	OVERALL MARKET								
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT				
	May-24	May-25	bps	%CHG	May-24	May-25	%CHG		
AK - Anchorage	91.5%	92.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,431	\$1,505	5.2%		
AK - Outlying	91.2%	91.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,568	\$1,638	4.5%		
Alaska Average	91.4%	91.9%	50	0.6%	\$1,464	\$1,537	5.0%		
AL - Birmingham	88.0%	89.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,185	\$1,222	3.1%		
AL - Huntsville	81.4%	81.9%	50	0.6%	\$1,170	\$1,159	-1.0%		
AL - Mobile	90.5%	90.4%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,194	\$1,226	2.6%		
AL - Montgomery	88.4%	92.8%	440	5.0%	\$996	\$1,031	3.5%		
AL - Outlying	95.8%	94.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$856	\$904	5.7%		
Alabama Average	86.7%	87.9%	120	1.4%	\$1,147	\$1,170	2.0%		
AR - Little Rock	88.6%	87.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$992	\$1,022	3.0%		
AR - Northwest Arkansas	87.5%	83.4%	-410	-4.7%	\$1,011	\$1,112	10.0%		
AR - Outlying	96.6%	94.3%	-230	-2.3%	\$795	\$834	4.9%		
Arkansas Average	88.8%	86.5%	-230	-2.7%	\$982	\$1,043	6.2%		
AZ - Flagstaff	91.0%	87.3%	-370	-4.0%	\$1,809	\$1,833	1.3%		
AZ - Outlying	95.0%	94.4%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,144	\$1,159	1.3%		
AZ - Phoenix	87.3%	85.2%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,556	\$1,553	-0.2%		
AZ - Tucson	89.3%	89.9%	60	0.7%	\$1,179	\$1,171	-0.7%		
Arizona Average	87.7%	86.1%	-160	-1.9%	\$1,496	\$1,495	-0.1%		
CA - Central Coast	93.5%	93.7%	20	0.2%	\$2,611	\$2,672	2.3%		
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.1%	91.5%	40	0.5%	\$2,711	\$2,767	2.1%		
CA - Outlying	95.4%	95.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,326	\$1,398	5.4%		
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	91.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,895	\$1,943	2.6%		
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.0%	91.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$2,149	\$2,224	3.5%		
CA - San Diego	92.2%	91.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,701	\$2,770	2.5%		
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.0%	90.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,873	\$3,011	4.8%		
CA - San Joaquin Valley	94.9%	94.3%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,565	\$1,616	3.3%		
California Average	91.9%	91.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,547	\$2,625	3.1%		
CO - Denver/Co Springs	86.9%	84.5%	-240	-2.8%	\$1,844	\$1,810	-1.8%		
CO - Outlying	91.5%	81.7%	-980	-10.7%	\$1,829	\$1,874	2.5%		
Colorado Average	86.8%	84.4%	-240	-2.8%	\$1,844	\$1,811	-1.8%		
FL - Fort Lauderdale	88.2%	88.3%	10	0.1%	\$2,425	\$2,456	1.3%		
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	80.1%	78.5%	-160	-1.9%	\$1,968	\$1,902	-3.4%		
FL - Gainesville	90.5%	90.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,655	\$1,714	3.6%		
FL - Jacksonville	84.0%	84.9%	90	1.1%	\$1,471	\$1,501	2.0%		
FL - Melbourne	87.9%	85.3%	-260	-3.0%	\$1,649	\$1,728	4.8%		
FL - Miami	85.5%	83.8%	-170	-2.0%	\$2,517	\$2,594	3.1%		
FL - Orlando	86.9%	86.3%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,752	\$1,772	1.1%		
FL - Palm Beach	90.9%	89.1%	-180	-1.9%	\$2,426	\$2,492	2.7%		
FL - Pensacola	86.2%	84.8%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,552	\$1,588	2.4%		
FL - Tallahassee	89.5%	89.3%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,374	\$1,451	5.5%		
FL - Tampa	86.6%	85.2%	-140	-1.7%	\$1,784	\$1,839	3.1%		
Florida Average	86.6%	85.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,906	\$1,945	2.0%		

	OVERALL MARKET							
	осси	PANCY	CHAI	CHANGE EFFECTIVE RENT				
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
GA - Albany	90.6%	88.1%	-250	-2.8%	\$999	\$1,035	3.6%	
GA - Atlanta	86.7%	86.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,606	\$1,634	1.7%	
GA - Augusta	88.8%	89.8%	100	1.2%	\$1,150	\$1,236	7.5%	
GA - Columbus	92.2%	87.6%	-460	-5.0%	\$1,149	\$1,204	4.8%	
GA - Macon	86.2%	86.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,139	\$1,169	2.6%	
GA - Outlying	89.0%	95.3%	629	7.1%	\$1,443	\$1,687	16.9%	
GA - Savannah	84.2%	80.6%	-359	-4.3%	\$1,655	\$1,705	3.0%	
Georgia Average	86.8%	86.0%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,547	\$1,581	2.2%	
IA - Des Moines	88.2%	88.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,127	\$1,165	3.4%	
IA - Outlying	92.4%	96.5%	409	4.5%	\$1,027	\$1,107	7.7%	
Iowa Average	88.5%	89.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,119	\$1,161	3.7%	
ID - Boise	86.5%	83.3%	-320	-3.7%	\$1,537	\$1,603	4.2%	
ID - Outlying	96.1%	92.3%	-379	-3.9%	\$930	\$965	3.7%	
Idaho Average	86.7%	83.6%	-310	-3.5%	\$1,511	\$1,576	4.3%	
