

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Feb-24 | Feb-25 | bps | %CHG | Feb-24 | Feb-25 | %CHG |
| AK - Anchorage | 91.0% | 91.7% | 70 | 0.8% | \$1,396 | \$1,472 | 5.4% |
| AK - Outlying | 89.3% | 89.1% | -20 | -0.2% | \$1,531 | \$1,590 | 3.9% |
| Alaska Average | 90.7% | 91.3% | 60 | 0.7% | \$1,429 | \$1,501 | 5.0% |
| AL - Birmingham | 87.2% | 87.0% | -20 | -0.2% | \$1,173 | \$1,200 | 2.3% |
| AL - Huntsville | 84.2% | 81.4% | -280 | -3.3% | \$1,135 | \$1,172 | 3.2% |
| AL - Mobile | 90.3% | 88.7% | -160 | -1.8% | \$1,178 | \$1,218 | 3.4% |
| AL - Montgomery | 87.1% | 90.8% | 370 | 4.3% | \$974 | \$1,019 | 4.6% |
| AL - Outlying | 92.7% | 95.8% | 309 | 3.3% | \$852 | \$885 | 3.9% |
| Alabama Average | 86.9% | 86.2% | -70 | -0.8% | \$1,127 | \$1,162 | 3.1% |
| AR - Little Rock | 89.5% | 85.2% | -430 | -4.8% | \$974 | \$1,009 | 3.6% |
| AR - Northwest Arkansas | 90.5% | 86.1% | -440 | -4.8% | \$1,010 | \$1,071 | 6.0% |
| AR - Outlying | 95.1% | 94.3% | -80 | -0.9% | \$773 | \$820 | 6.0% |
| Arkansas Average | 90.3% | 86.3% | -400 | -4.4% | \$971 | \$1,018 | 4.8% |
| AZ - Flagstaff | 90.7% | 85.7% | -500 | -5.5% | \$1,749 | \$1,811 | 3.5% |
| AZ - Outlying | 94.2% | 95.9% | 170 | 1.9% | \$1,149 | \$1,168 | 1.7% |
| AZ - Phoenix | 87.7% | 85.0% | -270 | -3.1% | \$1,556 | \$1,550 | -0.4% |
| AZ - Tucson | 90.2% | 88.4% | -180 | -2.0% | \$1,174 | \$1,168 | -0.5% |
| Arizona Average | 87.9% | 85.6% | -230 | -2.7% | \$1,494 | \$1,491 | -0.2% |
| CA - Central Coast | 93.4% | 92.9% | -50 | -0.5% | \$2,572 | \$2,673 | 3.9% |
| CA - Los Angeles/OC | 91.2% | 91.3% | 10 | 0.1% | \$2,701 | \$2,748 | 1.7% |
| CA - Outlying | 95.6% | 95.7% | 10 | 0.1% | \$1,301 | \$1,365 | 4.9% |
| CA - Sacramento | 92.2% | 91.7% | -50 | -0.5% | \$1,878 | \$1,921 | 2.3% |
| CA - San Bernardino/Riverside | 93.1% | 91.2% | -190 | -2.0% | \$2,130 | \$2,208 | 3.7% |
| CA - San Diego | 93.1% | 90.6% | -250 | -2.6% | \$2,677 | \$2,757 | 3.0% |
| CA - San Francisco/Oakland | 90.9% | 89.4% | -150 | -1.6% | \$2,834 | \$2,942 | 3.8% |
| CA - San Joaquin Valley | 94.7% | 94.3% | -40 | -0.5% | \$1,554 | \$1,600 | 2.9% |
| California Average | 92.0% | 91.1% | -90 | -1.0% | \$2,526 | \$2,596 | 2.8% |
| CO - Denver/Co Springs | 88.3% | 84.4% | -390 | -4.3% | \$1,812 | \$1,796 | -0.9% |
| CO - Outlying | 95.4% | 89.8% | -559 | -5.9% | \$1,743 | \$1,850 | 6.1% |
| Colorado Average | 88.3% | 84.1% | -420 | -4.7% | \$1,811 | \$1,797 | -0.8% |
| FL - Fort Lauderdale | 90.2% | 87.6% | -260 | -2.9% | \$2,379 | \$2,433 | 2.3% |
| FL - Fort Myers/Naples | 84.2% | 79.5% | -469 | -5.6% | \$1,963 | \$1,922 | -2.1% |
