

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Oct-24	Oct-25	bps	%CHG	Oct-24	Oct-25	%CHG	
AK - Anchorage	91.2%	93.8%	259	2.9%	\$1,474	\$1,520	3.1%	
AK - Outlying	92.9%	93.1%	20	0.3%	\$1,580	\$1,641	3.9%	
Alaska Average	91.5%	93.7%	220	2.4%	\$1,500	\$1,549	3.3%	
AL - Birmingham	87.1%	91.7%	460	5.2%	\$1,197	\$1,223	2.2%	
AL - Huntsville	80.7%	84.4%	369	4.6%	\$1,185	\$1,167	-1.5%	
AL - Mobile	87.4%	90.4%	300	3.4%	\$1,221	\$1,260	3.1%	
AL - Montgomery	89.2%	93.2%	400	4.6%	\$1,018	\$1,059	4.1%	
AL - Outlying	95.7%	94.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$872	\$905	3.8%	
Alabama Average	85.7%	89.4%	370	4.4%	\$1,164	\$1,182	1.6%	
AR - Little Rock	87.4%	89.6%	220	2.5%	\$1,009	\$1,029	1.9%	
AR - Northwest Arkansas	86.5%	82.6%	-390	-4.5%	\$1,045	\$1,135	8.5%	
AR - Outlying	94.8%	95.3%	50	0.5%	\$833	\$842	1.1%	
Arkansas Average	87.6%	87.2%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,008	\$1,058	5.0%	
AZ - Flagstaff	89.5%	86.9%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,807	\$1,798	-0.5%	
AZ - Outlying	96.4%	93.6%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,163	\$1,166	0.3%	
AZ - Phoenix	85.0%	86.8%	180	2.1%	\$1,555	\$1,530	-1.6%	
AZ - Tucson	88.2%	91.1%	290	3.4%	\$1,178	\$1,149	-2.5%	
Arizona Average	85.8%	87.3%	150	1.8%	\$1,496	\$1,474	-1.4%	
CA - Bakersfield	93.3%	93.7%	40	0.5%	\$1,469	\$1,493	1.7%	
CA - Central Coast	93.3%	93.9%	59	0.6%	\$2,636	\$2,680	1.7%	
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.9%	95.9%	100	1.0%	\$1,525	\$1,548	1.5%	
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.2%	92.0%	80	0.9%	\$2,737	\$2,797	2.2%	
CA - Outlying	96.4%	96.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,359	\$1,383	1.8%	
CA - Sacramento	92.2%	92.3%	10	0.2%	\$1,922	\$1,919	-0.1%	
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.4%	90.1%	-230	-2.5%	\$2,188	\$2,222	1.5%	
CA - San Diego	91.8%	91.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,755	\$2,784	1.1%	
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.1%	92.6%	350	3.9%	\$2,861	\$3,029	5.9%	
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	90.3%	91.4%	110	1.2%	\$3,131	\$3,319	6.0%	
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.0%	89.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,343	\$2,358	0.6%	
CA - Stockton	94.9%	95.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,728	\$1,761	1.9%	
California Average	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.7%	\$2,580	\$2,649	2.6%	
CO - Boulder	85.0%	87.7%	270	3.1%	\$2,097	\$1,974	-5.8%	
CO - Colorado Springs	82.5%	86.3%	380	4.6%	\$1,477	\$1,440	-2.5%	
CO - Denver	85.5%	86.3%	80	0.9%	\$1,895	\$1,819	-4.0%	
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	83.1%	87.2%	410	5.0%	\$1,722	\$1,699	-1.3%	
CO - Outlying	88.7%	81.3%	-740	-8.4%	\$1,842	\$1,909	3.6%	
Colorado Average	84.9%	86.4%	150	1.7%	\$1,829	\$1,764	-3.6%	
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	82.2%	85.8%	360	4.3%	\$2,963	\$3,057	3.2%	
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.4%	89.1%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,874	\$1,960	4.6%	
Connecticut Average	88.7%	88.1%	-60	-0.8%	\$2,203	\$2,294	4.1%	
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	86.9%	91.3%	440	5.1%	\$1,520	\$1,528	0.5%	
FL - Fort Lauderdale	87.5%	90.5%	300	3.4%	\$2,405	\$2,471	2.8%	

