

	Overall Market						
	Occupancy		Change		Effective Rent		
	Jan-25	Jan-26	bps	%Chg	Jan-25	Jan-26	%Chg
AK - Anchorage	90.6%	91.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,482	\$1,531	3.4%
AK - Outlying	89.1%	85.8%	-330	-3.6%	\$1,592	\$1,607	0.9%
Alaska Average	90.4%	90.7%	30	0.4%	\$1,508	\$1,550	2.7%
AL - Birmingham	87.0%	90.6%	360	4.2%	\$1,204	\$1,232	2.4%
AL - Huntsville	80.9%	86.3%	539	6.8%	\$1,167	\$1,159	-0.6%
AL - Mobile	89.4%	89.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,211	\$1,243	2.7%
AL - Montgomery	90.6%	93.8%	319	3.6%	\$1,022	\$1,074	5.1%
AL - Outlying	95.6%	94.2%	-140	-1.4%	\$872	\$906	3.8%
Alabama Average	86.3%	89.5%	320	3.8%	\$1,160	\$1,183	1.9%
AR - Little Rock	86.5%	89.7%	320	3.8%	\$1,016	\$1,029	1.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	85.4%	84.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,070	\$1,140	6.5%
AR - Outlying	94.2%	95.7%	150	1.5%	\$819	\$840	2.6%
Arkansas Average	86.7%	87.8%	110	1.3%	\$1,021	\$1,060	3.8%
AZ - Flagstaff	88.6%	89.8%	120	1.4%	\$1,772	\$1,784	0.7%
AZ - Outlying	95.6%	94.1%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,162	\$1,138	-2.1%
AZ - Phoenix	84.2%	87.9%	370	4.3%	\$1,548	\$1,523	-1.6%
AZ - Tucson	88.5%	91.2%	270	3.0%	\$1,166	\$1,139	-2.3%
Arizona Average	85.0%	88.5%	350	4.1%	\$1,488	\$1,466	-1.5%
CA - Bakersfield	92.5%	93.8%	129	1.4%	\$1,488	\$1,500	0.8%
CA - Central Coast	93.0%	94.8%	179	1.9%	\$2,654	\$2,675	0.8%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.8%	94.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,520	\$1,566	3.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.2%	92.9%	170	1.9%	\$2,744	\$2,795	1.9%
CA - Outlying	95.7%	95.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,352	\$1,382	2.2%
CA - Sacramento	91.8%	92.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,919	\$1,907	-0.7%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	90.9%	91.7%	80	0.9%	\$2,196	\$2,220	1.1%
CA - San Diego	91.2%	91.7%	50	0.5%	\$2,763	\$2,777	0.5%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.9%	95.2%	529	5.9%	\$2,884	\$3,054	5.9%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	89.8%	93.7%	390	4.3%	\$3,155	\$3,340	5.9%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.5%	91.9%	140	1.6%	\$2,334	\$2,371	1.6%
CA - Stockton	95.0%	95.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,739	\$1,746	0.4%
California Average	91.2%	93.1%	190	2.1%	\$2,590	\$2,651	2.3%
CO - Boulder	87.6%	88.5%	90	1.1%	\$2,015	\$1,997	-0.9%
CO - Colorado Springs	82.5%	87.5%	500	6.1%	\$1,461	\$1,436	-1.7%
CO - Denver	84.7%	87.3%	260	3.1%	\$1,864	\$1,779	-4.6%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	85.8%	87.6%	180	2.1%	\$1,676	\$1,656	-1.2%
CO - Outlying	86.8%	84.3%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,833	\$1,895	3.4%
Colorado Average	84.4%	87.3%	290	3.4%	\$1,798	\$1,732	-3.7%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	85.3%	90.4%	510	6.0%	\$3,000	\$3,066	2.2%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	92.3%	90.9%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,882	\$1,950	3.6%
Connecticut Average	90.4%	90.7%	30	0.3%	\$2,216	\$2,289	3.3%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	88.4%	90.6%	220	2.6%	\$1,512	\$1,509	-0.2%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	86.7%	91.2%	450	5.2%	\$2,425	\$2,463	1.6%