| FL - Gainesville | 90.6% | 90.6% | 0 | 0.0% | \$1,645 | \$1,677 | 2.0% |
| FL - Jacksonville | 85.3% | 83.5% | -180 | -2.1% | \$1,469 | \$1,481 | 0.9% |
| FL - Melbourne | 90.1% | 85.6% | -450 | -5.0% | \$1,637 | \$1,696 | 3.6% |
| FL - Miami | 87.5% | 83.7% | -380 | -4.4% | \$2,505 | \$2,563 | 2.3% |
| FL - Orlando | 87.1% | 86.4% | -70 | -0.8% | \$1,741 | \$1,749 | 0.5% |
| FL - Palm Beach | 90.3% | 88.3% | -200 | -2.3% | \$2,426 | \$2,486 | 2.5% |
| FL - Pensacola | 89.4% | 82.2% | -720 | -8.1% | \$1,522 | \$1,590 | 4.4% |
| FL - Tallahassee | 89.6% | 89.2% | -40 | -0.5% | \$1,358 | \$1,420 | 4.6% |
| FL - Tampa | 88.1% | 84.8% | -330 | -3.8% | \$1,784 | \$1,829 | 2.5% |
| Florida Average | 87.9% | 85.3% | -260 | -3.0% | \$1,895 | \$1,927 | 1.7% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| GA - Albany | 90.5% | 88.8% | -170 | -1.9% | \$990 | \$1,020 | 3.0% |
| GA - Atlanta | 87.9% | 84.9% | -300 | -3.5% | \$1,602 | \$1,620 | 1.1% |
| GA - Augusta | 90.4% | 89.2% | -120 | -1.3% | \$1,136 | \$1,207 | 6.2% |
| GA - Columbus | 94.8% | 89.5% | -529 | -5.6% | \$1,134 | \$1,178 | 3.9% |
| GA - Macon | 85.8% | 85.7% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,123 | \$1,145 | 2.0% |
| GA - Outlying | 88.0% | 94.4% | 639 | 7.3% | \$1,350 | \$1,729 | 28.1% |
| GA - Savannah | 86.9% | 82.2% | -470 | -5.5% | \$1,603 | \$1,685 | 5.2% |
| Georgia Average | 88.2% | 85.1% | -310 | -3.5% | \$1,538 | \$1,566 | 1.8% |
| IA - Des Moines | 89.6% | 88.0% | -160 | -1.8% | \$1,105 | \$1,151 | 4.1% |
| IA - Outlying | 93.4% | 95.2% | 179 | 1.9% | \$1,026 | \$1,081 | 5.4% |
| Iowa Average | 90.0% | 88.5% | -150 | -1.6% | \$1,099 | \$1,146 | 4.2% |
| ID - Boise | 84.0% | 82.2% | -180 | -2.1% | \$1,507 | \$1,572 | 4.3% |
| ID - Outlying | 93.0% | 95.6% | 259 | 2.8% | \$937 | \$970 | 3.5% |
| Idaho Average | 84.3% | 82.7% | -160 | -1.9% | \$1,482 | \$1,547 | 4.4% |
| IL - Chicago | 93.0% | 91.8% | -120 | -1.3% | \$1,922 | \$2,041 | 6.2% |
| IL - Moline | 92.4% | 89.9% | -250 | -2.6% | \$917 | \$994 | 8.3% |
| IL - Outlying | 82.9% | 93.0% | 1010 | 12.1% | \$789 | \$790 | 0.1% |
| IL - Springfield | 92.1% | 93.9% | 179 | 1.9% | \$1,005 | \$1,059 | 5.4% |
| Illinois Average | 92.8% | 91.9% | -90 | -1.0% | \$1,800 | \$1,913 | 6.3% |
| IN - Evansville | 94.6% | 95.4% | 80 | 0.8% | \$959 | \$996 | 3.9% |
| IN - Fort Wayne | 94.6% | 94.8% | 20 | 0.3% | \$1,030 | \$1,083 | 5.1% |
| IN - Indianapolis | 91.1% | 90.4% | -70 | -0.8% | \$1,240 | \$1,298 | 4.7% |
| IN - Outlying | 99.7% | 97.4% | -230 | -2.2% | \$922 | \$1,026 | 11.2% |
