

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-24	Jun-25	bps	%CHG	Jun-24	Jun-25	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.8%	93.9%	209	2.4%	\$1,437	\$1,514	5.4%
AK - Outlying	93.9%	91.5%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,567	\$1,612	2.9%
Alaska Average	92.3%	93.6%	130	1.4%	\$1,468	\$1,538	4.8%
AL - Birmingham	88.2%	90.4%	220	2.4%	\$1,190	\$1,229	3.3%
AL - Huntsville	80.7%	81.8%	109	1.4%	\$1,173	\$1,155	-1.5%
AL - Mobile	89.9%	90.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,205	\$1,233	2.3%
AL - Montgomery	88.9%	93.7%	480	5.4%	\$996	\$1,039	4.3%
AL - Outlying	95.9%	94.8%	-110	-1.1%	\$858	\$906	5.6%
Alabama Average	86.6%	88.3%	170	2.0%	\$1,151	\$1,174	2.0%
AR - Little Rock	88.2%	88.5%	30	0.4%	\$996	\$1,024	2.8%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	87.9%	82.8%	-510	-5.8%	\$1,015	\$1,115	9.8%
AR - Outlying	96.8%	96.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$802	\$842	5.0%
Arkansas Average	88.8%	86.8%	-200	-2.2%	\$986	\$1,046	6.1%
AZ - Flagstaff	91.4%	86.1%	-530	-5.8%	\$1,823	\$1,844	1.1%
AZ - Outlying	93.8%	95.0%	120	1.3%	\$1,139	\$1,172	2.9%
AZ - Phoenix	87.1%	86.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,557	\$1,553	-0.3%
AZ - Tucson	89.1%	90.2%	110	1.2%	\$1,179	\$1,172	-0.6%
Arizona Average	87.5%	86.9%	-60	-0.8%	\$1,497	\$1,496	-0.1%
CA - Central Coast	93.2%	93.7%	50	0.6%	\$2,618	\$2,689	2.7%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.8%	91.7%	90	1.0%	\$2,717	\$2,771	2.0%
CA - Outlying	95.8%	94.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,335	\$1,403	5.1%
CA - Sacramento	92.4%	91.9%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,903	\$1,949	2.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.6%	91.3%	-130	-1.3%	\$2,160	\$2,229	3.2%
CA - San Diego	92.0%	92.3%	30	0.3%	\$2,708	\$2,774	2.4%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.2%	91.3%	10	0.0%	\$2,882	\$3,016	4.7%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.1%	95.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,574	\$1,617	2.8%
California Average	91.8%	91.9%	10	0.1%	\$2,554	\$2,629	2.9%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	86.4%	85.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,854	\$1,815	-2.1%
CO - Outlying	91.0%	85.1%	-590	-6.5%	\$1,822	\$1,889	3.6%
Colorado Average	86.4%	85.2%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,853	\$1,816	-2.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	88.4%	89.0%	60	0.7%	\$2,419	\$2,459	1.7%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	78.7%	78.6%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,965	\$1,879	-4.4%
FL - Gainesville	90.3%	90.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,655	\$1,713	3.5%
FL - Jacksonville	83.7%	86.3%	260	3.1%	\$1,464	\$1,504	2.7%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	74.9%	76.4%	150	2.1%	\$1,544	\$1,573	1.8%
FL - Melbourne	88.3%	84.4%	-390	-4.4%	\$1,660	\$1,738	4.7%
FL - Miami	85.8%	83.8%	-200	-2.3%	\$2,528	\$2,600	2.9%
FL - Orlando	86.8%	86.8%	0	-0.1%	\$1,754	\$1,780	1.5%
FL - Palm Beach	90.7%	89.5%	-120	-1.4%	\$2,426	\$2,525	4.1%
