

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Mar-25	Mar-26	bps	%CHG	Mar-25	Mar-26	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.3%	91.4%	10	0.0%	\$1,496	\$1,521	1.7%
AK - Outlying	84.9%	83.4%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,645	\$1,639	-0.4%
Alaska Average	90.2%	90.4%	20	0.3%	\$1,532	\$1,550	1.2%
AL - Birmingham	88.2%	90.3%	210	2.3%	\$1,218	\$1,231	1.0%
AL - Huntsville	80.2%	84.0%	379	4.8%	\$1,175	\$1,165	-0.9%
AL - Mobile	87.6%	88.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,223	\$1,248	2.0%
AL - Montgomery	90.5%	93.5%	300	3.4%	\$1,019	\$1,072	5.2%
AL - Outlying	94.2%	93.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$881	\$908	3.1%
Alabama Average	86.1%	88.3%	220	2.6%	\$1,170	\$1,184	1.2%
AR - Little Rock	86.8%	89.3%	250	2.9%	\$1,014	\$1,035	2.1%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	84.7%	84.9%	20	0.1%	\$1,084	\$1,132	4.5%
AR - Outlying	93.8%	94.8%	100	1.0%	\$835	\$848	1.5%
Arkansas Average	86.6%	87.9%	130	1.5%	\$1,027	\$1,060	3.3%
AZ - Flagstaff	87.0%	91.1%	410	4.7%	\$1,820	\$1,767	-2.9%
AZ - Outlying	94.9%	92.4%	-249	-2.7%	\$1,174	\$1,156	-1.5%
AZ - Phoenix	84.6%	87.6%	300	3.6%	\$1,561	\$1,518	-2.7%
AZ - Tucson	88.3%	90.2%	190	2.2%	\$1,171	\$1,139	-2.8%
Arizona Average	85.3%	88.1%	280	3.3%	\$1,501	\$1,463	-2.5%
CA - Bakersfield	93.4%	94.5%	109	1.2%	\$1,492	\$1,505	0.9%
CA - Central Coast	93.3%	94.2%	89	0.9%	\$2,676	\$2,684	0.3%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.2%	95.1%	90	0.9%	\$1,536	\$1,565	1.9%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.9%	92.3%	140	1.6%	\$2,756	\$2,795	1.4%
CA - Outlying	95.7%	95.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,372	\$1,390	1.3%
CA - Sacramento	91.1%	93.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,930	\$1,914	-0.8%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	90.7%	91.6%	90	0.9%	\$2,216	\$2,223	0.3%
CA - San Diego	90.2%	91.5%	130	1.5%	\$2,766	\$2,771	0.2%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.6%	94.3%	369	4.0%	\$2,929	\$3,070	4.8%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	89.1%	94.1%	499	5.6%	\$3,197	\$3,357	5.0%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	89.3%	91.6%	230	2.6%	\$2,351	\$2,363	0.5%
CA - Stockton	95.1%	95.2%	10	0.0%	\$1,747	\$1,751	0.2%
California Average	90.9%	92.8%	190	2.1%	\$2,609	\$2,654	1.7%
CO - Boulder	85.7%	85.3%	-40	-0.6%	\$2,037	\$1,961	-3.7%
CO - Colorado Springs	83.3%	87.3%	400	4.8%	\$1,466	\$1,423	-2.9%
CO - Denver	83.6%	87.1%	350	4.2%	\$1,870	\$1,763	-5.7%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	83.4%	92.0%	860	10.3%	\$1,699	\$1,659	-2.4%
CO - Outlying	88.0%	89.3%	130	1.5%	\$1,848	\$1,937	4.8%
Colorado Average	83.7%	87.5%	380	4.5%	\$1,806	\$1,718	-4.9%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	85.7%	90.6%	490	5.7%	\$3,018	\$3,080	2.0%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.7%	90.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,880	\$1,961	4.3%
Connecticut Average	90.0%	90.5%	50	0.5%	\$2,220	\$2,300	3.6%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	89.3%	89.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,528	\$1,498	-2.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	86.6%	91.0%	440	5.1%	\$2,448	\$2,451	0.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	79.6%	84.6%	499	6.3%	\$1,938	\$1,744	-10.0%