	Overall Market						
	Occupancy		Change		Effective Rent		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%Chg	Y/Y	Last Mo	%Chg
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	77.8%	83.5%	569	7.3%	\$1,913	\$1,759	-8.0%
FL - Gainesville	90.2%	93.5%	330	3.7%	\$1,670	\$1,713	2.6%
FL - Jacksonville	83.0%	89.8%	680	8.2%	\$1,479	\$1,482	0.2%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	79.7%	89.7%	999	12.5%	\$1,544	\$1,561	1.1%
FL - Melbourne	85.2%	89.6%	440	5.1%	\$1,688	\$1,725	2.1%
FL - Miami	82.7%	89.0%	630	7.6%	\$2,545	\$2,621	3.0%
FL - Orlando	86.5%	90.0%	350	4.0%	\$1,763	\$1,766	0.1%
FL - Palm Beach	88.8%	94.0%	519	5.8%	\$2,470	\$2,541	2.9%
FL - Pensacola	81.5%	87.3%	580	7.1%	\$1,593	\$1,565	-1.8%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	81.2%	86.1%	489	6.0%	\$1,913	\$1,824	-4.6%
FL - Tallahassee	89.8%	92.0%	220	2.5%	\$1,428	\$1,464	2.5%
FL - Tampa	86.8%	89.9%	310	3.6%	\$1,832	\$1,807	-1.3%
Florida Average	85.1%	89.7%	460	5.5%	\$1,919	\$1,921	0.1%
GA - Albany	88.6%	91.1%	250	2.8%	\$1,019	\$1,041	2.1%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	83.0%	91.3%	830	10.0%	\$1,304	\$1,314	0.8%
GA - Atlanta	85.3%	90.2%	490	5.8%	\$1,620	\$1,651	1.9%
GA - Augusta	88.7%	88.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,205	\$1,264	4.9%
GA - Columbus	89.1%	90.9%	180	2.0%	\$1,174	\$1,219	3.8%
GA - Macon	85.1%	87.4%	230	2.6%	\$1,151	\$1,203	4.5%
GA - Outlying	85.5%	94.0%	849	9.9%	\$1,721	\$1,665	-3.3%
GA - Savannah	81.4%	84.1%	270	3.3%	\$1,685	\$1,700	0.9%
Georgia Average	85.2%	89.6%	440	5.2%	\$1,561	\$1,591	1.9%
IA - Des Moines	86.0%	90.9%	490	5.6%	\$1,146	\$1,177	2.7%
IA - Outlying	94.9%	94.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,070	\$1,087	1.6%
Iowa Average	86.7%	91.1%	440	5.1%	\$1,140	\$1,170	2.6%
ID - Boise	82.1%	86.8%	470	5.7%	\$1,571	\$1,607	2.3%
ID - Outlying	95.7%	97.9%	220	2.3%	\$970	\$1,015	4.6%
Idaho Average	82.6%	86.9%	430	5.3%	\$1,546	\$1,583	2.4%
IL - Chicago	92.0%	93.9%	189	2.1%	\$2,038	\$2,151	5.6%
IL - Moline	88.9%	92.0%	310	3.5%	\$993	\$1,052	6.0%
IL - Outlying	87.4%	96.8%	939	10.8%	\$791	\$828	4.7%
IL - Springfield	93.0%	95.0%	199	2.1%	\$1,054	\$1,125	6.7%
Illinois Average	91.9%	93.9%	199	2.2%	\$1,909	\$2,014	5.5%
IN - Evansville	95.2%	94.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$997	\$1,038	4.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.4%	96.3%	190	2.0%	\$1,083	\$1,128	4.2%
IN - Indianapolis	90.0%	90.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,289	\$1,318	2.2%
IN - Outlying	97.4%	95.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,024	\$1,041	1.7%
IN - South Bend	94.3%	93.5%	-79	-0.8%	\$1,211	\$1,282	5.9%
Indiana Average	91.2%	91.8%	60	0.6%	\$1,244	\$1,279	2.8%
KS - Outlying	95.1%	95.5%	40	0.4%	\$715	\$757	5.8%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.8%	93.6%	-19	-0.2%	\$987	\$1,039	5.3%
KS - Wichita	90.5%	92.4%	190	2.1%	\$908	\$957	5.3%

# MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust



## Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/data-services](https://alndata.co/data-services) to learn more about our services

### ✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

### ✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

### ✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

## CONTACT US

**ALN Apartment Data**

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

800-643-6416 x 3

[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

Overall Market							
	Occupancy		Change		Effective Rent		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%Chg	Y/Y	Last Mo	%Chg
Kansas Average	91.9%	92.9%	100	1.1%	\$935	\$985	5.4%
KY - Lexington	89.0%	92.4%	340	3.8%	\$1,203	\$1,250	3.9%
KY - Louisville	87.6%	91.4%	380	4.4%	\$1,235	\$1,260	2.0%
KY - Outlying	89.9%	88.3%	-160	-1.8%	\$854	\$890	4.3%
Kentucky Average	88.1%	91.7%	360	4.1%	\$1,216	\$1,248	2.6%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.9%	90.3%	140	1.6%	\$1,142	\$1,174	2.8%
LA - Lake Charles	87.1%	90.6%	350	4.0%	\$998	\$1,114	11.6%
LA - Monroe	93.0%	93.6%	60	0.6%	\$924	\$993	7.4%
LA - New Orleans	89.3%	90.9%	160	1.8%	\$1,244	\$1,257	1.0%
LA - Outlying	85.0%	88.0%	300	3.5%	\$957	\$924	-3.4%
LA - Shreveport	90.3%	93.0%	270	3.0%	\$1,012	\$1,065	5.2%
Louisiana Average	89.1%	91.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,141	\$1,173	2.8%
MA - Boston	89.8%	93.5%	370	4.0%	\$2,845	\$2,903	2.0%
MA - Outlying	67.9%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$2,058	\$2,249	9.3%
MA - Springfield	97.9%	96.4%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,678	\$1,729	3.0%
Massachusetts Average	90.1%	93.5%	340	3.8%	\$2,800	\$2,859	2.1%
MD - Baltimore	91.8%	94.3%	249	2.7%	\$1,719	\$1,741	1.3%
MD - Outlying	91.4%	93.6%	220	2.4%	\$1,495	\$1,590	6.3%
Maryland Average	91.8%	94.3%	249	2.7%	\$1,713	\$1,737	1.4%
ME - Augusta/Portland	80.0%	91.1%	1109	14.0%	\$1,990	\$2,114	6.3%
Maine Average	80.0%	91.1%	1109	14.0%	\$1,990	\$2,114	6.3%
MI - Ann Arbor	93.7%	93.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,547	\$1,532	-1.0%
MI - Detroit	92.6%	94.5%	189	2.0%	\$1,356	\$1,402	3.4%
MI - Flint	93.9%	95.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,041	\$1,088	4.5%
MI - Grand Rapids	91.7%	94.9%	319	3.5%	\$1,430	\$1,489	4.1%
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	92.3%	95.5%	319	3.5%	\$1,167	\$1,213	3.9%
MI - Lansing	95.2%	94.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,250	\$1,320	5.6%
MI - Outlying	94.1%	91.5%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,098	\$1,173	6.8%
Michigan Average	92.7%	94.4%	169	1.8%	\$1,329	\$1,376	3.5%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	87.8%	92.5%	470	5.4%	\$1,537	\$1,606	4.5%
MN - Outlying	75.7%	90.1%	1440	19.0%	\$1,295	\$1,381	6.6%
Minnesota Average	87.5%	92.5%	500	5.6%	\$1,530	\$1,599	4.5%
MO - Columbia	95.7%	94.2%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,071	\$1,069	-0.2%
MO - Kansas City	90.0%	91.1%	110	1.3%	\$1,346	\$1,400	4.0%
MO - Outlying	90.3%	92.6%	230	2.6%	\$718	\$861	19.8%
MO - Springfield	91.7%	93.7%	200	2.2%	\$956	\$1,001	4.7%
MO - St. Louis	88.6%	91.4%	280	3.2%	\$1,327	\$1,372	3.4%
Missouri Average	89.6%	91.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,302	\$1,352	3.8%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.3%	92.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,116	\$1,167	4.6%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	88.5%	91.3%	280	3.2%	\$1,159	\$1,202	3.7%
MS - Outlying	94.1%	94.9%	80	0.9%	\$1,118	\$1,151	3.0%
Mississippi Average	90.9%	92.4%	150	1.7%	\$1,137	\$1,179	3.7%