FL - Pensacola	85.8%	85.5%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,566	\$1,593	1.8%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	84.0%	81.5%	-250	-3.0%	\$1,896	\$1,885	-0.6%
FL - Tallahassee	89.3%	89.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,383	\$1,470	6.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Tampa	88.1%	88.2%	10	0.1%	\$1,786	\$1,860	4.1%
Florida Average	86.4%	86.2%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,906	\$1,949	2.3%
GA - Albany	90.4%	89.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,002	\$1,020	1.8%
GA - Atlanta	86.2%	87.5%	130	1.5%	\$1,606	\$1,641	2.2%
GA - Augusta	88.0%	90.0%	200	2.2%	\$1,161	\$1,241	6.9%
GA - Columbus	91.4%	88.6%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,151	\$1,207	4.9%
GA - Macon	84.7%	87.5%	280	3.3%	\$1,145	\$1,175	2.7%
GA - Outlying	89.0%	95.7%	669	7.5%	\$1,443	\$1,816	25.8%
GA - Savannah	81.9%	80.8%	-109	-1.4%	\$1,671	\$1,705	2.0%
Georgia Average	86.2%	87.1%	90	1.1%	\$1,549	\$1,587	2.5%
IA - Des Moines	87.4%	88.9%	150	1.7%	\$1,136	\$1,171	3.0%
IA - Outlying	92.2%	96.0%	379	4.1%	\$1,042	\$1,113	6.9%
Iowa Average	87.7%	89.4%	170	1.9%	\$1,129	\$1,166	3.4%
ID - Boise	83.9%	83.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,545	\$1,604	3.8%
ID - Outlying	95.3%	94.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$928	\$966	4.1%
Idaho Average	84.2%	84.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,519	\$1,577	3.9%
IL - Chicago	92.4%	92.8%	40	0.4%	\$1,977	\$2,122	7.4%
IL - Moline	88.9%	90.2%	130	1.4%	\$959	\$1,007	5.0%
IL - Outlying	83.8%	91.9%	810	9.7%	\$785	\$812	3.5%
IL - Springfield	94.2%	93.0%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,035	\$1,097	6.0%
Illinois Average	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,851	\$1,987	7.3%
IN - Evansville	95.3%	95.9%	60	0.6%	\$974	\$1,028	5.6%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.5%	\$1,050	\$1,108	5.4%
IN - Indianapolis	90.9%	91.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,260	\$1,329	5.5%
IN - Outlying	99.3%	95.8%	-350	-3.6%	\$933	\$1,038	11.2%
IN - South Bend	93.4%	95.4%	199	2.2%	\$1,162	\$1,272	9.5%
Indiana Average	91.5%	92.4%	90	1.0%	\$1,213	\$1,284	5.9%
KS - Outlying	99.7%	98.9%	-80	-0.8%	\$706	\$727	2.9%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.0%	95.1%	110	1.2%	\$950	\$1,023	7.7%
KS - Wichita	91.6%	91.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$898	\$938	4.4%
Kansas Average	92.7%	93.0%	30	0.3%	\$914	\$967	5.8%
KY - Lexington	91.3%	90.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,147	\$1,252	9.1%
KY - Louisville	91.6%	89.0%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,224	\$1,273	4.0%
KY - Outlying	94.4%	91.8%	-259	-2.7%	\$797	\$869	9.0%
Kentucky Average	91.6%	89.6%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,191	\$1,257	5.5%
LA - Baton Rouge	86.1%	90.1%	400	4.6%	\$1,129	\$1,190	5.4%
LA - Lake Charles	84.3%	84.6%	30	0.2%	\$984	\$1,047	6.4%
LA - Monroe	89.0%	94.3%	529	6.0%	\$916	\$952	3.9%
LA - New Orleans	88.2%	91.2%	300	3.4%	\$1,244	\$1,284	3.2%
LA - Outlying	85.9%	92.6%	670	7.8%	\$859	\$930	8.2%
LA - Shreveport	89.9%	93.2%	330	3.6%	\$1,000	\$1,079	7.9%
Louisiana Average	87.3%	90.8%	350	3.9%	\$1,131	\$1,186	4.9%

# MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

**ALN**

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

## Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/data-services](http://alndata.co/data-services) to learn more about our services

## ✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

## ✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

## ✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

## CONTACT US

**ALN Apartment Data**

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

800-643-6416 x 3

[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Boston	90.8%	91.0%	20	0.1%	\$2,780	\$2,915	4.9%
MA - Outlying	100.0%	98.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,640	\$2,205	34.4%
MA - Springfield	97.0%	97.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,656	\$1,739	5.0%
Massachusetts Average	91.1%	91.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,735	\$2,871	5.0%
MD - Baltimore	91.5%	94.2%	269	2.9%	\$1,681	\$1,752	4.2%
MD - Outlying	97.0%	93.3%	-369	-3.8%	\$1,460	\$1,580	8.2%
Maryland Average	91.7%	94.2%	249	2.8%	\$1,676	\$1,748	4.3%
ME - Augusta/Portland	91.3%	88.3%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,937	\$2,141	10.5%
Maine Average	91.3%	88.3%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,937	\$2,141	10.5%
MI - Detroit	92.8%	93.9%	109	1.2%	\$1,303	\$1,380	5.9%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	92.0%	93.3%	130	1.4%	\$1,296	\$1,376	6.1%
MI - Outlying	95.3%	95.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,096	\$1,174	7.2%
Michigan Average	92.5%	93.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,293	\$1,371	6.0%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.3%	89.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,512	\$1,586	4.9%
MN - Outlying	80.0%	89.7%	969	12.2%	\$1,286	\$1,324	2.9%
Minnesota Average	88.9%	89.6%	70	0.8%	\$1,505	\$1,578	4.8%
MO - Columbia	94.6%	97.5%	290	3.1%	\$1,051	\$1,066	1.4%
MO - Kansas City	90.7%	91.2%	50	0.6%	\$1,305	\$1,397	7.0%
MO - Outlying	83.9%	70.1%	-1380	-16.5%	\$689	\$820	19.1%
MO - Springfield	93.4%	94.1%	69	0.7%	\$939	\$994	5.9%
MO - St. Louis	88.9%	90.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,295	\$1,363	5.3%
Missouri Average	90.2%	91.1%	90	1.1%	\$1,267	\$1,346	6.2%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.6%	94.5%	189	2.1%	\$1,074	\$1,131	5.3%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	87.9%	91.1%	320	3.7%	\$1,125	\$1,184	5.2%
MS - Outlying	92.9%	94.4%	149	1.7%	\$1,073	\$1,121	4.5%
Mississippi Average	90.5%	92.8%	230	2.5%	\$1,098	\$1,153	5.0%
NC - Asheville	93.8%	87.3%	-649	-7.0%	\$1,668	\$1,628	-2.4%
NC - Charlotte	85.8%	85.5%	-30	-0.5%	\$1,555	\$1,603	3.1%
NC - Fayetteville	91.4%	92.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,240	\$1,280	3.3%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.7%	93.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,222	\$1,244	1.8%
NC - Outlying	98.5%	90.1%	-839	-8.5%	\$1,390	\$1,486	6.9%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	86.3%	86.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,499	\$1,521	1.5%
NC - Wilmington	81.0%	81.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,433	\$1,481	3.3%
North Carolina Average	86.9%	86.8%	-10	0.0%	\$1,472	\$1,507	2.4%
ND - Bismarck	97.0%	96.2%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,134	\$1,218	7.5%
ND - Fargo	89.0%	91.2%	220	2.5%	\$1,001	\$1,033	3.2%
ND - Outlying	91.9%	94.3%	239	2.7%	\$1,151	\$1,220	6.0%
North Dakota Average	91.4%	93.3%	190	2.1%	\$1,075	\$1,127	4.8%
NE - Lincoln	86.5%	89.3%	280	3.2%	\$1,167	\$1,229	5.4%
NE - Omaha	92.3%	92.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,220	\$1,294	6.0%
NE - Outlying	94.9%	93.0%	-189	-2.0%	\$870	\$1,025	17.9%
Nebraska Average	90.8%	91.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,200	\$1,272	6.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Albuquerque	89.5%	89.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,372	\$1,419	3.5%
NM - Outlying	93.8%	93.2%	-59	-0.6%	\$1,293	\$1,389	7.5%
New Mexico Average	89.8%	89.4%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,363	\$1,416	3.9%
