

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-25	May-26	bps	%CHG	May-25	May-26	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	92.0%	91.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,505	\$1,529	1.6%
AK - Outlying	91.4%	87.5%	-390	-4.3%	\$1,638	\$1,667	1.8%
Alaska Average	91.9%	91.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,537	\$1,562	1.7%
AL - Birmingham	89.5%	90.1%	60	0.7%	\$1,220	\$1,236	1.3%
AL - Huntsville	81.9%	85.2%	330	4.0%	\$1,158	\$1,145	-1.1%
AL - Mobile	90.4%	88.1%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,228	\$1,265	3.1%
AL - Montgomery	92.8%	88.4%	-440	-4.7%	\$1,029	\$1,089	5.8%
AL - Outlying	94.7%	95.1%	40	0.5%	\$902	\$923	2.3%
Alabama Average	87.9%	88.2%	30	0.3%	\$1,169	\$1,186	1.4%
AR - Little Rock	88.3%	90.8%	250	2.8%	\$1,021	\$1,034	1.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	84.0%	88.7%	470	5.5%	\$1,113	\$1,134	1.9%
AR - Outlying	94.3%	95.3%	100	1.0%	\$834	\$857	2.8%
Arkansas Average	86.8%	90.2%	340	3.9%	\$1,043	\$1,063	1.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	87.3%	91.6%	430	4.9%	\$1,840	\$1,788	-2.8%
AZ - Outlying	94.4%	92.4%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,159	\$1,161	0.2%
AZ - Phoenix	85.4%	88.9%	350	4.1%	\$1,553	\$1,513	-2.5%
AZ - Tucson	89.9%	90.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,171	\$1,148	-2.0%
Arizona Average	86.0%	89.2%	320	3.7%	\$1,494	\$1,461	-2.2%
CA - Bakersfield	92.3%	94.5%	219	2.4%	\$1,492	\$1,539	3.2%
CA - Central Coast	94.1%	93.5%	-59	-0.7%	\$2,670	\$2,682	0.4%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.6%	95.6%	100	1.0%	\$1,540	\$1,572	2.1%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.9%	92.7%	80	1.0%	\$2,766	\$2,801	1.2%
CA - Outlying	96.0%	94.5%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,390	\$1,397	0.5%
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	93.7%	160	1.7%	\$1,942	\$1,919	-1.2%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.0%	92.8%	80	0.9%	\$2,224	\$2,234	0.4%
CA - San Diego	92.1%	92.2%	10	0.1%	\$2,769	\$2,795	1.0%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.6%	94.6%	299	3.3%	\$2,973	\$3,128	5.2%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	90.6%	94.2%	359	4.1%	\$3,231	\$3,426	6.0%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	91.0%	92.5%	150	1.6%	\$2,361	\$2,374	0.6%
CA - Stockton	95.4%	93.4%	-199	-2.0%	\$1,757	\$1,768	0.6%
California Average	92.0%	93.3%	130	1.4%	\$2,624	\$2,676	2.0%
CO - Boulder	90.1%	88.7%	-140	-1.5%	\$2,040	\$1,992	-2.4%
CO - Colorado Springs	83.7%	88.8%	510	6.0%	\$1,463	\$1,433	-2.1%
CO - Denver	85.1%	88.7%	360	4.3%	\$1,869	\$1,786	-4.5%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	84.5%	93.2%	870	10.3%	\$1,738	\$1,715	-1.3%
CO - Outlying	85.6%	90.9%	530	6.3%	\$1,860	\$1,947	4.7%
Colorado Average	85.1%	89.1%	400	4.7%	\$1,808	\$1,741	-3.7%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	83.0%	90.0%	700	8.4%	\$3,026	\$3,068	1.4%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.3%	91.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,904	\$1,970	3.5%
Connecticut Average	88.5%	90.8%	230	2.6%	\$2,252	\$2,302	2.2%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	87.3%	90.6%	330	3.8%	\$1,539	\$1,504	-2.3%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	88.6%	91.2%	260	2.9%	\$2,450	\$2,460	0.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	79.2%	84.0%	479	6.0%	\$1,900	\$1,746	-8.1%
