

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Aug-24	Aug-25	bps	%CHG	Aug-24	Aug-25	%CHG	
AK - Anchorage	92.5%	95.1%	259	2.8%	\$1,453	\$1,534	5.6%	
AK - Outlying	95.4%	91.1%	-429	-4.4%	\$1,591	\$1,628	2.4%	
Alaska Average	93.0%	94.5%	149	1.7%	\$1,486	\$1,557	4.7%	
AL - Birmingham	88.7%	91.1%	240	2.6%	\$1,187	\$1,235	4.1%	
AL - Huntsville	81.1%	83.1%	199	2.5%	\$1,179	\$1,163	-1.4%	
AL - Mobile	89.0%	89.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,223	\$1,254	2.5%	
AL - Montgomery	88.6%	93.3%	470	5.2%	\$1,009	\$1,048	3.9%	
AL - Outlying	95.7%	95.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$864	\$922	6.7%	
Alabama Average	86.6%	88.7%	210	2.4%	\$1,156	\$1,184	2.4%	
AR - Little Rock	88.4%	89.2%	80	0.9%	\$1,007	\$1,032	2.5%	
AR - Northwest Arkansas	86.1%	83.5%	-260	-3.1%	\$1,036	\$1,122	8.3%	
AR - Outlying	93.4%	96.1%	269	2.9%	\$831	\$834	0.3%	
Arkansas Average	87.9%	87.5%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,003	\$1,053	5.0%	
AZ - Flagstaff	90.9%	85.7%	-520	-5.7%	\$1,827	\$1,838	0.6%	
AZ - Outlying	95.0%	94.1%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,168	\$1,164	-0.3%	
AZ - Phoenix	85.9%	86.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,556	\$1,549	-0.5%	
AZ - Tucson	88.8%	91.2%	240	2.7%	\$1,182	\$1,168	-1.2%	
Arizona Average	86.6%	87.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,497	\$1,493	-0.3%	
CA - Bakersfield	93.6%	89.8%	-380	-4.0%	\$1,462	\$1,493	2.1%	
CA - Central Coast	92.9%	93.8%	89	0.9%	\$2,636	\$2,742	4.0%	
CA - Fresno/Visalia	95.7%	96.0%	30	0.2%	\$1,518	\$1,553	2.3%	
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.0%	91.9%	90	1.0%	\$2,725	\$2,791	2.4%	
CA - Outlying	95.9%	95.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,340	\$1,388	3.6%	
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	92.3%	20	0.2%	\$1,921	\$1,943	1.2%	
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	91.5%	91.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,174	\$2,229	2.5%	
CA - San Diego	91.6%	92.3%	70	0.8%	\$2,716	\$2,779	2.3%	
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.3%	92.8%	350	3.9%	\$2,853	\$3,023	5.9%	
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	92.9%	91.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$3,115	\$3,313	6.4%	
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.9%	90.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,352	\$2,369	0.7%	
CA - Stockton	94.9%	95.6%	70	0.7%	\$1,727	\$1,761	2.0%	
California Average	91.5%	92.2%	70	0.7%	\$2,567	\$2,650	3.2%	
CO - Boulder	84.5%	88.2%	370	4.4%	\$2,128	\$2,090	-1.8%	
CO - Colorado Springs	82.1%	83.8%	170	2.1%	\$1,484	\$1,465	-1.2%	
CO - Denver	86.5%	85.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,924	\$1,869	-2.8%	
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	83.0%	88.2%	520	6.2%	\$1,738	\$1,761	1.3%	
CO - Outlying	89.8%	83.1%	-670	-7.4%	\$1,813	\$1,908	5.3%	
Colorado Average	85.6%	85.6%	0	0.1%	\$1,853	\$1,813	-2.1%	
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	83.7%	82.8%	-90	-1.1%	\$2,952	\$3,096	4.9%	
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	92.3%	90.8%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,857	\$1,965	5.9%	
Connecticut Average	89.8%	88.2%	-160	-1.7%	\$2,174	\$2,312	6.4%	