IL - Chicago	92.0%	92.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,969	\$2,108	7.1%	
IL - Moline	91.6%	90.3%	-130	-1.5%	\$944	\$1,004	6.4%	
IL - Outlying	83.8%	89.4%	560	6.7%	\$785	\$812	3.5%	
IL - Springfield	94.4%	93.1%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,026	\$1,086	5.9%	
Illinois Average	92.2%	92.4%	20	0.3%	\$1,845	\$1,973	7.0%	
IN - Evansville	94.8%	95.9%	110	1.1%	\$960	\$1,007	4.8%	
IN - Fort Wayne	93.8%	93.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,042	\$1,085	4.2%	
IN - Indianapolis	91.4%	90.7%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,255	\$1,322	5.3%	
IN - Outlying	99.3%	95.7%	-360	-3.6%	\$933	\$1,027	10.0%	
IN - South Bend	92.0%	95.6%	359	3.9%	\$1,162	\$1,251	7.6%	
Indiana Average	91.8%	91.7%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,208	\$1,274	5.4%	
KS - Outlying	99.8%	98.3%	-150	-1.6%	\$700	\$727	3.9%	
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.8%	94.6%	80	0.9%	\$945	\$1,019	7.8%	
KS - Wichita	91.3%	91.5%	20	0.3%	\$897	\$933	4.0%	
Kansas Average	92.5%	92.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$911	\$963	5.7%	
KY - Lexington	91.0%	90.2%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,140	\$1,238	8.6%	
KY - Louisville	91.5%	89.1%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,220	\$1,259	3.2%	
KY - Outlying	93.8%	91.5%	-229	-2.4%	\$795	\$867	9.1%	
Kentucky Average	91.4%	<mark>89.5%</mark>	-190	-2.1%	\$1,187	\$1,243	4.8%	
LA - Baton Rouge	86.1%	89.9%	380	4.3%	\$1,122	\$1,178	5.0%	
LA - Lake Charles	84.5%	83.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$985	\$1,025	4.1%	
LA - Monroe	88.2%	93.4%	520	5.9%	\$907	\$939	3.5%	
LA - New Orleans	88.0%	90.7%	270	3.0%	\$1,238	\$1,280	3.4%	
LA - Outlying	86.2%	92.1%	590	6.8%	\$866	\$899	3.8%	
LA - Shreveport	89.5%	92.5%	300	3.3%	\$996	\$1,059	6.4%	
Louisiana Average	87.2%	90.3%	310	3.6%	\$1,126	\$1,175	4.4%	
MA - Boston	90.5%	90.8%	30	0.3%	\$2,765	\$2,897	4.8%	
MA - Outlying	100.0%	93.5%	110	1.1%	\$1,640	\$2,205	34.4%	

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust



www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



오 Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

오 Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data www.alndata.com 800-643-6416 x 3 Sales@alndata.com

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

Massachusetts Average 90.7% 91.0% 30 0.3% \$2,721 \$2,852 4.8% MD - Battimore 92.0% 93.6% 160 1.7% \$1,670 \$1,739 4.2% MD - Outlying 97.0% 93.3% -369 3.8% \$1,459 \$1,526 4.6% Marjand Average 92.2% 93.6% 140 1.6% \$1,665 \$1,734 4.2% Mi - Augusta/Portland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Mi - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 140 1.5% \$1,297 \$1,373 6.7% Mi-digan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% MN - Outlying 78.9% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Mo - calutying 82.5% 70.4% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% Mo - outlying 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,251 \$1,313		OVERALL MARKET							
MA - Springfield 95.6% 97.8% 220 2.3% \$1,653 \$1,730 4.7% Massachusetts Average 90.7% 91.0% 30 0.3% \$2,721 \$2,852 4.8% MD - Baltimore 92.0% 93.3% -360 1.7% \$1,653 \$11,739 4.2% MD - Outrying 92.0% 93.3% -360 -3.8% \$14,59 \$15,526 4.6% Maine Average 92.2% 93.6% 100 1.6% \$1,655 \$17,34 4.2% Mi-Augusta/Portland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% MI - Outrying 95.3% 93.4% 90 0.9% \$1,297 \$1,373 5.8% MI - Outrying 95.3% 93.2% 100 1.6% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% Mi-Outrying 95.3% 93.2% 10 1.6% \$1,506 \$1,577 4.7% MO - Outrying 82.5% 70.4% 82.3% \$1,333 <td< th=""><th></th><th>осси</th><th>PANCY</th><th>СНА</th><th>NGE</th><th>EFFECT</th><th>TIVE RENT</th><th></th></td<>		осси	PANCY	СНА	NGE	EFFECT	TIVE RENT		
Massachusetts Average 90.7% 91.0% 30 0.3% \$2,721 \$2,852 4.8% MD - Battimore 92.0% 93.6% 160 1.7% \$1,670 \$1,739 4.2% MD - Outlying 97.0% 93.3% -369 -3.8% \$1,459 \$1,739 4.2% Marine Average 92.2% 93.6% 140 1.6% \$1,655 \$1,734 4.2% Mi - Augusta/Portland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Mi - Gerand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 140 1.5% \$1,297 \$1,375 6.7% Mi - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,066 \$1,161 5.9% Mi - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% 30 0.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minesta Average 82.9% 93.2% 30 0.4% \$1,295 \$1,325 \$1,259 \$1,313 4.1% Mi - Outlying 82.5%		Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
