

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Feb-25	Feb-26	bps	%CHG	Feb-25	Feb-26	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.9%	93.1%	120	1.3%	\$1,472	\$1,523	3.5%
AK - Outlying	89.1%	85.8%	-330	-3.7%	\$1,590	\$1,616	1.6%
Alaska Average	91.5%	91.9%	40	0.4%	\$1,501	\$1,546	3.0%
AL - Birmingham	86.6%	90.0%	340	4.0%	\$1,199	\$1,231	2.7%
AL - Huntsville	81.0%	85.3%	429	5.3%	\$1,171	\$1,157	-1.2%
AL - Mobile	88.4%	89.5%	110	1.3%	\$1,219	\$1,241	1.8%
AL - Montgomery	90.8%	94.2%	339	3.7%	\$1,019	\$1,077	5.7%
AL - Outlying	95.8%	94.2%	-160	-1.6%	\$875	\$903	3.1%
Alabama Average	85.9%	89.0%	310	3.6%	\$1,161	\$1,181	1.8%
AR - Little Rock	86.0%	89.6%	360	4.2%	\$1,008	\$1,032	2.4%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	84.7%	85.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,071	\$1,134	5.9%
AR - Outlying	94.3%	95.9%	160	1.7%	\$820	\$840	2.4%
Arkansas Average	86.2%	88.3%	210	2.5%	\$1,018	\$1,060	4.1%
AZ - Flagstaff	85.7%	91.0%	530	6.2%	\$1,818	\$1,770	-2.6%
AZ - Outlying	95.9%	94.5%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,168	\$1,139	-2.5%
AZ - Phoenix	84.8%	88.2%	340	4.0%	\$1,550	\$1,520	-1.9%
AZ - Tucson	88.4%	91.6%	320	3.6%	\$1,169	\$1,143	-2.2%
Arizona Average	85.5%	88.8%	330	3.9%	\$1,491	\$1,465	-1.8%
CA - Bakersfield	92.7%	94.7%	199	2.1%	\$1,488	\$1,505	1.1%
CA - Central Coast	93.3%	94.9%	159	1.8%	\$2,671	\$2,688	0.6%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.3%	95.2%	90	0.9%	\$1,524	\$1,569	2.9%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.4%	93.6%	220	2.4%	\$2,745	\$2,791	1.7%
CA - Outlying	95.7%	95.5%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,357	\$1,390	2.4%
CA - Sacramento	91.7%	93.1%	140	1.5%	\$1,919	\$1,913	-0.3%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	91.1%	92.7%	160	1.8%	\$2,208	\$2,228	0.9%
CA - San Diego	90.3%	92.4%	210	2.2%	\$2,757	\$2,781	0.9%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.2%	95.6%	539	6.0%	\$2,896	\$3,059	5.6%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	88.9%	93.9%	499	5.6%	\$3,167	\$3,352	5.8%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	88.9%	93.4%	450	5.1%	\$2,334	\$2,364	1.3%
CA - Stockton	95.2%	95.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,736	\$1,752	0.9%
California Average	91.1%	93.7%	260	2.8%	\$2,594	\$2,653	2.3%
CO - Boulder	88.1%	88.5%	40	0.5%	\$2,019	\$1,955	-3.2%
CO - Colorado Springs	82.5%	87.7%	520	6.3%	\$1,458	\$1,426	-2.2%
CO - Denver	84.6%	87.8%	320	3.8%	\$1,859	\$1,773	-4.6%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	84.4%	91.2%	680	8.1%	\$1,681	\$1,653	-1.7%
CO - Outlying	87.2%	84.9%	-230	-2.7%	\$1,844	\$1,932	4.8%
Colorado Average	84.0%	88.0%	400	4.8%	\$1,795	\$1,725	-3.9%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	85.5%	91.5%	600	7.1%	\$2,998	\$3,084	2.8%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.9%	90.0%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,880	\$1,963	4.5%
Connecticut Average	90.1%	90.5%	40	0.4%	\$2,214	\$2,303	4.0%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	88.5%	88.4%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,502	\$1,502	0.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	87.1%	91.7%	460	5.3%	\$2,426	\$2,463	1.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	78.9%	84.3%	539	6.9%	\$1,921	\$1,738	-9.5%
