

OVERALL MARKET								
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Sep-24	Sep-25	bps	%CHG	Sep-24	Sep-25	%CHG	
AK - Anchorage	92.2%	94.3%	209	2.3%	\$1,474	\$1,540	4.5%	
AK - Outlying	92.7%	93.0%	30	0.4%	\$1,590	\$1,628	2.3%	
Alaska Average	92.3%	94.1%	179	2.0%	\$1,502	\$1,561	4.0%	
AL - Birmingham	88.0%	91.7%	370	4.1%	\$1,185	\$1,246	5.1%	
AL - Huntsville	80.4%	84.3%	389	4.8%	\$1,184	\$1,178	-0.5%	
AL - Mobile	89.2%	90.6%	140	1.5%	\$1,229	\$1,274	3.6%	
AL - Montgomery	88.8%	93.7%	490	5.6%	\$1,012	\$1,060	4.8%	
AL - Outlying	95.6%	96.1%	50	0.5%	\$866	\$919	6.0%	
Alabama Average	86.2%	89.5%	330	3.9%	\$1,159	\$1,197	3.3%	
AR - Little Rock	88.2%	88.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,011	\$1,038	2.6%	
AR - Northwest Arkansas	86.3%	82.5%	-380	-4.4%	\$1,040	\$1,139	9.5%	
AR - Outlying	94.4%	95.1%	70	0.7%	\$836	\$853	2.0%	
Arkansas Average	88.0%	86.6%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,007	\$1,065	5.8%	
AZ - Flagstaff	89.0%	86.9%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,818	\$1,822	0.2%	
AZ - Outlying	95.2%	93.6%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,174	\$1,158	-1.3%	
AZ - Phoenix	85.7%	86.8%	110	1.3%	\$1,551	\$1,553	0.2%	
AZ - Tucson	88.1%	91.0%	290	3.3%	\$1,185	\$1,166	-1.6%	
Arizona Average	86.2%	87.5%	130	1.4%	\$1,493	\$1,496	0.2%	
CA - Bakersfield	93.3%	92.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,465	\$1,493	1.9%	
CA - Central Coast	93.0%	94.0%	99	1.0%	\$2,634	\$2,713	3.0%	
CA - Fresno/Visalia	95.4%	95.9%	50	0.6%	\$1,523	\$1,552	1.9%	
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.0%	92.2%	120	1.3%	\$2,730	\$2,803	2.7%	
CA - Outlying	96.9%	96.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,350	\$1,385	2.6%	
CA - Sacramento	92.2%	93.0%	80	0.8%	\$1,921	\$1,943	1.1%	
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.9%	90.7%	-220	-2.4%	\$2,179	\$2,226	2.2%	
CA - San Diego	91.3%	92.3%	100	1.1%	\$2,740	\$2,794	2.0%	
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.1%	92.9%	380	4.2%	\$2,853	\$3,035	6.4%	
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	92.5%	91.4%	-110	-1.2%	\$3,120	\$3,330	6.7%	
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.4%	\$2,352	\$2,366	0.6%	
CA - Stockton	94.8%	95.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,730	\$1,765	2.1%	
California Average	91.5%	92.3%	80	0.9%	\$2,573	\$2,658	3.3%	
CO - Boulder	84.1%	88.5%	440	5.2%	\$2,102	\$2,037	-3.1%	
CO - Colorado Springs	82.8%	86.5%	370	4.5%	\$1,473	\$1,467	-0.4%	
CO - Denver	86.2%	86.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,911	\$1,865	-2.4%	
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	82.9%	88.8%	590	7.0%	\$1,736	\$1,738	0.1%	
CO - Outlying	89.1%	82.6%	-650	-7.3%	\$1,828	\$1,935	5.8%	
Colorado Average	85.4%	86.9%	150	1.8%	\$1,841	\$1,807	-1.8%	
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	84.0%	82.1%	-190	-2.4%	\$2,955	\$3,069	3.8%	
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.4%	89.1%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,864	\$1,963	5.3%	
Connecticut Average	89.3%	86.9%	-240	-2.6%	\$2,178	\$2,302	5.7%	
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	86.4%	91.3%	490	5.7%	\$1,518	\$1,540	1.4%	