NV - Las Vegas	88.8%	91.5%	270	3.0%	\$1,465	\$1,483	1.2%
NV - Outlying	94.8%	94.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,295	\$1,309	1.1%
NV - Reno	88.6%	88.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,602	\$1,694	5.7%
Nevada Average	88.7%	91.0%	230	2.6%	\$1,489	\$1,520	2.1%
NY - Albany	88.6%	90.6%	200	2.3%	\$1,568	\$1,631	4.0%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.7%	\$1,360	\$1,469	8.0%
NY - New York City	83.9%	82.3%	-160	-1.9%	\$3,158	\$3,312	4.9%
NY - Outlying	93.2%	89.7%	-350	-3.7%	\$1,219	\$1,242	1.9%
New York Average	85.1%	84.4%	-70	-0.9%	\$2,823	\$2,975	5.4%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.0%	92.7%	170	1.8%	\$1,296	\$1,357	4.8%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	90.6%	91.9%	130	1.4%	\$1,185	\$1,248	5.3%
OH - Columbus	90.0%	89.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,310	\$1,385	5.7%
OH - Outlying	98.0%	98.0%	0	0.0%	\$867	\$904	4.3%
OH - Toledo	93.6%	94.5%	89	1.0%	\$952	\$992	4.2%
Ohio Average	90.6%	91.3%	70	0.7%	\$1,247	\$1,314	5.4%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.7%	91.1%	140	1.5%	\$971	\$1,015	4.5%
OK - Outlying	92.0%	85.2%	-680	-7.4%	\$854	\$877	2.7%
OK - Tulsa	92.1%	89.0%	-310	-3.4%	\$994	\$1,043	4.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.8%	90.1%	-70	-0.8%	\$978	\$1,023	4.6%
OR - Outlying	97.2%	96.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,381	\$1,402	1.5%
OR - Portland	91.2%	91.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,702	\$1,739	2.2%
Oregon Average	91.2%	91.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,698	\$1,734	2.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	87.9%	91.2%	330	3.7%	\$1,435	\$1,521	6.0%
PA - Outlying	97.0%	94.8%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,311	\$1,408	7.4%
PA - Philadelphia	91.5%	90.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,785	\$1,869	4.7%
PA - Pittsburgh	91.7%	94.2%	249	2.8%	\$1,408	\$1,477	4.9%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.7%	95.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,365	\$1,470	7.7%
Pennsylvania Average	91.2%	91.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,683	\$1,766	5.0%
RI - Providence	94.4%	95.6%	120	1.2%	\$2,008	\$2,138	6.5%
Rhode Island Average	94.4%	95.6%	120	1.2%	\$2,008	\$2,138	6.5%
SC - Charleston	86.0%	86.0%	0	-0.1%	\$1,780	\$1,843	3.5%
SC - Columbia	89.2%	90.9%	170	2.0%	\$1,272	\$1,342	5.5%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	84.3%	88.1%	380	4.5%	\$1,327	\$1,395	5.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	73.0%	75.6%	260	3.6%	\$1,543	\$1,605	4.0%
SC - Outlying	93.6%	91.1%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,188	\$1,285	8.1%
South Carolina Average	85.1%	86.7%	160	1.9%	\$1,485	\$1,557	4.9%
SD - Outlying	99.0%	98.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,003	\$1,019	1.5%
SD - Rapid City	72.1%	90.2%	1810	25.1%	\$1,268	\$1,273	0.4%
SD - Sioux Falls	87.5%	90.9%	340	4.0%	\$1,067	\$1,075	0.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>South Dakota Average</b>	84.6%	91.5%	690	8.2%	\$1,105	\$1,113	0.7%
<b>TN - Chattanooga</b>	86.7%	85.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,366	\$1,409	3.2%
<b>TN - Knoxville</b>	92.5%	92.7%	20	0.3%	\$1,467	\$1,517	3.4%
<b>TN - Memphis</b>	90.2%	90.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,168	\$1,192	2.1%
<b>TN - Nashville</b>	86.5%	86.8%	30	0.4%	\$1,612	\$1,637	1.6%
<b>TN - Outlying</b>	95.1%	95.7%	60	0.7%	\$1,113	\$1,171	5.2%
<b>Tennessee Average</b>	88.4%	88.3%	-10	0.0%	\$1,446	\$1,482	2.5%
<b>TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth</b>	87.7%	87.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,513	\$1,524	0.8%
<b>TX - Greater Dallas</b>	88.6%	88.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,560	\$1,570	0.7%
<b>TX - Greater Fort Worth</b>	86.7%	87.3%	60	0.7%	\$1,412	\$1,426	1.0%
<b>TX - Abilene</b>	92.6%	94.4%	179	1.9%	\$981	\$1,082	10.3%
<b>TX - Amarillo</b>	88.0%	89.5%	150	1.7%	\$936	\$970	3.6%
<b>TX - Austin</b>	82.6%	83.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,551	\$1,495	-3.6%
<b>TX - Beaumont</b>	89.3%	93.6%	430	4.8%	\$1,038	\$1,091	5.1%