FL - Gainesville	91.2%	90.2%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,713	\$1,734	1.3%
FL - Jacksonville	85.5%	89.1%	360	4.1%	\$1,501	\$1,478	-1.5%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	77.1%	88.9%	1180	15.3%	\$1,572	\$1,539	-2.1%
FL - Melbourne	85.2%	89.7%	450	5.3%	\$1,730	\$1,716	-0.8%
FL - Miami	84.2%	91.6%	740	8.8%	\$2,589	\$2,630	1.6%
FL - Orlando	86.7%	90.3%	360	4.2%	\$1,793	\$1,750	-2.4%
FL - Palm Beach	89.6%	93.9%	429	4.8%	\$2,483	\$2,530	1.9%
FL - Pensacola	84.8%	88.8%	400	4.7%	\$1,587	\$1,603	1.0%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	81.3%	85.8%	450	5.5%	\$1,904	\$1,817	-4.6%
FL - Tallahassee	89.1%	92.0%	290	3.2%	\$1,451	\$1,444	-0.5%
FL - Tampa	87.7%	89.9%	220	2.4%	\$1,860	\$1,790	-3.8%
Florida Average	86.0%	89.8%	380	4.4%	\$1,942	\$1,911	-1.6%
GA - Albany	87.8%	91.6%	380	4.4%	\$1,034	\$1,036	0.3%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	87.4%	93.1%	570	6.5%	\$1,338	\$1,324	-1.1%
GA - Atlanta	86.7%	90.2%	350	4.0%	\$1,637	\$1,644	0.4%
GA - Augusta	90.7%	88.0%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,236	\$1,270	2.7%
GA - Columbus	88.3%	92.6%	430	4.9%	\$1,199	\$1,232	2.7%
GA - Macon	87.1%	87.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,164	\$1,198	2.9%
GA - Outlying	95.3%	92.7%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,687	\$1,564	-7.3%
GA - Savannah	81.4%	86.0%	460	5.8%	\$1,704	\$1,661	-2.5%
Georgia Average	86.5%	89.9%	340	3.9%	\$1,579	\$1,584	0.3%
IA - Des Moines	89.1%	91.7%	260	2.9%	\$1,166	\$1,187	1.8%
IA - Outlying	96.5%	94.1%	-240	-2.5%	\$1,099	\$1,083	-1.5%
Iowa Average	89.7%	91.9%	220	2.4%	\$1,161	\$1,179	1.6%
ID - Boise	84.0%	90.1%	610	7.3%	\$1,602	\$1,655	3.3%
ID - Outlying	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.4%	\$965	\$1,017	5.4%
Idaho Average	84.3%	90.2%	590	7.0%	\$1,575	\$1,630	3.5%
IL - Chicago	93.1%	93.9%	79	0.8%	\$2,105	\$2,196	4.3%
IL - Moline	90.6%	93.5%	290	3.2%	\$1,004	\$1,068	6.4%
IL - Outlying	89.4%	94.5%	509	5.8%	\$812	\$861	6.1%
IL - Springfield	93.1%	95.0%	189	2.0%	\$1,087	\$1,143	5.2%
Illinois Average	92.9%	93.8%	89	1.0%	\$1,970	\$2,055	4.3%
IN - Evansville	95.8%	94.2%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,009	\$1,050	4.0%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.1%	94.2%	10	0.0%	\$1,085	\$1,152	6.2%
IN - Indianapolis	90.9%	90.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,320	\$1,328	0.6%
IN - Outlying	95.7%	96.1%	40	0.4%	\$1,027	\$1,112	8.3%
IN - South Bend	96.0%	93.6%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,226	\$1,293	5.5%
Indiana Average	91.9%	91.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,270	\$1,292	1.8%
KS - Outlying	98.3%	98.8%	50	0.6%	\$727	\$762	4.8%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.6%	94.0%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,019	\$1,060	4.0%
KS - Wichita	91.6%	92.0%	40	0.4%	\$930	\$980	5.3%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
Kansas Average	92.3%	92.9%	60	0.6%	\$961	\$1,006	4.7%	
KY - Lexington	90.2%	92.2%	200	2.3%	\$1,236	\$1,268	2.6%	
KY - Louisville	90.0%	90.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,257	\$1,263	0.5%	
KY - Outlying	91.5%	90.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$867	\$898	3.6%	
Kentucky Average	90.0%	91.2%	120	1.3%	\$1,242	\$1,256	1.1%	
LA - Baton Rouge	89.5%	89.8%	30	0.3%	\$1,180	\$1,190	0.9%	
LA - Lake Charles	83.6%	93.2%	960	11.5%	\$1,025	\$1,239	20.9%	