FL - Fort Lauderdale	88.8%	90.4%	160	1.8%	\$2,404	\$2,479	3.1%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	74.8%	79.9%	510	6.8%	\$1,917	\$1,819	-5.1%
FL - Gainesville	88.9%	90.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,659	\$1,731	4.3%
FL - Jacksonville	82.8%	86.7%	390	4.7%	\$1,453	\$1,508	3.8%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	75.3%	81.6%	629	8.4%	\$1,539	\$1,594	3.6%
FL - Melbourne	86.6%	85.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,674	\$1,759	5.1%
FL - Miami	85.4%	85.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$2,540	\$2,623	3.3%
FL - Orlando	86.8%	86.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,770	\$1,799	1.7%
FL - Palm Beach	89.2%	91.1%	190	2.2%	\$2,428	\$2,550	5.0%
FL - Pensacola	84.0%	85.7%	170	2.0%	\$1,580	\$1,599	1.2%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	80.9%	81.0%	10	0.2%	\$1,878	\$1,889	0.6%
FL - Tallahassee	89.4%	91.0%	160	1.8%	\$1,404	\$1,473	4.9%
FL - Tampa	87.6%	88.8%	120	1.4%	\$1,782	\$1,870	4.9%
Florida Average	85.6%	87.0%	140	1.7%	\$1,900	\$1,954	2.8%
GA - Albany	89.4%	90.7%	130	1.5%	\$1,007	\$1,029	2.1%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	89.9%	91.5%	160	1.7%	\$1,312	\$1,330	1.4%
GA - Atlanta	85.1%	88.3%	320	3.7%	\$1,604	\$1,674	4.4%
GA - Augusta	86.2%	88.5%	230	2.6%	\$1,160	\$1,258	8.5%
GA - Columbus	88.1%	90.0%	190	2.2%	\$1,176	\$1,216	3.4%
GA - Macon	86.0%	86.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,149	\$1,196	4.1%
GA - Outlying	89.3%	94.9%	559	6.3%	\$1,419	\$1,838	29.5%
GA - Savannah	80.3%	83.0%	269	3.4%	\$1,676	\$1,731	3.3%
Georgia Average	85.1%	88.0%	290	3.4%	\$1,545	\$1,612	4.3%
IA - Des Moines	87.5%	89.3%	180	2.0%	\$1,150	\$1,186	3.2%
IA - Outlying	92.9%	93.3%	40	0.5%	\$1,061	\$1,086	2.4%
Iowa Average	87.5%	89.6%	210	2.4%	\$1,142	\$1,178	3.1%
ID - Boise	83.9%	84.8%	90	1.2%	\$1,558	\$1,630	4.6%
ID - Outlying	94.4%	96.0%	160	1.7%	\$929	\$1,009	8.6%
Idaho Average	84.0%	85.3%	130	1.5%	\$1,532	\$1,604	4.7%
IL - Chicago	92.1%	93.5%	140	1.6%	\$1,999	\$2,153	7.7%
IL - Moline	87.3%	90.0%	270	3.1%	\$978	\$1,037	6.0%
IL - Outlying	86.5%	95.1%	859	10.0%	\$790	\$813	2.9%
IL - Springfield	95.7%	94.0%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,051	\$1,117	6.2%
Illinois Average	92.0%	93.4%	140	1.5%	\$1,874	\$2,016	7.6%
IN - Evansville	95.3%	94.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$995	\$1,050	5.5%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.8%	95.3%	50	0.6%	\$1,067	\$1,134	6.3%
IN - Indianapolis	90.3%	91.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,275	\$1,326	4.0%
IN - Outlying	98.8%	97.1%	-170	-1.6%	\$1,020	\$1,036	1.6%
IN - South Bend	93.6%	93.9%	29	0.4%	\$1,157	\$1,287	11.2%
Indiana Average	91.2%	92.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,226	\$1,287	5.0%
KS - Outlying	97.4%	97.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$707	\$753	6.4%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.0%	93.7%	70	0.8%	\$973	\$1,030	5.9%
KS - Wichita	91.6%	92.1%	50	0.6%	\$907	\$955	5.3%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Kansas Average	91.9%	92.9%	100	1.1%	\$929	\$981	5.6%
KY - Lexington	90.7%	92.3%	160	1.7%	\$1,181	\$1,250	5.8%
KY - Louisville	88.5%	89.9%	140	1.5%	\$1,235	\$1,274	3.2%
