

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Apr-25	Apr-26	bps	%CHG	Apr-25	Apr-26	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.9%	91.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,498	\$1,523	1.7%
AK - Outlying	86.4%	87.5%	110	1.3%	\$1,639	\$1,646	0.4%
Alaska Average	91.0%	91.4%	40	0.5%	\$1,532	\$1,552	1.4%
AL - Birmingham	88.4%	90.6%	220	2.6%	\$1,222	\$1,207	-1.2%
AL - Huntsville	81.6%	85.1%	350	4.3%	\$1,167	\$1,157	-0.8%
AL - Mobile	89.2%	88.1%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,225	\$1,263	3.1%
AL - Montgomery	92.1%	91.2%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,029	\$1,082	5.1%
AL - Outlying	95.5%	95.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$888	\$909	2.3%
Alabama Average	87.1%	88.6%	150	1.7%	\$1,172	\$1,177	0.4%
AR - Little Rock	87.4%	89.2%	180	2.1%	\$1,021	\$1,034	1.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	84.8%	85.0%	20	0.2%	\$1,086	\$1,133	4.3%
AR - Outlying	93.8%	94.7%	90	1.0%	\$835	\$852	2.1%
Arkansas Average	86.9%	87.8%	90	1.0%	\$1,031	\$1,061	2.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	87.3%	92.3%	500	5.8%	\$1,846	\$1,777	-3.7%
AZ - Outlying	95.0%	92.5%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,165	\$1,174	0.8%
AZ - Phoenix	85.1%	88.4%	330	3.9%	\$1,555	\$1,513	-2.7%
AZ - Tucson	88.9%	90.8%	190	2.1%	\$1,171	\$1,143	-2.4%
Arizona Average	85.7%	88.9%	320	3.7%	\$1,497	\$1,460	-2.4%
CA - Bakersfield	94.7%	94.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,486	\$1,526	2.7%
CA - Central Coast	93.2%	93.0%	-20	-0.1%	\$2,673	\$2,671	-0.1%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.4%	\$1,535	\$1,567	2.1%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.1%	92.6%	150	1.6%	\$2,760	\$2,794	1.2%
CA - Outlying	95.4%	95.3%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,383	\$1,400	1.3%
CA - Sacramento	91.4%	93.3%	190	2.0%	\$1,940	\$1,913	-1.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	91.3%	92.6%	130	1.4%	\$2,227	\$2,223	-0.2%
CA - San Diego	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.2%	\$2,763	\$2,776	0.4%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.6%	94.5%	389	4.2%	\$2,955	\$3,094	4.7%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	90.1%	94.0%	389	4.4%	\$3,210	\$3,383	5.4%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.0%	92.2%	220	2.5%	\$2,364	\$2,374	0.4%
CA - Stockton	95.0%	94.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,754	\$1,760	0.3%
California Average	91.2%	93.1%	190	2.1%	\$2,617	\$2,660	1.7%
CO - Boulder	86.9%	87.6%	70	0.9%	\$2,018	\$1,991	-1.4%
CO - Colorado Springs	83.8%	88.7%	490	5.9%	\$1,461	\$1,424	-2.5%
CO - Denver	83.8%	87.8%	400	4.8%	\$1,872	\$1,774	-5.2%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	84.0%	91.5%	750	8.9%	\$1,709	\$1,690	-1.1%
CO - Outlying	85.2%	90.7%	550	6.5%	\$1,852	\$1,951	5.4%
Colorado Average	84.0%	88.2%	420	5.1%	\$1,807	\$1,730	-4.3%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	85.0%	90.2%	520	6.2%	\$3,026	\$3,055	0.9%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	90.8%	91.1%	30	0.4%	\$1,897	\$1,962	3.4%
Connecticut Average	88.9%	90.9%	200	2.2%	\$2,238	\$2,293	2.4%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	89.0%	89.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,517	\$1,491	-1.7%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	87.3%	91.4%	410	4.7%	\$2,454	\$2,448	-0.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	79.3%	84.7%	539	6.9%	\$1,911	\$1,733	-9.3%
