

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Dec-23	Dec-24	bps	%CHG	Dec-23	Dec-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.9%	90.2%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,401	\$1,489	6.3%
AK - Outlying	89.6%	94.8%	519	5.8%	\$1,554	\$1,590	2.3%
Alaska Average	91.4%	90.9%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,438	\$1,513	5.3%
AL - Birmingham	87.6%	87.2%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,182	\$1,206	2.1%
AL - Huntsville	83.4%	81.4%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,124	\$1,178	4.8%
AL - Mobile	91.6%	90.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,180	\$1,226	3.9%
AL - Montgomery	87.3%	90.8%	350	4.0%	\$970	\$1,026	5.7%
AL - Outlying	94.8%	95.5%	70	0.8%	\$854	\$884	3.5%
Alabama Average	87.3%	86.6%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,127	\$1,168	3.6%
AR - Little Rock	88.8%	86.0%	-280	-3.2%	\$977	\$1,018	4.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.7%	87.2%	-550	-5.9%	\$1,006	\$1,067	6.0%
AR - Outlying	93.9%	94.4%	50	0.5%	\$762	\$820	7.6%
Arkansas Average	90.8%	87.1%	-370	-4.0%	\$970	\$1,021	5.2%
AZ - Flagstaff	90.6%	87.5%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,783	\$1,769	-0.8%
AZ - Outlying	94.4%	96.0%	160	1.7%	\$1,124	\$1,171	4.1%
AZ - Phoenix	88.4%	84.9%	-350	-4.0%	\$1,562	\$1,553	-0.6%
AZ - Tucson	90.7%	88.4%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,191	\$1,170	-1.8%
Arizona Average	88.6%	85.7%	-290	-3.3%	\$1,502	\$1,493	-0.6%
CA - Central Coast	93.8%	93.0%	-79	-0.9%	\$2,565	\$2,662	3.8%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.8%	91.5%	70	0.7%	\$2,711	\$2,748	1.4%
CA - Outlying	96.6%	96.8%	20	0.1%	\$1,303	\$1,356	4.1%
CA - Sacramento	92.0%	92.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,868	\$1,927	3.1%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.0%	92.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$2,128	\$2,201	3.4%
CA - San Diego	93.7%	92.1%	-160	-1.7%	\$2,687	\$2,768	3.0%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.8%	90.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,829	\$2,926	3.4%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.2%	94.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,553	\$1,595	2.7%
California Average	92.0%	91.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,528	\$2,593	2.6%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	88.6%	85.4%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,814	\$1,812	-0.1%
CO - Outlying	96.8%	88.2%	-859	-8.8%	\$1,776	\$1,874	5.5%
Colorado Average	88.6%	85.4%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,814	\$1,813	0.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.0%	87.6%	-240	-2.7%	\$2,382	\$2,425	1.8%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	83.9%	78.4%	-549	-6.6%	\$1,987	\$1,922	-3.3%
FL - Gainesville	91.1%	90.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,626	\$1,668	2.6%
FL - Jacksonville	85.5%	82.2%	-330	-3.9%	\$1,466	\$1,477	0.8%
FL - Melbourne	90.1%	87.3%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,636	\$1,692	3.4%
FL - Miami	86.8%	83.8%	-300	-3.5%	\$2,496	\$2,545	2.0%