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	87.1%	89.4%	230	2.6%	\$1,523	\$1,542	1.3%	
FL - Fort Lauderdale	88.5%	89.2%	70	0.8%	\$2,410	\$2,471	2.5%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	75.4%	78.3%	290	3.8%	\$1,935	\$1,829	-5.5%
FL - Gainesville	88.9%	90.9%	200	2.2%	\$1,659	\$1,729	4.2%
FL - Jacksonville	82.8%	85.8%	300	3.6%	\$1,460	\$1,506	3.2%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	76.3%	79.1%	280	3.6%	\$1,541	\$1,580	2.5%
FL - Melbourne	87.4%	84.7%	-270	-3.1%	\$1,665	\$1,735	4.2%
FL - Miami	85.8%	84.6%	-120	-1.5%	\$2,534	\$2,611	3.0%
FL - Orlando	86.8%	86.6%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,776	\$1,797	1.2%
FL - Palm Beach	90.6%	90.3%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,436	\$2,534	4.0%
FL - Pensacola	86.3%	87.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,573	\$1,591	1.1%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	82.3%	81.8%	-50	-0.7%	\$1,881	\$1,874	-0.4%
FL - Tallahassee	89.2%	91.6%	240	2.6%	\$1,395	\$1,479	6.0%
FL - Tampa	88.2%	89.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,788	\$1,867	4.4%
Florida Average	86.1%	86.6%	50	0.6%	\$1,905	\$1,949	2.3%
GA - Albany	90.1%	90.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,012	\$1,021	0.9%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	86.7%	91.6%	490	5.6%	\$1,319	\$1,344	1.9%
GA - Atlanta	84.7%	87.7%	300	3.5%	\$1,608	\$1,658	3.1%
GA - Augusta	86.6%	88.2%	160	1.7%	\$1,160	\$1,250	7.8%
GA - Columbus	89.9%	89.1%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,166	\$1,210	3.8%
GA - Macon	84.0%	87.1%	310	3.7%	\$1,143	\$1,193	4.4%
GA - Outlying	89.3%	94.9%	559	6.3%	\$1,419	\$1,846	30.1%
GA - Savannah	81.7%	80.1%	-159	-1.9%	\$1,674	\$1,719	2.7%
Georgia Average	84.9%	87.2%	230	2.8%	\$1,548	\$1,598	3.3%
IA - Des Moines	86.9%	89.1%	220	2.5%	\$1,146	\$1,187	3.6%
IA - Outlying	92.6%	94.0%	139	1.5%	\$1,055	\$1,095	3.8%
Iowa Average	87.4%	89.5%	210	2.4%	\$1,138	\$1,180	3.7%
ID - Boise	83.2%	84.8%	160	1.8%	\$1,561	\$1,636	4.8%
ID - Outlying	94.4%	94.9%	50	0.5%	\$929	\$996	7.1%
Idaho Average	83.4%	85.2%	180	2.1%	\$1,535	\$1,610	4.8%
IL - Chicago	92.2%	92.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,995	\$2,144	7.5%
IL - Moline	88.4%	91.6%	320	3.6%	\$976	\$1,008	3.3%
IL - Outlying	86.5%	95.5%	899	10.5%	\$792	\$815	2.9%
IL - Springfield	94.5%	93.3%	-119	-1.2%	\$1,051	\$1,115	6.1%
Illinois Average	92.0%	92.9%	90	1.0%	\$1,870	\$2,008	7.4%
IN - Evansville	95.6%	95.0%	-60	-0.7%	\$991	\$1,033	4.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.6%	94.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,066	\$1,121	5.2%
IN - Indianapolis	90.6%	90.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,271	\$1,326	4.3%
IN - Outlying	98.7%	97.5%	-120	-1.2%	\$932	\$1,036	11.1%
IN - South Bend	93.6%	95.6%	199	2.2%	\$1,147	\$1,268	10.5%
Indiana Average	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,222	\$1,283	5.0%
KS - Outlying	97.9%	96.6%	-130	-1.3%	\$706	\$749	6.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.7%	94.4%	69	0.7%	\$965	\$1,026	6.4%
KS - Wichita	92.1%	91.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$907	\$951	4.8%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Kansas Average	92.8%	92.9%	10	0.1%	\$925	\$977	5.6%
KY - Lexington	90.6%	90.8%	20	0.3%	\$1,178	\$1,251	6.2%