KY - Outlying	93.0%	84.0%	-900	-9.7%	\$818	\$885	8.2%
Kentucky Average	89.3%	90.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,209	\$1,258	4.0%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.3%	89.5%	220	2.5%	\$1,148	\$1,202	4.7%
LA - Lake Charles	87.3%	87.8%	50	0.6%	\$1,015	\$1,102	8.6%
LA - Monroe	92.1%	91.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$932	\$979	5.1%
LA - New Orleans	89.6%	90.5%	90	1.0%	\$1,249	\$1,279	2.4%
LA - Outlying	87.3%	94.3%	699	8.0%	\$876	\$937	6.9%
LA - Shreveport	89.5%	92.2%	270	3.0%	\$999	\$1,079	8.0%
Louisiana Average	88.6%	90.3%	170	1.9%	\$1,141	\$1,192	4.5%
MA - Boston	90.3%	91.9%	160	1.8%	\$2,817	\$2,947	4.6%
MA - Outlying	100.0%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$1,640	\$2,220	35.4%
MA - Springfield	97.1%	96.4%	-70	-0.6%	\$1,659	\$1,722	3.8%
Massachusetts Average	90.6%	92.1%	150	1.6%	\$2,771	\$2,900	4.7%
MD - Baltimore	91.8%	94.5%	269	3.0%	\$1,700	\$1,756	3.3%
MD - Outlying	97.5%	90.2%	-729	-7.5%	\$1,510	\$1,584	4.9%
Maryland Average	92.0%	94.4%	239	2.7%	\$1,695	\$1,752	3.3%
ME - Augusta/Portland	87.4%	87.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,980	\$2,123	7.2%
Maine Average	87.4%	87.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,980	\$2,123	7.2%
MI - Ann Arbor	89.9%	91.6%	170	1.9%	\$1,548	\$1,576	1.8%
MI - Detroit	92.1%	93.7%	160	1.7%	\$1,334	\$1,418	6.3%
MI - Flint	92.8%	96.0%	319	3.6%	\$1,014	\$1,096	8.0%
MI - Grand Rapids	92.5%	94.6%	209	2.3%	\$1,419	\$1,496	5.4%
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.4%	93.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,148	\$1,229	7.1%
MI - Lansing	94.3%	95.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,243	\$1,344	8.1%
MI - Outlying	95.0%	95.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,093	\$1,158	6.0%
Michigan Average	92.2%	93.8%	159	1.7%	\$1,312	\$1,391	6.0%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.2%	91.4%	220	2.5%	\$1,521	\$1,602	5.3%
MN - Outlying	75.2%	94.5%	1929	25.7%	\$1,290	\$1,330	3.1%
Minnesota Average	88.9%	91.5%	260	2.9%	\$1,514	\$1,593	5.3%
MO - Columbia	95.6%	96.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,060	\$1,062	0.2%
MO - Kansas City	90.6%	91.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,326	\$1,412	6.5%
MO - Outlying	92.6%	71.2%	-2140	-23.1%	\$689	\$822	19.3%
MO - Springfield	93.1%	95.5%	239	2.6%	\$945	\$1,010	6.9%
MO - St. Louis	89.8%	91.6%	180	2.1%	\$1,314	\$1,395	6.1%
Missouri Average	90.4%	91.6%	120	1.4%	\$1,286	\$1,367	6.3%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.0%	95.2%	219	2.4%	\$1,095	\$1,158	5.8%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	89.2%	92.9%	370	4.2%	\$1,142	\$1,191	4.3%
MS - Outlying	93.2%	95.3%	209	2.3%	\$1,091	\$1,156	5.9%
Mississippi Average	91.2%	94.1%	289	3.2%	\$1,116	\$1,173	5.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NC - Asheville	90.6%	83.6%	-700	-7.7%	\$1,660	\$1,638	-1.3%
NC - Charlotte	85.1%	86.1%	100	1.2%	\$1,558	\$1,625	4.3%
NC - Fayetteville	90.9%	93.8%	289	3.1%	\$1,234	\$1,297	5.1%
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.4%	92.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,260	\$1,282	1.7%