MD - Baltimore 92.0% 93.6% 160 1.7% \$1,670 \$1,739 4.2% MD - Outlying 97.0% 93.3% -369 -3.8% \$1,550 \$1,526 4.6% Maryland Average 92.2% 93.6% 140 1.6% \$1,655 \$1,734 4.2% MK - August/Portland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Mi - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 140 1.5% \$1,289 \$1,373 5.8% Mi - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,355 6.0% MM - Outlying 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,355 6.0% MN - Outlying 82.3% 330 0.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Cuthying 92.5% 70.4% 1210 <t< th=""><th>MA - Springfield</th><th>95.6%</th><th>97.8%</th><th>220</th><th>2.3%</th><th>\$1,653</th><th>\$1,730</th><th>4.7%</th></t<>	MA - Springfield	95.6%	97.8%	220	2.3%	\$1,653	\$1,730	4.7%	
MD - Outlying 97.0% 93.3% -369 -3.8% \$1,459 \$1,526 4.6% Maryland Average 92.2% 93.6% 140 1.6% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% ME - Augusta//ortland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Mine Average 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Mine Average 92.5% 93.4% 90 0.9% \$1,297 \$1,373 5.8% MI - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,096 \$1,161 5.9% Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% MN - Outlying 78.9% 82.3% 30 0.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,066 \$1,7% MO - Columbia 94.3% 90.5% -10 -0.1% \$1,295	Massachusetts Average	90.7%	91.0%	30	0.3%	\$2,721	\$2,852	4.8%	
Maryland Average 92.2% 93.6% 140 1.6% \$1,665 \$1,734 4.2% ME - Augusta/Portland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Maine Average 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Mi - Detroit 92.5% 93.4% 90 0.9% \$1,297 \$1,375 5.8% Mi - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 140 1.5% \$1,286 \$1,161 5.9% Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,313 4.1% Minesota Average 89.9% 89.4% 30 0.3% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,499 \$1,668 1.8% MO - Stingfield 94.1% 0 0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Stingfield 94.1% 90.5% 10 0.1% \$1	MD - Baltimore	92.0%	93.6%	160	1.7%	\$1,670	\$1,739	4.2%	
ME - Augusta/Portland 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% Maine Average 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% MI - Detroit 92.5% 93.4% 90 0.9% \$1,279 \$1,373 5.8% MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,289 \$1,376 6.7% MI - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,096 \$1,161 5.9% Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minesota Average 88.9% 82.3% 330 0.4% \$1,099 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 0.1% 91.25 \$1,322 7.5% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 0.1% 93.2% \$1,059 \$1,122 \$1,132	MD - Outlying	97.0%	93.3%	-369	-3.8%	\$1,459	\$1,526	4.6%	
Maine Average 87.7% 87.5% -20 -0.2% \$1,943 \$2,084 7.3% MI - Detroit 92.5% 93.4% 90 0.9% \$1,297 \$1,373 5.8% MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,376 6.7% MI-Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,096 \$1,161 5.9% MN-Outlying 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,375 4.7% MN - Outlying 78.9% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.3% 97.5% 40 0.1% \$9325 \$990 5.8% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$9	Maryland Average	92.2%	93.6%	140	1.6%	\$1,665	\$1,734	4.2%	
MI - Detroit 92.5% 93.4% 90 0.9% \$1,297 \$1,373 5.8% MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 140 1.5% \$1,289 \$1,376 6.7% MI - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,096 \$1,161 5.9% Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul 89.1% 89.4% 30 0.3% \$1,505 4.7% MO - Columbia 78.9% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minnesota Average 88.9% 89.7% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.3% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,052 \$1,032 7.5% MO - Outlying 82.5% 70.4% -1210 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - Springfield 94.1% 90 0.1% <t< th=""><th>ME - Augusta/Portland</th><th>87.7%</th><th>87.5%</th><th>-20</th><th>-0.2%</th><th>\$1,943</th><th>\$2,084</th><th>7.3%</th></t<>	ME - Augusta/Portland	87.7%	87.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,943	\$2,084	7.3%	
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek 91.8% 93.2% 140 1.5% \$1,289 \$1,376 6.7% MI - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,096 \$1,161 5.9% Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul 89.1% 89.4% 30 0.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minnesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Springfield 94.1% 91.5% 10 -0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 1.3% \$1,285 \$1,326 5.2% Missouri Average 90.1% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Gut/port/Biloxi	Maine Average	87.7%	87.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,943	\$2,084	7.3%	
