

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-24	Nov-25	bps	%CHG	Nov-24	Nov-25	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	90.3%	93.7%	340	3.8%	\$1,469	\$1,518	3.3%
AK - Outlying	92.3%	86.8%	-550	-6.0%	\$1,582	\$1,611	1.8%
Alaska Average	90.7%	92.6%	190	2.0%	\$1,496	\$1,540	3.0%
AL - Birmingham	87.3%	91.6%	430	4.9%	\$1,195	\$1,220	2.1%
AL - Huntsville	80.1%	85.7%	559	6.9%	\$1,180	\$1,167	-1.2%
AL - Mobile	86.7%	90.1%	340	3.9%	\$1,219	\$1,261	3.5%
AL - Montgomery	89.5%	92.8%	330	3.7%	\$1,011	\$1,055	4.3%
AL - Outlying	95.1%	94.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$871	\$906	4.1%
Alabama Average	85.5%	89.6%	410	4.9%	\$1,161	\$1,181	1.7%
AR - Little Rock	85.4%	89.8%	440	5.1%	\$1,016	\$1,030	1.4%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	84.6%	82.8%	-180	-2.1%	\$1,054	\$1,140	8.1%
AR - Outlying	94.2%	94.9%	70	0.8%	\$834	\$844	1.3%
Arkansas Average	85.8%	87.4%	160	1.9%	\$1,015	\$1,061	4.5%
AZ - Flagstaff	88.1%	86.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,782	\$1,776	-0.4%
AZ - Outlying	96.1%	94.4%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,179	\$1,158	-1.7%
AZ - Phoenix	84.9%	86.9%	200	2.4%	\$1,554	\$1,528	-1.7%
AZ - Tucson	88.6%	91.1%	250	2.8%	\$1,168	\$1,144	-2.1%
Arizona Average	85.7%	87.6%	190	2.2%	\$1,493	\$1,471	-1.5%
CA - Bakersfield	92.3%	93.9%	159	1.8%	\$1,485	\$1,492	0.5%
CA - Central Coast	93.4%	94.4%	99	1.0%	\$2,660	\$2,671	0.4%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	94.1%	95.2%	110	1.1%	\$1,524	\$1,550	1.7%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.6%	92.2%	60	0.7%	\$2,744	\$2,796	1.9%
CA - Outlying	96.4%	97.1%	70	0.7%	\$1,357	\$1,381	1.8%
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	92.2%	10	0.0%	\$1,928	\$1,918	-0.5%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.1%	89.8%	-230	-2.5%	\$2,201	\$2,219	0.8%
CA - San Diego	92.2%	91.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,774	\$2,781	0.3%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.3%	93.0%	370	4.2%	\$2,868	\$3,038	5.9%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	90.7%	91.6%	90	1.1%	\$3,145	\$3,312	5.3%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.2%	90.6%	40	0.5%	\$2,346	\$2,348	0.1%
CA - Stockton	94.4%	96.0%	160	1.7%	\$1,736	\$1,757	1.2%
California Average	91.6%	92.1%	50	0.5%	\$2,590	\$2,647	2.2%
CO - Boulder	83.3%	85.5%	220	2.7%	\$2,043	\$1,936	-5.3%
CO - Colorado Springs	82.0%	86.6%	460	5.6%	\$1,477	\$1,439	-2.6%
CO - Denver	85.6%	86.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,883	\$1,805	-4.1%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	81.2%	86.4%	519	6.5%	\$1,686	\$1,672	-0.8%
CO - Outlying	88.7%	82.4%	-630	-7.1%	\$1,835	\$1,896	3.3%
Colorado Average	84.6%	86.0%	140	1.7%	\$1,816	\$1,750	-3.6%
CT - Bridgeport/Stamford/Danbury	83.6%	88.3%	470	5.7%	\$2,970	\$3,054	2.8%
CT - Hartford/New Haven/Waterbury	91.8%	89.9%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,871	\$1,958	4.6%
Connecticut Average	89.4%	89.4%	0	0.0%	\$2,202	\$2,293	4.1%