KY - Louisville	90.5%	89.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,229	\$1,273	3.6%
KY - Outlying	93.8%	90.4%	-339	-3.6%	\$806	\$872	8.2%
Kentucky Average	90.6%	90.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,204	\$1,257	4.4%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.6%	90.3%	270	3.1%	\$1,142	\$1,194	4.5%
LA - Lake Charles	85.9%	88.0%	210	2.5%	\$1,011	\$1,090	7.8%
LA - Monroe	91.4%	93.1%	170	1.8%	\$937	\$971	3.7%
LA - New Orleans	89.6%	90.9%	130	1.5%	\$1,246	\$1,286	3.1%
LA - Outlying	85.9%	93.4%	750	8.8%	\$871	\$931	6.8%
LA - Shreveport	90.3%	92.6%	230	2.6%	\$998	\$1,074	7.6%
Louisiana Average	88.6%	90.9%	230	2.5%	\$1,139	\$1,190	4.5%
MA - Boston	91.1%	91.1%	0	0.1%	\$2,808	\$2,944	4.8%
MA - Outlying	100.0%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$1,640	\$2,205	34.4%
MA - Springfield	96.2%	96.2%	0	0.0%	\$1,659	\$1,722	3.8%
Massachusetts Average	91.3%	91.3%	0	0.0%	\$2,763	\$2,898	4.9%
MD - Baltimore	91.5%	94.5%	299	3.2%	\$1,700	\$1,761	3.5%
MD - Outlying	92.5%	93.0%	50	0.5%	\$1,498	\$1,566	4.5%
Maryland Average	91.6%	94.4%	279	3.1%	\$1,695	\$1,756	3.6%
ME - Augusta/Portland	87.6%	88.8%	120	1.4%	\$1,972	\$2,119	7.5%
Maine Average	87.6%	88.8%	120	1.4%	\$1,972	\$2,119	7.5%
MI - Ann Arbor	92.2%	91.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,550	\$1,588	2.4%
MI - Detroit	92.6%	93.6%	100	1.0%	\$1,332	\$1,407	5.6%
MI - Flint	93.2%	95.4%	219	2.4%	\$1,013	\$1,112	9.8%
MI - Grand Rapids	92.3%	94.2%	189	2.0%	\$1,407	\$1,490	5.9%
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.0%	93.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,143	\$1,223	7.0%
MI - Lansing	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.3%	\$1,236	\$1,331	7.7%
MI - Outlying	94.8%	95.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,096	\$1,164	6.2%
Michigan Average	92.6%	93.6%	100	1.1%	\$1,310	\$1,385	5.8%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.5%	91.0%	150	1.7%	\$1,516	\$1,600	5.6%
MN - Outlying	74.6%	94.3%	1969	26.3%	\$1,294	\$1,326	2.5%
Minnesota Average	89.2%	91.0%	180	2.1%	\$1,509	\$1,592	5.5%
MO - Columbia	95.1%	96.6%	150	1.5%	\$1,058	\$1,067	0.8%
MO - Kansas City	91.2%	91.4%	20	0.3%	\$1,323	\$1,408	6.4%
MO - Outlying	91.8%	67.0%	-2480	-27.0%	\$689	\$822	19.3%
MO - Springfield	92.9%	95.4%	249	2.7%	\$942	\$992	5.3%
MO - St. Louis	90.4%	90.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,304	\$1,388	6.5%
Missouri Average	90.7%	91.4%	70	0.8%	\$1,281	\$1,361	6.3%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.0%	94.6%	159	1.8%	\$1,091	\$1,156	6.0%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	88.7%	93.3%	460	5.1%	\$1,133	\$1,206	6.5%
MS - Outlying	92.6%	95.1%	249	2.7%	\$1,080	\$1,131	4.7%
Mississippi Average	90.8%	94.1%	329	3.6%	\$1,108	\$1,173	5.9%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY			CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo		bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Asheville	93.5%	84.9%		-860	-9.2%	\$1,664	\$1,609	-3.3%
NC - Charlotte	85.0%	85.5%		50	0.6%	\$1,560	\$1,618	3.7%
NC - Fayetteville	91.0%	93.6%		260	2.9%	\$1,244	\$1,286	3.4%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.3%	93.6%		130	1.4%	\$1,261	\$1,274	1.0%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	94.3%	96.0%		170	1.8%	\$1,126	\$1,135	0.7%