LIVE WEBINAR

December 2, 2025 | 10:00 AM Central

MULTIFAMILY 2025 RECAP & 2026 OUTLOOK



Register now at
alndata.co/2025-recap
or scan the QR Code



JORDAN BROOKS
DIRECTOR OF MARKET ANALYTICS

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	74.8%	81.5%	669	9.0%	\$1,901	\$1,780	-6.4%
FL - Gainesville	88.4%	91.0%	260	3.0%	\$1,660	\$1,718	3.5%
FL - Jacksonville	81.9%	87.6%	570	7.0%	\$1,459	\$1,480	1.4%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	74.9%	82.7%	779	10.3%	\$1,535	\$1,584	3.2%
FL - Melbourne	85.1%	86.9%	180	2.1%	\$1,685	\$1,740	3.3%
FL - Miami	84.5%	85.5%	100	1.2%	\$2,529	\$2,610	3.2%
FL - Orlando	86.3%	86.5%	20	0.3%	\$1,765	\$1,764	-0.1%
FL - Palm Beach	87.9%	91.6%	370	4.1%	\$2,439	\$2,529	3.7%
FL - Pensacola	83.4%	85.9%	250	3.0%	\$1,574	\$1,576	0.2%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	79.5%	82.3%	279	3.4%	\$1,902	\$1,823	-4.1%
FL - Tallahassee	87.5%	90.5%	300	3.4%	\$1,412	\$1,464	3.7%
FL - Tampa	86.6%	88.4%	180	2.1%	\$1,786	\$1,825	2.2%
Florida Average	84.8%	87.3%	250	3.0%	\$1,901	\$1,925	1.3%
GA - Albany	89.1%	90.2%	110	1.3%	\$1,011	\$1,047	3.5%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	85.6%	90.6%	500	5.8%	\$1,306	\$1,297	-0.7%
GA - Atlanta	84.3%	88.6%	430	5.1%	\$1,599	\$1,653	3.4%
GA - Augusta	86.1%	89.2%	310	3.7%	\$1,184	\$1,254	5.9%
GA - Columbus	86.8%	89.5%	270	3.1%	\$1,175	\$1,214	3.3%
GA - Macon	85.5%	86.7%	120	1.5%	\$1,143	\$1,196	4.6%
GA - Outlying	94.0%	92.7%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,646	\$1,690	2.7%
GA - Savannah	80.0%	83.9%	389	4.9%	\$1,672	\$1,699	1.6%
Georgia Average	84.2%	88.2%	400	4.7%	\$1,542	\$1,592	3.3%
IA - Des Moines	86.8%	89.4%	260	3.0%	\$1,146	\$1,175	2.5%
IA - Outlying	94.7%	93.5%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,050	\$1,091	3.9%
Iowa Average	87.5%	89.7%	220	2.6%	\$1,138	\$1,168	2.6%
ID - Boise	82.1%	84.8%	270	3.2%	\$1,560	\$1,614	3.5%
ID - Outlying	96.0%	97.0%	100	1.0%	\$928	\$1,009	8.7%
Idaho Average	82.5%	85.2%	270	3.4%	\$1,535	\$1,590	3.6%
IL - Chicago	91.5%	93.7%	220	2.3%	\$2,008	\$2,137	6.4%
IL - Moline	87.3%	92.1%	480	5.5%	\$982	\$1,034	5.3%
IL - Outlying	87.9%	95.0%	709	8.1%	\$791	\$816	3.2%
IL - Springfield	94.8%	94.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,045	\$1,124	7.6%
Illinois Average	91.5%	93.6%	210	2.4%	\$1,882	\$2,002	6.4%
IN - Evansville	95.2%	93.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$995	\$1,044	4.9%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.9%	95.4%	50	0.5%	\$1,073	\$1,128	5.2%
IN - Indianapolis	89.7%	90.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,277	\$1,313	2.8%
IN - Outlying	97.6%	97.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,005	\$1,036	3.1%
IN - South Bend	93.6%	94.3%	69	0.7%	\$1,176	\$1,293	10.0%
Indiana Average	90.7%	91.5%	80	0.8%	\$1,230	\$1,277	3.8%
KS - Outlying	97.4%	96.6%	-80	-0.8%	\$707	\$751	6.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.7%	94.2%	49	0.5%	\$976	\$1,031	5.6%