<b>TX - College Station</b>	89.5%	90.9%	140	1.6%	\$1,520	\$1,566	3.0%
<b>TX - Corpus Christi</b>	84.9%	86.9%	200	2.3%	\$1,147	\$1,156	0.7%
<b>TX - El Paso</b>	93.8%	91.0%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,085	\$1,098	1.2%
<b>TX - Houston</b>	88.4%	89.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,321	\$1,343	1.7%
<b>TX - Laredo</b>	94.4%	94.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,128	\$1,126	-0.2%
<b>TX - Longview/Marshall</b>	86.8%	88.6%	180	2.1%	\$1,029	\$1,075	4.5%
<b>TX - Lubbock</b>	85.6%	87.6%	200	2.4%	\$917	\$942	2.7%
<b>TX - Lufkin</b>	89.5%	87.8%	-170	-1.9%	\$940	\$972	3.4%
<b>TX - Midland-Odessa</b>	92.9%	94.0%	109	1.2%	\$1,383	\$1,454	5.1%
<b>TX - Outlying</b>	92.9%	92.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,024	\$1,034	1.0%
<b>TX - Rio Grande Valley</b>	90.3%	88.8%	-150	-1.6%	\$983	\$1,008	2.5%
<b>TX - San Angelo</b>	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,017	\$1,068	5.1%
<b>TX - San Antonio</b>	86.2%	85.1%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,231	\$1,244	1.1%
<b>TX - Texarkana</b>	87.9%	90.8%	290	3.2%	\$884	\$925	4.7%
<b>TX - Tyler</b>	86.2%	89.2%	300	3.4%	\$1,143	\$1,219	6.6%
<b>TX - Victoria</b>	91.1%	94.5%	339	3.8%	\$1,019	\$1,061	4.1%
<b>TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen</b>	85.3%	87.6%	230	2.7%	\$1,139	\$1,166	2.4%
<b>TX - Wichita Falls</b>	86.9%	84.5%	-240	-2.7%	\$842	\$874	3.8%
<b>Texas Average</b>	87.3%	87.6%	30	0.4%	\$1,382	\$1,393	0.8%
<b>UT - Outlying</b>	81.1%	91.4%	1029	12.6%	\$1,484	\$1,650	11.2%
<b>UT - Salt Lake City</b>	86.1%	84.5%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,542	\$1,564	1.5%
<b>Utah Average</b>	85.9%	84.7%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,540	\$1,567	1.7%
<b>VA - Norfolk</b>	92.3%	94.1%	179	2.0%	\$1,517	\$1,598	5.4%
<b>VA - Outlying</b>	98.3%	96.8%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,294	\$1,317	1.8%
<b>VA - Richmond</b>	90.1%	91.9%	180	2.0%	\$1,552	\$1,697	9.4%
<b>VA - Roanoke</b>	95.0%	94.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,219	\$1,275	4.6%
<b>Virginia Average</b>	91.5%	93.1%	160	1.7%	\$1,506	\$1,614	7.2%
<b>WA - Outlying</b>	88.7%	83.8%	-490	-5.5%	\$1,161	\$1,181	1.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WA - SE Washington	91.4%	94.7%	329	3.6%	\$1,402	\$1,420	1.2%
WA - Seattle	90.5%	91.8%	130	1.5%	\$2,104	\$2,157	2.5%
WA - Spokane	85.3%	90.8%	550	6.4%	\$1,402	\$1,429	1.9%
Washington Average	90.0%	91.8%	180	2.0%	\$2,007	\$2,055	2.4%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	90.9%	92.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,029	\$1,101	7.0%
WI - Madison	91.7%	88.1%	-360	-4.0%	\$1,498	\$1,582	5.6%
WI - Milwaukee	92.1%	90.7%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,460	\$1,561	6.9%
WI - Outlying	75.2%	73.3%	-190	-2.6%	\$1,136	\$1,174	3.4%
Wisconsin Average	90.8%	89.1%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,388	\$1,477	6.4%
WV - Charleston	94.3%	85.7%	-859	-9.0%	\$1,054	\$1,133	7.6%
WV - Outlying	99.1%	97.8%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,053	\$1,117	6.0%
West Virginia Average	95.6%	88.6%	-699	-7.4%	\$1,054	\$1,130	7.2%
CT - Hartford	94.3%	91.6%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,816	\$1,915	5.4%
DC - Washington	91.0%	91.4%	40	0.4%	\$2,192	\$2,296	4.7%
DE - Outlying	87.1%	83.4%	-370	-4.3%	\$1,638	\$1,772	8.2%
HI - State of Hawaii	95.6%	98.0%	240	2.5%	\$2,427	\$2,403	-1.0%
NH - Concord	68.0%	78.8%	1079	15.8%	\$1,836	\$1,978	7.8%
VT - Outlying	76.1%	72.6%	-350	-4.6%	\$1,899	\$2,009	5.8%
WY - Outlying	94.1%	93.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,270	\$1,305	2.8%
National Average	88.7%	89.1%	40	0.4%	\$1,714	\$1,770	3.3%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**

Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**

Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**

Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**

Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**

Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**

Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**

Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)