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.2%	\$1,119	\$1,150	2.8%
NC - Outlying	97.6%	99.7%	210	2.1%	\$1,380	\$1,467	6.3%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	84.9%	87.8%	290	3.5%	\$1,541	\$1,574	2.1%
NC - Wilmington	78.4%	83.9%	549	7.1%	\$1,453	\$1,499	3.2%
NC - Winston-Salem	91.8%	91.4%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,218	\$1,242	1.9%
North Carolina Average	86.0%	87.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,477	\$1,525	3.2%
ND - Bismarck	97.1%	96.4%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,158	\$1,242	7.3%
ND - Fargo	87.3%	92.7%	540	6.2%	\$1,016	\$1,039	2.2%
ND - Outlying	93.0%	95.3%	229	2.5%	\$1,155	\$1,257	8.9%
North Dakota Average	91.2%	94.4%	319	3.5%	\$1,088	\$1,146	5.3%
NE - Lincoln	88.1%	92.9%	480	5.5%	\$1,173	\$1,237	5.4%
NE - Omaha	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,245	\$1,298	4.2%
NE - Outlying	98.7%	97.2%	-150	-1.5%	\$891	\$1,013	13.7%
Nebraska Average	90.7%	92.6%	190	2.1%	\$1,221	\$1,277	4.6%
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$2,140	\$2,236	4.5%
New Jersey Average	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$2,140	\$2,236	4.5%
NM - Albuquerque	89.3%	90.0%	70	0.8%	\$1,381	\$1,433	3.8%
NM - Outlying	93.2%	92.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,309	\$1,400	6.9%
New Mexico Average	89.6%	90.2%	60	0.6%	\$1,373	\$1,429	4.1%
NV - Las Vegas	89.0%	91.2%	220	2.4%	\$1,467	\$1,480	0.9%
NV - Outlying	94.3%	93.6%	-69	-0.8%	\$1,273	\$1,455	14.3%
NV - Reno	86.8%	90.9%	410	4.8%	\$1,618	\$1,714	5.9%
Nevada Average	88.6%	91.1%	250	2.8%	\$1,493	\$1,523	2.0%
NY - Albany	90.0%	90.6%	60	0.6%	\$1,573	\$1,647	4.7%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	83.9%	87.5%	360	4.3%	\$1,374	\$1,437	4.6%
NY - Finger Lakes Region	91.7%	93.9%	219	2.4%	\$1,470	\$1,690	14.9%
NY - New York City	82.2%	82.5%	30	0.4%	\$3,246	\$3,414	5.2%
NY - Outlying	92.1%	80.2%	-1190	-12.9%	\$1,322	\$1,272	-3.8%
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	94.2%	94.7%	50	0.6%	\$1,896	\$1,939	2.3%
NY - Rochester	95.5%	96.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,421	\$1,526	7.4%
NY - Syracuse	98.4%	95.5%	-290	-2.9%	\$1,260	\$1,373	9.0%
New York Average	83.9%	84.7%	80	1.0%	\$2,865	\$3,026	5.6%
OH - Cincinnati	90.2%	92.7%	250	2.7%	\$1,377	\$1,442	4.7%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.5%	92.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,202	\$1,258	4.7%
OH - Columbus	89.6%	90.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,327	\$1,389	4.7%
OH - Dayton	94.2%	93.8%	-40	-0.3%	\$1,135	\$1,203	6.0%
OH - Outlying	99.7%	97.3%	-240	-2.3%	\$862	\$1,003	16.4%
OH - Toledo	94.0%	95.5%	150	1.5%	\$965	\$1,003	4.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Ohio Average	90.9%	91.8%	90	1.1%	\$1,262	\$1,324	4.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.3%	89.8%	50	0.6%	\$998	\$1,032	3.3%
OK - Outlying	91.9%	90.9%	-100	-1.0%	\$859	\$891	3.7%
OK - Tulsa	91.6%	90.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,009	\$1,050	4.1%
Oklahoma Average	90.3%	90.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,000	\$1,036	3.6%
OR - Central Oregon	89.2%	93.3%	410	4.6%	\$1,548	\$1,598	3.2%
OR - Outlying	93.6%	98.0%	439	4.7%	\$1,408	\$1,440	2.3%
