$1,037	0.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	83.9%	90.2%	630	7.5%	\$1,137	\$1,138	0.0%
AR - Outlying	95.1%	94.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$846	\$898	6.1%
Arkansas Average	87.7%	91.1%	340	3.9%	\$1,063	\$1,069	0.6%
AZ - Flagstaff	88.1%	86.2%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,829	\$1,740	-4.9%
AZ - Outlying	93.6%	91.5%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,158	\$1,159	0.1%
AZ - Phoenix	87.5%	89.0%	150	1.7%	\$1,553	\$1,524	-1.9%
AZ - Tucson	91.0%	90.0%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,166	\$1,167	0.0%
Arizona Average	87.8%	89.1%	130	1.4%	\$1,495	\$1,472	-1.6%
CA - Bakersfield	93.7%	94.1%	39	0.4%	\$1,492	\$1,531	2.6%
CA - Central Coast	94.4%	94.7%	30	0.3%	\$2,703	\$2,674	-1.0%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	96.0%	95.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,550	\$1,586	2.3%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.8%	93.0%	20	0.2%	\$2,800	\$2,837	1.3%
CA - Outlying	96.4%	94.1%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,385	\$1,427	3.0%
CA - Sacramento	92.8%	94.0%	119	1.2%	\$1,943	\$1,932	-0.5%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	91.1%	93.7%	260	2.9%	\$2,226	\$2,269	1.9%
CA - San Diego	92.7%	92.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,793	\$2,869	2.7%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	93.5%	95.5%	199	2.0%	\$3,035	\$3,288	8.4%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	92.8%	95.6%	279	2.9%	\$3,330	\$3,572	7.2%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.6%	93.1%	250	2.8%	\$2,365	\$2,395	1.3%
CA - Stockton	95.4%	93.0%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,763	\$1,780	1.0%
California Average	92.9%	93.8%	89	1.0%	\$2,656	\$2,735	3.0%
CO - Boulder	90.4%	90.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,011	\$1,937	-3.7%
CO - Colorado Springs	87.3%	90.9%	360	4.2%	\$1,468	\$1,481	0.8%
CO - Denver	87.7%	89.9%	220	2.6%	\$1,864	\$1,816	-2.6%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	88.4%	91.6%	320	3.7%	\$1,741	\$1,778	2.1%
CO - Outlying	85.7%	92.9%	720	8.4%	\$2,000	\$2,001	0.1%
Colorado Average	87.7%	90.1%	240	2.8%	\$1,807	\$1,773	-1.9%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	82.7%	91.0%	830	10.0%	\$3,067	\$3,065	-0.1%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	89.6%	90.9%	130	1.5%	\$1,963	\$2,027	3.2%
Connecticut Average	87.4%	91.0%	360	4.1%	\$2,299	\$2,330	1.3%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	91.3%	90.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,539	\$1,526	-0.8%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	91.5%	91.7%	20	0.2%	\$2,473	\$2,469	-0.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	81.2%	85.1%	389	4.8%	\$1,815	\$1,758	-3.1%
FL - Gainesville	90.3%	89.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,728	\$1,740	0.7%
FL - Jacksonville	88.0%	89.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,508	\$1,503	-0.4%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	83.4%	88.9%	550	6.5%	\$1,595	\$1,545	-3.1%
FL - Melbourne	86.5%	86.3%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,763	\$1,720	-2.4%
FL - Miami	86.6%	89.2%	260	3.0%	\$2,618	\$2,656	1.4%
FL - Orlando	87.3%	89.9%	260	3.0%	\$1,799	\$1,762	-2.0%
FL - Palm Beach	91.8%	93.3%	150	1.6%	\$2,548	\$2,598	2.0%
FL - Pensacola	85.6%	87.2%	160	1.8%	\$1,601	\$1,614	0.8%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	82.4%	86.0%	360	4.4%	\$1,895	\$1,803	-4.8%
FL - Tallahassee	91.2%	91.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,471	\$1,435	-2.5%
FL - Tampa	89.0%	89.9%	90	1.1%	\$1,868	\$1,810	-3.1%
Florida Average	87.8%	89.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,952	\$1,927	-1.3%
GA - Albany	90.5%	90.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,027	\$1,036	0.9%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	91.5%	93.2%	170	1.8%	\$1,337	\$1,347	0.8%
GA - Atlanta	89.2%	90.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,673	\$1,668	-0.3%
GA - Augusta	89.5%	88.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,258	\$1,282	2.0%
GA - Columbus	90.9%	93.5%	260	2.8%	\$1,214	\$1,265	4.2%
