

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Apr-24	Apr-25	bps	%CHG	Apr-24	Apr-25	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.7%	91.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,414	\$1,498	5.9%
AK - Outlying	92.3%	86.4%	-590	-6.4%	\$1,562	\$1,639	4.9%
Alaska Average	91.8%	90.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,450	\$1,532	5.6%
AL - Birmingham	88.0%	88.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,177	\$1,224	4.0%
AL - Huntsville	82.5%	82.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,153	\$1,167	1.2%
AL - Mobile	90.3%	89.1%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,187	\$1,223	3.1%
AL - Montgomery	87.2%	92.1%	490	5.6%	\$984	\$1,031	4.8%
AL - Outlying	94.0%	95.5%	150	1.6%	\$857	\$888	3.6%
Alabama Average	86.8%	87.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,136	\$1,172	3.2%
AR - Little Rock	88.8%	87.0%	-180	-2.0%	\$991	\$1,023	3.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.4%	86.4%	-300	-3.4%	\$1,013	\$1,085	7.1%
AR - Outlying	95.7%	93.8%	-190	-2.0%	\$782	\$835	6.8%
Arkansas Average	89.6%	87.3%	-230	-2.6%	\$981	\$1,032	5.2%
AZ - Flagstaff	90.8%	87.6%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,801	\$1,838	2.0%
AZ - Outlying	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,143	\$1,165	1.9%
AZ - Phoenix	87.5%	85.2%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,555	\$1,555	0.1%
AZ - Tucson	89.9%	88.8%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,176	\$1,171	-0.4%
Arizona Average	87.9%	86.0%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,494	\$1,497	0.2%
CA - Central Coast	92.9%	92.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,592	\$2,674	3.2%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.4%	91.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$2,708	\$2,761	2.0%
CA - Outlying	95.1%	95.4%	30	0.3%	\$1,327	\$1,391	4.8%
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	91.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,891	\$1,941	2.7%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.7%	91.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,138	\$2,227	4.2%
CA - San Diego	92.6%	91.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$2,696	\$2,764	2.5%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.8%	90.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,857	\$2,994	4.8%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	94.9%	94.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,560	\$1,612	3.4%
California Average	91.9%	91.2%	-70	-0.7%	\$2,539	\$2,618	3.1%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	87.6%	84.0%	-360	-4.1%	\$1,829	\$1,808	-1.1%
CO - Outlying	95.0%	92.2%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,779	\$1,860	4.5%
Colorado Average	87.6%	84.1%	-350	-4.0%	\$1,828	\$1,809	-1.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	89.4%	88.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,417	\$2,458	1.7%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	82.3%	79.8%	-249	-3.0%	\$1,979	\$1,914	-3.3%
FL - Gainesville	91.4%	89.8%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,652	\$1,704	3.1%
FL - Jacksonville	84.9%	84.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,468	\$1,502	2.3%
FL - Melbourne	88.1%	84.9%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,646	\$1,728	5.0%
FL - Miami	86.3%	84.9%	-140	-1.6%	\$2,522	\$2,588	2.6%
FL - Orlando	87.2%	86.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,748	\$1,764	0.9%
FL - Palm Beach	91.0%	89.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$2,434	\$2,498	2.6%
FL - Pensacola	86.1%	83.4%	-270	-3.1%	\$1,545	\$1,584	2.5%
FL - Tallahassee	89.7%	89.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,363	\$1,438	5.5%