FL - Gainesville	90.5%	92.7%	220	2.4%	\$1,677	\$1,716	2.3%
FL - Jacksonville	83.7%	89.8%	610	7.3%	\$1,481	\$1,478	-0.2%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	78.0%	88.4%	1039	13.3%	\$1,549	\$1,568	1.2%
FL - Melbourne	85.2%	89.4%	420	5.0%	\$1,701	\$1,711	0.6%
FL - Miami	83.1%	88.8%	570	6.9%	\$2,558	\$2,626	2.6%
FL - Orlando	86.4%	90.3%	390	4.5%	\$1,769	\$1,750	-1.1%
FL - Palm Beach	88.4%	94.4%	599	6.7%	\$2,476	\$2,540	2.6%
FL - Pensacola	82.2%	87.7%	550	6.7%	\$1,589	\$1,576	-0.8%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	82.0%	85.9%	390	4.7%	\$1,926	\$1,828	-5.1%
FL - Tallahassee	88.9%	92.4%	350	3.9%	\$1,420	\$1,457	2.6%
FL - Tampa	86.4%	90.0%	360	4.3%	\$1,845	\$1,797	-2.6%
Florida Average	85.2%	89.8%	460	5.4%	\$1,925	\$1,915	-0.5%
GA - Albany	89.0%	91.5%	250	2.8%	\$1,019	\$1,044	2.5%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	83.4%	90.9%	750	9.1%	\$1,339	\$1,329	-0.7%
GA - Atlanta	84.9%	90.8%	590	7.0%	\$1,624	\$1,640	1.0%
GA - Augusta	87.9%	88.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,207	\$1,252	3.7%
GA - Columbus	89.7%	90.7%	100	1.2%	\$1,174	\$1,221	4.0%
GA - Macon	85.4%	89.2%	380	4.4%	\$1,141	\$1,207	5.8%
GA - Outlying	94.4%	94.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,729	\$1,619	-6.4%
GA - Savannah	81.0%	85.4%	439	5.4%	\$1,684	\$1,688	0.3%
Georgia Average	84.9%	90.3%	540	6.3%	\$1,564	\$1,581	1.1%
IA - Des Moines	87.7%	92.1%	440	5.0%	\$1,149	\$1,181	2.8%
IA - Outlying	95.2%	95.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,074	\$1,090	1.5%
Iowa Average	88.3%	92.4%	410	4.6%	\$1,143	\$1,174	2.7%
ID - Boise	82.3%	88.3%	600	7.2%	\$1,572	\$1,614	2.7%
ID - Outlying	95.6%	97.4%	180	1.9%	\$970	\$1,018	5.0%
Idaho Average	82.3%	88.6%	630	7.6%	\$1,547	\$1,590	2.8%
IL - Chicago	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.5%	\$2,041	\$2,154	5.5%
IL - Moline	88.6%	91.9%	330	3.7%	\$993	\$1,053	6.0%
IL - Outlying	93.0%	97.3%	429	4.7%	\$790	\$831	5.3%
IL - Springfield	93.9%	96.1%	220	2.4%	\$1,061	\$1,118	5.4%
Illinois Average	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.6%	\$1,912	\$2,017	5.5%
IN - Evansville	95.1%	94.0%	-110	-1.2%	\$998	\$1,038	4.0%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.7%	96.5%	180	1.9%	\$1,087	\$1,129	3.9%
IN - Indianapolis	90.0%	90.8%	80	0.8%	\$1,296	\$1,323	2.0%
IN - Outlying	97.4%	94.9%	-250	-2.6%	\$1,026	\$1,045	1.9%
IN - South Bend	94.8%	93.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,209	\$1,292	6.9%
Indiana Average	91.2%	91.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,250	\$1,284	2.7%
KS - Outlying	95.6%	96.2%	60	0.6%	\$723	\$762	5.4%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.8%	93.9%	-90	-0.9%	\$996	\$1,041	4.6%
KS - Wichita	91.5%	93.0%	150	1.6%	\$911	\$958	5.2%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
Kansas Average	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.6%	\$940	\$988	5.0%	
KY - Lexington	89.1%	92.0%	290	3.3%	\$1,218	\$1,250	2.6%	
KY - Louisville	88.7%	91.9%	320	3.6%	\$1,233	\$1,255	1.8%	
KY - Outlying	84.9%	91.8%	690	8.1%	\$856	\$898	4.9%	
Kentucky Average	88.7%	91.6%	290	3.2%	\$1,219	\$1,245	2.1%	
LA - Baton Rouge	89.4%	90.7%	130	1.5%	\$1,152	\$1,180	2.4%	
LA - Lake Charles	87.6%	90.9%	330	3.8%	\$992	\$1,138	14.8%	