FL - Orlando	87.6%	86.8%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,741	\$1,747	0.3%
FL - Palm Beach	91.1%	89.1%	-200	-2.2%	\$2,413	\$2,470	2.3%
FL - Pensacola	91.1%	86.1%	-500	-5.5%	\$1,525	\$1,577	3.4%
FL - Tallahassee	90.4%	87.7%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,336	\$1,432	7.2%
FL - Tampa	89.3%	85.7%	-360	-4.0%	\$1,780	\$1,816	2.0%
Florida Average	88.2%	85.6%	-260	-3.0%	\$1,894	\$1,919	1.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.8%	91.5%	-130	-1.4%	\$976	\$986	1.1%
GA - Atlanta	88.6%	85.4%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,613	\$1,615	0.1%
GA - Augusta	88.7%	87.7%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,151	\$1,195	3.9%
GA - Columbus	94.4%	88.1%	-629	-6.7%	\$1,123	\$1,178	4.9%
GA - Macon	85.4%	86.2%	80	0.9%	\$1,146	\$1,152	0.5%
GA - Outlying	91.8%	85.5%	-630	-6.9%	\$1,551	\$1,782	14.9%
GA - Savannah	89.8%	81.9%	-790	-8.8%	\$1,601	\$1,681	5.0%
Georgia Average	88.8%	85.4%	-340	-3.8%	\$1,548	\$1,562	0.9%
IA - Des Moines	90.0%	85.5%	-450	-5.1%	\$1,099	\$1,145	4.2%
IA - Outlying	91.4%	95.2%	379	4.1%	\$1,015	\$1,067	5.2%
Iowa Average	90.1%	86.3%	-380	-4.3%	\$1,092	\$1,139	4.3%
ID - Boise	83.6%	82.1%	-150	-1.9%	\$1,512	\$1,582	4.6%
ID - Outlying	93.5%	96.1%	259	2.8%	\$937	\$931	-0.7%
Idaho Average	83.7%	82.4%	-130	-1.6%	\$1,486	\$1,557	4.7%
IL - Chicago	93.0%	92.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,919	\$2,035	6.0%
IL - Moline	94.1%	89.1%	-499	-5.4%	\$915	\$992	8.4%
IL - Outlying	79.2%	87.9%	869	11.0%	\$711	\$788	10.8%
IL - Springfield	92.5%	94.0%	149	1.6%	\$1,011	\$1,052	4.1%
Illinois Average	93.0%	92.2%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,797	\$1,907	6.1%
IN - Evansville	94.7%	95.3%	60	0.7%	\$942	\$994	5.5%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.2%	94.6%	40	0.5%	\$1,035	\$1,083	4.6%
IN - Indianapolis	91.2%	90.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,232	\$1,290	4.7%
IN - Outlying	98.4%	96.4%	-200	-2.0%	\$909	\$1,013	11.5%
IN - South Bend	93.7%	95.5%	179	1.9%	\$1,149	\$1,222	6.3%
Indiana Average	91.7%	91.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,187	\$1,246	5.0%
KS - Outlying	96.1%	96.4%	30	0.2%	\$693	\$710	2.6%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.8%	94.3%	149	1.7%	\$924	\$984	6.4%
KS - Wichita	90.8%	90.9%	10	0.1%	\$880	\$908	3.2%
Kansas Average	91.7%	92.3%	60	0.7%	\$893	\$933	4.5%
KY - Lexington	94.3%	89.2%	-509	-5.4%	\$1,124	\$1,209	7.6%
KY - Louisville	90.6%	87.7%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,175	\$1,239	5.5%
KY - Outlying	97.9%	91.4%	-649	-6.7%	\$774	\$847	9.4%
Kentucky Average	91.8%	88.3%	-350	-3.9%	\$1,150	\$1,221	6.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.8%	88.8%	0	-0.1%	\$1,125	\$1,139	1.2%
LA - Lake Charles	89.4%	87.7%	-170	-2.0%	\$987	\$994	0.7%
LA - Monroe	90.4%	90.5%	10	0.1%	\$912	\$934	2.5%
LA - New Orleans	88.8%	89.8%	100	1.2%	\$1,227	\$1,253	2.1%
LA - Outlying	88.8%	86.3%	-250	-2.9%	\$859	\$886	3.2%
LA - Shreveport	89.3%	90.0%	70	0.8%	\$976	\$1,013	3.8%
Louisiana Average	89.0%	89.2%	20	0.3%	\$1,119	\$1,143	2.1%
MA - Boston	91.0%	91.0%	0	0.0%	\$2,720	\$2,835	4.2%
MA - Springfield	96.1%	97.4%	130	1.4%	\$1,665	\$1,700	2.1%

# MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

## Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/data-services](http://alndata.co/data-services) to learn more about our services

## ✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

## ✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

## ✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

## CONTACT US

**ALN Apartment Data**

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

800-643-6416 x 3

[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	91.2%	91.2%	0	0.0%	\$2,679	\$2,793	4.3%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	91.7%	92.3%	60	0.6%	\$1,644	\$1,714	4.3%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	97.6%	96.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,451	\$1,495	3.0%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	91.8%	92.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,640	\$1,709	4.2%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	86.8%	82.1%	-470	-5.5%	\$1,904	\$1,962	3.1%
<b>Maine Average</b>	86.8%	82.1%	-470	-5.5%	\$1,904	\$1,962	3.1%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	92.5%	93.7%	120	1.3%	\$1,278	\$1,341	5.0%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	92.7%	92.3%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,263	\$1,331	5.4%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	94.4%	94.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,060	\$1,139	7.5%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	92.4%	93.2%	80	0.8%	\$1,266	\$1,331	5.1%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	90.8%	88.5%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,482	\$1,532	3.4%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	89.9%	75.1%	-1480	-16.4%	\$1,251	\$1,307	4.5%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	90.8%	88.2%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,476	\$1,525	3.4%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	95.7%	95.6%	-10	0.0%	\$965	\$1,071	11.0%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	91.3%	90.6%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,279	\$1,341	4.9%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	76.9%	90.3%	1340	17.4%	\$669	\$718	7.3%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	93.6%	89.8%	-380	-4.0%	\$918	\$960	4.7%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	90.0%	88.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,278	\$1,331	4.1%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	90.9%	90.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,243	\$1,302	4.7%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	92.7%	92.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,053	\$1,115	5.9%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	90.3%	89.2%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,105	\$1,159	4.8%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.6%	\$1,039	\$1,127	8.5%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	91.7%	91.5%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,074	\$1,139	6.0%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	93.7%	88.2%	-550	-5.8%	\$1,630	\$1,669	2.4%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	87.3%	84.3%	-300	-3.4%	\$1,558	\$1,577	1.2%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	90.7%	91.4%	70	0.8%	\$1,207	\$1,254	3.9%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	91.2%	92.1%	90	1.0%	\$1,191	\$1,233	3.5%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	98.5%	97.7%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,264	\$1,448	14.5%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	87.6%	86.4%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,495	\$1,496	0.0%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	88.3%	84.3%	-400	-4.5%	\$1,424	\$1,437	0.9%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	88.4%	86.5%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,464	\$1,484	1.3%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	95.7%	95.9%	20	0.1%	\$1,096	\$1,163	6.1%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	92.9%	88.1%	-480	-5.1%	\$991	\$1,023	3.2%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	91.5%	91.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,107	\$1,180	6.6%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	91.7%	90.7%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,050	\$1,100	4.7%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	89.7%	88.3%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,132	\$1,171	3.4%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	91.6%	91.