| IN - South Bend | 91.7% | 94.7% | 299 | 3.2% | \$1,147 | \$1,234 | 7.6% |
| Indiana Average | 91.6% | 91.5% | -10 | -0.2% | \$1,194 | \$1,254 | 5.0% |
| KS - Outlying | 99.0% | 95.6% | -340 | -3.3% | \$688 | \$723 | 5.1% |
| KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence | 93.4% | 95.1% | 169 | 1.8% | \$937 | \$993 | 6.0% |
| KS - Wichita | 90.9% | 91.6% | 70 | 0.8% | \$882 | \$907 | 2.7% |
| Kansas Average | 92.1% | 93.0% | 90 | 1.0% | \$900 | \$937 | 4.2% |
| KY - Lexington | 93.4% | 89.1% | -430 | -4.6% | \$1,118 | \$1,219 | 9.1% |
| KY - Louisville | 91.4% | 88.6% | -280 | -3.0% | \$1,189 | \$1,234 | 3.8% |
| KY - Outlying | 96.4% | 84.9% | -1150 | -12.0% | \$778 | \$856 | 10.0% |
| Kentucky Average | 92.1% | 88.7% | -340 | -3.7% | \$1,158 | \$1,221 | 5.4% |
| LA - Baton Rouge | 88.6% | 89.4% | 80 | 1.0% | \$1,116 | \$1,151 | 3.1% |
| LA - Lake Charles | 84.5% | 87.6% | 310 | 3.6% | \$982 | \$992 | 0.9% |
| LA - Monroe | 91.0% | 88.9% | -210 | -2.3% | \$920 | \$932 | 1.3% |
| LA - New Orleans | 88.7% | 88.6% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,219 | \$1,257 | 3.2% |
| LA - Outlying | 90.1% | 86.0% | -410 | -4.6% | \$853 | \$896 | 5.0% |
| LA - Shreveport | 89.5% | 91.5% | 200 | 2.2% | \$977 | \$1,023 | 4.7% |
| Louisiana Average | 88.7% | 89.3% | 60 | 0.7% | \$1,113 | \$1,150 | 3.3% |
| MA - Boston | 91.3% | 89.7% | -160 | -1.8% | \$2,720 | \$2,842 | 4.5% |
| MA - Springfield | 96.6% | 97.3% | 70 | 0.8% | \$1,676 | \$1,721 | 2.7% |

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| Massachusetts Average | 91.6% | 89.9% | -170 | -1.8% | \$2,680 | \$2,801 | 4.5% |
| MD - Baltimore | 91.9% | 92.5% | 60 | 0.7% | \$1,647 | \$1,723 | 4.6% |
| MD - Outlying | 97.6% | 95.6% | -200 | -2.1% | \$1,455 | \$1,492 | 2.6% |
| Maryland Average | 92.0% | 92.6% | 60 | 0.7% | \$1,642 | \$1,717 | 4.6% |
| ME - Augusta/Portland | 85.7% | 82.6% | -310 | -3.6% | \$1,934 | \$2,022 | 4.5% |
| Maine Average | 85.7% | 82.6% | -310 | -3.6% | \$1,934 | \$2,022 | 4.5% |
| MI - Detroit | 91.8% | 93.5% | 170 | 1.8% | \$1,278 | \$1,344 | 5.2% |
| MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek | 92.7% | 92.1% | -60 | -0.7% | \$1,266 | \$1,344 | 6.2% |
| MI - Outlying | 92.1% | 95.2% | 309 | 3.4% | \$1,087 | \$1,123 | 3.3% |
| Michigan Average | 91.7% | 93.0% | 130 | 1.4% | \$1,268 | \$1,335 | 5.3% |
| MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul | 90.2% | 88.8% | -140 | -1.5% | \$1,486 | \$1,542 | 3.7% |
| MN - Outlying | 94.9% | 87.4% | -749 | -7.9% | \$1,251 | \$1,307 | 4.5% |
| Minnesota Average | 90.1% | 88.8% | -130 | -1.4% | \$1,480 | \$1,535 | 3.7% |