	Overall Market						
	Occupancy		Change		Effective Rent		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%Chg	Y/Y	Last Mo	%Chg
NC - Asheville	87.6%	82.4%	-520	-6.0%	\$1,666	\$1,607	-3.5%
NC - Charlotte	84.1%	88.6%	450	5.3%	\$1,575	\$1,598	1.4%
NC - Fayetteville	90.9%	91.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,253	\$1,278	2.0%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.0%	91.2%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,256	\$1,273	1.3%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	94.5%	92.4%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,114	\$1,145	2.8%
NC - Outlying	91.2%	98.5%	729	8.0%	\$1,441	\$1,504	4.4%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	85.2%	89.8%	460	5.4%	\$1,536	\$1,547	0.7%
NC - Wilmington	82.5%	86.8%	430	5.2%	\$1,451	\$1,488	2.6%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.8%	90.2%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,220	\$1,224	0.3%
North Carolina Average	86.0%	89.1%	310	3.6%	\$1,484	\$1,502	1.2%
ND - Bismarck	96.2%	96.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,175	\$1,243	5.7%
ND - Fargo	86.2%	89.5%	330	3.8%	\$1,029	\$1,054	2.4%
ND - Outlying	90.8%	94.6%	379	4.2%	\$1,183	\$1,240	4.9%
North Dakota Average	89.8%	92.7%	290	3.2%	\$1,106	\$1,147	3.7%
NE - Lincoln	89.0%	90.1%	110	1.3%	\$1,174	\$1,237	5.3%
NE - Omaha	91.7%	90.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,242	\$1,302	4.8%
NE - Outlying	92.2%	94.1%	189	2.1%	\$931	\$1,016	9.1%
Nebraska Average	91.1%	90.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,219	\$1,280	5.0%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.6%	92.6%	100	1.1%	\$2,150	\$2,251	4.7%
New Jersey Average	91.6%	92.6%	100	1.1%	\$2,150	\$2,251	4.7%
NM - Albuquerque	88.5%	89.5%	100	1.0%	\$1,396	\$1,386	-0.7%
NM - Outlying	94.1%	92.1%	-199	-2.2%	\$1,358	\$1,420	4.5%
New Mexico Average	89.1%	89.7%	60	0.7%	\$1,393	\$1,390	-0.2%
NV - Las Vegas	89.0%	91.6%	260	2.9%	\$1,467	\$1,458	-0.6%
NV - Outlying	93.8%	90.8%	-299	-3.2%	\$1,295	\$1,305	0.8%
NV - Reno	86.2%	91.6%	540	6.2%	\$1,628	\$1,723	5.8%
Nevada Average	88.5%	91.6%	310	3.5%	\$1,495	\$1,505	0.7%
NY - Albany	91.1%	93.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,593	\$1,682	5.6%
NY - Buffalo	80.9%	89.5%	859	10.7%	\$1,397	\$1,443	3.3%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,484	\$1,612	8.6%
NY - New York City	80.7%	87.6%	689	8.5%	\$3,270	\$3,417	4.5%
NY - Outlying	86.9%	94.9%	799	9.2%	\$1,293	\$1,288	-0.4%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.9%	96.7%	180	1.9%	\$1,898	\$1,970	3.8%
NY - Rochester	95.5%	96.0%	50	0.5%	\$1,439	\$1,533	6.5%
NY - Syracuse	94.9%	94.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,281	\$1,379	7.7%
New York Average	83.4%	89.0%	560	6.8%	\$2,890	\$3,033	4.9%
OH - Cincinnati	89.6%	92.6%	300	3.3%	\$1,381	\$1,453	5.2%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,206	\$1,279	6.1%
OH - Columbus	89.7%	90.8%	110	1.2%	\$1,338	\$1,371	2.5%
OH - Dayton	94.2%	93.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,147	\$1,204	5.0%
OH - Outlying	99.0%	93.4%	-559	-5.6%	\$882	\$989	12.1%
OH - Toledo	94.0%	94.5%	50	0.6%	\$975	\$1,010	3.5%