MI - Outlying 95.1% 94.4% -70 -0.8% \$1,096 \$1,161 5.9% Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% MI - Minneapolis - St. Paul 89.1% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minnesota Average 88.9% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,668 1.8% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$1,225 \$1,321 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.3% \$1,073	MI - Detroit	92.5%	93.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,297	\$1,373	5.8%	
Michigan Average 92.3% 93.2% 90 1.0% \$1,288 \$1,365 6.0% MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul 89.1% 89.4% 30 0.3% \$1,506 \$1,577 4.7% MN - outlying 78.9% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minnesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,668 1.8% MO - Kansas City 90.6% 90.5% -10 -0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MS - Sulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 120 1.3% \$1,228 \$1,316 5.3% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Sulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,063 \$1,625 -2.	MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	91.8%	93.2%	140	1.5%	\$1,289	\$1,376	6.7%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul 89.1% 89.4% 30 0.3% \$1,506 \$1,577 4.7% MN - Outlying 78.9% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minnesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.2% 70.4% -1210 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,285 \$1,325 \$2,36 MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Outlying 92.9% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 \$6.6% MS - Outlying 92.3% 170 1.9%	MI - Outlying	95.1%	94.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,096	\$1,161	5.9%	
MN - Outlying 78.9% 82.3% 339 4.3% \$1,261 \$1,313 4.1% Minnesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Kansas City 90.6% 90.5% -10 -0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 90.6% -10 -14.7% \$900 \$8831 20.4% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 90 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MO - Stringfield 94.1% 90 0.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Outlying 92.3% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Soutlying 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,063 \$1,625	Michigan Average	92.3%	93.2%	90	1.0%	\$1,288	\$1,365	6.0%	
Minnesota Average 88.9% 89.2% 30 0.4% \$1,499 \$1,569 4.7% MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Kansas City 90.6% 90.5% -10 -0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Outlying 82.5% 70.4% -1210 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,288 \$1,356 5.2% Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Outlying 92.3% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississipi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1%	MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.1%	89.4%	30	0.3%	\$1,506	\$1,577	4.7%	
MO - Columbia 94.8% 97.5% 270 2.8% \$1,049 \$1,068 1.8% MO - Kansas City 90.6% 90.5% -10 -0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Outlying 82.5% 70.4% -1210 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,289 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MC - Asheville 92.9% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississipi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1%	MN - Outlying	78.9%	82.3%	339	4.3%	\$1,261	\$1,313	4.1%	
MO - Kansas City 90.6% 90.5% -10 -0.1% \$1,295 \$1,392 7.5% MO - Outlying 82.5% 70.4% -1210 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,288 \$1,356 5.2% Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MS - Outlying 92.3% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississipi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,155 5.3% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.4% 92.1%	Minnesota Average	88.9%	89.2%	30	0.4%	\$1,499	\$1,569	4.7%	
MO - Outlying 82.5% 70.4% -1210 -14.7% \$690 \$831 20.4% MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,288 \$1,356 5.2% Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MS - Outlying 92.9% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississipi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.4% 92.1% 70 0.8	MO - Columbia	94.8%	97.5%	270	2.8%	\$1,049	\$1,068	1.8%	
MO - Springfield 94.1% 94.1% 0 0.1% \$936 \$990 5.8% MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,288 \$1,356 5.2% Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,116 4.0% Mississippi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,033 \$1,116 4.0% Mississippi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,033 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,550 \$1,601 3.3% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.4% 92.1% 70 0.8% \$1,211 \$1,232 2.3% NC - Wilmington 82.	MO - Kansas City	90.6%	90.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,295	\$1,392	7.5%	