| MO - Columbia | 94.8% | 96.1% | 130 | 1.4% | \$1,029 | \$1,090 | 6.0% |
| MO - Kansas City | 90.7% | 90.5% | -20 | -0.2% | \$1,274 | \$1,352 | 6.1% |
| MO - Outlying | 79.8% | 91.6% | 1180 | 14.9% | \$684 | \$718 | 5.0% |
| MO - Springfield | 93.0% | 89.9% | -310 | -3.4% | \$919 | \$964 | 4.9% |
| MO - St. Louis | 89.3% | 89.5% | 20 | 0.3% | \$1,279 | \$1,339 | 4.7% |
| Missouri Average | 90.2% | 90.2% | 0 | 0.0% | \$1,243 | \$1,312 | 5.6% |
| MS - Gulfport/Biloxi | 92.1% | 92.9% | 80 | 1.0% | \$1,062 | \$1,115 | 5.0% |
| MS - Jackson/Central MS | 89.6% | 89.3% | -30 | -0.4% | \$1,099 | \$1,156 | 5.1% |
| MS - Outlying | 92.8% | 94.5% | 169 | 1.8% | \$1,055 | \$1,117 | 5.8% |
| Mississippi Average | 91.1% | 91.6% | 50 | 0.5% | \$1,078 | \$1,135 | 5.3% |
| NC - Asheville | 94.1% | 86.8% | -729 | -7.7% | \$1,629 | \$1,643 | 0.9% |
| NC - Charlotte | 87.5% | 83.2% | -430 | -4.9% | \$1,546 | \$1,578 | 2.1% |
| NC - Fayetteville | 90.2% | 90.9% | 70 | 0.8% | \$1,208 | \$1,255 | 3.9% |
| NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem | 91.4% | 92.0% | 60 | 0.7% | \$1,189 | \$1,227 | 3.2% |
| NC - Outlying | 98.3% | 82.5% | -1580 | -16.1% | \$1,286 | \$1,470 | 14.4% |
| NC - Raleigh-Durham | 87.3% | 85.8% | -150 | -1.8% | \$1,488 | \$1,499 | 0.7% |
| NC - Wilmington | 87.0% | 83.2% | -380 | -4.3% | \$1,407 | \$1,449 | 3.0% |
| North Carolina Average | 88.2% | 85.7% | -250 | -2.9% | \$1,456 | \$1,485 | 2.0% |
| ND - Bismarck | 94.6% | 96.9% | 230 | 2.4% | \$1,108 | \$1,181 | 6.6% |
| ND - Fargo | 87.0% | 89.2% | 220 | 2.4% | \$1,006 | \$1,036 | 2.9% |
| ND - Outlying | 91.4% | 92.9% | 150 | 1.6% | \$1,124 | \$1,188 | 5.7% |
| North Dakota Average | 90.5% | 91.8% | 130 | 1.4% | \$1,065 | \$1,112 | 4.4% |
| NE - Lincoln | 88.6% | 90.1% | 150 | 1.7% | \$1,134 | \$1,181 | 4.1% |
| NE - Omaha | 91.5% | 92.9% | 140 | 1.5% | \$1,191 | \$1,250 | 5.0% |
| NE - Outlying | 92.9% | 93.4% | 50 | 0.5% | \$852 | \$956 | 12.3% |
| Nebraska Average | 90.8% | 92.0% | 120 | 1.4% | \$1,170 | \$1,227 | 4.9% |
| NM - Albuquerque | 91.3% | 88.9% | -240 | -2.6% | \$1,336 | \$1,395 | 4.4% |
| NM - Outlying | 95.0% | 95.0% | 0 | 0.0% | \$1,261 | \$1,365 | 8.2% |
| New Mexico Average | 91.1% | 89.6% | -150 | -1.7% | \$1,328 | \$1,392 | 4.8% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| NV - Las Vegas | 88.7% | 88.9% | 20 | 0.2% | \$1,441 | \$1,472 | 2.2% |
| NV - Outlying | 95.7% | 94.0% | -170 | -1.7% | \$1,245 | \$1,295 | 4.0% |
| NV - Reno | 86.2% | 88.6% | 240 | 2.8% | \$1,583 | \$1,626 | 2.7% |
| Nevada Average | 88.3% | 88.9% | 60 | 0.7% | \$1,466 | \$1,499 | 2.3% |