FL - Gainesville	90.3%	90.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,702	\$1,715	0.8%
FL - Jacksonville	85.0%	88.6%	360	4.1%	\$1,503	\$1,477	-1.7%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	75.6%	86.9%	1130	15.0%	\$1,572	\$1,542	-1.9%
FL - Melbourne	84.6%	88.3%	370	4.4%	\$1,730	\$1,721	-0.5%
FL - Miami	84.2%	91.1%	690	8.3%	\$2,582	\$2,632	1.9%
FL - Orlando	87.0%	89.8%	280	3.3%	\$1,785	\$1,742	-2.4%
FL - Palm Beach	89.3%	94.0%	469	5.2%	\$2,489	\$2,538	2.0%
FL - Pensacola	84.3%	88.8%	450	5.4%	\$1,583	\$1,592	0.6%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	81.5%	86.0%	450	5.4%	\$1,933	\$1,836	-5.1%
FL - Tallahassee	88.9%	92.0%	310	3.4%	\$1,438	\$1,437	0.0%
FL - Tampa	87.2%	89.5%	230	2.6%	\$1,861	\$1,786	-4.0%
Florida Average	85.8%	89.6%	380	4.4%	\$1,942	\$1,908	-1.7%
GA - Albany	87.8%	91.4%	360	4.1%	\$1,029	\$1,040	1.1%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	86.2%	92.3%	610	7.1%	\$1,336	\$1,331	-0.3%
GA - Atlanta	85.0%	89.8%	480	5.7%	\$1,632	\$1,636	0.3%
GA - Augusta	89.7%	88.3%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,243	\$1,259	1.4%
GA - Columbus	89.1%	92.6%	350	3.9%	\$1,198	\$1,225	2.3%
GA - Macon	86.4%	87.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,164	\$1,196	2.8%
GA - Outlying	95.3%	88.9%	-639	-6.7%	\$1,623	\$1,574	-3.0%
GA - Savannah	80.5%	84.5%	399	5.0%	\$1,691	\$1,655	-2.1%
Georgia Average	85.0%	89.4%	440	5.2%	\$1,574	\$1,577	0.2%
IA - Des Moines	88.4%	91.6%	320	3.5%	\$1,159	\$1,180	1.8%
IA - Outlying	96.0%	93.2%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,094	\$1,084	-0.9%
Iowa Average	89.1%	91.7%	260	3.0%	\$1,154	\$1,173	1.6%
ID - Boise	83.8%	89.1%	530	6.4%	\$1,579	\$1,632	3.4%
ID - Outlying	93.0%	94.2%	119	1.3%	\$975	\$1,030	5.6%
Idaho Average	83.6%	89.3%	570	6.8%	\$1,554	\$1,608	3.5%
IL - Chicago	92.0%	93.5%	150	1.6%	\$2,082	\$2,181	4.8%
IL - Moline	90.2%	93.3%	310	3.5%	\$1,004	\$1,071	6.6%
IL - Outlying	96.1%	94.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$804	\$859	6.9%
IL - Springfield	95.0%	96.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,071	\$1,132	5.7%
Illinois Average	92.0%	93.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,949	\$2,042	4.8%
IN - Evansville	94.9%	94.3%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,001	\$1,043	4.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.2%	95.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,087	\$1,135	4.5%
IN - Indianapolis	90.0%	90.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,313	\$1,324	0.9%
IN - Outlying	98.6%	96.3%	-230	-2.3%	\$1,027	\$1,045	1.8%
IN - South Bend	94.1%	93.2%	-89	-0.9%	\$1,213	\$1,302	7.3%
Indiana Average	91.0%	91.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,263	\$1,287	2.0%
KS - Outlying	98.3%	97.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$727	\$765	5.2%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.3%	93.9%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,015	\$1,055	3.9%
KS - Wichita	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.7%	\$926	\$972	5.0%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
Kansas Average	92.6%	92.8%	20	0.2%	\$957	\$1,001	4.5%	
KY - Lexington	89.7%	91.3%	160	1.9%	\$1,227	\$1,255	2.3%	
KY - Louisville	90.4%	90.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,252	\$1,266	1.1%	
KY - Outlying	91.4%	90.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$857	\$899	4.9%	