LA - Monroe	91.1%	94.0%	289	3.2%	\$924	\$1,004	8.7%	
LA - New Orleans	89.0%	91.6%	260	2.9%	\$1,257	\$1,251	-0.5%	
LA - Outlying	86.0%	88.8%	280	3.3%	\$963	\$928	-3.7%	
LA - Shreveport	91.6%	93.1%	150	1.7%	\$1,016	\$1,059	4.3%	
Louisiana Average	89.4%	91.4%	200	2.3%	\$1,150	\$1,173	2.0%	
MA - Boston	90.1%	93.5%	340	3.8%	\$2,848	\$2,897	1.7%	
MA - Outlying	67.9%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$2,058	\$2,249	9.3%	
MA - Springfield	97.5%	96.4%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,691	\$1,721	1.8%	
Massachusetts Average	90.3%	93.5%	320	3.6%	\$2,802	\$2,853	1.8%	
MD - Baltimore	92.3%	94.3%	199	2.2%	\$1,723	\$1,743	1.2%	
MD - Outlying	91.3%	95.2%	389	4.2%	\$1,504	\$1,581	5.1%	
Maryland Average	92.3%	94.4%	209	2.3%	\$1,717	\$1,739	1.3%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	80.8%	92.1%	1130	14.1%	\$2,023	\$2,114	4.5%	
Maine Average	80.8%	92.1%	1130	14.1%	\$2,023	\$2,114	4.5%	
MI - Ann Arbor	93.8%	93.2%	-59	-0.6%	\$1,556	\$1,546	-0.7%	
MI - Detroit	92.8%	94.5%	169	1.7%	\$1,359	\$1,399	3.0%	
MI - Flint	94.3%	95.8%	150	1.6%	\$1,044	\$1,098	5.1%	
MI - Grand Rapids	92.1%	94.9%	279	3.1%	\$1,446	\$1,491	3.1%	
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	92.6%	95.0%	239	2.6%	\$1,170	\$1,222	4.4%	
MI - Lansing	95.5%	94.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,271	\$1,330	4.7%	
MI - Outlying	94.6%	92.3%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,098	\$1,181	7.5%	
Michigan Average	93.0%	94.3%	129	1.5%	\$1,335	\$1,378	3.2%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	88.9%	92.9%	400	4.5%	\$1,538	\$1,605	4.3%	
MN - Outlying	78.3%	90.1%	1180	15.1%	\$1,293	\$1,388	7.4%	
Minnesota Average	88.7%	92.9%	420	4.7%	\$1,531	\$1,598	4.4%	
MO - Columbia	96.1%	95.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,090	\$1,066	-2.2%	
MO - Kansas City	90.4%	91.7%	130	1.5%	\$1,352	\$1,410	4.3%	
MO - Outlying	91.6%	92.6%	100	1.1%	\$718	\$863	20.1%	
MO - Springfield	90.8%	94.5%	369	4.1%	\$957	\$1,002	4.7%	
MO - St. Louis	89.0%	91.6%	260	2.9%	\$1,334	\$1,373	2.9%	
Missouri Average	89.9%	91.9%	200	2.2%	\$1,309	\$1,358	3.7%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.7%	92.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,115	\$1,166	4.6%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	89.3%	92.5%	320	3.5%	\$1,156	\$1,196	3.5%	
MS - Outlying	94.2%	95.1%	90	0.9%	\$1,113	\$1,161	4.3%	
Mississippi Average	91.2%	93.1%	190	2.1%	\$1,134	\$1,179	4.0%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Asheville	87.2%	81.9%	-530	-6.1%	\$1,644	\$1,610	-2.0%
NC - Charlotte	83.5%	89.6%	610	7.3%	\$1,579	\$1,597	1.1%
NC - Fayetteville	90.9%	91.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,250	\$1,276	2.0%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.2%	92.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,250	\$1,271	1.7%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	92.0%	91.0%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,118	\$1,156	3.4%
NC - Outlying	91.2%	99.5%	829	9.1%	\$1,450	\$1,515	4.5%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	85.0%	90.3%	530	6.3%	\$1,539	\$1,546	0.5%
NC - Wilmington	82.3%	87.9%	560	6.8%	\$1,450	\$1,481	2.2%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.9%	89.9%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,216	\$1,226	0.8%