FL - Gainesville	90.7%	92.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,693	\$1,705	0.7%
FL - Jacksonville	84.1%	88.4%	430	5.0%	\$1,504	\$1,472	-2.1%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	76.0%	85.6%	959	12.6%	\$1,573	\$1,551	-1.4%
FL - Melbourne	84.1%	88.4%	430	5.1%	\$1,728	\$1,718	-0.6%
FL - Miami	82.7%	89.0%	630	7.6%	\$2,570	\$2,617	1.8%
FL - Orlando	86.2%	89.7%	350	4.0%	\$1,789	\$1,738	-2.9%
FL - Palm Beach	88.4%	94.0%	559	6.4%	\$2,495	\$2,543	1.9%
FL - Pensacola	82.9%	87.3%	440	5.3%	\$1,579	\$1,571	-0.5%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	80.1%	85.6%	549	6.9%	\$1,933	\$1,839	-4.9%
FL - Tallahassee	88.8%	90.5%	170	1.9%	\$1,440	\$1,446	0.4%
FL - Tampa	86.3%	89.0%	270	3.1%	\$1,862	\$1,790	-3.8%
Florida Average	85.0%	89.1%	410	4.8%	\$1,942	\$1,908	-1.8%
GA - Albany	88.7%	91.8%	310	3.5%	\$1,022	\$1,046	2.4%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	84.4%	93.5%	910	10.8%	\$1,334	\$1,345	0.8%
GA - Atlanta	84.0%	89.7%	570	6.7%	\$1,635	\$1,639	0.3%
GA - Augusta	89.0%	88.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,223	\$1,255	2.6%
GA - Columbus	89.9%	90.8%	90	1.0%	\$1,199	\$1,222	1.9%
GA - Macon	84.6%	89.0%	440	5.2%	\$1,150	\$1,202	4.6%
GA - Outlying	94.4%	89.3%	-509	-5.4%	\$1,671	\$1,783	6.7%
GA - Savannah	79.4%	84.7%	529	6.7%	\$1,696	\$1,667	-1.7%
Georgia Average	84.2%	89.3%	510	6.1%	\$1,576	\$1,580	0.3%
IA - Des Moines	87.5%	90.8%	330	3.7%	\$1,156	\$1,182	2.2%
IA - Outlying	95.3%	92.7%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,079	\$1,083	0.4%
Iowa Average	88.1%	90.9%	280	3.2%	\$1,150	\$1,174	2.1%
ID - Boise	82.4%	88.3%	590	7.2%	\$1,583	\$1,628	2.8%
ID - Outlying	95.1%	95.1%	0	0.0%	\$966	\$1,027	6.4%
Idaho Average	82.4%	88.6%	620	7.5%	\$1,557	\$1,604	3.0%
IL - Chicago	91.9%	93.3%	140	1.6%	\$2,066	\$2,167	4.9%
IL - Moline	88.0%	92.5%	450	5.1%	\$1,004	\$1,060	5.6%
IL - Outlying	97.3%	95.8%	-150	-1.5%	\$800	\$852	6.6%
IL - Springfield	94.8%	95.9%	110	1.2%	\$1,065	\$1,124	5.5%
Illinois Average	91.7%	93.5%	180	1.9%	\$1,937	\$2,029	4.8%
IN - Evansville	94.9%	93.4%	-149	-1.6%	\$999	\$1,041	4.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.5%	95.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,088	\$1,131	4.0%
IN - Indianapolis	89.7%	90.7%	100	1.2%	\$1,306	\$1,322	1.3%
IN - Outlying	98.1%	94.9%	-320	-3.2%	\$1,026	\$1,045	1.8%
IN - South Bend	93.7%	92.8%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,208	\$1,290	6.8%
Indiana Average	90.7%	91.5%	80	0.8%	\$1,257	\$1,284	2.2%
KS - Outlying	97.3%	94.7%	-260	-2.6%	\$727	\$762	4.8%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	95.4%	93.6%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,014	\$1,052	3.7%
KS - Wichita	89.9%	92.4%	250	2.8%	\$916	\$966	5.4%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
Kansas Average	92.0%	92.9%	90	0.9%	\$951	\$996	4.7%	
KY - Lexington	88.0%	91.5%	350	4.1%	\$1,218	\$1,255	3.0%	
KY - Louisville	89.3%	89.9%	60	0.6%	\$1,246	\$1,262	1.3%	
KY - Outlying	90.9%	91.8%	90	0.9%	\$858	\$898	4.6%	
Kentucky Average	88.9%	90.4%	150	1.6%	\$1,228	\$1,251	1.8%	
LA - Baton Rouge	88.4%	89.7%	130	1.5%	\$1,165	\$1,177	1.0%	
LA - Lake Charles	87.4%	95.1%	769	8.8%	\$996	\$1,173	17.8%	
LA - Monroe	91.5%	93.9%	239	2.6%	\$921	\$1,007	9.3%	
LA - New Orleans	89.6%	91.5%	190	2.2%	\$1,268	\$1,254	-1.1%	