FL - Tampa	87.5%	85.2%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,782	\$1,843	3.4%
Florida Average	87.3%	85.9%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,905	\$1,944	2.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	91.9%	88.1%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,003	\$1,031	2.9%
GA - Atlanta	87.1%	85.0%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,604	\$1,629	1.6%
GA - Augusta	89.2%	89.4%	20	0.3%	\$1,145	\$1,243	8.5%
GA - Columbus	91.8%	88.5%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,152	\$1,199	4.1%
GA - Macon	86.4%	88.8%	240	2.8%	\$1,133	\$1,168	3.1%
GA - Outlying	89.0%	95.3%	629	7.1%	\$1,443	\$1,623	12.5%
GA - Savannah	84.9%	81.5%	-340	-4.0%	\$1,648	\$1,690	2.5%
Georgia Average	87.2%	85.2%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,544	\$1,576	2.1%
IA - Des Moines	89.3%	89.2%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,127	\$1,160	2.9%
IA - Outlying	92.0%	96.0%	399	4.4%	\$1,024	\$1,101	7.5%
Iowa Average	89.5%	89.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,118	\$1,155	3.3%
ID - Boise	84.5%	83.0%	-150	-1.8%	\$1,533	\$1,580	3.1%
ID - Outlying	95.2%	93.0%	-219	-2.3%	\$936	\$975	4.2%
Idaho Average	84.7%	83.4%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,507	\$1,554	3.2%
IL - Chicago	92.6%	91.7%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,950	\$2,085	6.9%
IL - Moline	90.1%	89.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$936	\$1,003	7.1%
IL - Outlying	83.5%	97.0%	1350	16.2%	\$786	\$804	2.2%
IL - Springfield	92.5%	94.8%	229	2.5%	\$1,018	\$1,070	5.1%
Illinois Average	92.5%	91.8%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,827	\$1,952	6.8%
IN - Evansville	95.2%	94.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$962	\$999	3.8%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.1%	94.1%	0	0.1%	\$1,038	\$1,086	4.6%
IN - Indianapolis	91.4%	90.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,248	\$1,313	5.2%
IN - Outlying	98.7%	98.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$925	\$1,027	11.0%
IN - South Bend	91.6%	93.8%	219	2.4%	\$1,149	\$1,238	7.7%
Indiana Average	91.9%	91.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,201	\$1,266	5.4%
KS - Outlying	98.8%	98.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$693	\$727	4.9%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.2%	94.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$944	\$1,015	7.6%
KS - Wichita	91.4%	91.9%	50	0.5%	\$888	\$922	3.9%
Kansas Average	92.7%	92.8%	10	0.2%	\$906	\$956	5.5%
KY - Lexington	93.4%	89.8%	-360	-3.8%	\$1,119	\$1,228	9.8%
KY - Louisville	91.0%	90.2%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,211	\$1,254	3.6%
KY - Outlying	93.8%	91.4%	-239	-2.5%	\$790	\$857	8.5%
Kentucky Average	91.1%	90.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,174	\$1,237	5.3%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.2%	89.8%	260	3.0%	\$1,115	\$1,165	4.5%
LA - Lake Charles	84.6%	83.2%	-140	-1.6%	\$987	\$1,011	2.5%
LA - Monroe	88.2%	91.8%	360	4.1%	\$910	\$936	2.9%
LA - New Orleans	87.4%	89.7%	230	2.6%	\$1,233	\$1,272	3.2%
LA - Outlying	88.5%	92.0%	350	3.9%	\$859	\$900	4.9%
LA - Shreveport	90.1%	92.6%	250	2.8%	\$984	\$1,039	5.5%
Louisiana Average	87.6%	89.9%	230	2.6%	\$1,120	\$1,164	3.9%
MA - Boston	91.0%	90.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$2,745	\$2,876	4.7%
MA - Outlying	100.0%	93.5%	110	1.1%	\$1,640	\$2,167	32.1%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	95.5%	97.6%	210	2.2%	\$1,642	\$1,709	4.1%
Massachusetts Average	91.2%	90.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$2,701	\$2,831	4.8%
MD - Baltimore	92.2%	92.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,659	\$1,732	4.4%