North Carolina Average	85.7%	89.8%	410	4.8%	\$1,486	\$1,501	1.0%
ND - Bismarck	96.7%	96.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,182	\$1,245	5.4%
ND - Fargo	88.8%	90.9%	210	2.3%	\$1,036	\$1,050	1.3%
ND - Outlying	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.2%	\$1,188	\$1,231	3.7%
North Dakota Average	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,113	\$1,142	2.6%
NE - Lincoln	87.4%	91.0%	360	4.1%	\$1,181	\$1,234	4.5%
NE - Omaha	92.8%	90.6%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,250	\$1,307	4.6%
NE - Outlying	93.4%	94.0%	59	0.7%	\$956	\$1,046	9.3%
Nebraska Average	91.5%	90.8%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,227	\$1,283	4.6%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.8%	92.8%	100	1.1%	\$2,158	\$2,262	4.8%
New Jersey Average	91.8%	92.8%	100	1.1%	\$2,158	\$2,262	4.8%
NM - Albuquerque	89.1%	89.2%	10	0.2%	\$1,395	\$1,375	-1.5%
NM - Outlying	95.0%	92.9%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,365	\$1,406	3.0%
New Mexico Average	89.7%	89.5%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,393	\$1,378	-1.1%
NV - Las Vegas	88.8%	92.0%	320	3.7%	\$1,472	\$1,457	-1.1%
NV - Outlying	94.0%	90.5%	-349	-3.8%	\$1,295	\$1,293	-0.1%
NV - Reno	86.3%	92.0%	570	6.6%	\$1,626	\$1,725	6.1%
Nevada Average	88.4%	92.0%	360	4.1%	\$1,499	\$1,504	0.3%
NY - Albany	91.7%	93.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,595	\$1,685	5.6%
NY - Buffalo	84.3%	92.5%	820	9.8%	\$1,401	\$1,447	3.3%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	90.3%	93.3%	300	3.3%	\$1,487	\$1,615	8.6%
NY - New York City	81.8%	90.1%	830	10.1%	\$3,278	\$3,421	4.4%
NY - Outlying	86.1%	94.6%	849	9.8%	\$1,291	\$1,285	-0.4%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.7%	96.8%	210	2.2%	\$1,893	\$1,979	4.5%
NY - Rochester	95.7%	96.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,448	\$1,534	5.9%
NY - Syracuse	94.7%	96.8%	210	2.2%	\$1,286	\$1,376	7.0%
New York Average	84.3%	90.9%	660	7.9%	\$2,899	\$3,037	4.8%
OH - Cincinnati	90.9%	92.8%	190	2.2%	\$1,386	\$1,459	5.2%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,211	\$1,280	5.8%
OH - Columbus	89.7%	91.5%	180	2.0%	\$1,345	\$1,376	2.2%
OH - Dayton	95.2%	94.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,149	\$1,207	5.1%
OH - Toledo	94.5%	94.6%	10	0.1%	\$979	\$1,017	3.9%
Ohio Average	91.3%	92.4%	110	1.2%	\$1,276	\$1,331	4.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
OK - Oklahoma City	90.5%	89.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,002	\$1,030	2.7%
OK - Outlying	93.5%	93.5%	0	0.0%	\$863	\$888	2.9%
OK - Tulsa	90.3%	91.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,017	\$1,043	2.5%
Oklahoma Average	90.4%	90.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,006	\$1,032	2.6%
OR - Central Oregon	91.0%	92.7%	170	1.8%	\$1,558	\$1,581	1.5%
OR - Outlying	94.8%	89.7%	-509	-5.4%	\$1,390	\$1,475	6.1%
OR - Portland	88.9%	93.4%	450	5.0%	\$1,756	\$1,752	-0.2%
Oregon Average	89.4%	93.2%	380	4.3%	\$1,716	\$1,716	0.0%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	90.5%	93.0%	250	2.8%	\$1,480	\$1,535	3.7%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.7%	94.7%	99	1.1%	\$1,692	\$1,745	3.1%
PA - Outlying	93.0%	95.3%	229	2.5%	\$1,373	\$1,439	4.8%