NC - Outlying	98.2%	98.1%		-10	-0.1%	\$1,387	\$1,474	6.3%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	85.8%	87.2%		140	1.6%	\$1,548	\$1,577	1.8%
NC - Wilmington	79.4%	82.4%		299	3.9%	\$1,445	\$1,505	4.2%
NC - Winston-Salem	92.2%	91.7%		-50	-0.5%	\$1,209	\$1,231	1.8%
North Carolina Average	86.6%	87.5%		90	1.0%	\$1,480	\$1,521	2.8%
ND - Bismarck	96.6%	96.0%		-60	-0.6%	\$1,151	\$1,236	7.4%
ND - Fargo	89.9%	92.8%		290	3.2%	\$1,006	\$1,037	3.1%
ND - Outlying	92.1%	94.1%		199	2.2%	\$1,151	\$1,243	8.1%
North Dakota Average	91.9%	93.8%		189	2.1%	\$1,081	\$1,140	5.5%
NE - Lincoln	88.9%	92.6%		370	4.2%	\$1,177	\$1,242	5.5%
NE - Omaha	92.0%	92.4%		40	0.4%	\$1,239	\$1,302	5.1%
NE - Outlying	98.7%	97.3%		-140	-1.4%	\$903	\$1,019	12.8%
Nebraska Average	91.4%	92.5%		110	1.2%	\$1,218	\$1,282	5.3%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.0%	89.3%		-170	-1.9%	\$2,129	\$2,223	4.4%
New Jersey Average	91.0%	89.3%		-170	-1.9%	\$2,129	\$2,223	4.4%
NM - Albuquerque	89.4%	89.4%		0	0.0%	\$1,379	\$1,422	3.1%
NM - Outlying	93.0%	92.1%		-90	-1.0%	\$1,310	\$1,392	6.3%
New Mexico Average	89.7%	89.7%		0	-0.1%	\$1,372	\$1,419	3.4%
NV - Las Vegas	89.1%	90.9%		180	2.0%	\$1,465	\$1,477	0.8%
NV - Outlying	95.2%	94.8%		-40	-0.5%	\$1,253	\$1,261	0.6%
NV - Reno	87.3%	88.4%		110	1.3%	\$1,619	\$1,701	5.1%
Nevada Average	88.7%	90.5%		180	2.0%	\$1,491	\$1,516	1.7%
NY - Albany	89.6%	90.8%		120	1.3%	\$1,576	\$1,639	3.9%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	84.9%	88.4%		350	4.1%	\$1,372	\$1,445	5.3%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	91.7%	94.1%		239	2.6%	\$1,473	\$1,625	10.3%
NY - New York City	82.1%	81.8%		-30	-0.3%	\$3,233	\$3,408	5.4%
NY - Outlying	92.2%	80.5%		-1170	-12.8%	\$1,322	\$1,268	-4.1%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	93.5%	94.8%		129	1.4%	\$1,893	\$1,937	2.3%
NY - Rochester	96.2%	96.5%		30	0.3%	\$1,408	\$1,527	8.5%
NY - Syracuse	98.3%	95.4%		-290	-2.9%	\$1,253	\$1,365	8.9%
New York Average	83.0%	84.2%		120	1.5%	\$2,850	\$3,018	5.9%
OH - Cincinnati	90.1%	92.0%		190	2.2%	\$1,377	\$1,440	4.6%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.1%	92.0%		90	1.0%	\$1,200	\$1,255	4.6%
OH - Columbus	89.7%	89.5%		-20	-0.2%	\$1,328	\$1,391	4.7%
OH - Dayton	94.2%	94.0%		-20	-0.2%	\$1,138	\$1,207	6.1%
OH - Outlying	99.7%	98.8%		-90	-0.8%	\$850	\$936	10.2%
OH - Toledo	93.9%	95.8%		190	2.0%	\$960	\$1,001	4.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Ohio Average	90.8%	91.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,262	\$1,323	4.8%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.5%	90.7%	120	1.4%	\$994	\$1,031	3.7%
OK - Outlying	91.9%	88.8%	-310	-3.4%	\$856	\$888	3.7%
OK - Tulsa	91.8%	90.0%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,002	\$1,048	4.6%
Oklahoma Average	90.5%	90.4%	-10	-0.2%	\$995	\$1,035	4.0%
OR - Central Oregon	89.7%	93.1%	340	3.8%	\$1,545	\$1,592	3.1%
OR - Outlying	97.2%	97.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,388	\$1,431	3.1%
OR - Portland	91.5%	92.4%	90	1.0%	\$1,754	\$1,784	1.7%
Oregon Average	91.3%	92.6%	130	1.4%	\$1,712	\$1,744	1.9%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	90.9%	92.8%	190	2.0%	\$1,460	\$1,531	4.9%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.5%	93.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,653	\$1,745	5.6%