GA - Macon	86.5%	89.6%	310	3.6%	\$1,194	\$1,203	0.8%
GA - Outlying	94.9%	95.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,838	\$1,815	-1.3%
GA - Savannah	84.2%	83.4%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,714	\$1,653	-3.6%
Georgia Average	88.8%	89.6%	80	1.0%	\$1,611	\$1,603	-0.4%
IA - Des Moines	89.8%	91.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,187	\$1,181	-0.5%
IA - Outlying	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.6%	\$1,079	\$1,100	1.9%
Iowa Average	90.1%	91.9%	180	1.9%	\$1,178	\$1,174	-0.3%
ID - Boise	85.7%	92.6%	690	8.1%	\$1,629	\$1,727	6.1%
ID - Outlying	96.0%	91.9%	-409	-4.3%	\$1,009	\$1,047	3.7%
Idaho Average	86.1%	92.6%	650	7.5%	\$1,603	\$1,700	6.1%
IL - Chicago	94.4%	93.5%	-89	-0.9%	\$2,149	\$2,225	3.5%
IL - Moline	90.5%	94.5%	399	4.4%	\$1,036	\$1,090	5.2%
IL - Outlying	95.1%	97.7%	260	2.8%	\$813	\$880	8.2%
IL - Springfield	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,119	\$1,178	5.3%
Illinois Average	94.0%	93.6%	-39	-0.4%	\$2,012	\$2,082	3.5%
IN - Evansville	94.4%	94.2%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,051	\$1,048	-0.3%
IN - Fort Wayne	95.9%	92.9%	-299	-3.1%	\$1,131	\$1,195	5.7%
IN - Indianapolis	91.3%	90.9%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,324	\$1,344	1.5%
IN - Outlying	97.1%	94.0%	-310	-3.2%	\$1,036	\$1,095	5.7%
IN - South Bend	94.2%	92.4%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,289	\$1,323	2.6%
Indiana Average	91.9%	91.3%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,285	\$1,311	2.0%
KS - Outlying	97.1%	97.1%	0	0.0%	\$753	\$762	1.2%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.7%	94.3%	59	0.6%	\$1,030	\$1,073	4.2%
KS - Wichita	92.1%	93.8%	169	1.8%	\$955	\$986	3.3%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Kansas Average	92.9%	94.0%	109	1.2%	\$981	\$1,015	3.5%
KY - Lexington	92.3%	93.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,250	\$1,268	1.4%
KY - Louisville	90.8%	89.0%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,272	\$1,266	-0.5%
KY - Outlying	84.0%	90.1%	610	7.2%	\$885	\$881	-0.5%
Kentucky Average	91.1%	90.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,256	\$1,258	0.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	89.4%	90.8%	140	1.6%	\$1,200	\$1,222	1.8%
LA - Lake Charles	87.8%	90.6%	280	3.3%	\$1,102	\$1,300	18.0%
LA - Monroe	91.7%	95.3%	359	3.9%	\$976	\$1,035	6.1%
LA - New Orleans	91.6%	90.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,281	\$1,273	-0.6%
LA - Outlying	94.3%	90.3%	-399	-4.2%	\$1,001	\$929	-7.3%
LA - Shreveport	92.2%	92.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,079	\$1,109	2.8%
Louisiana Average	90.5%	91.1%	60	0.6%	\$1,193	\$1,212	1.6%
MA - Boston	92.9%	92.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,945	\$2,949	0.1%
MA - Springfield	96.4%	95.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,722	\$1,758	2.1%
Massachusetts Average	93.0%	92.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,899	\$2,906	0.2%
MD - Baltimore	94.5%	93.1%	-139	-1.5%	\$1,757	\$1,786	1.7%
MD - Outlying	90.2%	97.6%	739	8.2%	\$1,584	\$1,598	0.9%
Maryland Average	94.4%	93.2%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,752	\$1,781	1.6%
ME - Augusta/Portland	88.8%	93.1%	430	4.8%	\$2,126	\$2,130	0.2%
Maine Average	88.8%	93.1%	430	4.8%	\$2,126	\$2,130	0.2%
MI - Ann Arbor	91.3%	92.6%	130	1.4%	\$1,598	\$1,578	-1.2%
MI - Detroit	94.0%	93.7%	-29	-0.4%	\$1,419	\$1,444	1.8%
MI - Flint	96.0%	95.6%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,096	\$1,115	1.8%
MI - Grand Rapids	95.5%	95.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,495	\$1,541	3.1%
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.1%	92.5%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,229	\$1,262	2.7%
MI - Lansing	95.1%	94.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,346	\$1,372	1.9%
MI - Outlying	95.2%	93.3%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,153	\$1,212	5.1%
Michigan Average	94.3%	93.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,392	\$1,419	2.0%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	92.3%	92.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,599	\$1,644	2.8%