PA - Philadelphia	88.9%	92.5%	360	4.1%	\$1,829	\$1,877	2.6%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.3%	92.5%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,441	\$1,501	4.2%
PA - State College/Altoona	94.4%	97.5%	310	3.2%	\$1,433	\$1,512	5.5%
Pennsylvania Average	90.2%	92.8%	260	2.9%	\$1,708	\$1,759	3.0%
RI - Providence	94.4%	94.6%	20	0.2%	\$2,074	\$2,137	3.1%
Rhode Island Average	94.4%	94.6%	20	0.2%	\$2,074	\$2,137	3.1%
SC - Charleston	83.7%	91.9%	820	9.8%	\$1,797	\$1,855	3.2%
SC - Columbia	90.1%	91.0%	90	0.9%	\$1,330	\$1,339	0.6%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	87.4%	91.7%	430	4.9%	\$1,364	\$1,392	2.0%
SC - Myrtle Beach	74.0%	85.8%	1180	15.9%	\$1,564	\$1,588	1.5%
SC - Outlying	95.5%	88.3%	-719	-7.6%	\$1,234	\$1,292	4.7%
South Carolina Average	85.4%	91.0%	560	6.6%	\$1,524	\$1,559	2.3%
SD - Outlying	98.3%	98.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,007	\$1,066	5.8%
SD - Rapid City	70.4%	86.5%	1610	22.9%	\$1,267	\$1,251	-1.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	88.9%	86.5%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,079	\$1,097	1.7%
South Dakota Average	85.8%	87.7%	190	2.2%	\$1,113	\$1,130	1.5%
TN - Chattanooga	82.6%	90.3%	770	9.4%	\$1,385	\$1,383	-0.2%
TN - Knoxville	91.5%	92.9%	140	1.5%	\$1,480	\$1,484	0.3%
TN - Memphis	88.1%	88.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,178	\$1,186	0.7%
TN - Nashville	84.9%	88.7%	380	4.5%	\$1,617	\$1,619	0.2%
TN - Outlying	95.5%	89.7%	-579	-6.1%	\$1,150	\$1,196	4.0%
Tennessee Average	86.4%	89.2%	280	3.2%	\$1,459	\$1,467	0.5%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	86.8%	88.4%	160	1.9%	\$1,508	\$1,490	-1.1%
TX - Greater Dallas	87.6%	89.3%	170	2.0%	\$1,552	\$1,534	-1.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.9%	87.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,410	\$1,396	-1.0%
TX - Abilene	94.8%	96.6%	180	1.9%	\$1,006	\$1,207	19.9%
TX - Amarillo	87.1%	89.4%	230	2.7%	\$948	\$995	5.0%
TX - Austin	84.2%	86.9%	270	3.2%	\$1,487	\$1,424	-4.3%
TX - Beaumont	90.8%	92.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,048	\$1,091	4.1%
TX - College Station	92.2%	92.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,560	\$1,565	0.3%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.8%	88.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,147	\$1,118	-2.6%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TX - El Paso	88.8%	92.1%	330	3.7%	\$1,089	\$1,116	2.4%	
TX - Houston	87.8%	88.3%	50	0.6%	\$1,335	\$1,323	-0.9%	
TX - Laredo	94.5%	92.3%	-219	-2.4%	\$1,130	\$1,124	-0.5%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	87.0%	91.4%	440	5.0%	\$1,054	\$1,058	0.4%	
TX - Lubbock	86.0%	90.3%	430	5.0%	\$935	\$935	0.0%	
TX - Lufkin	89.0%	90.5%	150	1.7%	\$947	\$996	5.2%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.4%	91.6%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,390	\$1,394	0.3%	
TX - Outlying	92.0%	92.9%	90	1.0%	\$1,030	\$1,027	-0.2%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	89.4%	87.6%	-180	-2.1%	\$994	\$1,017	2.4%	
TX - San Angelo	91.4%	92.3%	90	1.0%	\$1,057	\$1,062	0.5%	
TX - San Antonio	83.9%	85.6%	170	2.1%	\$1,231	\$1,185	-3.7%	
TX - Texarkana	91.3%	92.7%	140	1.5%	\$889	\$910	2.3%	