MO - St. Louis 88.6% 89.8% 120 1.3% \$1,288 \$1,356 5.2% Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MS - Outlying 92.9% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississipi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,501 3.3% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.4% 92.1% 70 0.8% \$1,211 \$1,239 2.3% NC - Cutlying 97.8% 92.0% -579 -6.0% <	MO - Outlying	82.5%	70.4%	-1210	-14.7%	\$690	\$831	20.4%	
Missouri Average 90.1% 90.5% 40 0.5% \$1,259 \$1,341 6.5% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MS - Outlying 92.9% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississippi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,550 \$1,611 3.3% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.4% 92.1% 70 0.8% \$1,211 \$1,239 2.3% NC - Outlying 97.8% 92.0% -557 -6.0% \$1,488 \$1,515 1.8% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 81.4% 85.9%	MO - Springfield	94.1%	94.1%	0	0.1%	\$936	\$990	5.8%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 92.3% 94.3% 199 2.2% \$1,062 \$1,132 6.6% MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MS - Outlying 92.9% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississippi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,550 \$1,601 3.3% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.2% 92.2% 100 1.1% \$1,245 \$1,268 1.8% NC - Outlying 97.8% 92.0% -579 -6.0% \$1,378 \$1,486 7.9% NC - Raleigh-Durham 86.4% 85.9% -50 -0.5% \$1,484 4.3% North Carolina Average 87.2% 86.1% -110 -1.2% \$1,464 \$1,504 2.7% ND - Bismarck 96.9%	MO - St. Louis	88.6%	89.8%	120	1.3%	\$1,288	\$1,356	5.2%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS 88.6% 90.3% 170 1.9% \$1,122 \$1,181 5.3% MS - Outlying 92.9% 94.3% 139 1.5% \$1,073 \$1,116 4.0% Mississippi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,510 \$1,611 3.3% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.2% 92.2% 100 1.1% \$1,211 \$1,239 2.3% NC - Outlying 97.8% 92.0% -579 -6.0% \$1,188 \$1,515 1.8% NC - Raleigh-Durham 86.4% 85.9% -50 -0.5% \$1,488 \$1,515 1.8% North Carolina Average 87.2% 86.1% -110 -1.2% \$1,464 \$1,504 2.7% ND - Bismarck 96.9% 96.8% -10 -0.1% \$1,131 \$1,215 7.4% ND - Outlying	Missouri Average	90.1%	90.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,259	\$1,341	6.5%	
MS - Outlying92.9%94.3%1391.5%\$1,073\$1,1164.0%Mississippi Average90.7%92.3%1601.7%\$1,093\$1,1515.3%NC - Asheville93.7%87.0%-670-7.1%\$1,660\$1,625-2.1%NC - Charlotte86.2%84.3%-190-2.3%\$1,550\$1,6013.3%NC - Fayetteville91.2%92.2%1001.1%\$1,245\$1,2681.8%NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem91.4%92.1%700.8%\$1,211\$1,2392.3%NC - Outlying97.8%92.0%-579-6.0%\$1,378\$1,4867.9%NC - Raleigh-Durham86.4%85.9%-50-0.5%\$1,488\$1,5151.8%NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%ND - Coulying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%ND - Grago90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Machina86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,1	MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.3%	94.3%	199	2.2%	\$1,062	\$1,132	6.6%	
Mississipi Average 90.7% 92.3% 160 1.7% \$1,093 \$1,151 5.3% NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,550 \$1,601 3.3% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.2% 92.2% 100 1.1% \$1,245 \$1,268 1.8% NC - Outlying 97.8% 92.0% -579 -6.0% \$1,378 \$1,486 7.9% NC - Raleigh-Durham 86.4% 85.9% -50 -0.5% \$1,488 \$1,515 1.8% NC - Wilmington 82.7% 82.7% 0 -0.1% \$1,423 \$1,484 4.3% North Carolina Average 87.2% 86.1% -110 -1.2% \$1,464 \$1,504 2.7% ND - Bismarck 96.9% 90.7% 20 0.2% \$1,035 \$1,034 2.9% ND - Outlying 91.8% 94.2% 239 2.6% \$1,162 \$1,217 4.5% ND - Outlying	MS - Jackson/Central MS	88.6%	90.3%	170	1.9%	\$1,122	\$1,181	5.3%	
NC - Asheville 93.7% 87.0% -670 -7.1% \$1,660 \$1,625 -2.1% NC - Charlotte 86.2% 84.3% -190 -2.3% \$1,550 \$1,601 3.3% NC - Fayetteville 91.2% 92.2% 100 1.1% \$1,245 \$1,268 1.8% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.4% 92.1% 70 0.8% \$1,211 \$1,239 2.3% NC - Outlying 97.8% 92.0% -579 -6.0% \$1,378 \$1,486 7.9% NC - Raleigh-Durham 86.4% 85.9% -50 -0.5% \$1,488 \$1,515 1.8% NC - Wilmington 82.7% 82.7% 0 -0.1% \$1,423 \$1,484 4.3% North Carolina Average 87.2% 86.1% -110 -1.2% \$1,464 \$1,504 2.7% ND - Bismarck 96.9% 90.7% 20 0.2% \$1,035 \$1,034 2.9% ND - Outlying 91.8% 94.2% 239 2.6% \$1,146 \$1,209 5.5% ND - Outlying 91.8%	MS - Outlying	92.9%	94.3%	139	1.5%	\$1,073	\$1,116	4.0%	