MD - Outlying	96.8%	97.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,452	\$1,522	4.8%
Maryland Average	92.3%	93.0%	70	0.8%	\$1,654	\$1,727	4.4%
ME - Augusta/Portland	88.0%	86.6%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,939	\$2,038	5.1%
Maine Average	88.0%	86.6%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,939	\$2,038	5.1%
MI - Detroit	92.3%	93.2%	90	0.9%	\$1,289	\$1,367	6.0%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	92.8%	92.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,279	\$1,363	6.6%
MI - Outlying	95.2%	93.8%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,093	\$1,159	6.0%
Michigan Average	92.3%	92.8%	50	0.6%	\$1,279	\$1,358	6.1%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.8%	89.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,497	\$1,566	4.6%
MN - Outlying	83.7%	89.3%	560	6.7%	\$1,277	\$1,317	3.1%
Minnesota Average	89.5%	89.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,491	\$1,559	4.5%
MO - Columbia	94.9%	97.5%	260	2.7%	\$1,053	\$1,067	1.4%
MO - Kansas City	90.5%	90.1%	-40	-0.3%	\$1,287	\$1,385	7.6%
MO - Outlying	84.4%	70.6%	-1380	-16.4%	\$699	\$859	23.0%
MO - Springfield	93.9%	93.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$931	\$990	6.4%
MO - St. Louis	88.7%	89.7%	100	1.1%	\$1,279	\$1,349	5.5%
Missouri Average	89.9%	90.2%	30	0.4%	\$1,251	\$1,335	6.7%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.4%	93.5%	110	1.1%	\$1,060	\$1,118	5.5%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	88.5%	89.7%	120	1.4%	\$1,119	\$1,174	5.0%
MS - Outlying	92.7%	93.7%	100	1.0%	\$1,064	\$1,113	4.7%
Mississippi Average	90.6%	91.6%	100	1.1%	\$1,089	\$1,144	5.0%
NC - Asheville	94.1%	86.3%	-779	-8.2%	\$1,655	\$1,626	-1.7%
NC - Charlotte	86.7%	83.5%	-320	-3.7%	\$1,547	\$1,602	3.6%
NC - Fayetteville	90.6%	90.4%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,234	\$1,265	2.5%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.8%	91.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,202	\$1,239	3.1%
NC - Outlying	98.1%	82.5%	-1560	-15.9%	\$1,378	\$1,485	7.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	87.1%	85.9%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,483	\$1,512	1.9%
NC - Wilmington	83.1%	82.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,411	\$1,467	4.0%
North Carolina Average	87.6%	85.7%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,458	\$1,501	3.0%
ND - Bismarck	95.8%	97.2%	140	1.4%	\$1,127	\$1,196	6.1%
ND - Fargo	89.9%	90.6%	70	0.7%	\$1,007	\$1,031	2.4%
ND - Outlying	91.3%	93.4%	210	2.3%	\$1,145	\$1,201	4.8%
North Dakota Average	91.5%	92.8%	130	1.4%	\$1,075	\$1,116	3.8%
NE - Lincoln	85.9%	89.9%	400	4.7%	\$1,152	\$1,211	5.2%
NE - Omaha	91.6%	92.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,206	\$1,277	5.8%
NE - Outlying	91.8%	93.4%	160	1.8%	\$881	\$1,007	14.2%
Nebraska Average	90.1%	92.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,187	\$1,255	5.7%
NM - Albuquerque	90.3%	87.6%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,337	\$1,404	5.0%
NM - Outlying	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,292	\$1,392	7.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
New Mexico Average	90.7%	88.3%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,332	\$1,403	5.3%
NV - Las Vegas	88.7%	89.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,448	\$1,489	2.8%
NV - Outlying	96.1%	93.9%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,266	\$1,278	0.9%
NV - Reno	88.0%	89.4%	140	1.5%	\$1,586	\$1,656	4.4%
Nevada Average	88.5%	89.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,472	\$1,518	3.1%
NY - Albany	90.6%	89.7%	-90	-1.1%	\$1,547	\$1,618	4.6%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.5%	93.4%	-109	-1.2%	\$1,335	\$1,436	7.5%