Kentucky Average	90.2%	90.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,235	\$1,254	1.5%	
LA - Baton Rouge	89.5%	90.4%	90	1.0%	\$1,168	\$1,187	1.7%	
LA - Lake Charles	83.2%	93.2%	1000	12.0%	\$1,011	\$1,206	19.2%	
LA - Monroe	91.8%	93.7%	190	2.0%	\$936	\$1,014	8.3%	
LA - New Orleans	90.2%	90.4%	20	0.3%	\$1,273	\$1,252	-1.6%	
LA - Outlying	92.0%	90.8%	-120	-1.3%	\$969	\$941	-2.8%	
LA - Shreveport	92.5%	92.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,031	\$1,071	3.9%	
Louisiana Average	90.0%	91.0%	100	1.2%	\$1,165	\$1,182	1.5%	
MA - Boston	90.4%	92.9%	250	2.7%	\$2,882	\$2,910	1.0%	
MA - Springfield	97.7%	96.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,709	\$1,747	2.2%	
Massachusetts Average	90.7%	93.0%	230	2.6%	\$2,837	\$2,867	1.1%	
MD - Baltimore	92.6%	93.4%	80	0.9%	\$1,734	\$1,755	1.2%	
MD - Outlying	93.4%	96.5%	309	3.3%	\$1,518	\$1,592	4.9%	
Maryland Average	92.6%	93.5%	90	0.9%	\$1,729	\$1,750	1.3%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	84.8%	94.0%	919	10.8%	\$2,033	\$2,144	5.5%	
Maine Average	84.8%	94.0%	919	10.8%	\$2,033	\$2,144	5.5%	
MI - Ann Arbor	93.1%	93.8%	69	0.7%	\$1,592	\$1,592	0.0%	
MI - Detroit	92.7%	93.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,382	\$1,400	1.3%	
MI - Flint	94.2%	94.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,071	\$1,104	3.1%	
MI - Grand Rapids	93.1%	94.5%	139	1.5%	\$1,471	\$1,513	2.9%	
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	92.1%	92.8%	70	0.7%	\$1,185	\$1,236	4.3%	
MI - Lansing	93.7%	94.4%	69	0.7%	\$1,293	\$1,333	3.0%	
MI - Outlying	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.7%	\$1,131	\$1,181	4.4%	
Michigan Average	92.8%	93.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,359	\$1,387	2.0%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.2%	92.8%	360	4.0%	\$1,564	\$1,615	3.3%	
MN - Outlying	82.6%	90.4%	780	9.5%	\$1,302	\$1,396	7.2%	
Minnesota Average	88.9%	92.7%	380	4.2%	\$1,556	\$1,608	3.4%	
MO - Columbia	97.5%	96.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,061	\$1,099	3.6%	
MO - Kansas City	89.8%	90.6%	80	0.9%	\$1,385	\$1,422	2.7%	
MO - Outlying	70.6%	87.2%	1660	23.5%	\$859	\$832	-3.1%	
MO - Springfield	93.8%	94.2%	40	0.5%	\$981	\$1,003	2.3%	
MO - St. Louis	89.2%	91.4%	220	2.5%	\$1,347	\$1,386	2.9%	
Missouri Average	89.5%	91.2%	170	1.9%	\$1,333	\$1,369	2.8%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.5%	89.9%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,113	\$1,154	3.7%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	89.3%	92.8%	350	3.8%	\$1,174	\$1,200	2.1%	
MS - Outlying	94.0%	94.8%	80	0.8%	\$1,115	\$1,152	3.3%	
Mississippi Average	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,143	\$1,175	2.8%	
NC - Asheville	85.9%	83.3%	-260	-3.0%	\$1,625	\$1,573	-3.2%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Charlotte	83.8%	88.4%	460	5.4%	\$1,603	\$1,588	-0.9%
NC - Fayetteville	91.2%	90.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,260	\$1,278	1.4%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	91.3%	91.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,263	\$1,271	0.6%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	95.9%	88.6%	-729	-7.7%	\$1,132	\$1,151	1.6%
NC - Outlying	91.2%	97.3%	609	6.7%	\$1,464	\$1,519	3.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	85.1%	89.6%	450	5.2%	\$1,553	\$1,541	-0.8%
NC - Wilmington	83.1%	88.8%	570	6.9%	\$1,467	\$1,501	2.3%