NC - Charlotte86.2%84.3%-190-2.3%\$1,550\$1,6013.3%NC - Fayetteville91.2%92.2%1001.1%\$1,245\$1,2681.8%NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem91.4%92.1%700.8%\$1,211\$1,2392.3%NC - Outlying97.8%92.0%-579-6.0%\$1,378\$1,4867.9%NC - Raleigh-Durham86.4%85.9%-50-0.5%\$1,488\$1,5151.8%NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Omaha92.3%92.7%300.3%\$1,215\$1,2645.7%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	Mississippi Average	90.7%	92.3%	160	1.7%	\$1,093	\$1,151	5.3%	
NC - Fayetteville91.2%92.2%1001.1%\$1,245\$1,2681.8%NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem91.4%92.1%700.8%\$1,211\$1,2392.3%NC - Outlying97.8%92.0%-579-6.0%\$1,378\$1,4867.9%NC - Raleigh-Durham86.4%85.9%-50-0.5%\$1,488\$1,5151.8%NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881 <t< th=""><th>NC - Asheville</th><th>93.7%</th><th>87.0%</th><th>-670</th><th>-7.1%</th><th>\$1,660</th><th>\$1,625</th><th>-2.1%</th></t<>	NC - Asheville	93.7%	87.0%	-670	-7.1%	\$1,660	\$1,625	-2.1%	
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem91.4%92.1%700.8%\$1,211\$1,2392.3%NC - Outlying97.8%92.0%-579-6.0%\$1,378\$1,4867.9%NC - Raleigh-Durham86.4%85.9%-50-0.5%\$1,488\$1,5151.8%NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%North Dakota Average91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Omaha92.4%92.7%300.3%\$1,215\$1,2876.0%NE - Outlying90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	NC - Charlotte	86.2%	84.3%	-190	-2.3%	\$1,550	\$1,601	3.3%	
NC - Outlying97.8%92.0%-579-6.0%\$1,378\$1,4867.9%NC - Raleigh-Durham86.4%85.9%-50-0.5%\$1,488\$1,5151.8%NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Uncoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Omaha92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	NC - Fayetteville	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,245	\$1,268	1.8%	
NC - Raleigh-Durham86.4%85.9%-50-0.5%\$1,488\$1,5151.8%NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Omaha92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.4%	92.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,211	\$1,239	2.3%	
NC - Wilmington82.7%82.7%0-0.1%\$1,423\$1,4844.3%North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	NC - Outlying	97.8%	92.0%	-579	-6.0%	\$1,378	\$1,486	7.9%	
North Carolina Average87.2%86.1%-110-1.2%\$1,464\$1,5042.7%ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Omaha92.4%92.7%300.3%\$1,215\$1,2876.0%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	NC - Raleigh-Durham	86.4%	85.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,488	\$1,515	1.8%	
ND - Bismarck96.9%96.8%-10-0.1%\$1,131\$1,2157.4%ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Omaha92.4%92.7%300.3%\$1,215\$1,2876.0%Ne - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	NC - Wilmington	82.7%	82.7%	0	-0.1%	\$1,423	\$1,484	4.3%	
ND - Fargo90.5%90.7%200.2%\$1,005\$1,0342.9%ND - Outlying91.8%94.2%2392.6%\$1,146\$1,2095.5%North Dakota Average92.1%93.1%1001.1%\$1,076\$1,1244.5%NE - Lincoln86.2%89.9%3704.4%\$1,162\$1,2174.7%NE - Omaha92.4%92.7%300.3%\$1,215\$1,2876.0%NE - Outlying92.3%93.4%1101.2%\$881\$1,00413.9%Nebraska Average90.8%92.0%1201.3%\$1,195\$1,2645.7%	North Carolina Average	87.2%	86.1%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,464	\$1,504	2.7%	
ND - Outlying 91.8% 94.2% 239 2.6% \$1,146 \$1,209 5.5% North Dakota Average 92.1% 93.1% 100 1.1% \$1,076 \$1,124 4.5% NE - Lincoln 86.2% 89.9% 370 4.4% \$1,162 \$1,217 4.7% NE - Omaha 92.4% 92.7% 30 0.3% \$1,215 \$1,287 6.0% NE - Outlying 92.3% 93.4% 110 1.2% \$881 \$1,004 13.9% Nebraska Average 90.8% 92.0% 120 1.3% \$1,195 \$1,264 5.7%	ND - Bismarck	96.9%	96.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,131	\$1,215	7.4%	
North Dakota Average 92.1% 93.1% 100 1.1% \$1,076 \$1,124 4.5% NE - Lincoln 86.2% 89.9% 370 4.4% \$1,162 \$1,217 4.7% NE - Omaha 92.4% 92.7% 30 0.3% \$1,215 \$1,287 6.0% NE - Outlying 92.3% 93.4% 110 1.2% \$881 \$1,004 13.9% Nebraska Average 90.8% 92.0% 120 1.3% \$1,195 \$1,264 5.7%	ND - Fargo	90.5%	90.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,005	\$1,034	2.9%	
NE - Lincoln 86.2% 89.9% 370 4.4% \$1,162 \$1,217 4.7% NE - Omaha 92.4% 92.7% 30 0.3% \$1,215 \$1,287 6.0% NE - Outlying 92.3% 93.4% 110 1.2% \$881 \$1,004 13.9% Nebraska Average 90.8% 92.0% 120 1.3% \$1,195 \$1,264 5.7%	ND - Outlying	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.6%	\$1,146	\$1,209	5.5%	
NE - Omaha 92.4% 92.7% 30 0.3% \$1,215 \$1,287 6.0% NE - Outlying 92.3% 93.4% 110 1.2% \$881 \$1,004 13.9% Nebraska Average 90.8% 92.0% 120 1.3% \$1,195 \$1,264 5.7%	North Dakota Average	92.1%	93.1%	100	1.1%	\$1,076	\$1,124	4.5%	
NE - Outlying 92.3% 93.4% 110 1.2% \$881 \$1,004 13.9% Nebraska Average 90.8% 92.0% 120 1.3% \$1,195 \$1,264 5.7%	NE - Lincoln	86.2%	89.9%	370	4.4%	\$1,162	\$1,217	4.7%	