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,193	\$1,245	4.4%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	94.1%	98.3%	420	4.4%	\$859	\$917	6.7%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	91.2%	91.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,171	\$1,221	4.2%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	90.9%	89.1%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,330	\$1,392	4.7%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.7%	\$1,263	\$1,341	6.2%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	91.0%	89.1%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,323	\$1,387	4.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	88.8%	89.6%	80	0.9%	\$1,444	\$1,472	2.0%
NV - Outlying	88.7%	93.4%	470	5.3%	\$1,217	\$1,295	6.4%
NV - Reno	86.2%	88.3%	210	2.4%	\$1,581	\$1,623	2.7%
Nevada Average	88.0%	89.4%	140	1.5%	\$1,467	\$1,498	2.1%
NY - Albany	89.3%	89.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,533	\$1,586	3.5%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.0%	92.7%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,308	\$1,394	6.6%
NY - New York City	86.6%	82.0%	-460	-5.3%	\$3,095	\$3,225	4.2%
NY - Outlying	91.2%	87.0%	-420	-4.6%	\$1,192	\$1,222	2.5%
New York Average	87.4%	83.4%	-400	-4.6%	\$2,766	\$2,890	4.5%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.1%	91.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,261	\$1,311	4.0%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.4%	90.8%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,145	\$1,209	5.6%
OH - Columbus	91.7%	89.0%	-270	-2.9%	\$1,274	\$1,345	5.6%
OH - Outlying	99.5%	99.5%	0	0.0%	\$855	\$880	2.9%
OH - Toledo	93.3%	93.8%	49	0.5%	\$933	\$977	4.7%
Ohio Average	91.7%	90.5%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,211	\$1,274	5.2%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.1%	89.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$964	\$1,004	4.1%
OK - Outlying	88.5%	87.0%	-150	-1.7%	\$824	\$887	7.6%
OK - Tulsa	90.5%	91.1%	60	0.8%	\$967	\$1,013	4.8%
Oklahoma Average	90.2%	90.2%	0	0.0%	\$962	\$1,005	4.4%
OR - Outlying	85.6%	92.6%	700	8.1%	\$1,363	\$1,392	2.1%
OR - Portland	91.4%	90.0%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,672	\$1,724	3.1%
Oregon Average	91.3%	90.0%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,668	\$1,719	3.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	92.1%	89.4%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,400	\$1,464	4.6%
PA - Outlying	96.3%	95.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,294	\$1,374	6.2%
PA - Philadelphia	92.0%	89.7%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,758	\$1,817	3.4%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.9%	93.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,372	\$1,436	4.7%
PA - State College/Altoona	98.4%	96.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,326	\$1,390	4.8%
Pennsylvania Average	92.2%	90.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,653	\$1,714	3.7%
RI - Providence	96.1%	93.8%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,958	\$2,053	4.8%
Rhode Island Average	96.1%	93.8%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,958	\$2,053	4.8%
SC - Charleston	88.3%	87.0%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,764	\$1,804	2.2%
SC - Columbia	89.9%	89.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,248	\$1,308	4.7%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.4%	85.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,331	\$1,361	2.3%
SC - Myrtle Beach	81.0%	68.4%	-1260	-15.6%	\$1,543	\$1,562	1.2%
SC - Outlying	92.9%	95.0%	209	2.3%	\$1,229	\$1,237	0.7%
South Carolina Average	87.0%	85.3%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,473	\$1,517	3.0%
SD - Outlying	98.7%	98.7%	0	-0.1%	\$1,006	\$1,023	1.7%
SD - Rapid City	66.1%	75.5%	939	14.2%	\$1,261	\$1,235	-2.1%
SD - Sioux Falls	88.5%	91.2%	270	3.1%	\$1,065	\$1,080	1.4%
South Dakota Average	83.1%	88.1%	500	6.0%	\$1,103	\$1,109	0.5%
TN - Chattanooga	87.4%	83.7%	-370	-4.2%	\$1,364	\$1,388	1.8%
TN - Knoxville	94.5%	92.3%	-219	-2.4%	\$1,425	\$1,481	3.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	89.7%	89.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,158	\$1,180	1.9%
TN - Nashville	87.9%	85.7%	-220	-2.6%	\$1,593	\$1,609	1.0%