LA - Monroe	93.4%	94.4%	99	1.0%	\$939	\$1,021	8.8%	
LA - New Orleans	91.5%	89.5%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,282	\$1,260	-1.7%	
LA - Outlying	92.1%	91.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$968	\$942	-2.7%	
LA - Shreveport	92.4%	93.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,050	\$1,094	4.1%	
Louisiana Average	90.4%	90.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,176	\$1,191	1.3%	
MA - Boston	91.2%	92.7%	150	1.7%	\$2,903	\$2,916	0.4%	
MA - Springfield	97.8%	96.3%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,730	\$1,766	2.1%	
Massachusetts Average	91.2%	92.8%	160	1.7%	\$2,858	\$2,873	0.5%	
MD - Baltimore	93.8%	93.6%	-19	-0.1%	\$1,741	\$1,765	1.4%	
MD - Outlying	93.3%	96.4%	309	3.4%	\$1,521	\$1,597	5.0%	
Maryland Average	93.8%	93.7%	-9	0.0%	\$1,735	\$1,760	1.5%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	86.8%	94.8%	799	9.2%	\$2,082	\$2,151	3.3%	
Maine Average	86.8%	94.8%	799	9.2%	\$2,082	\$2,151	3.3%	
MI - Ann Arbor	93.4%	94.0%	59	0.6%	\$1,610	\$1,632	1.4%	
MI - Detroit	93.0%	93.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,389	\$1,413	1.7%	
MI - Flint	95.9%	94.8%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,068	\$1,105	3.5%	
MI - Grand Rapids	93.8%	94.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,485	\$1,523	2.6%	
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.1%	93.0%	-109	-1.1%	\$1,193	\$1,248	4.6%	
MI - Lansing	94.2%	94.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,302	\$1,349	3.6%	
MI - Outlying	94.2%	95.0%	80	0.8%	\$1,135	\$1,194	5.2%	
Michigan Average	93.4%	93.9%	49	0.6%	\$1,367	\$1,400	2.4%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	90.0%	92.9%	290	3.3%	\$1,575	\$1,625	3.2%	
MN - Outlying	82.6%	90.4%	780	9.4%	\$1,305	\$1,394	6.8%	
Minnesota Average	89.6%	92.9%	330	3.6%	\$1,566	\$1,618	3.3%	
MO - Columbia	97.5%	96.5%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,062	\$1,084	2.1%	
MO - Kansas City	90.6%	91.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,392	\$1,425	2.4%	
MO - Outlying	70.4%	84.6%	1420	20.2%	\$831	\$841	1.2%	
MO - Springfield	94.4%	94.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$981	\$1,009	2.9%	
MO - St. Louis	90.3%	91.3%	100	1.0%	\$1,352	\$1,390	2.8%	
Missouri Average	90.3%	91.4%	110	1.2%	\$1,338	\$1,373	2.6%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	94.3%	90.2%	-409	-4.4%	\$1,124	\$1,152	2.5%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	90.3%	93.2%	290	3.3%	\$1,181	\$1,214	2.8%	
MS - Outlying	94.3%	94.5%	20	0.2%	\$1,118	\$1,168	4.5%	
Mississippi Average	92.3%	92.8%	50	0.6%	\$1,149	\$1,186	3.1%	
NC - Asheville	86.4%	84.0%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,626	\$1,579	-2.9%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Charlotte	84.7%	88.4%	370	4.4%	\$1,602	\$1,592	-0.6%
NC - Fayetteville	92.8%	90.5%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,264	\$1,272	0.7%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.4%	91.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,269	\$1,276	0.5%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	95.7%	89.0%	-669	-7.0%	\$1,136	\$1,149	1.2%
NC - Outlying	91.2%	97.7%	649	7.2%	\$1,466	\$1,515	3.4%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	85.7%	90.0%	430	5.0%	\$1,556	\$1,543	-0.8%