LA - Outlying	87.5%	90.0%	250	2.9%	\$962	\$932	-3.2%	
LA - Shreveport	91.7%	92.0%	30	0.2%	\$1,026	\$1,065	3.8%	
Louisiana Average	89.3%	91.1%	180	2.0%	\$1,160	\$1,176	1.4%	
MA - Boston	89.8%	92.6%	280	3.1%	\$2,864	\$2,901	1.3%	
MA - Outlying	100.0%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$2,167	\$2,249	3.8%	
MA - Springfield	97.5%	96.6%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,704	\$1,737	2.0%	
Massachusetts Average	90.0%	92.8%	280	3.0%	\$2,819	\$2,857	1.4%	
MD - Baltimore	92.3%	93.1%	80	0.8%	\$1,732	\$1,751	1.1%	
MD - Outlying	93.4%	95.1%	169	1.8%	\$1,519	\$1,584	4.3%	
Maryland Average	92.4%	93.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,726	\$1,746	1.2%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	83.1%	93.2%	1010	12.2%	\$2,025	\$2,127	5.1%	
Maine Average	83.1%	93.2%	1010	12.2%	\$2,025	\$2,127	5.1%	
MI - Ann Arbor	93.2%	92.7%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,570	\$1,581	0.7%	
MI - Detroit	92.4%	93.7%	130	1.4%	\$1,374	\$1,397	1.7%	
MI - Flint	94.2%	94.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,062	\$1,104	4.0%	
MI - Grand Rapids	91.9%	93.9%	199	2.2%	\$1,461	\$1,500	2.7%	
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	91.3%	92.8%	150	1.6%	\$1,175	\$1,226	4.3%	
MI - Lansing	93.6%	94.9%	129	1.3%	\$1,285	\$1,341	4.4%	
MI - Outlying	94.0%	93.1%	-89	-0.9%	\$1,116	\$1,181	5.8%	
Michigan Average	92.4%	93.7%	130	1.4%	\$1,349	\$1,382	2.5%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	88.3%	92.5%	420	4.8%	\$1,551	\$1,608	3.7%	
MN - Outlying	81.1%	92.7%	1160	14.3%	\$1,302	\$1,389	6.7%	
Minnesota Average	88.1%	92.5%	440	5.0%	\$1,544	\$1,601	3.7%	
MO - Columbia	94.6%	95.4%	80	0.8%	\$1,073	\$1,070	-0.3%	
MO - Kansas City	89.6%	91.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,375	\$1,416	2.9%	
MO - Outlying	67.0%	85.6%	1859	27.8%	\$856	\$833	-2.7%	
MO - Springfield	92.8%	94.3%	149	1.6%	\$974	\$1,002	2.9%	
MO - St. Louis	89.1%	91.3%	220	2.5%	\$1,341	\$1,381	3.0%	
Missouri Average	89.6%	91.6%	200	2.2%	\$1,325	\$1,364	2.9%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.3%	91.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,120	\$1,163	3.9%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	89.4%	92.8%	340	3.8%	\$1,156	\$1,203	4.0%	
MS - Outlying	93.9%	94.8%	90	0.9%	\$1,120	\$1,155	3.1%	
Mississippi Average	91.0%	92.8%	180	2.0%	\$1,137	\$1,180	3.7%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Asheville	85.6%	80.3%	-529	-6.2%	\$1,624	\$1,583	-2.5%
NC - Charlotte	82.8%	87.9%	510	6.1%	\$1,597	\$1,591	-0.4%
NC - Fayetteville	89.4%	91.1%	170	1.9%	\$1,264	\$1,278	1.2%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	90.9%	91.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,247	\$1,268	1.7%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	92.3%	88.5%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,125	\$1,157	2.9%
NC - Outlying	91.2%	98.7%	749	8.2%	\$1,450	\$1,520	4.9%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	84.7%	89.7%	500	5.9%	\$1,554	\$1,545	-0.6%
NC - Wilmington	82.1%	88.2%	610	7.4%	\$1,467	\$1,489	1.5%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.9%	90.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,221	\$1,229	0.6%
North Carolina Average	85.2%	88.8%	360	4.3%	\$1,499	\$1,498	-0.1%