MN - Outlying	94.5%	89.6%	-489	-5.2%	\$1,330	\$1,423	7.0%
Minnesota Average	92.4%	92.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,591	\$1,636	2.8%
MO - Columbia	96.0%	95.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,055	\$1,122	6.4%
MO - Kansas City	91.3%	91.8%	50	0.6%	\$1,408	\$1,450	3.0%
MO - Outlying	71.2%	82.5%	1130	15.9%	\$822	\$874	6.2%
MO - Springfield	95.9%	93.4%	-249	-2.7%	\$1,005	\$1,020	1.5%
MO - St. Louis	91.7%	92.3%	60	0.6%	\$1,393	\$1,401	0.6%
Missouri Average	91.7%	92.1%	40	0.5%	\$1,364	\$1,392	2.1%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	95.2%	91.3%	-389	-4.2%	\$1,151	\$1,167	1.4%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.9%	90.5%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,188	\$1,241	4.4%
MS - Outlying	95.5%	93.8%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,157	\$1,162	0.4%
Mississippi Average	94.2%	91.5%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,170	\$1,200	2.6%
NC - Asheville	84.5%	83.7%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,641	\$1,581	-3.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Charlotte	86.8%	88.9%	210	2.4%	\$1,625	\$1,594	-1.9%
NC - Fayetteville	94.1%	90.1%	-399	-4.3%	\$1,294	\$1,286	-0.6%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.2%	91.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,284	\$1,287	0.3%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	92.4%	91.0%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,150	\$1,162	1.1%
NC - Outlying	99.6%	91.2%	-839	-8.5%	\$1,445	\$1,560	8.0%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	88.9%	89.2%	30	0.4%	\$1,576	\$1,573	-0.2%
NC - Wilmington	84.5%	89.9%	540	6.5%	\$1,499	\$1,562	4.2%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.4%	90.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,242	\$1,263	1.7%
North Carolina Average	87.9%	89.3%	140	1.6%	\$1,526	\$1,518	-0.5%
ND - Bismarck	96.4%	95.2%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,242	\$1,241	-0.1%
ND - Fargo	92.6%	93.7%	110	1.1%	\$1,037	\$1,084	4.5%
ND - Outlying	95.3%	93.1%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,257	\$1,264	0.5%
North Dakota Average	94.4%	93.7%	-69	-0.7%	\$1,145	\$1,170	2.1%
NE - Lincoln	94.0%	88.5%	-549	-5.9%	\$1,235	\$1,247	1.0%
NE - Omaha	92.5%	90.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,298	\$1,340	3.3%
NE - Outlying	97.2%	93.5%	-369	-3.8%	\$1,013	\$1,043	3.0%
Nebraska Average	92.6%	90.0%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,276	\$1,310	2.7%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	94.2%	94.1%	-10	0.0%	\$2,236	\$2,285	2.2%
New Jersey Average	91.0%	94.1%	309	3.4%	\$2,236	\$2,285	2.2%
NM - Albuquerque	90.9%	92.1%	120	1.3%	\$1,431	\$1,408	-1.6%
NM - Outlying	94.8%	96.7%	190	2.0%	\$1,398	\$1,437	2.8%
New Mexico Average	91.3%	92.4%	110	1.2%	\$1,428	\$1,411	-1.2%
NV - Las Vegas	91.5%	90.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,480	\$1,447	-2.2%
NV - Outlying	93.6%	98.1%	449	4.9%	\$1,455	\$1,283	-11.8%
NV - Reno	92.0%	94.1%	209	2.3%	\$1,713	\$1,801	5.2%
Nevada Average	91.6%	90.8%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,522	\$1,510	-0.8%
NY - Albany	92.2%	95.9%	369	4.0%	\$1,647	\$1,719	4.3%
NY - Buffalo	88.5%	94.7%	619	7.0%	\$1,440	\$1,484	3.1%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	94.3%	91.7%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,710	\$1,667	-2.5%
NY - New York City	85.3%	91.9%	660	7.7%	\$3,422	\$3,501	2.3%
NY - Outlying	80.2%	94.9%	1469	18.4%	\$1,272	\$1,306	2.7%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.7%	93.3%	-139	-1.4%	\$1,933	\$2,048	5.9%
NY - Rochester	96.6%	95.5%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,525	\$1,572	3.1%
NY - Syracuse	95.5%	95.6%	10	0.0%	\$1,373	\$1,429	4.1%
New York Average	87.0%	92.5%	550	6.3%	\$3,033	\$3,115	2.7%
OH - Cincinnati	92.8%	91.1%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,440	\$1,475	2.5%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.8%	92.4%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,257	\$1,334	6.1%
OH - Columbus	91.4%	90.4%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,388	\$1,415	1.9%