PA - Outlying	98.0%	94.3%	-370	-3.7%	\$1,351	\$1,435	6.2%
PA - Philadelphia	90.8%	90.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,802	\$1,882	4.4%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.0%	94.4%	239	2.7%	\$1,422	\$1,495	5.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.0%	97.0%	100	1.0%	\$1,369	\$1,482	8.2%
Pennsylvania Average	91.3%	91.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,682	\$1,762	4.8%
RI - Providence	94.2%	94.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,025	\$2,165	6.9%
Rhode Island Average	94.2%	94.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,025	\$2,165	6.9%
SC - Charleston	87.5%	86.9%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,798	\$1,872	4.1%
SC - Columbia	88.6%	90.5%	190	2.1%	\$1,287	\$1,346	4.6%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	84.1%	88.0%	390	4.6%	\$1,335	\$1,395	4.5%
SC - Myrtle Beach	69.1%	77.4%	830	12.0%	\$1,550	\$1,619	4.5%
SC - Outlying	92.3%	91.2%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,214	\$1,307	7.6%
South Carolina Average	85.1%	87.2%	210	2.5%	\$1,499	\$1,570	4.8%
SD - Outlying	98.8%	98.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,009	\$1,028	2.0%
SD - Rapid City	77.8%	77.9%	10	0.2%	\$1,285	\$1,257	-2.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	87.6%	88.5%	90	1.1%	\$1,082	\$1,090	0.8%
South Dakota Average	86.2%	86.6%	40	0.5%	\$1,119	\$1,124	0.4%
TN - Chattanooga	84.1%	88.5%	440	5.3%	\$1,379	\$1,409	2.2%
TN - Knoxville	92.0%	92.9%	90	1.0%	\$1,482	\$1,526	2.9%
TN - Memphis	89.8%	90.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,166	\$1,202	3.1%
TN - Nashville	86.2%	87.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,610	\$1,657	2.9%
TN - Outlying	94.8%	96.0%	120	1.2%	\$1,120	\$1,192	6.4%
Tennessee Average	87.9%	88.7%	80	1.0%	\$1,449	\$1,496	3.3%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.0%	87.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,513	\$1,524	0.7%
TX - Greater Dallas	88.7%	88.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,560	\$1,567	0.5%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	88.0%	87.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,408	\$1,431	1.7%
TX - Abilene	89.6%	95.3%	569	6.4%	\$999	\$1,123	12.4%
TX - Amarillo	88.0%	90.0%	200	2.3%	\$934	\$967	3.6%
TX - Austin	83.0%	84.6%	160	1.9%	\$1,528	\$1,490	-2.5%
TX - Beaumont	88.5%	93.0%	450	5.0%	\$1,022	\$1,095	7.1%
TX - College Station	89.4%	89.6%	20	0.3%	\$1,524	\$1,552	1.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TX - Corpus Christi	84.5%	87.4%	290	3.4%	\$1,151	\$1,151	0.0%
TX - El Paso	92.8%	91.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,091	\$1,106	1.4%
TX - Houston	88.2%	88.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,327	\$1,344	1.3%
TX - Laredo	94.1%	93.5%	-59	-0.6%	\$1,118	\$1,159	3.7%
TX - Longview/Marshall	86.9%	90.3%	340	3.9%	\$1,032	\$1,078	4.4%
TX - Lubbock	86.8%	87.6%	80	0.9%	\$912	\$945	3.6%
TX - Lufkin	89.4%	89.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$944	\$966	2.3%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.8%	92.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,398	\$1,421	1.6%