TN - Outlying	96.9%	95.2%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,091	\$1,128	3.4%
Tennessee Average	89.1%	87.2%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,427	\$1,456	2.0%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.9%	87.4%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,517	\$1,510	-0.5%
TX - Greater Dallas	89.8%	88.4%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,569	\$1,556	-0.9%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.7%	87.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,401	\$1,407	0.5%
TX - Abilene	88.4%	92.9%	450	5.2%	\$949	\$988	4.1%
TX - Amarillo	89.1%	89.4%	30	0.4%	\$920	\$944	2.6%
TX - Austin	85.8%	84.2%	-160	-1.9%	\$1,577	\$1,508	-4.4%
TX - Beaumont	90.4%	91.2%	80	0.9%	\$994	\$1,040	4.7%
TX - College Station	92.9%	93.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,470	\$1,550	5.5%
TX - Corpus Christi	86.9%	87.7%	80	1.0%	\$1,118	\$1,135	1.6%
TX - El Paso	93.2%	91.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,055	\$1,093	3.6%
TX - Houston	88.8%	88.1%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,309	\$1,333	1.8%
TX - Laredo	93.6%	94.5%	89	1.0%	\$1,107	\$1,126	1.7%
TX - Longview/Tyler	88.8%	87.8%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,092	\$1,111	1.8%
TX - Lubbock	88.7%	87.9%	-80	-1.0%	\$913	\$921	0.9%
TX - Lufkin	88.6%	88.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$914	\$948	3.7%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,346	\$1,395	3.6%
TX - Outlying	90.0%	94.4%	439	4.9%	\$979	\$1,020	4.1%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.7%	90.8%	-190	-2.0%	\$979	\$997	1.8%
TX - San Angelo	91.3%	91.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,018	\$1,054	3.5%
TX - San Antonio	87.3%	85.1%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,221	\$1,227	0.5%
TX - Texarkana	88.9%	87.4%	-150	-1.7%	\$881	\$884	0.4%
TX - Victoria	89.8%	93.9%	409	4.6%	\$995	\$1,034	3.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	90.5%	85.6%	-490	-5.4%	\$1,131	\$1,133	0.2%
TX - Wichita Falls	87.3%	83.1%	-420	-4.8%	\$847	\$862	1.8%
Texas Average	88.5%	87.2%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,379	\$1,381	0.1%
UT - Outlying	81.9%	85.0%	310	3.8%	\$1,494	\$1,547	3.6%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.7%	86.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,545	\$1,548	0.2%
Utah Average	86.5%	86.6%	10	0.1%	\$1,544	\$1,548	0.3%
VA - Norfolk	92.9%	93.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,488	\$1,551	4.2%
VA - Richmond	90.6%	91.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,502	\$1,586	5.6%
VA - Roanoke	95.9%	94.5%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,206	\$1,249	3.6%
Virginia Average	92.2%	92.2%	0	0.0%	\$1,470	\$1,540	4.8%
WA - Outlying	96.2%	88.4%	-779	-8.1%	\$1,091	\$1,198	9.8%
WA - SE Washington	90.4%	93.4%	300	3.2%	\$1,365	\$1,411	3.4%
WA - Seattle	91.2%	90.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,071	\$2,124	2.6%
WA - Spokane	91.9%	87.1%	-480	-5.2%	\$1,368	\$1,391	1.7%
Washington Average	91.2%	90.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,974	\$2,024	2.5%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	92.0%	90.9%	-110	-1.1%	\$984	\$1,064	8.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	90.7%	86.9%	-380	-4.2%	\$1,448	\$1,517	4.8%
WI - Milwaukee	92.8%	90.5%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,417	\$1,506	6.3%
WI - Outlying	84.0%	67.7%	-1629	-19.4%	\$1,087	\$1,152	6.0%
Wisconsin Average	91.3%	88.2%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,344	\$1,423	5.8%
WV - Charleston	91.5%	95.1%	359	3.9%	\$1,043	\$1,099	5.4%
WV - Outlying	98.7%	98.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,005	\$1,097	9.1%
West Virginia Average	93.6%	95.8%	219	2.3%	\$1,034	\$1,098	6.2%
CT - Hartford	93.8%	91.9%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,772	\$1,875	5.8%
DC - Washington	91.9%	90.6%	-130	-1.4%	\$2,139	\$2,246	5.0%
DE - Outlying	89.7%	83.1%	-660	-7.3%	\$1,632	\$1,700	4.2%
HI - State of Hawaii	91.7%	93.9%	219	2.4%	\$2,296	\$2,397	4.4%
MT - Outlying	76.8%	78.1%	130	1.8%	\$1,524	\$1,688	10.8%
NH - Concord	80.3%	77.2%	-310	-3.8%	\$1,768	\$1,863	5.4%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	76.3%	-2370	-23.7%	\$1,847	\$2,011	8.8%
WY - Outlying	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.2%	\$1,190	\$1,285	8.0%
National Average	89.8%	88.3%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,691	\$1,736	2.7%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)