| NY - Albany | 89.4% | 90.5% | 110 | 1.3% | \$1,534 | \$1,596 | 4.0% |
| NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse | 95.2% | 92.7% | -249 | -2.7% | \$1,318 | \$1,404 | 6.5% |
| NY - New York City | 87.4% | 82.6% | -480 | -5.6% | \$3,102 | \$3,231 | 4.2% |
| NY - Outlying | 92.1% | 86.1% | -600 | -6.6% | \$1,180 | \$1,189 | 0.7% |
| New York Average | 88.2% | 83.9% | -430 | -4.9% | \$2,768 | \$2,897 | 4.7% |
| OH - Cincinnati/Dayton | 91.2% | 92.6% | 140 | 1.6% | \$1,264 | \$1,320 | 4.4% |
| OH - Cleveland/Akron | 92.1% | 92.1% | 0 | 0.0% | \$1,155 | \$1,216 | 5.3% |
| OH - Columbus | 91.3% | 89.7% | -160 | -1.8% | \$1,283 | \$1,346 | 4.9% |
| OH - Outlying | 100.0% | 96.1% | 70 | 0.8% | \$838 | \$882 | 5.3% |
| OH - Toledo | 92.6% | 94.5% | 189 | 2.1% | \$937 | \$982 | 4.7% |
| Ohio Average | 91.5% | 91.4% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,219 | \$1,279 | 4.9% |
| OK - Oklahoma City | 89.5% | 90.3% | 80 | 0.9% | \$964 | \$1,003 | 4.1% |
| OK - Outlying | 89.1% | 89.0% | -10 | -0.1% | \$832 | \$885 | 6.3% |
| OK - Tulsa | 90.5% | 90.3% | -20 | -0.2% | \$977 | \$1,017 | 4.1% |
| Oklahoma Average | 89.9% | 90.2% | 30 | 0.3% | \$966 | \$1,006 | 4.1% |
| OR - Outlying | 86.3% | 94.8% | 849 | 9.8% | \$1,368 | \$1,390 | 1.6% |
| OR - Portland | 91.2% | 88.9% | -230 | -2.5% | \$1,668 | \$1,722 | 3.3% |
| Oregon Average | 91.1% | 89.0% | -210 | -2.3% | \$1,664 | \$1,717 | 3.2% |
| PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster | 91.5% | 89.7% | -180 | -2.0% | \$1,406 | \$1,476 | 5.0% |
| PA - Outlying | 95.6% | 92.9% | -269 | -2.8% | \$1,293 | \$1,377 | 6.4% |
| PA - Philadelphia | 91.8% | 89.5% | -230 | -2.4% | \$1,763 | \$1,830 | 3.8% |
| PA - Pittsburgh | 93.1% | 93.0% | -10 | 0.0% | \$1,363 | \$1,444 | 5.9% |
| PA - State College/Altoona | 98.0% | 96.7% | -130 | -1.3% | \$1,348 | \$1,433 | 6.3% |
| Pennsylvania Average | 91.9% | 90.2% | -170 | -1.9% | \$1,656 | \$1,726 | 4.2% |
| RI - Providence | 95.7% | 94.8% | -90 | -0.9% | \$1,944 | \$2,074 | 6.7% |
| Rhode Island Average | 95.7% | 94.8% | -90 | -0.9% | \$1,944 | \$2,074 | 6.7% |
| SC - Charleston | 88.0% | 83.8% | -420 | -4.8% | \$1,764 | \$1,796 | 1.8% |
| SC - Columbia | 88.8% | 89.5% | 70 | 0.8% | \$1,246 | \$1,329 | 6.7% |
| SC - Greenville-Spartanburg | 85.6% | 86.8% | 120 | 1.5% | \$1,318 | \$1,363 | 3.4% |
| SC - Myrtle Beach | 80.9% | 71.6% | -930 | -11.5% | \$1,548 | \$1,567 | 1.2% |
| SC - Outlying | 90.3% | 95.5% | 519 | 5.8% | \$1,212 | \$1,234 | 1.8% |
| South Carolina Average | 86.6% | 84.9% | -170 | -2.0% | \$1,469 | \$1,522 | 3.6% |