OH - Dayton	94.4%	93.0%	-139	-1.6%	\$1,202	\$1,235	2.7%
OH - Outlying	97.3%	89.6%	-769	-8.0%	\$1,003	\$1,072	6.9%
OH - Toledo	95.5%	94.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,008	\$1,061	5.2%
Ohio Average	92.3%	91.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,323	\$1,370	3.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
OK - Oklahoma City	90.2%	90.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,029	\$1,043	1.4%
OK - Outlying	92.4%	90.5%	-190	-2.0%	\$865	\$887	2.6%
OK - Tulsa	90.6%	90.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,044	\$1,064	1.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.2%	90.1%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,032	\$1,048	1.6%
OR - Central Oregon	93.7%	90.7%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,597	\$1,590	-0.4%
OR - Outlying	98.0%	95.9%	-210	-2.1%	\$1,440	\$1,488	3.3%
OR - Portland	93.1%	93.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,783	\$1,770	-0.7%
Oregon Average	92.9%	92.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,745	\$1,732	-0.7%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	93.6%	93.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,532	\$1,583	3.3%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.5%	93.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,745	\$1,794	2.8%
PA - Outlying	94.0%	94.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,434	\$1,475	2.8%
PA - Philadelphia	91.6%	94.1%	249	2.8%	\$1,885	\$1,926	2.2%
PA - Pittsburgh	95.0%	91.7%	-329	-3.5%	\$1,495	\$1,526	2.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.0%	98.5%	150	1.6%	\$1,484	\$1,512	1.9%
Pennsylvania Average	92.3%	93.6%	130	1.5%	\$1,763	\$1,803	2.3%
RI - Providence	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.5%	\$2,167	\$2,193	1.2%
Rhode Island Average	93.0%	94.3%	129	1.4%	\$2,167	\$2,193	1.2%
SC - Charleston	90.1%	89.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,886	\$1,932	2.4%
SC - Columbia	92.4%	89.2%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,341	\$1,365	1.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.3%	91.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,403	\$1,410	0.5%
SC - Myrtle Beach	81.6%	89.6%	800	9.8%	\$1,624	\$1,601	-1.4%
SC - Outlying	90.7%	86.5%	-420	-4.6%	\$1,299	\$1,365	5.1%
South Carolina Average	89.7%	90.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,578	\$1,602	1.6%
SD - Outlying	91.8%	88.7%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,047	\$1,118	6.8%
SD - Rapid City	79.5%	95.2%	1569	19.8%	\$1,273	\$1,311	3.0%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.0%	92.2%	320	3.7%	\$1,092	\$1,130	3.5%
South Dakota Average	86.7%	91.7%	500	5.8%	\$1,131	\$1,168	3.2%
TN - Chattanooga	89.2%	92.6%	340	3.8%	\$1,414	\$1,411	-0.2%
TN - Knoxville	93.6%	89.2%	-440	-4.7%	\$1,525	\$1,529	0.3%
TN - Memphis	90.2%	89.8%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,195	\$1,204	0.7%
TN - Nashville	88.2%	90.2%	200	2.3%	\$1,660	\$1,626	-2.1%
TN - Outlying	93.0%	94.5%	149	1.5%	\$1,195	\$1,233	3.2%
Tennessee Average	89.2%	90.3%	110	1.2%	\$1,497	\$1,484	-0.9%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.3%	88.9%	60	0.8%	\$1,526	\$1,511	-1.0%
TX - Greater Dallas	89.3%	89.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,569	\$1,555	-0.9%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.0%	88.9%	190	2.2%	\$1,434	\$1,416	-1.2%
TX - Abilene	95.3%	96.7%	140	1.4%	\$1,154	\$1,346	16.6%
TX - Amarillo	88.0%	93.2%	520	5.9%	\$972	\$1,051	8.1%
TX - Austin	86.0%	88.8%	280	3.2%	\$1,488	\$1,463	-1.7%
TX - Beaumont	93.6%	89.8%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,091	\$1,090	-0.1%
TX - College Station	90.9%	88.7%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,558	\$1,564	0.4%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.5%	87.8%	30	0.4%	\$1,155	\$1,134	-1.8%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TX - El Paso	92.3%	94.0%	169	1.9%	\$1,109	\$1,139	2.7%	
TX - Houston	88.7%	89.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,344	\$1,328	-1.2%	