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	87.1%	91.1%	400	4.6%	\$1,530	\$1,519	-0.7%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	87.6%	90.3%	270	3.0%	\$2,408	\$2,463	2.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	77.2%	82.6%	539	7.0%	\$1,904	\$1,767	-7.2%
FL - Gainesville	88.8%	92.6%	380	4.3%	\$1,656	\$1,713	3.5%
FL - Jacksonville	82.5%	88.5%	600	7.3%	\$1,458	\$1,475	1.2%
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	75.6%	85.3%	969	12.8%	\$1,546	\$1,548	0.1%
FL - Melbourne	85.1%	87.3%	220	2.6%	\$1,689	\$1,737	2.8%
FL - Miami	84.3%	88.5%	420	5.0%	\$2,529	\$2,636	4.2%
FL - Orlando	86.2%	87.4%	120	1.4%	\$1,757	\$1,766	0.5%
FL - Palm Beach	88.3%	92.4%	410	4.6%	\$2,453	\$2,530	3.2%
FL - Pensacola	82.7%	86.6%	390	4.8%	\$1,581	\$1,569	-0.8%
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	79.9%	84.2%	429	5.4%	\$1,895	\$1,840	-2.9%
FL - Tallahassee	87.1%	91.5%	440	5.0%	\$1,416	\$1,461	3.2%
FL - Tampa	86.7%	89.0%	230	2.7%	\$1,802	\$1,819	0.9%
Florida Average	85.0%	88.3%	330	4.0%	\$1,904	\$1,925	1.1%
GA - Albany	89.6%	91.6%	200	2.3%	\$1,005	\$1,041	3.6%
GA - Athens/Clarke County	83.1%	90.8%	770	9.3%	\$1,304	\$1,332	2.1%
GA - Atlanta	84.9%	88.9%	400	4.7%	\$1,609	\$1,652	2.7%
GA - Augusta	87.2%	87.0%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,190	\$1,255	5.5%
GA - Columbus	85.7%	88.8%	310	3.6%	\$1,175	\$1,217	3.5%
GA - Macon	85.7%	86.8%	110	1.3%	\$1,140	\$1,197	5.1%
GA - Outlying	94.0%	92.7%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,563	\$1,620	3.7%
GA - Savannah	81.4%	83.2%	180	2.2%	\$1,680	\$1,696	0.9%
Georgia Average	84.8%	88.4%	360	4.2%	\$1,550	\$1,592	2.7%
IA - Des Moines	85.9%	90.1%	420	4.9%	\$1,147	\$1,174	2.3%
IA - Outlying	94.9%	94.1%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,051	\$1,092	3.9%
Iowa Average	86.5%	89.7%	320	3.7%	\$1,139	\$1,168	2.5%
ID - Boise	82.2%	85.6%	340	4.1%	\$1,550	\$1,610	3.9%
ID - Outlying	96.2%	97.6%	140	1.4%	\$928	\$1,012	9.0%
Idaho Average	82.6%	86.0%	340	4.2%	\$1,526	\$1,586	3.9%
IL - Chicago	92.4%	94.0%	159	1.7%	\$2,018	\$2,137	5.9%
IL - Moline	87.4%	93.5%	610	6.9%	\$984	\$1,039	5.6%
IL - Outlying	87.9%	94.8%	689	7.8%	\$788	\$816	3.6%
IL - Springfield	93.9%	94.3%	40	0.5%	\$1,047	\$1,121	7.0%
Illinois Average	92.2%	94.0%	179	1.9%	\$1,891	\$2,003	5.9%
IN - Evansville	95.6%	94.0%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,002	\$1,038	3.6%
IN - Fort Wayne	95.0%	95.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,083	\$1,124	3.8%
IN - Indianapolis	90.0%	90.7%	70	0.9%	\$1,281	\$1,317	2.8%
IN - Outlying	97.1%	95.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,013	\$1,041	2.8%
IN - South Bend	95.5%	95.1%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,199	\$1,287	7.3%
Indiana Average	91.4%	91.8%	40	0.4%	\$1,237	\$1,279	3.3%
KS - Outlying	97.1%	95.2%	-190	-2.0%	\$707	\$755	6.7%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.9%	94.4%	50	0.5%	\$980	\$1,031	5.2%
KS - Wichita	91.0%	92.2%	120	1.4%	\$904	\$957	5.8%

MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

CONTACT US

ALN Apartment Data

www.alndata.com

800-643-6416 x 3

Sales@alndata.com

OVERALL MARKET								
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
Kansas Average	92.2%	93.2%	100	1.0%	\$930	\$982	5.6%	
KY - Lexington	90.1%	92.2%	210	2.3%	\$1,193	\$1,251	4.9%	
KY - Louisville	87.8%	89.2%	140	1.6%	\$1,239	\$1,262	1.9%	
KY - Outlying	91.9%	87.7%	-420	-4.6%	\$842	\$874	3.9%	
Kentucky Average	88.5%	90.0%	150	1.7%	\$1,216	\$1,249	2.7%	
LA - Baton Rouge	86.8%	90.3%	350	4.1%	\$1,144	\$1,174	2.6%	
LA - Lake Charles	88.3%	89.3%	100	1.2%	\$1,007	\$1,095	8.8%	
LA - Monroe	90.4%	91.8%	140	1.5%	\$930	\$988	6.2%	
LA - New Orleans	89.2%	91.0%	180	2.0%	\$1,242	\$1,264	1.8%	
LA - Outlying	81.6%	90.6%	900	11.1%	\$953	\$948	-0.6%	
LA - Shreveport	90.5%	93.1%	260	2.9%	\$1,011	\$1,066	5.4%	
Louisiana Average	88.1%	91.0%	290	3.3%	\$1,141	\$1,175	3.0%	
MA - Boston	90.5%	92.3%	180	2.0%	\$2,832	\$2,911	2.8%	
MA - Outlying	100.0%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$1,640	\$2,249	37.1%	
MA - Springfield	97.5%	96.5%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,669	\$1,725	3.3%	
Massachusetts Average	90.7%	92.4%	170	1.9%	\$2,787	\$2,866	2.9%	
MD - Baltimore	92.4%	94.3%	189	2.1%	\$1,708	\$1,745	2.2%	
MD - Outlying	97.6%	93.9%	-370	-3.7%	\$1,513	\$1,555	2.7%	
Maryland Average	92.5%	94.3%	179	1.9%	\$1,703	\$1,740	2.2%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	83.8%	90.0%	620	7.3%	\$1,952	\$2,104	7.8%	
Maine Average	83.8%	90.0%	620	7.3%	\$1,952	\$2,104	7.8%	
MI - Ann Arbor	90.9%	91.8%	90	1.0%	\$1,542	\$1,527	-1.0%	
MI - Detroit	92.6%	94.1%	149	1.6%	\$1,355	\$1,405	3.7%	
MI - Flint	94.4%	95.8%	140	1.5%	\$1,027	\$1,099	7.0%	
MI - Grand Rapids	92.2%	94.6%	239	2.6%	\$1,421	\$1,494	5.1%	
MI - Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.6%	\$1,169	\$1,218	4.2%	
MI - Lansing	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.1%	\$1,249	\$1,329	6.4%	
MI - Outlying	94.8%	93.5%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,101	\$1,166	5.9%	
Michigan Average	92.6%	94.1%	149	1.6%	\$1,326	\$1,379	4.0%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	88.3%	91.7%	340	3.8%	\$1,529	\$1,601	4.7%	
MN - Outlying	72.9%	89.7%	1680	23.0%	\$1,292	\$1,369	6.0%	
Minnesota Average	88.1%	91.7%	360	4.1%	\$1,522	\$1,594	4.7%	
MO - Columbia	96.0%	95.7%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,050	\$1,066	1.5%	
MO - Kansas City	90.2%	91.7%	150	1.7%	\$1,339	\$1,405	4.9%	
MO - Outlying	89.1%	90.4%	130	1.4%	\$702	\$832	18.6%	
MO - Springfield	91.2%	94.1%	289	3.1%	\$947	\$1,007	6.4%	
MO - St. Louis	88.9%	91.5%	260	2.9%	\$1,326	\$1,372	3.5%	
Missouri Average	89.8%	91.8%	200	2.3%	\$1,298	\$1,355	4.4%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.8%	92.9%	10	0.2%	\$1,107	\$1,161	4.9%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	89.3%	92.1%	280	3.1%	\$1,162	\$1,203	3.5%	
MS - Outlying	94.6%	95.1%	50	0.5%	\$1,131	\$1,144	1.1%	
Mississippi Average	91.6%	93.1%	150	1.6%	\$1,140	\$1,176	3.2%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
NC - Asheville	89.0%	79.7%	-929	-10.4%	\$1,662	\$1,635	-1.6%	