ND - Bismarck	96.4%	94.4%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,195	\$1,246	4.2%
ND - Fargo	89.1%	91.7%	260	2.9%	\$1,041	\$1,051	1.0%
ND - Outlying	93.0%	92.5%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,194	\$1,226	2.7%
North Dakota Average	91.8%	92.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,120	\$1,141	1.9%
NE - Lincoln	87.8%	89.1%	130	1.5%	\$1,198	\$1,243	3.7%
NE - Omaha	91.4%	90.4%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,265	\$1,313	3.8%
NE - Outlying	93.6%	94.8%	119	1.3%	\$980	\$1,049	7.1%
Nebraska Average	90.5%	90.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,243	\$1,290	3.8%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	93.4%	93.8%	39	0.4%	\$2,181	\$2,240	2.7%
New Jersey Average	93.4%	93.8%	39	0.4%	\$2,181	\$2,240	2.7%
NM - Albuquerque	87.9%	89.4%	150	1.7%	\$1,407	\$1,371	-2.6%
NM - Outlying	95.0%	92.7%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,374	\$1,422	3.5%
New Mexico Average	88.6%	89.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,405	\$1,376	-2.0%
NV - Las Vegas	88.5%	90.5%	200	2.3%	\$1,489	\$1,453	-2.4%
NV - Outlying	92.7%	91.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,267	\$1,287	1.6%
NV - Reno	86.6%	92.9%	630	7.3%	\$1,646	\$1,732	5.3%
Nevada Average	88.2%	91.0%	280	3.1%	\$1,516	\$1,503	-0.9%
NY - Albany	92.1%	92.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,604	\$1,683	4.9%
NY - Buffalo	85.4%	91.2%	580	6.8%	\$1,415	\$1,443	2.0%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	89.1%	93.8%	469	5.2%	\$1,498	\$1,617	7.9%
NY - New York City	82.6%	89.9%	730	8.8%	\$3,296	\$3,426	3.9%
NY - Outlying	89.6%	93.8%	419	4.7%	\$1,324	\$1,256	-5.1%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.6%	96.1%	150	1.6%	\$1,899	\$1,975	4.0%
NY - Rochester	95.4%	94.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,472	\$1,541	4.7%
NY - Syracuse	96.8%	96.1%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,314	\$1,379	5.0%
New York Average	85.0%	90.8%	580	6.8%	\$2,915	\$3,041	4.3%
OH - Cincinnati	90.9%	92.2%	130	1.4%	\$1,405	\$1,461	4.0%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.3%	92.3%	0	-0.1%	\$1,218	\$1,283	5.3%
OH - Columbus	89.5%	90.5%	100	1.1%	\$1,361	\$1,381	1.4%
OH - Dayton	94.9%	93.5%	-139	-1.5%	\$1,158	\$1,212	4.6%
OH - Toledo	93.7%	93.9%	19	0.2%	\$985	\$1,024	4.0%
Ohio Average	91.2%	91.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,289	\$1,334	3.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
OK - Oklahoma City	90.6%	89.0%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,008	\$1,025	1.7%
OK - Outlying	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$853	\$882	3.3%
OK - Tulsa	90.0%	91.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,026	\$1,044	1.7%
Oklahoma Average	90.3%	90.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,012	\$1,030	1.7%
OR - Central Oregon	91.6%	91.7%	10	0.1%	\$1,553	\$1,571	1.2%
OR - Outlying	94.5%	89.2%	-529	-5.5%	\$1,422	\$1,473	3.6%
OR - Portland	88.7%	91.7%	300	3.4%	\$1,769	\$1,748	-1.2%
Oregon Average	89.2%	91.6%	240	2.7%	\$1,726	\$1,711	-0.9%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	91.3%	93.1%	180	2.0%	\$1,489	\$1,540	3.4%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.5%	93.8%	29	0.3%	\$1,709	\$1,755	2.7%
PA - Outlying	93.4%	94.9%	149	1.6%	\$1,375	\$1,425	3.6%