NC - Wilmington	83.2%	88.2%	500	6.0%	\$1,484	\$1,515	2.1%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.8%	90.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,219	\$1,243	1.9%
North Carolina Average	86.4%	89.2%	280	3.2%	\$1,505	\$1,501	-0.2%
ND - Bismarck	96.8%	94.9%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,215	\$1,247	2.6%
ND - Fargo	90.6%	93.5%	290	3.3%	\$1,034	\$1,054	1.9%
ND - Outlying	94.2%	93.0%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,210	\$1,218	0.7%
North Dakota Average	93.0%	93.5%	50	0.6%	\$1,124	\$1,139	1.4%
NE - Lincoln	91.0%	91.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,213	\$1,255	3.5%
NE - Omaha	92.7%	91.2%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,287	\$1,328	3.2%
NE - Outlying	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.8%	\$1,004	\$1,079	7.4%
Nebraska Average	92.3%	91.2%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,263	\$1,305	3.3%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	90.0%	93.9%	389	4.4%	\$2,231	\$2,288	2.5%
New Jersey Average	90.0%	93.9%	389	4.4%	\$2,231	\$2,288	2.5%
NM - Albuquerque	88.9%	90.2%	130	1.6%	\$1,403	\$1,387	-1.2%
NM - Outlying	92.6%	96.4%	379	4.1%	\$1,375	\$1,423	3.5%
New Mexico Average	89.2%	90.7%	150	1.8%	\$1,401	\$1,391	-0.7%
NV - Las Vegas	90.5%	90.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,489	\$1,444	-3.0%
NV - Outlying	95.0%	92.1%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,287	\$1,279	-0.6%
NV - Reno	86.9%	94.1%	719	8.3%	\$1,669	\$1,751	4.9%
Nevada Average	90.0%	90.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,521	\$1,498	-1.5%
NY - Albany	91.0%	93.8%	279	3.0%	\$1,625	\$1,695	4.3%
NY - Buffalo	85.9%	93.1%	720	8.4%	\$1,430	\$1,460	2.1%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	90.6%	92.9%	230	2.6%	\$1,561	\$1,653	5.9%
NY - New York City	82.9%	91.8%	890	10.7%	\$3,349	\$3,454	3.1%
NY - Outlying	82.6%	94.6%	1200	14.5%	\$1,244	\$1,256	0.9%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	95.1%	95.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,910	\$2,013	5.4%
NY - Rochester	96.7%	95.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,505	\$1,562	3.8%
NY - Syracuse	96.3%	96.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,327	\$1,414	6.5%
New York Average	85.1%	92.4%	730	8.6%	\$2,964	\$3,069	3.6%
OH - Cincinnati	91.6%	92.3%	70	0.7%	\$1,421	\$1,465	3.1%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.7%	92.8%	10	0.1%	\$1,236	\$1,308	5.8%
OH - Columbus	90.1%	90.9%	80	0.9%	\$1,379	\$1,392	0.9%
OH - Dayton	94.4%	93.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,178	\$1,219	3.5%
OH - Outlying	98.0%	90.0%	-799	-8.1%	\$893	\$1,062	18.9%
OH - Toledo	93.4%	95.6%	219	2.3%	\$989	\$1,037	4.8%
Ohio Average	91.5%	92.1%	60	0.7%	\$1,306	\$1,348	3.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
OK - Oklahoma City	90.2%	90.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,012	\$1,027	1.4%
OK - Outlying	91.7%	91.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$866	\$902	4.2%
OK - Tulsa	89.2%	91.9%	270	3.0%	\$1,037	\$1,044	0.7%
Oklahoma Average	89.7%	91.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,019	\$1,031	1.1%
OR - Central Oregon	93.4%	92.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,568	\$1,572	0.3%
OR - Outlying	95.0%	95.9%	90	0.9%	\$1,397	\$1,481	6.0%
OR - Portland	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.4%	\$1,771	\$1,752	-1.1%