	Overall Market						
	Occupancy		Change		Effective Rent		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%Chg	Y/Y	Last Mo	%Chg
Ohio Average	90.8%	92.2%	140	1.5%	\$1,270	\$1,327	4.5%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.8%	89.7%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,001	\$1,028	2.7%
OK - Outlying	93.6%	94.1%	49	0.5%	\$864	\$879	1.8%
OK - Tulsa	90.2%	90.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,013	\$1,044	3.0%
Oklahoma Average	90.0%	90.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,003	\$1,031	2.8%
OR - Central Oregon	91.8%	92.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,555	\$1,586	2.0%
OR - Outlying	96.1%	88.9%	-719	-7.6%	\$1,397	\$1,484	6.2%
OR - Portland	89.1%	93.0%	390	4.4%	\$1,755	\$1,753	-0.1%
Oregon Average	89.6%	92.9%	330	3.7%	\$1,715	\$1,718	0.2%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	90.3%	93.7%	340	3.8%	\$1,470	\$1,532	4.2%
PA - Lehigh Valley	94.4%	94.5%	10	0.1%	\$1,683	\$1,742	3.5%
PA - Outlying	93.7%	95.1%	139	1.5%	\$1,374	\$1,436	4.6%
PA - Philadelphia	88.8%	92.2%	340	3.8%	\$1,822	\$1,878	3.1%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.2%	92.1%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,434	\$1,493	4.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	94.6%	97.7%	310	3.4%	\$1,408	\$1,512	7.4%
Pennsylvania Average	90.1%	92.6%	250	2.8%	\$1,701	\$1,759	3.4%
RI - Providence	93.2%	94.4%	119	1.3%	\$2,055	\$2,152	4.7%
Rhode Island Average	93.2%	94.4%	119	1.3%	\$2,055	\$2,152	4.7%
SC - Charleston	85.5%	91.5%	600	7.1%	\$1,801	\$1,862	3.4%
SC - Columbia	90.1%	91.0%	90	1.0%	\$1,313	\$1,341	2.1%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.9%	91.2%	530	6.2%	\$1,360	\$1,390	2.2%
SC - Myrtle Beach	71.1%	85.2%	1410	19.9%	\$1,556	\$1,590	2.2%
SC - Outlying	93.4%	87.5%	-590	-6.3%	\$1,234	\$1,277	3.5%
South Carolina Average	85.3%	90.7%	540	6.3%	\$1,518	\$1,561	2.8%
SD - Outlying	98.7%	98.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,006	\$1,056	4.9%
SD - Rapid City	71.4%	78.6%	720	10.1%	\$1,269	\$1,263	-0.5%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.2%	86.2%	-300	-3.5%	\$1,080	\$1,097	1.6%
South Dakota Average	85.7%	85.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,114	\$1,131	1.5%
TN - Chattanooga	82.8%	90.2%	740	8.9%	\$1,391	\$1,393	0.1%
TN - Knoxville	91.9%	92.6%	70	0.8%	\$1,477	\$1,496	1.4%
TN - Memphis	87.9%	88.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,176	\$1,186	0.9%
TN - Nashville	85.0%	88.4%	340	4.0%	\$1,607	\$1,623	0.9%
TN - Outlying	94.4%	90.2%	-419	-4.4%	\$1,142	\$1,198	4.9%
Tennessee Average	86.4%	88.9%	250	2.9%	\$1,454	\$1,471	1.2%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	86.8%	88.1%	130	1.5%	\$1,509	\$1,494	-1.0%
TX - Greater Dallas	87.6%	89.1%	150	1.7%	\$1,554	\$1,538	-1.0%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.9%	87.1%	20	0.3%	\$1,410	\$1,397	-0.9%
TX - Abilene	93.7%	96.7%	299	3.2%	\$985	\$1,192	20.9%
TX - Amarillo	86.3%	90.0%	370	4.4%	\$948	\$985	3.9%
TX - Austin	83.9%	86.6%	270	3.2%	\$1,486	\$1,427	-3.9%
TX - Beaumont	91.2%	91.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,038	\$1,092	5.2%
TX - College Station	91.7%	91.6%	-10	0.0%	\$1,557	\$1,563	0.3%