PA - Philadelphia	89.2%	92.6%	340	3.8%	\$1,837	\$1,882	2.5%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.3%	91.4%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,451	\$1,500	3.4%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.6%	96.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,459	\$1,517	4.0%
Pennsylvania Average	90.4%	92.7%	230	2.5%	\$1,718	\$1,764	2.7%
RI - Providence	94.3%	94.4%	10	0.0%	\$2,104	\$2,141	1.7%
Rhode Island Average	94.3%	94.4%	10	0.0%	\$2,104	\$2,141	1.7%
SC - Charleston	83.8%	90.7%	690	8.2%	\$1,817	\$1,853	2.0%
SC - Columbia	90.1%	89.2%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,330	\$1,345	1.1%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	88.8%	91.2%	240	2.7%	\$1,383	\$1,383	0.0%
SC - Myrtle Beach	75.0%	86.7%	1170	15.6%	\$1,587	\$1,562	-1.6%
SC - Outlying	93.7%	86.9%	-680	-7.3%	\$1,261	\$1,327	5.2%
South Carolina Average	86.0%	90.2%	420	4.8%	\$1,540	\$1,554	0.9%
SD - Outlying	97.9%	96.7%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,016	\$1,063	4.6%
SD - Rapid City	87.1%	86.2%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,261	\$1,252	-0.7%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.2%	86.7%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,072	\$1,104	3.0%
South Dakota Average	89.6%	87.6%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,108	\$1,134	2.4%
TN - Chattanooga	82.3%	90.6%	830	10.1%	\$1,395	\$1,382	-1.0%
TN - Knoxville	91.2%	92.5%	130	1.4%	\$1,495	\$1,485	-0.7%
TN - Memphis	87.4%	87.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,185	\$1,188	0.2%
TN - Nashville	84.9%	88.8%	390	4.6%	\$1,631	\$1,625	-0.4%
TN - Outlying	95.8%	90.2%	-559	-5.8%	\$1,154	\$1,209	4.7%
Tennessee Average	86.2%	89.0%	280	3.4%	\$1,471	\$1,470	-0.1%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	86.4%	88.0%	160	1.8%	\$1,521	\$1,482	-2.6%
TX - Greater Dallas	87.2%	89.0%	180	2.1%	\$1,567	\$1,526	-2.6%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.6%	86.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,419	\$1,388	-2.2%
TX - Abilene	95.1%	96.7%	160	1.6%	\$1,031	\$1,245	20.8%
TX - Amarillo	89.5%	89.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$947	\$1,007	6.3%
TX - Austin	83.4%	86.5%	310	3.8%	\$1,505	\$1,418	-5.8%
TX - Beaumont	91.6%	91.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,060	\$1,097	3.5%
TX - College Station	91.9%	90.5%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,563	\$1,579	1.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.1%	88.0%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,163	\$1,112	-4.4%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TX - El Paso	89.1%	92.1%	300	3.3%	\$1,089	\$1,110	2.0%	
TX - Houston	87.6%	88.3%	70	0.7%	\$1,343	\$1,321	-1.6%	
TX - Laredo	94.5%	91.3%	-319	-3.4%	\$1,169	\$1,130	-3.3%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	87.4%	89.5%	210	2.4%	\$1,057	\$1,065	0.7%	
TX - Lubbock	86.5%	89.0%	250	2.9%	\$932	\$936	0.4%	
TX - Lufkin	89.6%	90.6%	100	1.2%	\$953	\$994	4.3%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.5%	90.4%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,408	\$1,401	-0.6%	
TX - Outlying	93.4%	93.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,037	\$1,022	-1.4%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	86.7%	87.3%	60	0.8%	\$999	\$1,019	2.0%	
TX - San Angelo	91.0%	92.9%	190	2.1%	\$1,060	\$1,087	2.6%	