NY - New York City	85.9%	83.7%	-220	-2.5%	\$3,131	\$3,273	4.5%
NY - Outlying	94.3%	90.9%	-339	-3.6%	\$1,230	\$1,230	0.0%
New York Average	86.8%	85.1%	-170	-2.0%	\$2,797	\$2,936	5.0%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.1%	92.6%	150	1.7%	\$1,276	\$1,343	5.3%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.0%	91.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,164	\$1,230	5.7%
OH - Columbus	90.7%	89.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,298	\$1,371	5.6%
OH - Outlying	99.7%	94.7%	-10	-0.2%	\$838	\$891	6.2%
OH - Toledo	93.8%	93.3%	-49	-0.5%	\$948	\$981	3.5%
Ohio Average	91.0%	91.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,231	\$1,299	5.5%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.2%	90.2%	100	1.1%	\$962	\$1,009	4.9%
OK - Outlying	90.6%	87.8%	-280	-3.1%	\$836	\$883	5.7%
OK - Tulsa	91.7%	90.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$983	\$1,030	4.7%
Oklahoma Average	90.3%	90.3%	0	0.0%	\$968	\$1,015	4.9%
OR - Outlying	93.5%	95.1%	159	1.7%	\$1,374	\$1,403	2.1%
OR - Portland	91.0%	90.0%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,682	\$1,733	3.0%
Oregon Average	91.0%	90.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,677	\$1,728	3.0%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	89.4%	90.9%	150	1.7%	\$1,420	\$1,503	5.8%
PA - Outlying	95.8%	93.7%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,300	\$1,383	6.3%
PA - Philadelphia	91.4%	90.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,766	\$1,847	4.6%
PA - Pittsburgh	91.9%	93.0%	110	1.1%	\$1,388	\$1,458	5.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.5%	97.6%	110	1.1%	\$1,350	\$1,462	8.3%
Pennsylvania Average	91.4%	90.6%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,664	\$1,745	4.9%
RI - Providence	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,976	\$2,107	6.6%
Rhode Island Average	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,976	\$2,107	6.6%
SC - Charleston	88.2%	84.9%	-330	-3.7%	\$1,770	\$1,822	2.9%
SC - Columbia	88.7%	90.1%	140	1.6%	\$1,261	\$1,322	4.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.1%	88.4%	330	3.9%	\$1,320	\$1,382	4.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	72.7%	74.8%	210	2.9%	\$1,547	\$1,593	2.9%
SC - Outlying	91.2%	88.9%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,219	\$1,309	7.4%
South Carolina Average	86.1%	86.2%	10	0.1%	\$1,475	\$1,538	4.3%
SD - Outlying	98.8%	98.1%	-70	-0.7%	\$991	\$1,037	4.6%
SD - Rapid City	69.1%	88.0%	1890	27.2%	\$1,238	\$1,267	2.4%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.3%	90.0%	70	0.8%	\$1,064	\$1,075	1.0%
South Dakota Average	85.0%	90.4%	540	6.3%	\$1,096	\$1,114	1.6%
TN - Chattanooga	86.1%	83.1%	-300	-3.5%	\$1,355	\$1,398	3.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Knoxville	91.8%	93.1%	130	1.4%	\$1,451	\$1,499	3.3%
TN - Memphis	89.8%	88.0%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,161	\$1,189	2.4%
TN - Nashville	86.2%	85.4%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,598	\$1,630	2.0%
TN - Outlying	95.3%	96.6%	130	1.4%	\$1,110	\$1,162	4.7%
Tennessee Average	88.0%	86.9%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,434	\$1,473	2.7%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.0%	87.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,508	\$1,520	0.8%
TX - Greater Dallas	88.9%	87.6%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,556	\$1,567	0.7%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.6%	87.6%	100	1.1%	\$1,404	\$1,415	0.7%
TX - Abilene	92.3%	94.7%	239	2.5%	\$970	\$1,050	8.2%
TX - Amarillo	87.6%	89.5%	190	2.1%	\$934	\$955	2.2%