NC - Winston-Salem	90.9%	91.0%	10	0.2%	\$1,223	\$1,239	1.3%
North Carolina Average	85.7%	89.1%	340	3.9%	\$1,502	\$1,497	-0.3%
ND - Bismarck	97.2%	95.1%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,196	\$1,247	4.3%
ND - Fargo	90.1%	92.4%	230	2.5%	\$1,031	\$1,052	2.1%
ND - Outlying	93.6%	92.8%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,201	\$1,223	1.8%
North Dakota Average	92.7%	92.9%	20	0.3%	\$1,116	\$1,140	2.1%
NE - Lincoln	90.1%	89.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,208	\$1,250	3.5%
NE - Omaha	92.7%	90.9%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,276	\$1,319	3.4%
NE - Outlying	93.4%	96.3%	289	3.1%	\$1,007	\$1,049	4.2%
Nebraska Average	92.0%	90.7%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,254	\$1,296	3.4%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	95.2%	94.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,191	\$2,271	3.7%
New Jersey Average	95.2%	94.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,191	\$2,271	3.7%
NM - Albuquerque	88.3%	89.8%	150	1.7%	\$1,406	\$1,380	-1.8%
NM - Outlying	95.0%	93.5%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,392	\$1,428	2.6%
New Mexico Average	88.9%	90.1%	120	1.4%	\$1,405	\$1,385	-1.4%
NV - Las Vegas	89.8%	90.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,489	\$1,450	-2.6%
NV - Outlying	93.9%	89.8%	-409	-4.3%	\$1,278	\$1,310	2.5%
NV - Reno	87.2%	92.9%	570	6.5%	\$1,653	\$1,739	5.2%
Nevada Average	89.3%	90.9%	160	1.7%	\$1,518	\$1,502	-1.1%
NY - Albany	90.8%	92.0%	120	1.4%	\$1,616	\$1,693	4.8%
NY - Buffalo	85.3%	91.2%	590	6.9%	\$1,422	\$1,454	2.2%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	88.8%	93.0%	420	4.7%	\$1,500	\$1,626	8.4%
NY - New York City	83.3%	91.3%	800	9.7%	\$3,321	\$3,440	3.6%
NY - Outlying	88.9%	94.2%	529	5.9%	\$1,292	\$1,266	-2.1%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.3%	94.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,905	\$2,000	5.0%
NY - Rochester	96.1%	94.8%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,489	\$1,546	3.9%
NY - Syracuse	96.4%	96.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,320	\$1,396	5.7%
New York Average	85.4%	91.8%	640	7.5%	\$2,937	\$3,055	4.0%
OH - Cincinnati	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.3%	\$1,415	\$1,460	3.2%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.3%	92.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,228	\$1,290	5.0%
OH - Columbus	89.8%	90.6%	80	0.9%	\$1,372	\$1,386	1.0%
OH - Dayton	94.9%	93.7%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,163	\$1,214	4.4%
OH - Toledo	93.0%	94.3%	129	1.4%	\$985	\$1,029	4.4%
Ohio Average	91.2%	91.7%	50	0.6%	\$1,298	\$1,339	3.1%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.0%	89.4%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,009	\$1,025	1.6%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
OK - Outlying	91.6%	91.8%	20	0.3%	\$859	\$893	3.9%	
OK - Tulsa	90.5%	91.1%	60	0.7%	\$1,029	\$1,044	1.4%	
Oklahoma Average	90.2%	90.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,014	\$1,030	1.6%	
OR - Central Oregon	92.9%	91.7%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,561	\$1,573	0.8%	
OR - Outlying	95.1%	96.1%	100	1.1%	\$1,403	\$1,474	5.0%	
OR - Portland	89.7%	92.0%	230	2.6%	\$1,770	\$1,747	-1.3%	
Oregon Average	90.1%	92.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,728	\$1,710	-1.0%	