OR - Portland	91.3%	92.9%	160	1.8%	\$1,757	\$1,784	1.5%
Oregon Average	91.0%	93.0%	200	2.3%	\$1,715	\$1,745	1.7%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	91.0%	92.5%	150	1.6%	\$1,464	\$1,532	4.7%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,667	\$1,745	4.7%
PA - Outlying	97.9%	94.0%	-390	-4.0%	\$1,352	\$1,438	6.4%
PA - Philadelphia	89.7%	91.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,809	\$1,885	4.2%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.2%	94.2%	99	1.1%	\$1,423	\$1,500	5.4%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.0%	97.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,375	\$1,484	7.9%
Pennsylvania Average	90.8%	92.0%	120	1.3%	\$1,688	\$1,764	4.5%
RI - Providence	94.3%	93.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,033	\$2,167	6.6%
Rhode Island Average	94.3%	93.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,033	\$2,167	6.6%
SC - Charleston	86.8%	89.8%	300	3.5%	\$1,801	\$1,883	4.6%
SC - Columbia	88.8%	90.9%	210	2.3%	\$1,288	\$1,343	4.3%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	83.6%	88.9%	530	6.4%	\$1,336	\$1,398	4.6%
SC - Myrtle Beach	70.0%	77.7%	770	11.1%	\$1,548	\$1,627	5.1%
SC - Outlying	92.0%	90.7%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,230	\$1,299	5.6%
South Carolina Average	84.6%	88.6%	400	4.7%	\$1,501	\$1,574	4.9%
SD - Outlying	98.9%	91.8%	-709	-7.2%	\$1,011	\$1,041	2.9%
SD - Rapid City	77.4%	79.5%	210	2.6%	\$1,277	\$1,273	-0.3%
SD - Sioux Falls	88.1%	89.0%	90	1.0%	\$1,082	\$1,094	1.2%
South Dakota Average	86.5%	86.7%	20	0.3%	\$1,117	\$1,132	1.3%
TN - Chattanooga	84.0%	88.9%	490	5.8%	\$1,379	\$1,413	2.5%
TN - Knoxville	91.2%	93.4%	220	2.4%	\$1,492	\$1,525	2.2%
TN - Memphis	89.6%	90.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,164	\$1,196	2.8%
TN - Nashville	85.7%	87.7%	200	2.3%	\$1,606	\$1,665	3.7%
TN - Outlying	95.0%	92.4%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,127	\$1,200	6.5%
Tennessee Average	87.4%	89.1%	170	1.9%	\$1,449	\$1,500	3.5%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	87.9%	87.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,509	\$1,526	1.1%
TX - Greater Dallas	88.4%	89.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,558	\$1,570	0.8%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	88.2%	86.9%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,401	\$1,431	2.2%
TX - Abilene	89.4%	95.3%	589	6.7%	\$1,002	\$1,147	14.5%
TX - Amarillo	87.6%	88.8%	120	1.4%	\$941	\$975	3.6%
TX - Austin	83.2%	84.7%	150	1.9%	\$1,510	\$1,493	-1.2%
TX - Beaumont	89.2%	93.8%	459	5.2%	\$1,028	\$1,099	6.9%
TX - College Station	93.2%	90.9%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,513	\$1,555	2.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TX - Corpus Christi	84.8%	87.4%	260	3.1%	\$1,153	\$1,155	0.2%
TX - El Paso	92.3%	92.3%	0	0.0%	\$1,091	\$1,113	2.0%
TX - Houston	88.3%	88.6%	30	0.4%	\$1,326	\$1,346	1.5%
TX - Laredo	94.0%	93.1%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,129	\$1,133	0.4%
TX - Longview/Marshall	86.9%	89.1%	220	2.6%	\$1,025	\$1,062	3.6%
TX - Lubbock	86.2%	87.7%	150	1.7%	\$919	\$946	2.9%