TX - Austin	83.4%	82.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,556	\$1,503	-3.4%
TX - Beaumont	89.4%	92.6%	320	3.6%	\$1,035	\$1,077	4.1%
TX - College Station	91.6%	91.2%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,493	\$1,570	5.1%
TX - Corpus Christi	85.5%	88.9%	340	4.0%	\$1,136	\$1,164	2.6%
TX - El Paso	93.8%	90.2%	-359	-3.8%	\$1,081	\$1,098	1.5%
TX - Houston	88.3%	88.3%	0	0.0%	\$1,314	\$1,344	2.3%
TX - Laredo	94.7%	95.8%	110	1.2%	\$1,120	\$1,140	1.8%
TX - Longview/Tyler	88.0%	88.5%	50	0.6%	\$1,080	\$1,149	6.4%
TX - Lubbock	87.2%	87.1%	-10	-0.2%	\$908	\$932	2.6%
TX - Lufkin	89.3%	88.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$922	\$961	4.2%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.5%	\$1,369	\$1,435	4.8%
TX - Outlying	93.3%	94.4%	109	1.2%	\$1,015	\$1,043	2.7%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.5%	88.6%	-390	-4.2%	\$974	\$997	2.4%
TX - San Angelo	91.0%	90.6%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,020	\$1,072	5.1%
TX - San Antonio	86.2%	84.1%	-210	-2.5%	\$1,218	\$1,241	1.9%
TX - Texarkana	87.8%	89.5%	170	2.0%	\$884	\$907	2.5%
TX - Victoria	90.3%	95.3%	499	5.4%	\$1,013	\$1,053	3.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	88.4%	87.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,133	\$1,158	2.3%
TX - Wichita Falls	85.6%	88.1%	250	2.9%	\$834	\$873	4.7%
Texas Average	87.5%	86.9%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,376	\$1,391	1.1%
UT - Outlying	78.2%	87.0%	879	11.1%	\$1,496	\$1,605	7.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.4%	84.7%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,536	\$1,556	1.3%
Utah Average	86.1%	84.8%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,535	\$1,557	1.4%
VA - Norfolk	92.8%	93.3%	50	0.6%	\$1,505	\$1,574	4.6%
VA - Outlying	95.1%	96.7%	160	1.7%	\$1,256	\$1,248	-0.6%
VA - Richmond	90.6%	90.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,530	\$1,629	6.5%
VA - Roanoke	94.9%	94.0%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,206	\$1,271	5.3%
Virginia Average	91.8%	92.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,489	\$1,572	5.6%
WA - Outlying	92.6%	89.7%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,143	\$1,202	5.1%
WA - SE Washington	90.5%	92.9%	240	2.6%	\$1,376	\$1,415	2.8%
WA - Seattle	90.5%	90.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$2,087	\$2,145	2.8%
WA - Spokane	87.8%	89.0%	120	1.4%	\$1,390	\$1,423	2.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Washington Average	90.1%	90.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,991	\$2,044	2.7%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	90.6%	92.4%	180	1.9%	\$1,021	\$1,084	6.1%
WI - Madison	92.6%	88.9%	-370	-4.0%	\$1,485	\$1,549	4.3%
WI - Milwaukee	92.3%	90.1%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,434	\$1,538	7.3%
WI - Outlying	75.6%	73.4%	-220	-3.0%	\$1,125	\$1,168	3.8%
Wisconsin Average	91.3%	89.1%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,370	\$1,453	6.0%
WV - Charleston	93.5%	95.6%	209	2.2%	\$1,045	\$1,079	3.2%
WV - Outlying	98.6%	96.4%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,019	\$1,146	12.5%
West Virginia Average	94.3%	95.8%	150	1.6%	\$1,040	\$1,095	5.3%
CT - Hartford	94.4%	90.2%	-419	-4.4%	\$1,806	\$1,896	4.9%
DC - Washington	91.4%	90.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,168	\$2,283	5.3%
DE - Outlying	87.7%	87.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,633	\$1,736	6.3%
HI - State of Hawaii	95.1%	95.1%	0	0.0%	\$2,330	\$2,413	3.6%
MT - Outlying	79.8%	84.0%	419	5.3%	\$1,568	\$1,693	8.0%
NH - Concord	69.2%	75.8%	660	9.5%	\$1,810	\$1,899	4.9%
VT - Outlying	75.5%	72.6%	-290	-3.9%	\$1,878	\$2,024	7.8%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com