TX - San Antonio	84.0%	86.0%	200	2.4%	\$1,246	\$1,180	-5.3%	
TX - Texarkana	92.2%	92.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$905	\$913	0.9%	
TX - Tyler	85.3%	88.8%	350	4.1%	\$1,182	\$1,202	1.7%	
TX - Victoria	94.7%	93.4%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,059	\$1,054	-0.5%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	85.8%	88.8%	300	3.5%	\$1,156	\$1,125	-2.7%	
TX - Wichita Falls	84.9%	92.2%	730	8.5%	\$866	\$904	4.5%	
Texas Average	86.5%	88.0%	150	1.7%	\$1,391	\$1,355	-2.6%	
UT - Ogden/Logan	89.1%	88.6%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,467	\$1,447	-1.4%	
UT - Outlying	86.3%	86.9%	60	0.6%	\$1,581	\$1,598	1.1%	
UT - Provo/Orem	86.1%	82.0%	-410	-4.7%	\$1,524	\$1,624	6.6%	
UT - Salt Lake City	82.2%	88.1%	590	7.1%	\$1,579	\$1,560	-1.2%	
Utah Average	83.9%	87.1%	320	3.8%	\$1,551	\$1,549	-0.1%	
VA - Norfolk	92.2%	94.0%	179	1.9%	\$1,565	\$1,642	4.9%	
VA - Outlying	100.0%	94.2%	-580	-5.8%	\$1,238	\$1,271	2.7%	
VA - Richmond	88.8%	91.7%	290	3.3%	\$1,610	\$1,682	4.5%	
VA - Roanoke	94.7%	95.1%	40	0.4%	\$1,242	\$1,279	3.0%	
Virginia Average	90.9%	93.0%	210	2.4%	\$1,557	\$1,628	4.6%	
WA - Olympia	87.9%	89.4%	150	1.8%	\$1,689	\$1,737	2.8%	
WA - Outlying	83.4%	89.7%	630	7.6%	\$1,209	\$1,146	-5.2%	
WA - SE Washington	93.1%	87.7%	-540	-5.7%	\$1,424	\$1,386	-2.7%	
WA - Seattle	89.5%	92.3%	280	3.1%	\$2,157	\$2,164	0.3%	
WA - Spokane	87.8%	92.8%	500	5.7%	\$1,407	\$1,433	1.9%	
Washington Average	89.4%	92.0%	260	2.9%	\$2,034	\$2,038	0.2%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	92.0%	95.9%	389	4.2%	\$1,076	\$1,132	5.2%	
WI - Madison	88.2%	92.1%	390	4.4%	\$1,543	\$1,598	3.6%	
WI - Milwaukee	89.9%	93.6%	370	4.1%	\$1,529	\$1,578	3.2%	
WI - Outlying	71.3%	89.5%	1820	25.5%	\$1,150	\$1,210	5.2%	
Wisconsin Average	88.6%	93.1%	450	5.1%	\$1,443	\$1,497	3.7%	
WV - Charleston	96.3%	88.3%	-799	-8.3%	\$1,073	\$1,196	11.5%	
WV - Outlying	97.8%	95.6%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,082	\$1,142	5.5%	
West Virginia Average	96.8%	91.1%	-569	-5.9%	\$1,075	\$1,183	10.1%	
DC - Washington	89.4%	92.3%	290	3.2%	\$2,275	\$2,273	-0.1%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DE - Outlying	91.0%	91.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,665	\$1,729	3.8%
HI - State of Hawaii	94.5%	94.6%	10	0.2%	\$2,463	\$2,625	6.6%
MT - State of Montana	80.6%	82.4%	179	2.3%	\$1,635	\$1,697	3.7%
NH - Concord	79.5%	91.1%	1160	14.5%	\$1,869	\$2,055	10.0%
VT - Outlying	61.9%	85.9%	2400	38.6%	\$2,019	\$2,068	2.4%
WY - State of Wyoming	93.8%	90.3%	-349	-3.8%	\$1,282	\$1,420	10.7%
National Average	87.7%	90.3%	260	2.9%	\$1,752	\$1,763	0.7%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



ALN Monthly Newsletter with Market News, Stats and Commentary



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

Jackie@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Multifamily Specialist

1.800.643.6416 x 256

Robert@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

Dianna Moreau

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 220

Dianna@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Nick Simmons

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 233

Nicks@alndata.com

Cameron Reese

Senior Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 239

Cameron@alndata.com