TX - Lufkin	90.2%	89.4%	-80	-0.9%	\$947	\$982	3.7%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.8%	92.5%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,402	\$1,410	0.5%
TX - Outlying	93.9%	92.0%	-189	-2.1%	\$1,012	\$1,018	0.6%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	90.6%	86.3%	-430	-4.8%	\$995	\$1,008	1.3%
TX - San Angelo	93.7%	93.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,039	\$1,082	4.1%
TX - San Antonio	85.1%	85.9%	80	1.0%	\$1,230	\$1,229	-0.1%
TX - Texarkana	87.8%	90.6%	280	3.2%	\$882	\$919	4.2%
TX - Tyler	85.8%	90.0%	420	4.9%	\$1,155	\$1,216	5.2%
TX - Victoria	93.3%	94.1%	79	0.9%	\$1,029	\$1,060	3.1%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	84.0%	87.3%	330	3.9%	\$1,137	\$1,149	1.0%
TX - Wichita Falls	86.8%	88.6%	180	2.1%	\$853	\$886	3.8%
Texas Average	87.3%	87.7%	40	0.5%	\$1,378	\$1,393	1.0%
UT - Ogden/Logan	86.1%	90.9%	480	5.5%	\$1,451	\$1,483	2.2%
UT - Outlying	82.7%	91.0%	830	10.1%	\$1,529	\$1,615	5.6%
UT - Provo/Orem	83.1%	87.7%	460	5.7%	\$1,558	\$1,620	3.9%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.5%	86.4%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,583	\$1,587	0.3%
Utah Average	85.9%	87.5%	160	1.9%	\$1,553	\$1,573	1.3%
VA - Norfolk	92.7%	94.5%	179	1.9%	\$1,538	\$1,618	5.2%
VA - Outlying	95.1%	92.8%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,307	\$1,336	2.3%
VA - Richmond	91.0%	92.6%	160	1.7%	\$1,560	\$1,709	9.6%
VA - Roanoke	94.9%	96.0%	110	1.2%	\$1,234	\$1,296	5.1%
Virginia Average	92.1%	93.7%	160	1.7%	\$1,521	\$1,631	7.2%
WA - Olympia	87.2%	92.8%	560	6.4%	\$1,681	\$1,762	4.8%
WA - Outlying	88.4%	87.3%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,182	\$1,205	1.9%
WA - SE Washington	91.8%	92.9%	110	1.3%	\$1,411	\$1,414	0.2%
WA - Seattle	91.2%	92.0%	80	0.9%	\$2,138	\$2,186	2.2%
WA - Spokane	86.5%	92.7%	620	7.2%	\$1,415	\$1,422	0.5%
Washington Average	90.3%	92.1%	180	2.0%	\$2,018	\$2,060	2.1%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	89.4%	92.2%	280	3.1%	\$1,042	\$1,114	6.8%
WI - Madison	87.9%	89.3%	140	1.5%	\$1,515	\$1,586	4.7%
WI - Milwaukee	91.3%	91.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,481	\$1,571	6.1%
WI - Outlying	71.8%	74.1%	230	3.1%	\$1,132	\$1,173	3.6%
Wisconsin Average	88.7%	90.0%	130	1.5%	\$1,406	\$1,484	5.6%
WV - Charleston	94.2%	79.3%	-1489	-15.8%	\$1,068	\$1,160	8.6%
WV - Outlying	98.1%	97.4%	-70	-0.6%	\$1,075	\$1,160	8.0%
West Virginia Average	95.0%	85.4%	-959	-10.2%	\$1,069	\$1,160	8.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DC - Washington	91.0%	91.9%	90	1.0%	\$2,222	\$2,305	3.7%
DE - Outlying	82.6%	88.7%	610	7.5%	\$1,613	\$1,736	7.7%
HI - State of Hawaii	92.9%	96.0%	309	3.4%	\$2,433	\$2,514	3.3%
MT - State of Montana	74.7%	85.5%	1079	14.5%	\$1,669	\$1,717	2.9%
NH - Concord	69.6%	85.4%	1580	22.7%	\$1,852	\$2,028	9.5%
VT - Outlying	74.7%	87.0%	1230	16.5%	\$2,017	\$1,995	-1.1%
WY - State of Wyoming	95.1%	95.6%	50	0.5%	\$1,309	\$1,303	-0.4%
National Average	88.3%	89.6%	130	1.5%	\$1,725	\$1,784	3.4%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

Jackie@alndata.com

Tammy Longo

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 249

Tammy@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 259

KNelsen@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com