Nebraska Average 90.8% 92.0% 120 1.3% \$1,195 \$1,264 5.7%	NE - Omaha	92.4%	92.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,215	\$1,287	6.0%	
	NE - Outlying	92.3%	93.4%	110	1.2%	\$881	\$1,004	13.9%	
NM - Albuquerque 90.2% 88.3% -190 -2.1% \$1,350 \$1,402 3.9%	Nebraska Average	90.8%	92.0%	120	1.3%	\$1,195	\$1,264	5.7%	
	NM - Albuquerque	90.2%	88.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,350	\$1,402	3.9%	
NM - Outlying 94.2% 92.6% -159 -1.7% \$1,295 \$1,375 6.2%	NM - Outlying	94.2%	92.6%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,295	\$1,375	6.2%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	occur	PANCY	CHAN	IGE	EFFECT	IVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
New Mexico Average	90.5%	88.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,344	\$1,399	4.1%	
NV - Las Vegas	88.5%	90.4%	190	2.0%	\$1,459	\$1,489	2.1%	
NV - Outlying	96.1%	95.0%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,282	\$1,287	0.4%	
NV - Reno	88.5%	87.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,596	\$1,668	4.5%	
Nevada Average	88.5%	89.9%	140	1.6%	\$1,482	\$1,520	2.5%	
NY - Albany	89.9%	89.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,556	\$1,625	4.5%	
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	93.8%	92.9%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,350	\$1,453	7.6%	
NY - New York City	85.3%	81.7%	-360	-4.3%	\$3,146	\$3,299	4.9%	
NY - Outlying	93.5%	88.4%	-510	-5.5%	\$1,223	\$1,222	-0.1%	
New York Average	86.4%	83.5%	-290	-3.3%	\$2,811	\$2,962	5.4%	
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.2%	\$1,288	\$1,353	5.0%	
OH - Cleveland/Akron	90.3%	92.4%	210	2.3%	\$1,176	\$1,238	5.3%	
OH - Columbus	90.3%	89.3%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,303	\$1,378	5.8%	
OH - Outlying	98.0%	98.0%	0	0.0%	\$838	\$893	6.5%	
OH - Toledo	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$951	\$985	3.6%	
Ohio Average	90.8%	91.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,240	\$1,307	5.4%	
OK - Oklahoma City	89.2%	90.3%	110	1.2%	\$967	\$1,013	4.7%	
OK - Outlying	90.9%	86.5%	-440	-4.8%	\$836	\$882	5.4%	
OK - Tulsa	92.0%	89.1%	-290	-3.1%	\$988	\$1,037	5.0%	
Oklahoma Average	90.4%	89.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$973	\$1,020	4.8%	
OR - Outlying	94.1%	95.0%	90	1.0%	\$1,374	\$1,397	1.7%	
OR - Portland	91.0%	91.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,694	\$1,735	2.5%	
Oregon Average	91.0%	91.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,689	\$1,730	2.4%	
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	87.8%	90.1%	230	2.7%	\$1,427	\$1,513	6.0%	
PA - Outlying	96.4%	94.6%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,312	\$1,415	7.9%	
PA - Philadelphia	91.6%	90.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,774	\$1,861	4.8%	
PA - Pittsburgh	91.4%	94.0%	259	2.9%	\$1,398	\$1,469	5.1%	
PA - State College/Altoona	96.6%	95.7%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,358	\$1,499	10.4%	
Pennsylvania Average	91.2%	90.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,673	\$1,758	5.1%	
RI - Providence	95.7%	95.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,988	\$2,129	7.1%	
Rhode Island Average	95.7%	95.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,988	\$2,129	7.1%	
SC - Charleston	87.1%	84.9%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,768	\$1,842	4.2%	
SC - Columbia	88.9%	90.8%	190	2.2%	\$1,270	\$1,337	5.3%	
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	84.3%	87.2%	290	3.4%	\$1,324	\$1,388	4.8%	
SC - Myrtle Beach	74.5%	75.6%	110	1.5%	\$1,553	\$1,589	2.3%	
SC - Outlying	92.0%	90.3%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,212	\$1,284	5.9%	
South Carolina Average	85.5%	86.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,479	\$1,552	4.9%	
SD - Outlying	99.3%	98.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$999	\$1,019	2.0%	
SD - Rapid City	71.8%	88.8%	1700	23.6%	\$1,261	\$1,279	1.4%	
SD - Sioux Falls	89.0%	89.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,062	\$1,074	1.1%	
South Dakota Average	85.6%	90.4%	480	5.6%	\$1,100	\$1,113	1.2%	
TN - Chattanooga	86.1%	84.4%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,356	\$1,391	2.6%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	οςςυι	PANCY	СНА	NGE	EFFECT	IVE RENT	Ĩ	
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
TN - Knoxville	91.9%	92.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,460	\$1,512	3.6%	
TN - Memphis	90.4%	89.3%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,164	\$1,192	2.5%	
TN - Nashville	86.2%	86.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,607	\$1,631	1.5%	
TN - Outlying	95.4%	95.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,115	\$1,166	4.6%	