TX - Laredo	93.1%	91.8%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,133	\$1,151	1.6%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	89.1%	88.3%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,062	\$1,080	1.7%	
TX - Lubbock	87.7%	91.2%	350	3.9%	\$944	\$973	3.0%	
TX - Lufkin	89.4%	90.5%	110	1.3%	\$978	\$1,003	2.6%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.5%	91.4%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,408	\$1,374	-2.4%	
TX - Outlying	91.7%	91.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,017	\$1,037	2.0%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	86.3%	92.0%	570	6.6%	\$1,008	\$1,034	2.6%	
TX - San Angelo	93.5%	95.1%	159	1.7%	\$1,081	\$1,127	4.3%	
TX - San Antonio	86.4%	88.3%	190	2.2%	\$1,231	\$1,185	-3.7%	
TX - Texarkana	94.5%	92.5%	-199	-2.1%	\$923	\$941	2.0%	
TX - Tyler	90.0%	90.1%	10	0.2%	\$1,216	\$1,235	1.6%	
TX - Victoria	94.2%	90.4%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,059	\$1,090	2.9%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	88.1%	91.1%	300	3.4%	\$1,146	\$1,149	0.2%	
TX - Wichita Falls	88.6%	91.9%	330	3.7%	\$886	\$918	3.6%	
Texas Average	88.1%	89.3%	120	1.3%	\$1,391	\$1,377	-1.0%	
UT - Ogden/Logan	91.7%	90.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,483	\$1,489	0.4%	
UT - Outlying	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,595	\$1,630	2.2%	
UT - Provo/Orem	87.7%	86.1%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,612	\$1,630	1.1%	
UT - Salt Lake City	86.9%	89.8%	290	3.4%	\$1,590	\$1,589	0.0%	
Utah Average	87.9%	89.4%	150	1.8%	\$1,573	\$1,577	0.3%	
VA - Norfolk	94.5%	95.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,615	\$1,716	6.2%	
VA - Outlying	92.8%	94.2%	169	1.8%	\$1,336	\$1,299	-2.8%	
VA - Richmond	92.6%	90.9%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,704	\$1,683	-1.3%	
VA - Roanoke	96.0%	93.7%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,290	\$1,316	2.0%	
Virginia Average	93.6%	93.3%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,627	\$1,665	2.3%	
WA - Olympia	93.3%	89.7%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,762	\$1,757	-0.3%	
WA - Outlying	87.3%	97.6%	1029	11.8%	\$1,205	\$1,154	-4.2%	
WA - SE Washington	93.5%	92.1%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,412	\$1,382	-2.1%	
WA - Seattle	92.7%	92.3%	-40	-0.5%	\$2,187	\$2,173	-0.6%	
WA - Spokane	92.6%	91.8%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,424	\$1,444	1.4%	
Washington Average	92.7%	92.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,060	\$2,044	-0.8%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	93.8%	92.5%	-129	-1.3%	\$1,112	\$1,160	4.3%	
WI - Madison	90.5%	89.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,586	\$1,617	1.9%	
WI - Milwaukee	91.4%	93.1%	170	1.9%	\$1,571	\$1,640	4.4%	
WI - Outlying	78.9%	93.3%	1440	18.2%	\$1,173	\$1,237	5.4%	
Wisconsin Average	90.5%	92.0%	150	1.7%	\$1,484	\$1,540	3.8%	
WV - Charleston	79.3%	91.2%	1190	15.0%	\$1,154	\$1,230	6.5%	
WV - Outlying	97.6%	96.1%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,156	\$1,171	1.3%	
West Virginia Average	85.7%	92.5%	680	8.0%	\$1,155	\$1,216	5.3%	
DC - Washington	92.7%	92.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,304	\$2,293	-0.5%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DE - Outlying	89.9%	92.2%	230	2.5%	\$1,736	\$1,765	1.6%
HI - State of Hawaii	96.0%	95.2%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,571	\$2,772	7.8%
MT - State of Montana	86.6%	84.0%	-260	-3.1%	\$1,717	\$1,790	4.2%
NH - Concord	90.6%	94.3%	369	4.1%	\$2,028	\$2,048	1.0%
VT - Outlying	86.5%	96.5%	999	11.6%	\$2,012	\$2,056	2.2%
WY - State of Wyoming	95.6%	90.0%	-559	-5.8%	\$1,303	\$1,474	13.2%
National Average	90.1%	91.0%	90	1.0%	\$1,783	\$1,797	0.8%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Nick Simmons

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 233

Nicks@alndata.com

Cameron Reese

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 239

Cameron@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

Dianna Moreau

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 220

Dianna@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Multifamily Specialist

1.800.643.6416 x 256

Robert@alndata.com