KS - Wichita	91.7%	91.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$907	\$954	5.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Kansas Average	92.2%	92.5%	30	0.3%	\$930	\$980	5.4%
KY - Lexington	90.3%	92.1%	180	2.1%	\$1,189	\$1,246	4.8%
KY - Louisville	87.1%	88.4%	130	1.5%	\$1,238	\$1,260	1.8%
KY - Outlying	91.5%	86.5%	-500	-5.4%	\$840	\$873	3.9%
Kentucky Average	88.2%	89.5%	130	1.5%	\$1,215	\$1,246	2.6%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.0%	89.8%	280	3.2%	\$1,152	\$1,187	3.0%
LA - Lake Charles	88.2%	86.8%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,012	\$1,102	8.9%
LA - Monroe	92.7%	91.9%	-80	-0.8%	\$930	\$989	6.4%
LA - New Orleans	88.8%	90.7%	190	2.1%	\$1,248	\$1,270	1.7%
LA - Outlying	85.6%	94.5%	889	10.4%	\$878	\$936	6.6%
LA - Shreveport	89.7%	92.5%	280	3.0%	\$1,009	\$1,066	5.6%
Louisiana Average	88.2%	90.4%	220	2.5%	\$1,144	\$1,182	3.3%
MA - Boston	89.9%	92.0%	210	2.4%	\$2,815	\$2,918	3.7%
MA - Outlying	100.0%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$1,640	\$2,249	37.1%
MA - Springfield	96.5%	96.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,666	\$1,719	3.2%
Massachusetts Average	90.1%	92.2%	210	2.2%	\$2,770	\$2,873	3.7%
MD - Baltimore	91.9%	94.3%	239	2.6%	\$1,703	\$1,749	2.7%
MD - Outlying	97.7%	89.4%	-829	-8.4%	\$1,513	\$1,571	3.8%
Maryland Average	92.0%	94.1%	209	2.3%	\$1,698	\$1,744	2.7%
ME - Augusta/Portland	88.3%	89.5%	120	1.3%	\$1,959	\$2,109	7.7%
Maine Average	88.3%	89.5%	120	1.3%	\$1,959	\$2,109	7.7%
MI - Ann Arbor	89.9%	91.6%	170	1.9%	\$1,548	\$1,552	0.2%
MI - Detroit	92.1%	93.8%	169	1.8%	\$1,342	\$1,405	4.7%
MI - Flint	93.0%	96.0%	299	3.2%	\$1,019	\$1,100	7.9%
MI - Grand Rapids	92.1%	94.1%	199	2.2%	\$1,413	\$1,484	5.0%
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.4%	94.3%	89	0.9%	\$1,160	\$1,211	4.3%
MI - Lansing	94.5%	95.2%	70	0.7%	\$1,243	\$1,329	6.9%
MI - Outlying	94.4%	93.4%	-99	-1.0%	\$1,100	\$1,163	5.7%
Michigan Average	92.1%	93.9%	179	1.9%	\$1,317	\$1,379	4.7%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	88.3%	91.5%	320	3.6%	\$1,525	\$1,599	4.8%
MN - Outlying	73.0%	89.7%	1670	23.0%	\$1,292	\$1,365	5.6%
Minnesota Average	88.0%	91.4%	340	3.9%	\$1,518	\$1,591	4.8%
MO - Columbia	96.5%	95.8%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,052	\$1,067	1.4%
MO - Kansas City	90.2%	91.5%	130	1.4%	\$1,337	\$1,400	4.8%
MO - Outlying	94.1%	71.0%	-2310	-24.6%	\$702	\$812	15.6%
MO - Springfield	93.2%	93.9%	69	0.7%	\$943	\$999	6.0%
MO - St. Louis	89.0%	91.4%	240	2.7%	\$1,324	\$1,375	3.8%
Missouri Average	90.0%	91.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,296	\$1,353	4.4%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.5%	93.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,104	\$1,161	5.1%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	88.7%	93.2%	450	5.0%	\$1,152	\$1,196	3.8%
MS - Outlying	94.1%	95.2%	110	1.1%	\$1,117	\$1,147	2.7%
Mississippi Average	91.1%	93.8%	269	3.0%	\$1,131	\$1,174	3.8%