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	91.8%	93.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,505	\$1,551	3.1%	
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.7%	95.0%	129	1.4%	\$1,716	\$1,766	2.9%	
PA - Outlying	93.7%	95.6%	189	2.0%	\$1,379	\$1,425	3.3%	
PA - Philadelphia	89.5%	93.4%	390	4.4%	\$1,848	\$1,887	2.1%	
PA - Pittsburgh	93.4%	92.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,453	\$1,500	3.2%	
PA - State College/Altoona	97.6%	96.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,462	\$1,523	4.1%	
Pennsylvania Average	90.7%	93.4%	270	2.9%	\$1,728	\$1,769	2.4%	
RI - Providence	94.4%	93.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$2,107	\$2,141	1.6%	
Rhode Island Average	94.4%	93.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$2,107	\$2,141	1.6%	
SC - Charleston	85.1%	91.3%	620	7.2%	\$1,823	\$1,857	1.9%	
SC - Columbia	91.2%	89.2%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,324	\$1,337	1.0%	
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	88.5%	91.6%	310	3.5%	\$1,385	\$1,384	0.0%	
SC - Myrtle Beach	76.7%	85.3%	859	11.2%	\$1,590	\$1,551	-2.4%	
SC - Outlying	88.9%	90.3%	140	1.6%	\$1,309	\$1,296	-1.0%	
South Carolina Average	86.7%	90.4%	370	4.3%	\$1,541	\$1,553	0.7%	
SD - Outlying	98.2%	97.9%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,021	\$1,068	4.5%	
SD - Rapid City	88.0%	86.7%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,267	\$1,277	0.8%	
SD - Sioux Falls	90.1%	87.6%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,073	\$1,116	4.0%	
South Dakota Average	90.5%	88.3%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,111	\$1,148	3.4%	
TN - Chattanooga	83.2%	90.3%	710	8.4%	\$1,395	\$1,371	-1.7%	
TN - Knoxville	92.7%	90.8%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,499	\$1,482	-1.1%	
TN - Memphis	87.8%	88.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,188	\$1,185	-0.3%	
TN - Nashville	85.4%	89.5%	410	4.8%	\$1,628	\$1,618	-0.6%	
TN - Outlying	95.8%	89.1%	-669	-7.0%	\$1,160	\$1,210	4.3%	
Tennessee Average	86.6%	89.4%	280	3.2%	\$1,472	\$1,465	-0.5%	
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	86.9%	88.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,520	\$1,483	-2.5%	
TX - Greater Dallas	87.5%	89.5%	200	2.2%	\$1,567	\$1,528	-2.5%	
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.0%	87.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,414	\$1,388	-1.8%	
TX - Abilene	94.7%	97.1%	240	2.5%	\$1,050	\$1,280	21.9%	
TX - Amarillo	89.9%	92.0%	210	2.4%	\$952	\$1,013	6.4%	
TX - Austin	83.2%	87.6%	440	5.3%	\$1,497	\$1,411	-5.8%	
TX - Beaumont	92.4%	91.9%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,064	\$1,096	3.0%	
TX - College Station	91.0%	87.8%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,570	\$1,576	0.4%	
TX - Corpus Christi	88.7%	90.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,164	\$1,130	-2.9%	
TX - El Paso	89.6%	92.1%	250	2.8%	\$1,092	\$1,110	1.6%	

OVERALL MARKET								
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TX - Houston	88.0%	88.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,342	\$1,317	-1.8%	
TX - Laredo	95.8%	91.1%	-469	-4.9%	\$1,140	\$1,123	-1.5%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	88.8%	91.8%	300	3.4%	\$1,069	\$1,070	0.1%	
TX - Lubbock	86.8%	89.8%	300	3.4%	\$938	\$944	0.6%	
TX - Lufkin	88.1%	90.0%	190	2.2%	\$957	\$990	3.4%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.7%	90.3%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,434	\$1,385	-3.4%	