TX - Outlying	94.2%	91.3%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,008	\$1,035	2.6%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	90.1%	86.7%	-340	-3.7%	\$995	\$1,015	2.0%
TX - San Angelo	93.0%	94.0%	99	1.1%	\$1,032	\$1,089	5.5%
TX - San Antonio	86.0%	85.5%	-50	-0.7%	\$1,229	\$1,233	0.3%
TX - Texarkana	88.6%	90.8%	220	2.4%	\$887	\$917	3.4%
TX - Tyler	85.5%	88.6%	310	3.5%	\$1,146	\$1,223	6.7%
TX - Victoria	92.7%	94.8%	209	2.3%	\$1,024	\$1,062	3.7%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	83.8%	86.9%	310	3.7%	\$1,139	\$1,154	1.3%
TX - Wichita Falls	85.4%	86.5%	110	1.3%	\$859	\$887	3.2%
Texas Average	87.3%	87.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,382	\$1,391	0.7%
UT - Ogden/Logan	85.4%	90.5%	510	6.0%	\$1,453	\$1,477	1.6%
UT - Outlying	82.9%	91.7%	880	10.6%	\$1,526	\$1,615	5.8%
UT - Provo/Orem	83.9%	86.4%	250	3.0%	\$1,558	\$1,594	2.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	85.5%	84.3%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,575	\$1,593	1.1%
Utah Average	85.2%	86.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,548	\$1,572	1.6%
VA - Norfolk	92.2%	94.4%	219	2.4%	\$1,535	\$1,609	4.8%
VA - Outlying	95.1%	92.8%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,307	\$1,336	2.3%
VA - Richmond	90.4%	92.1%	170	1.8%	\$1,555	\$1,710	10.0%
VA - Roanoke	94.8%	94.9%	10	0.1%	\$1,230	\$1,295	5.3%
Virginia Average	91.6%	93.2%	160	1.7%	\$1,517	\$1,627	7.2%
WA - Olympia	87.5%	90.0%	250	2.8%	\$1,692	\$1,768	4.5%
WA - Outlying	89.2%	87.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,219	\$1,204	-1.3%
WA - SE Washington	91.5%	93.3%	180	2.0%	\$1,421	\$1,412	-0.6%
WA - Seattle	90.9%	92.0%	110	1.2%	\$2,137	\$2,185	2.2%
WA - Spokane	85.8%	92.6%	680	7.9%	\$1,411	\$1,429	1.3%
Washington Average	90.3%	92.0%	170	1.9%	\$2,020	\$2,060	2.0%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	90.7%	92.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,038	\$1,112	7.1%
WI - Madison	90.0%	88.3%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,502	\$1,586	5.6%
WI - Milwaukee	90.7%	90.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,473	\$1,566	6.3%
WI - Outlying	72.3%	74.3%	200	2.8%	\$1,140	\$1,182	3.6%
Wisconsin Average	89.1%	89.4%	30	0.3%	\$1,397	\$1,482	6.1%
WV - Charleston	95.1%	84.4%	-1069	-11.3%	\$1,071	\$1,152	7.6%
WV - Outlying	97.8%	96.4%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,073	\$1,133	5.5%
West Virginia Average	95.8%	88.1%	-769	-8.0%	\$1,071	\$1,148	7.1%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
DC - Washington	91.1%	91.6%	50	0.5%	\$2,216	\$2,300	3.8%	
DE - Outlying	82.2%	89.7%	750	9.2%	\$1,606	\$1,713	6.7%	
HI - State of Hawaii	92.4%	96.3%	389	4.3%	\$2,443	\$2,514	2.9%	
MT - State of Montana	76.2%	82.7%	649	8.5%	\$1,665	\$1,709	2.7%	
NH - Concord	66.1%	78.7%	1260	19.0%	\$1,848	\$2,006	8.5%	
VT - Outlying	76.1%	76.9%	80	1.1%	\$1,955	\$2,003	2.5%	
WY - State of Wyoming	94.7%	94.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,308	\$1,292	-1.2%	
National Average	88.5%	89.3%	80	0.9%	\$1,723	\$1,780	3.3%	

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

Jackie@alndata.com

Tammy Longo

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 249

Tammy@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 259

KNelsen@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com