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
NC - Asheville	89.9%	77.9%	-1200	-13.4%	\$1,664	\$1,633	-1.9%	
NC - Charlotte	83.5%	86.0%	250	3.0%	\$1,560	\$1,612	3.3%	
NC - Fayetteville	89.8%	91.7%	190	2.2%	\$1,240	\$1,274	2.8%	
NC - Greensboro/High Point	91.5%	91.4%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,257	\$1,274	1.4%	
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	91.3%	92.2%	90	1.0%	\$1,110	\$1,155	4.1%	
NC - Outlying	97.3%	99.6%	230	2.4%	\$1,348	\$1,446	7.3%	
NC - Raleigh-Durham	83.7%	88.0%	430	5.2%	\$1,532	\$1,557	1.6%	
NC - Wilmington	73.0%	83.2%	1019	14.0%	\$1,442	\$1,485	3.0%	
NC - Winston-Salem	91.6%	91.2%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,221	\$1,243	1.8%	
North Carolina Average	84.8%	87.3%	250	3.0%	\$1,475	\$1,512	2.5%	
ND - Bismarck	97.2%	96.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,159	\$1,240	7.0%	
ND - Fargo	87.6%	91.4%	380	4.3%	\$1,019	\$1,044	2.5%	
ND - Outlying	92.9%	95.5%	259	2.8%	\$1,167	\$1,264	8.4%	
North Dakota Average	91.5%	93.3%	180	2.1%	\$1,094	\$1,151	5.2%	
NE - Lincoln	87.6%	91.8%	420	4.8%	\$1,176	\$1,239	5.3%	
NE - Omaha	91.2%	90.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,245	\$1,299	4.4%	
NE - Outlying	97.7%	97.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$907	\$1,030	13.6%	
Nebraska Average	90.1%	90.9%	80	0.9%	\$1,222	\$1,278	4.7%	
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.2%	\$2,141	\$2,241	4.7%	
New Jersey Average	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.2%	\$2,141	\$2,241	4.7%	
NM - Albuquerque	89.6%	89.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,381	\$1,408	2.0%	
NM - Outlying	93.2%	92.3%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,324	\$1,388	4.9%	
New Mexico Average	89.9%	90.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,375	\$1,406	2.2%	
NV - Las Vegas	89.0%	90.7%	170	1.9%	\$1,471	\$1,468	-0.2%	
NV - Outlying	93.4%	95.7%	229	2.4%	\$1,278	\$1,451	13.5%	
NV - Reno	87.1%	91.0%	390	4.5%	\$1,623	\$1,711	5.4%	
Nevada Average	88.7%	90.7%	200	2.3%	\$1,498	\$1,512	1.0%	
NY - Albany	89.7%	91.9%	220	2.5%	\$1,579	\$1,648	4.4%	
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	83.5%	89.4%	590	7.1%	\$1,383	\$1,433	3.6%	
NY - Finger Lakes Region	92.7%	92.5%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,473	\$1,654	12.3%	
NY - New York City	81.0%	83.7%	269	3.3%	\$3,260	\$3,418	4.8%	
NY - Outlying	89.7%	90.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,302	\$1,255	-3.7%	
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	93.1%	96.0%	289	3.2%	\$1,894	\$1,954	3.2%	
NY - Rochester	95.6%	96.8%	120	1.2%	\$1,423	\$1,528	7.4%	
NY - Syracuse	98.4%	95.1%	-330	-3.3%	\$1,259	\$1,366	8.4%	
New York Average	83.3%	86.0%	270	3.2%	\$2,879	\$3,031	5.3%	
OH - Cincinnati	90.2%	91.9%	170	1.9%	\$1,383	\$1,449	4.8%	
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.1%	92.2%	110	1.2%	\$1,208	\$1,278	5.7%	
OH - Columbus	89.2%	89.8%	60	0.6%	\$1,330	\$1,376	3.4%	
OH - Dayton	94.3%	93.3%	-99	-1.0%	\$1,140	\$1,205	5.6%	
OH - Outlying	99.7%	97.6%	-210	-2.1%	\$862	\$973	12.8%	
OH - Toledo	94.0%	95.4%	140	1.5%	\$967	\$1,002	3.6%	