TX - Outlying	94.2%	93.2%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,042	\$1,023	-1.8%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	87.4%	88.3%	90	1.0%	\$998	\$1,022	2.4%	
TX - San Angelo	90.6%	92.9%	230	2.6%	\$1,072	\$1,090	1.6%	
TX - San Antonio	84.2%	86.5%	230	2.7%	\$1,242	\$1,178	-5.1%	
TX - Texarkana	93.1%	91.3%	-180	-2.0%	\$907	\$919	1.4%	
TX - Tyler	86.7%	88.8%	210	2.5%	\$1,210	\$1,193	-1.4%	
TX - Victoria	95.3%	93.7%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,051	\$1,055	0.3%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	86.7%	88.8%	210	2.5%	\$1,158	\$1,123	-3.0%	
TX - Wichita Falls	88.1%	92.2%	410	4.7%	\$873	\$898	2.9%	
Texas Average	86.8%	88.5%	170	2.0%	\$1,390	\$1,353	-2.6%	
UT - Ogden/Logan	90.0%	89.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,461	\$1,459	-0.1%	
UT - Outlying	87.0%	89.0%	200	2.3%	\$1,608	\$1,611	0.2%	
UT - Provo/Orem	86.0%	82.1%	-390	-4.5%	\$1,542	\$1,617	4.9%	
UT - Salt Lake City	82.1%	89.1%	700	8.4%	\$1,585	\$1,563	-1.4%	
Utah Average	84.0%	88.1%	410	4.9%	\$1,557	\$1,553	-0.3%	
VA - Norfolk	92.8%	94.9%	209	2.3%	\$1,572	\$1,652	5.1%	
VA - Outlying	96.7%	94.2%	-250	-2.6%	\$1,248	\$1,271	1.8%	
VA - Richmond	89.6%	92.0%	240	2.7%	\$1,630	\$1,683	3.3%	
VA - Roanoke	94.5%	95.0%	50	0.5%	\$1,257	\$1,281	1.9%	
Virginia Average	91.5%	93.6%	210	2.3%	\$1,570	\$1,633	4.0%	
WA - Olympia	88.0%	90.8%	280	3.2%	\$1,714	\$1,728	0.8%	
WA - Outlying	89.7%	90.6%	90	1.1%	\$1,202	\$1,173	-2.4%	
WA - SE Washington	92.8%	88.0%	-480	-5.2%	\$1,414	\$1,391	-1.6%	
WA - Seattle	90.3%	92.8%	250	2.8%	\$2,167	\$2,168	0.0%	
WA - Spokane	88.6%	93.3%	470	5.3%	\$1,422	\$1,434	0.9%	
Washington Average	90.0%	92.5%	250	2.7%	\$2,044	\$2,040	-0.2%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	92.0%	94.3%	229	2.5%	\$1,083	\$1,139	5.1%	
WI - Madison	89.0%	93.1%	410	4.5%	\$1,549	\$1,602	3.4%	
WI - Milwaukee	90.0%	93.1%	310	3.4%	\$1,538	\$1,589	3.3%	
WI - Outlying	75.2%	91.8%	1660	22.1%	\$1,168	\$1,211	3.7%	
Wisconsin Average	89.0%	93.1%	410	4.7%	\$1,452	\$1,504	3.6%	
WV - Charleston	95.6%	89.6%	-599	-6.3%	\$1,074	\$1,201	11.8%	
WV - Outlying	96.6%	96.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,143	\$1,150	0.6%	
West Virginia Average	95.9%	92.4%	-349	-3.7%	\$1,091	\$1,189	9.0%	
DC - Washington	90.0%	92.4%	240	2.6%	\$2,282	\$2,271	-0.5%	
DE - Outlying	90.4%	94.3%	389	4.4%	\$1,665	\$1,730	3.9%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
HI - State of Hawaii	96.7%	95.1%	-160	-1.7%	\$2,490	\$2,651	6.4%
MT - State of Montana	81.6%	83.5%	190	2.3%	\$1,666	\$1,725	3.6%
NH - Concord	82.9%	91.8%	890	10.7%	\$1,917	\$2,052	7.1%
VT - Outlying	72.6%	93.9%	2129	29.4%	\$2,043	\$2,076	1.6%
WY - State of Wyoming	95.4%	90.4%	-499	-5.2%	\$1,294	\$1,418	9.6%
WY - Outlying	94.4%	94.5%	10	0.0%	\$1,292	\$1,291	-0.1%
National Average	88.6%	89.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,720	\$1,776	3.3%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Multifamily Specialist
1.800.643.6416 x 256
Robert@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Dianna Moreau

Senior Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 220
Dianna@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Nick Simmons

Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 233
Nicks@alndata.com

Cameron Reese

Senior Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 239
Cameron@alndata.com