Overall Market							
	Occupancy		Change		Effective Rent		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%Chg	Y/Y	Last Mo	%Chg
TX - Corpus Christi	88.1%	87.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,144	\$1,122	-1.9%
TX - El Paso	89.1%	92.1%	300	3.3%	\$1,094	\$1,110	1.5%
TX - Houston	87.7%	88.3%	60	0.7%	\$1,329	\$1,328	-0.1%
TX - Laredo	93.7%	93.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,118	\$1,116	-0.2%
TX - Longview/Marshall	87.3%	91.7%	440	5.1%	\$1,049	\$1,050	0.1%
TX - Lubbock	86.8%	89.1%	230	2.7%	\$933	\$932	-0.1%
TX - Lufkin	89.4%	90.7%	130	1.5%	\$944	\$993	5.1%
TX - Midland-Odessa	91.8%	91.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,389	\$1,398	0.6%
TX - Outlying	92.5%	92.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,024	\$1,022	-0.3%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	89.6%	87.5%	-210	-2.3%	\$993	\$1,016	2.3%
TX - San Angelo	90.6%	92.6%	200	2.2%	\$1,067	\$1,084	1.7%
TX - San Antonio	84.5%	85.5%	100	1.2%	\$1,223	\$1,191	-2.6%
TX - Texarkana	91.2%	93.8%	259	2.9%	\$882	\$909	3.0%
TX - Tyler	85.4%	90.4%	500	5.9%	\$1,166	\$1,210	3.8%
TX - Victoria	94.6%	93.6%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,034	\$1,061	2.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	84.9%	87.3%	240	2.9%	\$1,146	\$1,119	-2.3%
TX - Wichita Falls	83.9%	90.7%	680	8.1%	\$858	\$896	4.4%
Texas Average	86.7%	88.0%	130	1.5%	\$1,376	\$1,363	-1.0%
UT - Ogden/Logan	91.4%	89.9%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,488	\$1,467	-1.4%
UT - Outlying	85.3%	88.6%	330	3.9%	\$1,545	\$1,590	2.9%
UT - Provo/Orem	83.5%	81.7%	-180	-2.2%	\$1,513	\$1,589	5.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	84.2%	86.9%	270	3.2%	\$1,569	\$1,548	-1.4%
Utah Average	85.5%	86.6%	110	1.3%	\$1,546	\$1,539	-0.4%
VA - Norfolk	92.3%	94.7%	239	2.7%	\$1,545	\$1,627	5.3%
VA - Outlying	96.7%	87.6%	-909	-9.4%	\$1,166	\$1,233	5.8%
VA - Richmond	89.5%	93.1%	360	4.1%	\$1,585	\$1,672	5.5%
VA - Roanoke	95.2%	95.9%	70	0.7%	\$1,229	\$1,287	4.7%
Virginia Average	91.2%	94.0%	279	3.1%	\$1,536	\$1,618	5.4%
WA - Olympia	88.9%	90.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,693	\$1,739	2.7%
WA - Outlying	86.4%	91.2%	480	5.5%	\$1,200	\$1,090	-9.2%
WA - SE Washington	92.3%	89.4%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,418	\$1,393	-1.8%
WA - Seattle	89.6%	93.5%	390	4.3%	\$2,148	\$2,161	0.6%
WA - Spokane	87.1%	93.1%	600	6.9%	\$1,398	\$1,421	1.7%
Washington Average	89.5%	93.1%	360	4.0%	\$2,026	\$2,034	0.4%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	89.0%	94.6%	559	6.3%	\$1,069	\$1,116	4.4%
WI - Madison	86.9%	90.3%	340	3.9%	\$1,520	\$1,585	4.3%
WI - Milwaukee	90.0%	93.6%	360	4.0%	\$1,514	\$1,569	3.7%
WI - Outlying	64.6%	75.5%	1089	16.9%	\$1,143	\$1,198	4.8%
Wisconsin Average	87.7%	91.7%	400	4.6%	\$1,427	\$1,486	4.2%
WV - Charleston	96.4%	92.5%	-389	-4.0%	\$1,084	\$1,180	8.8%
WV - Outlying	97.5%	97.4%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,093	\$1,130	3.4%
West Virginia Average	96.7%	94.0%	-270	-2.8%	\$1,086	\$1,169	7.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DC - Washington	90.2%	92.6%	240	2.6%	\$2,251	\$2,276	1.1%
DE - Outlying	89.6%	92.5%	290	3.2%	\$1,629	\$1,718	5.4%
HI - State of Hawaii	92.6%	95.3%	269	2.9%	\$2,464	\$2,599	5.5%
MT - State of Montana	80.9%	83.2%	229	2.8%	\$1,644	\$1,695	3.1%
NH - Concord	82.6%	96.1%	1350	16.4%	\$1,851	\$2,075	12.1%
VT - Outlying	73.3%	84.6%	1130	15.4%	\$2,029	\$2,069	2.0%
WY - State of Wyoming	93.8%	95.0%	120	1.2%	\$1,273	\$1,347	5.8%
National Average	87.8%	90.5%	270	3.1%	\$1,734	\$1,764	1.7%

# Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



## ALN Monthly Newsletter with Market News, Stats and Commentary



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other material posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

### **Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

### **Jackie James**

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

### **Robert Durham**

Multifamily Specialist

1.800.643.6416 x 256

[Robert@alndata.com](mailto:Robert@alndata.com)

### **Susan Stroud, CAS**

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

### **Mark Pennings**

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

### **Dianna Moreau**

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 220

[Dianna@alndata.com](mailto:Dianna@alndata.com)

### **Paige Kitchens, CAS**

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

### **Nick Simmons**

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 233

[Nicks@alndata.com](mailto:Nicks@alndata.com)

### **Cameron Reese**

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 239

[Cameron@alndata.com](mailto:Cameron@alndata.com)