Oregon Average	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,730	\$1,714	-0.9%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	91.9%	93.9%	199	2.2%	\$1,514	\$1,559	2.9%
PA - Lehigh Valley	92.9%	95.0%	209	2.3%	\$1,730	\$1,777	2.7%
PA - Outlying	94.6%	94.6%	0	0.0%	\$1,411	\$1,448	2.6%
PA - Philadelphia	90.3%	94.0%	369	4.1%	\$1,860	\$1,900	2.2%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.6%	92.6%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,464	\$1,512	3.3%
PA - State College/Altoona	95.7%	96.4%	70	0.8%	\$1,499	\$1,525	1.7%
Pennsylvania Average	91.4%	93.9%	249	2.7%	\$1,740	\$1,781	2.3%
RI - Providence	95.3%	94.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,129	\$2,166	1.7%
Rhode Island Average	95.3%	94.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,129	\$2,166	1.7%
SC - Charleston	85.2%	91.3%	610	7.2%	\$1,843	\$1,873	1.6%
SC - Columbia	91.4%	90.1%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,335	\$1,345	0.7%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	88.3%	91.8%	350	4.0%	\$1,386	\$1,385	-0.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	78.7%	86.7%	799	10.2%	\$1,586	\$1,563	-1.5%
SC - Outlying	90.3%	91.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,284	\$1,337	4.1%
South Carolina Average	86.7%	90.8%	410	4.7%	\$1,552	\$1,561	0.6%
SD - Outlying	98.9%	92.5%	-639	-6.5%	\$1,019	\$1,075	5.4%
SD - Rapid City	88.8%	87.4%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,279	\$1,285	0.5%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.7%	89.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,071	\$1,121	4.6%
South Dakota Average	90.5%	89.4%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,112	\$1,154	3.8%
TN - Chattanooga	84.6%	90.8%	620	7.3%	\$1,390	\$1,386	-0.3%
TN - Knoxville	92.3%	91.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,512	\$1,487	-1.7%
TN - Memphis	89.3%	88.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,192	\$1,188	-0.3%
TN - Nashville	86.6%	89.6%	300	3.4%	\$1,629	\$1,614	-0.9%
TN - Outlying	95.9%	89.8%	-609	-6.4%	\$1,161	\$1,213	4.5%
Tennessee Average	87.8%	89.6%	180	2.1%	\$1,475	\$1,465	-0.7%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	87.4%	89.0%	160	1.9%	\$1,520	\$1,487	-2.2%
TX - Greater Dallas	88.2%	90.0%	180	2.0%	\$1,564	\$1,531	-2.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.9%	87.8%	90	1.0%	\$1,422	\$1,395	-1.9%
TX - Abilene	92.9%	97.6%	469	5.0%	\$1,090	\$1,292	18.6%
TX - Amarillo	90.0%	92.4%	240	2.7%	\$959	\$1,019	6.2%
TX - Austin	84.0%	88.1%	410	5.0%	\$1,496	\$1,417	-5.3%
TX - Beaumont	93.1%	92.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,068	\$1,098	2.8%
TX - College Station	91.4%	87.5%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,569	\$1,596	1.7%
TX - Corpus Christi	88.5%	89.2%	70	0.8%	\$1,157	\$1,141	-1.4%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TX - El Paso	90.2%	92.8%	260	3.0%	\$1,088	\$1,111	2.1%	
TX - Houston	88.1%	89.0%	90	1.0%	\$1,341	\$1,319	-1.6%	
TX - Laredo	96.0%	91.7%	-429	-4.5%	\$1,138	\$1,155	1.5%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	89.4%	92.0%	260	2.9%	\$1,069	\$1,069	0.0%	
TX - Lubbock	87.4%	90.5%	310	3.5%	\$943	\$952	1.0%	
TX - Lufkin	87.5%	89.9%	240	2.8%	\$968	\$995	2.8%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	94.1%	90.4%	-369	-4.0%	\$1,449	\$1,397	-3.6%	
TX - Outlying	93.6%	93.7%	10	0.0%	\$1,044	\$1,016	-2.7%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	87.9%	89.2%	130	1.5%	\$999	\$1,023	2.4%	