| SD - Outlying | 99.1% | 98.2% | -90 | -1.0% | \$1,019 | \$1,022 | 0.3% |
| SD - Rapid City | 66.3% | 66.0% | -30 | -0.4% | \$1,272 | \$1,263 | -0.7% |
| SD - Sioux Falls | 89.1% | 88.9% | -20 | -0.3% | \$1,061 | \$1,081 | 1.8% |
| South Dakota Average | 84.3% | 84.7% | 40 | 0.4% | \$1,104 | \$1,117 | 1.1% |
| TN - Chattanooga | 85.4% | 82.6% | -280 | -3.3% | \$1,331 | \$1,388 | 4.3% |
| TN - Knoxville | 94.3% | 92.3% | -199 | -2.2% | \$1,429 | \$1,479 | 3.5% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| TN - Memphis | 88.6% | 88.3% | -30 | -0.3% | \$1,156 | \$1,180 | 2.1% |
| TN - Nashville | 87.3% | 85.2% | -210 | -2.3% | \$1,586 | \$1,616 | 1.9% |
| TN - Outlying | 96.4% | 95.5% | -90 | -0.9% | \$1,099 | \$1,151 | 4.7% |
| Tennessee Average | 88.3% | 86.7% | -160 | -1.8% | \$1,421 | \$1,460 | 2.7% |
| TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth | 88.5% | 87.0% | -150 | -1.6% | \$1,506 | \$1,508 | 0.1% |
| TX - Greater Dallas | 89.5% | 88.0% | -150 | -1.6% | \$1,557 | \$1,553 | -0.2% |
| TX - Greater Fort Worth | 86.9% | 87.1% | 20 | 0.3% | \$1,394 | \$1,410 | 1.1% |
| TX - Abilene | 91.1% | 94.8% | 369 | 4.0% | \$944 | \$1,006 | 6.6% |
| TX - Amarillo | 89.4% | 89.4% | 0 | -0.1% | \$918 | \$946 | 3.0% |
| TX - Austin | 84.5% | 84.0% | -50 | -0.6% | \$1,567 | \$1,490 | -4.9% |
| TX - Beaumont | 90.0% | 90.3% | 30 | 0.4% | \$1,021 | \$1,050 | 2.8% |
| TX - College Station | 92.2% | 92.5% | 30 | 0.3% | \$1,488 | \$1,560 | 4.8% |
| TX - Corpus Christi | 86.1% | 87.0% | 90 | 1.0% | \$1,126 | \$1,149 | 2.0% |
| TX - El Paso | 92.9% | 89.4% | -350 | -3.8% | \$1,061 | \$1,094 | 3.2% |
| TX - Houston | 88.4% | 87.9% | -50 | -0.5% | \$1,312 | \$1,337 | 1.9% |
| TX - Laredo | 93.7% | 94.5% | 79 | 0.9% | \$1,107 | \$1,130 | 2.0% |
| TX - Longview/Tyler | 87.0% | 86.9% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,092 | \$1,111 | 1.7% |
| TX - Lubbock | 87.2% | 86.2% | -100 | -1.1% | \$919 | \$928 | 1.0% |
| TX - Lufkin | 89.7% | 88.1% | -160 | -1.7% | \$915 | \$954 | 4.3% |
| TX - Midland-Odessa | 92.2% | 92.5% | 30 | 0.3% | \$1,347 | \$1,389 | 3.1% |
| TX - Outlying | 91.8% | 92.0% | 20 | 0.2% | \$1,006 | \$1,030 | 2.4% |
| TX - Rio Grande Valley | 92.4% | 90.3% | -210 | -2.2% | \$969 | \$994 | 2.6% |
| TX - San Angelo | 90.2% | 91.4% | 120 | 1.3% | \$1,017 | \$1,057 | 3.9% |
| TX - San Antonio | 86.9% | 83.7% | -320 | -3.6% | \$1,215 | \$1,231 | 1.3% |
| TX - Texarkana | 89.2% | 88.4% | -80 | -0.9% | \$884 | \$889 | 0.6% |
| TX - Victoria | 90.7% | 94.3% | 359 | 3.9% | \$1,008 | \$1,027 | 1.9% |
| TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen | 88.8% | 86.4% | -240 | -2.7% | \$1,129 | \$1,146 | 1.5% |
| TX - Wichita Falls | 85.8% | 84.3% | -150 | -1.7% | \$840 | \$865 | 3.0% |
| Texas Average | 87.9% | 86.8% | -110 | -1.3% | \$1,375 | \$1,380 | 0.4% |
| UT - Outlying | 76.3% | 86.2% | 989 | 12.9% | \$1,463 | \$1,562 | 6.8% |
| UT - Salt Lake City | 87.0% | 86.0% | -100 | -1.2% | \$1,534 | \$1,537 | 0.2% |
| Utah Average | 86.5% | 86.0% | -50 | -0.6% | \$1,532 | \$1,538 | 0.4% |
| VA - Norfolk | 93.0% | 92.9% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,489 | \$1,551 | 4.1% |
| VA - Outlying | 92.1% | 96.7% | 459 | 5.0% | \$1,271 | \$1,166 | -8.3% |
| VA - Richmond | 90.8% | 89.8% | -100 | -1.1% | \$1,503 | \$1,587 | 5.6% |
| VA - Roanoke | 95.3% | 95.0% | -30 | -0.3% | \$1,201 | \$1,244 | 3.6% |
| Virginia Average | 92.2% | 91.7% | -50 | -0.6% | \$1,470 | \$1,540 | 4.8% |
| WA - Outlying | 94.6% | 84.0% | -1059 | -11.3% | \$1,111 | \$1,204 | 8.4% |
| WA - SE Washington | 91.1% | 93.1% | 200 | 2.2% | \$1,366 | \$1,421 | 4.1% |
| WA - Seattle | 91.3% | 89.9% | -140 | -1.6% | \$2,076 | \$2,127 | 2.5% |
| WA - Spokane | 89.9% | 87.7% | -220 | -2.4% | \$1,379 | \$1,391 | 0.9% |
| Washington Average | 91.0% | 89.8% | -120 | -1.4% | \$1,980 | \$2,027 | 2.4% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh | 92.3% | 90.9% | -140 | -1.5% | \$993 | \$1,073 | 8.1% |
| WI - Madison | 91.6% | 87.2% | -440 | -4.8% | \$1,463 | \$1,531 | 4.6% |
| WI - Milwaukee | 92.1% | 90.7% | -140 | -1.5% | \$1,420 | \$1,521 | 7.1% |
| WI - Outlying | 80.6% | 69.0% | -1160 | -14.4% | \$1,097 | \$1,156 | 5.4% |
| Wisconsin Average | 91.3% | 88.5% | -280 | -3.1% | \$1,353 | \$1,437 | 6.2% |
| WV - Charleston | 91.4% | 95.6% | 419 | 4.6% | \$1,043 | \$1,087 | 4.2% |
| WV - Outlying | 98.7% | 98.2% | -50 | -0.5% | \$1,015 | \$1,063 | 4.7% |
| West Virginia Average | 92.9% | 96.6% | 369 | 3.9% | \$1,037 | \$1,081 | 4.3% |
| CT - Hartford | 94.2% | 91.3% | -289 | -3.0% | \$1,782 | \$1,879 | 5.4% |
| DC - Washington | 91.6% | 90.1% | -150 | -1.6% | \$2,150 | \$2,253 | 4.8% |
| DE - Outlying | 89.9% | 83.8% | -610 | -6.7% | \$1,644 | \$1,708 | 3.9% |
| HI - State of Hawaii | 92.5% | 93.6% | 110 | 1.2% | \$2,251 | \$2,390 | 6.2% |
| MT - Outlying | 75.8% | 81.7% | 589 | 7.8% | \$1,527 | \$1,661 | 8.8% |
| NH - Concord | 80.9% | 78.9% | -200 | -2.4% | \$1,790 | \$1,869 | 4.4% |
| VT - Outlying | 99.9% | 74.0% | -2590 | -25.9% | \$1,837 | \$2,011 | 9.4% |
| WY - Outlying | 93.5% | 93.7% | 20 | 0.2% | \$1,236 | \$1,274 | 3.0% |

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com