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Ohio Average	90.6%	91.4%	80	0.8%	\$1,268	\$1,327	4.7%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.4%	89.5%	10	0.1%	\$998	\$1,033	3.5%
OK - Outlying	94.0%	91.6%	-239	-2.5%	\$860	\$867	0.8%
OK - Tulsa	91.7%	89.8%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,011	\$1,048	3.6%
Oklahoma Average	90.5%	89.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,001	\$1,036	3.5%
OR - Central Oregon	88.8%	94.2%	539	6.0%	\$1,555	\$1,590	2.2%
OR - Outlying	93.5%	95.7%	219	2.3%	\$1,403	\$1,435	2.2%
OR - Portland	90.3%	92.5%	220	2.5%	\$1,763	\$1,766	0.1%
Oregon Average	90.1%	92.8%	270	3.0%	\$1,722	\$1,730	0.4%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	89.3%	92.6%	330	3.7%	\$1,465	\$1,532	4.6%
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,670	\$1,747	4.6%
PA - Outlying	97.9%	95.8%	-210	-2.1%	\$1,353	\$1,435	6.1%
PA - Philadelphia	88.8%	91.4%	260	3.0%	\$1,808	\$1,879	3.9%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,423	\$1,502	5.5%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.0%	96.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,378	\$1,482	7.5%
Pennsylvania Average	89.9%	91.9%	200	2.2%	\$1,688	\$1,760	4.3%
RI - Providence	93.7%	93.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,040	\$2,156	5.7%
Rhode Island Average	93.7%	93.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,040	\$2,156	5.7%
SC - Charleston	85.8%	89.9%	410	4.9%	\$1,805	\$1,856	2.8%
SC - Columbia	88.8%	90.0%	120	1.4%	\$1,289	\$1,340	4.0%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	83.0%	88.6%	560	6.8%	\$1,345	\$1,395	3.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	70.3%	80.5%	1020	14.5%	\$1,556	\$1,591	2.3%
SC - Outlying	95.3%	89.3%	-599	-6.3%	\$1,252	\$1,311	4.7%
South Carolina Average	84.1%	88.7%	460	5.4%	\$1,509	\$1,560	3.4%
SD - Outlying	98.8%	91.4%	-739	-7.5%	\$1,011	\$1,046	3.5%
SD - Rapid City	76.1%	79.4%	330	4.3%	\$1,236	\$1,267	2.5%
SD - Sioux Falls	88.1%	88.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,073	\$1,092	1.8%
South Dakota Average	86.1%	86.3%	20	0.3%	\$1,102	\$1,129	2.4%
TN - Chattanooga	81.9%	89.8%	790	9.7%	\$1,383	\$1,405	1.6%
TN - Knoxville	91.9%	93.1%	120	1.4%	\$1,497	\$1,505	0.6%
TN - Memphis	89.2%	88.8%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,170	\$1,191	1.8%
TN - Nashville	84.0%	87.3%	330	3.9%	\$1,615	\$1,644	1.8%
TN - Outlying	95.2%	92.3%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,132	\$1,199	6.0%
Tennessee Average	86.2%	88.6%	240	2.7%	\$1,456	\$1,485	1.9%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	87.6%	87.8%	20	0.3%	\$1,507	\$1,507	0.0%
TX - Greater Dallas	88.4%	88.8%	40	0.4%	\$1,554	\$1,553	0.0%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.5%	87.2%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,401	\$1,407	0.4%
TX - Abilene	92.6%	96.0%	339	3.6%	\$992	\$1,167	17.7%
TX - Amarillo	87.9%	89.4%	150	1.7%	\$952	\$973	2.2%
TX - Austin	82.8%	85.5%	270	3.3%	\$1,503	\$1,456	-3.1%
TX - Beaumont	89.8%	93.1%	330	3.8%	\$1,032	\$1,090	5.7%
TX - College Station	93.3%	91.6%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,528	\$1,553	1.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TX - Corpus Christi	86.0%	85.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,138	\$1,126	-1.1%
TX - El Paso	91.8%	91.7%	-10	0.0%	\$1,088	\$1,107	1.7%
TX - Houston	88.0%	88.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,325	\$1,334	0.7%