TX - San Angelo	91.1%	93.8%	269	3.0%	\$1,068	\$1,098	2.8%	
TX - San Antonio	84.3%	86.5%	220	2.6%	\$1,248	\$1,181	-5.3%	
TX - Texarkana	93.9%	92.9%	-99	-1.1%	\$918	\$920	0.2%	
TX - Tyler	88.2%	88.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,214	\$1,202	-1.0%	
TX - Victoria	95.1%	94.4%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,060	\$1,060	0.0%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	87.5%	88.7%	120	1.4%	\$1,157	\$1,132	-2.1%	
TX - Wichita Falls	87.0%	92.2%	520	5.9%	\$874	\$907	3.8%	
Texas Average	87.1%	88.9%	180	2.0%	\$1,390	\$1,357	-2.4%	
UT - Ogden/Logan	91.2%	90.6%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,473	\$1,468	-0.3%	
UT - Outlying	85.4%	89.4%	400	4.6%	\$1,632	\$1,589	-2.7%	
UT - Provo/Orem	83.5%	81.0%	-249	-3.0%	\$1,567	\$1,623	3.6%	
UT - Salt Lake City	83.1%	89.2%	610	7.5%	\$1,592	\$1,571	-1.3%	
Utah Average	84.4%	88.4%	400	4.8%	\$1,568	\$1,560	-0.5%	
VA - Norfolk	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.8%	\$1,583	\$1,665	5.2%	
VA - Outlying	96.7%	97.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,248	\$1,284	2.9%	
VA - Richmond	90.2%	92.3%	210	2.4%	\$1,678	\$1,681	0.1%	
VA - Roanoke	94.8%	95.0%	20	0.2%	\$1,259	\$1,286	2.1%	
Virginia Average	92.0%	93.8%	179	1.9%	\$1,597	\$1,639	2.6%	
WA - Olympia	89.3%	91.1%	180	2.0%	\$1,720	\$1,735	0.8%	
WA - Outlying	83.8%	90.1%	630	7.5%	\$1,188	\$1,176	-1.0%	
WA - SE Washington	93.4%	88.5%	-490	-5.2%	\$1,419	\$1,399	-1.4%	
WA - Seattle	91.9%	92.9%	100	1.1%	\$2,173	\$2,179	0.3%	
WA - Spokane	89.0%	92.9%	390	4.4%	\$1,427	\$1,437	0.7%	
Washington Average	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.2%	\$2,050	\$2,050	0.0%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	92.9%	94.6%	169	1.9%	\$1,093	\$1,141	4.4%	
WI - Madison	88.1%	91.2%	310	3.6%	\$1,571	\$1,611	2.5%	
WI - Milwaukee	90.2%	93.4%	320	3.6%	\$1,556	\$1,600	2.8%	
WI - Outlying	77.1%	95.9%	1879	24.5%	\$1,174	\$1,210	3.1%	
Wisconsin Average	88.9%	92.9%	400	4.6%	\$1,470	\$1,513	3.0%	
WV - Charleston	96.2%	91.4%	-479	-5.0%	\$1,093	\$1,210	10.8%	
WV - Outlying	97.3%	96.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,128	\$1,149	1.9%	
West Virginia Average	96.5%	93.0%	-349	-3.6%	\$1,101	\$1,196	8.6%	
DC - Washington	91.0%	92.5%	150	1.6%	\$2,287	\$2,273	-0.6%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
DE - Outlying	90.5%	94.1%	359	3.9%	\$1,678	\$1,740	3.7%	
HI - State of Hawaii	96.3%	95.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,500	\$2,681	7.2%	
MT - State of Montana	81.5%	83.5%	200	2.4%	\$1,692	\$1,762	4.1%	
NH - Concord	82.9%	93.0%	1010	12.2%	\$1,936	\$2,073	7.1%	
VT - Outlying	72.6%	94.7%	2209	30.4%	\$2,027	\$2,033	0.3%	
WY - State of Wyoming	94.2%	90.3%	-389	-4.2%	\$1,298	\$1,425	9.8%	
National Average	88.8%	91.0%	220	2.4%	\$1,763	\$1,773	0.5%	

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



ALN Monthly Newsletter with Market News, Stats and Commentary



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Nick Simmons

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 233

Nicks@alndata.com

Cameron Reese

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 239

Cameron@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

Dianna Moreau

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 220

Dianna@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Multifamily Specialist

1.800.643.6416 x 256

Robert@alndata.com