TX - Tyler	85.3%	90.8%	550	6.4%	\$1,163	\$1,196	2.9%	
TX - Victoria	94.3%	93.1%	-119	-1.2%	\$1,027	\$1,060	3.2%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	85.1%	87.6%	250	3.0%	\$1,153	\$1,119	-2.9%	
TX - Wichita Falls	84.4%	92.8%	840	9.9%	\$860	\$901	4.7%	
Texas Average	86.6%	88.2%	160	1.8%	\$1,378	\$1,359	-1.4%	
UT - Ogden/Logan	90.9%	88.7%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,462	\$1,446	-1.1%	
UT - Outlying	86.2%	88.5%	230	2.7%	\$1,564	\$1,613	3.1%	
UT - Provo/Orem	82.9%	82.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,515	\$1,614	6.5%	
UT - Salt Lake City	83.8%	87.7%	390	4.6%	\$1,563	\$1,554	-0.6%	
Utah Average	85.1%	87.0%	190	2.3%	\$1,538	\$1,544	0.4%	
VA - Norfolk	92.1%	94.9%	279	3.1%	\$1,549	\$1,636	5.6%	
VA - Outlying	96.7%	90.4%	-629	-6.5%	\$1,166	\$1,253	7.5%	
VA - Richmond	89.6%	93.3%	370	4.0%	\$1,588	\$1,675	5.4%	
VA - Roanoke	95.3%	95.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,234	\$1,274	3.2%	
Virginia Average	91.3%	94.2%	289	3.2%	\$1,539	\$1,622	5.4%	
WA - Olympia	89.4%	91.1%	170	1.9%	\$1,700	\$1,738	2.2%	
WA - Outlying	84.0%	88.2%	420	5.0%	\$1,204	\$1,111	-7.7%	
WA - SE Washington	93.0%	89.9%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,424	\$1,400	-1.7%	
WA - Seattle	89.8%	93.8%	399	4.4%	\$2,149	\$2,161	0.6%	
WA - Spokane	87.5%	93.6%	610	7.0%	\$1,389	\$1,429	2.8%	
Washington Average	89.7%	93.4%	370	4.1%	\$2,027	\$2,036	0.4%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	91.1%	95.1%	399	4.5%	\$1,071	\$1,124	4.9%	
WI - Madison	87.5%	90.5%	300	3.4%	\$1,531	\$1,595	4.1%	
WI - Milwaukee	90.6%	94.3%	369	4.2%	\$1,519	\$1,574	3.7%	
WI - Outlying	69.0%	88.6%	1960	28.4%	\$1,148	\$1,206	5.1%	
Wisconsin Average	88.5%	92.8%	430	4.9%	\$1,434	\$1,493	4.1%	
WV - Charleston	95.6%	92.3%	-329	-3.5%	\$1,087	\$1,186	9.1%	
WV - Outlying	98.2%	97.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,063	\$1,140	7.3%	
West Virginia Average	96.6%	93.9%	-270	-2.7%	\$1,081	\$1,175	8.7%	
DC - Washington	90.0%	93.5%	350	3.9%	\$2,252	\$2,272	0.9%	

OVERALL MARKET								
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
DE - Outlying	90.5%	92.5%	200	2.2%	\$1,633	\$1,726	5.7%	
HI - State of Hawaii	92.8%	97.1%	429	4.7%	\$2,466	\$2,605	5.7%	
MT - State of Montana	80.8%	83.0%	219	2.8%	\$1,632	\$1,689	3.5%	
NH - Concord	84.9%	96.2%	1130	13.3%	\$1,887	\$2,084	10.4%	
VT - Outlying	74.0%	85.6%	1160	15.7%	\$2,029	\$2,068	1.9%	
WY - State of Wyoming	93.7%	90.0%	-370	-3.9%	\$1,274	\$1,420	11.5%	
National Average	87.9%	90.9%	300	3.4%	\$1,738	\$1,763	1.5%	
National Average	88.6%	89.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,720	\$1,776	3.3%	

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



ALN Monthly Newsletter with Market News, Stats and Commentary



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

Jackie@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Multifamily Specialist

1.800.643.6416 x 256

Robert@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

Dianna Moreau

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 220

Dianna@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Nick Simmons

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 233

Nicks@alndata.com

Cameron Reese

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 239

Cameron@alndata.com