TX - Laredo	94.6%	93.3%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,131	\$1,117	-1.3%
TX - Longview/Marshall	86.9%	90.8%	390	4.5%	\$1,021	\$1,066	4.4%
TX - Lubbock	86.7%	88.7%	200	2.3%	\$927	\$940	1.4%
TX - Lufkin	89.4%	90.4%	100	1.2%	\$950	\$986	3.9%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.9%	92.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,407	\$1,399	-0.5%
TX - Outlying	93.6%	91.3%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,019	\$1,013	-0.6%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	90.0%	87.6%	-240	-2.6%	\$992	\$1,022	3.1%
TX - San Angelo	92.8%	94.4%	159	1.8%	\$1,048	\$1,092	4.2%
TX - San Antonio	84.2%	85.8%	160	1.9%	\$1,225	\$1,207	-1.4%
TX - Texarkana	87.8%	93.8%	599	6.8%	\$882	\$912	3.4%
TX - Tyler	86.5%	89.0%	250	2.9%	\$1,159	\$1,196	3.2%
TX - Victoria	94.2%	95.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,029	\$1,059	2.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	84.7%	86.9%	220	2.5%	\$1,130	\$1,122	-0.6%
TX - Wichita Falls	85.0%	88.7%	370	4.3%	\$855	\$895	4.7%
Texas Average	87.0%	87.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,376	\$1,375	-0.1%
UT - Ogden/Logan	86.3%	89.1%	280	3.3%	\$1,447	\$1,474	1.8%
UT - Outlying	82.6%	85.6%	300	3.6%	\$1,521	\$1,568	3.1%
UT - Provo/Orem	83.4%	86.8%	340	4.0%	\$1,553	\$1,607	3.5%
UT - Salt Lake City	85.8%	85.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,579	\$1,567	-0.8%
Utah Average	85.5%	86.5%	100	1.2%	\$1,549	\$1,555	0.4%
VA - Norfolk	92.3%	94.4%	209	2.4%	\$1,543	\$1,612	4.5%
VA - Outlying	88.0%	89.2%	120	1.4%	\$1,148	\$1,311	14.2%
VA - Richmond	90.0%	92.9%	290	3.2%	\$1,567	\$1,672	6.7%
VA - Roanoke	94.9%	96.0%	110	1.2%	\$1,235	\$1,292	4.6%
Virginia Average	91.4%	93.8%	239	2.6%	\$1,527	\$1,611	5.5%
WA - Olympia	87.1%	92.2%	510	5.8%	\$1,687	\$1,762	4.5%
WA - Outlying	88.7%	94.2%	549	6.2%	\$1,189	\$1,156	-2.8%
WA - SE Washington	91.8%	91.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,411	\$1,400	-0.8%
WA - Seattle	90.7%	92.1%	140	1.5%	\$2,138	\$2,167	1.3%
WA - Spokane	88.1%	92.6%	450	5.2%	\$1,416	\$1,414	-0.1%
Washington Average	90.4%	92.1%	170	2.0%	\$2,019	\$2,042	1.1%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	90.3%	93.0%	270	2.9%	\$1,044	\$1,113	6.6%
WI - Madison	87.6%	89.3%	170	2.0%	\$1,514	\$1,584	4.7%
WI - Milwaukee	90.0%	92.0%	200	2.1%	\$1,489	\$1,571	5.6%
WI - Outlying	68.4%	74.8%	639	9.4%	\$1,134	\$1,180	4.0%
Wisconsin Average	87.8%	90.4%	260	3.0%	\$1,409	\$1,485	5.4%
WV - Charleston	90.7%	81.6%	-910	-10.0%	\$1,085	\$1,185	9.2%
WV - Outlying	99.1%	97.4%	-170	-1.6%	\$1,087	\$1,114	2.5%
West Virginia Average	92.0%	86.5%	-550	-6.0%	\$1,085	\$1,169	7.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DC - Washington	90.3%	91.6%	130	1.4%	\$2,230	\$2,282	2.3%
DE - Outlying	83.4%	87.5%	410	5.0%	\$1,626	\$1,731	6.5%
HI - State of Hawaii	92.8%	96.5%	369	4.0%	\$2,453	\$2,600	6.0%
MT - State of Montana	73.7%	87.5%	1380	18.7%	\$1,686	\$1,674	-0.7%
NH - Concord	70.4%	87.8%	1740	24.7%	\$1,855	\$2,046	10.3%
VT - Outlying	76.3%	82.5%	619	8.1%	\$2,011	\$1,992	-0.9%
WY - State of Wyoming	94.9%	94.5%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,300	\$1,313	1.0%
National Average	87.8%	89.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,727	\$1,769	2.4%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

Jackie@alndata.com

Tammy Longo

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 249

Tammy@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 259

KNelsen@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com