Tennessee Average	88.2%	87.7%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,441	\$1,476	2.4%	
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	87.8%	87.2%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,512	\$1,520	0.6%	
TX - Greater Dallas	88.7%	88.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,558	\$1,565	0.5%	
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.5%	87.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,412	\$1,421	0.6%	
TX - Abilene	92.6%	93.2%	60	0.7%	\$972	\$1,073	10.4%	
TX - Amarillo	88.2%	90.9%	270	3.0%	\$930	\$962	3.4%	
TX - Austin	83.1%	83.0%	-10	0.0%	\$1,553	\$1,501	-3.3%	
TX - Beaumont	89.9%	93.3%	340	3.8%	\$1,036	\$1,080	4.3%	
TX - College Station	90.3%	91.4%	110	1.2%	\$1,511	\$1,569	3.8%	
TX - Corpus Christi	85.0%	88.5%	350	4.1%	\$1,138	\$1,157	1.7%	
TX - El Paso	94.2%	90.3%	-389	-4.2%	\$1,085	\$1,094	0.8%	
TX - Houston	88.2%	88.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,318	\$1,342	1.9%	
TX - Laredo	94.6%	96.0%	140	1.6%	\$1,124	\$1,138	1.3%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	85.9%	89.4%	350	4.0%	\$1,027	\$1,069	4.1%	
TX - Lubbock	86.0%	87.4%	140	1.6%	\$917	\$940	2.5%	
TX - Lufkin	89.7%	87.5%	-220	-2.4%	\$934	\$972	4.1%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.0%	94.1%	109	1.2%	\$1,375	\$1,450	5.5%	
TX - Outlying	93.1%	93.8%	69	0.8%	\$1,023	\$1,045	2.1%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	91.6%	87.9%	-370	-4.0%	\$987	\$998	1.0%	
TX - San Angelo	91.1%	91.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,022	\$1,068	4.5%	
TX - San Antonio	86.3%	84.1%	-220	-2.6%	\$1,225	\$1,247	1.8%	
TX - Texarkana	88.1%	90.2%	210	2.4%	\$885	\$918	3.8%	
TX - Victoria	90.7%	95.0%	429	4.7%	\$1,018	\$1,061	4.3%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	85.5%	87.5%	200	2.4%	\$1,133	\$1,157	2.1%	
TX - Wichita Falls	86.3%	87.0%	70	0.9%	\$832	\$874	5.0%	
Texas Average	87.3%	87.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,380	\$1,392	0.8%	
UT - Outlying	80.1%	85.4%	529	6.7%	\$1,513	\$1,630	7.7%	
UT - Salt Lake City	86.4%	84.4%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,539	\$1,566	1.7%	
Utah Average	86.2%	84.4%	-180	-2.1%	\$1,539	\$1,568	1.9%	
VA - Norfolk	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,508	\$1,585	5.2%	
VA - Outlying	98.3%	96.7%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,294	\$1,248	-3.6%	
VA - Richmond	90.1%	90.4%	30	0.3%	\$1,541	\$1,678	8.9%	
VA - Roanoke	94.8%	94.8%	0	-0.1%	\$1,210	\$1,274	5.3%	
Virginia Average	91.7%	92.2%	50	0.5%	\$1,496	\$1,600	6.9%	
WA - Outlying	92.1%	83.8%	-830	-9.0%	\$1,172	\$1,188	1.4%	
WA - SE Washington	91.1%	93.4%	230	2.5%	\$1,381	\$1,419	2.8%	
WA - Seattle	90.4%	91.2%	80	0.9%	\$2,097	\$2,150	2.6%	
WA - Spokane	87.3%	88.9%	160	1.9%	\$1,388	\$1,428	2.9%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCU	PANCY	CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
Washington Average	90.2%	91.0%	80	1.0%	\$2,000	\$2,049	2.5%	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	90.6%	91.6%	100	1.1%	\$1,027	\$1,093	6.5%	
WI - Madison	92.1%	87.2%	-490	-5.3%	\$1,493	\$1,572	5.2%	
WI - Milwaukee	92.2%	90.2%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,450	\$1,555	7.2%	
WI - Outlying	75.1%	73.5%	-160	-2.1%	\$1,134	\$1,174	3.5%	
Wisconsin Average	91.0%	88.5%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,381	\$1,470	6.4%	
WV - Charleston	94.1%	96.2%	210	2.2%	\$1,049	\$1,097	4.6%	
WV - Outlying	98.9%	97.1%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,022	\$1,131	10.7%	
West Virginia Average	95.3%	96.4%	110	1.2%	\$1,043	\$1,105	5.9%	
CT - Hartford	94.7%	90.8%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,812	\$1,900	4.8%	
DC - Washington	91.3%	90.7%	-60	-0.6%	\$2,180	\$2,288	4.9%	
DE - Outlying	87.3%	83.7%	-360	-4.2%	\$1,633	\$1,747	7.0%	
HI - State of Hawaii	95.1%	96.8%	170	1.8%	\$2,359	\$2,397	1.6%	
MT - Outlying	80.5%	81.5%	99	1.3%	\$1,616	\$1,719	6.4%	
NH - Concord	71.7%	74.6%	290	4.1%	\$1,834	\$1,918	4.6%	
VT - Outlying	75.5%	72.6%	-290	-3.9%	\$1,883	\$2,009	6.7%	
National Average	88.9%	88.5%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,708	\$1,765	3.3%	

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS Executive Vice President 1.800.643.6416 x 205 Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 253 Jackie@alndata.com

Tammy Longo Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 249 Tammy@alndata.com Susan Stroud, CAS Vice President of Sales 1.800.643.6416 x 207 SStroud@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 259 KNelsen@alndata.com Paige Kitchens, CAS Senior Reg. Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 251 Paige@alndata.com

Mark Pennings Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 232 Mark@alndata.com