NC - Charlotte	83.8%	86.8%	300	3.6%	\$1,568	\$1,614	2.9%	
NC - Fayetteville	89.4%	91.4%	200	2.2%	\$1,247	\$1,277	2.4%	
NC - Greensboro/High Point	91.5%	91.4%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,252	\$1,272	1.6%	
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	91.2%	92.5%	130	1.4%	\$1,107	\$1,145	3.5%	
NC - Outlying	97.1%	98.5%	140	1.4%	\$1,348	\$1,504	11.6%	
NC - Raleigh-Durham	84.2%	88.4%	420	5.1%	\$1,532	\$1,559	1.8%	
NC - Wilmington	74.6%	83.4%	879	11.8%	\$1,448	\$1,485	2.5%	
NC - Winston-Salem	91.9%	89.8%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,218	\$1,230	1.0%	
North Carolina Average	85.0%	87.7%	270	3.2%	\$1,478	\$1,513	2.3%	
ND - Bismarck	96.1%	95.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,165	\$1,239	6.3%	
ND - Fargo	86.1%	89.2%	310	3.6%	\$1,025	\$1,047	2.1%	
ND - Outlying	92.4%	95.0%	259	2.8%	\$1,178	\$1,257	6.7%	
North Dakota Average	90.2%	92.7%	250	2.8%	\$1,102	\$1,148	4.2%	
NE - Lincoln	86.9%	89.5%	260	3.0%	\$1,173	\$1,237	5.5%	
NE - Omaha	91.3%	90.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,246	\$1,300	4.3%	
NE - Outlying	98.3%	97.6%	-70	-0.7%	\$913	\$1,020	11.7%	
Nebraska Average	90.1%	90.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,221	\$1,278	4.7%	
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.0%	91.6%	60	0.7%	\$2,139	\$2,227	4.1%	
New Jersey Average	91.0%	91.6%	60	0.7%	\$2,139	\$2,227	4.1%	
NM - Albuquerque	89.7%	89.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,394	\$1,381	-0.9%	
NM - Outlying	93.4%	92.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,330	\$1,408	5.8%	
New Mexico Average	90.1%	90.2%	10	0.1%	\$1,388	\$1,384	-0.3%	
NV - Las Vegas	89.3%	91.2%	190	2.1%	\$1,472	\$1,461	-0.7%	
NV - Outlying	93.2%	93.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,294	\$1,275	-1.5%	
NV - Reno	87.2%	90.7%	350	4.0%	\$1,636	\$1,720	5.1%	
Nevada Average	89.0%	91.1%	210	2.4%	\$1,500	\$1,507	0.4%	
NY - Albany	90.7%	92.9%	220	2.4%	\$1,589	\$1,674	5.3%	
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	84.6%	90.6%	600	7.1%	\$1,392	\$1,435	3.0%	
NY - Finger Lakes Region	92.4%	91.6%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,471	\$1,626	10.5%	
NY - New York City	81.1%	85.4%	429	5.3%	\$3,269	\$3,415	4.5%	
NY - Outlying	89.2%	94.4%	519	5.8%	\$1,310	\$1,286	-1.8%	
NY - Poughkeepsie/Kingston/Middletown	93.2%	97.2%	399	4.3%	\$1,887	\$1,967	4.2%	
NY - Rochester	96.1%	96.3%	20	0.3%	\$1,433	\$1,525	6.4%	
NY - Syracuse	96.0%	95.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,268	\$1,374	8.4%	
New York Average	83.6%	87.3%	370	4.4%	\$2,889	\$3,030	4.9%	
OH - Cincinnati	90.8%	91.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,378	\$1,450	5.3%	
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.3%	92.4%	110	1.3%	\$1,206	\$1,283	6.4%	
OH - Columbus	89.8%	89.8%	0	0.1%	\$1,331	\$1,371	3.1%	
OH - Dayton	94.2%	93.5%	-69	-0.7%	\$1,142	\$1,204	5.4%	
OH - Outlying	99.5%	95.5%	-400	-4.0%	\$880	\$971	10.4%	
OH - Toledo	93.9%	94.2%	30	0.3%	\$971	\$1,006	3.6%	

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Ohio Average	91.0%	91.4%	40	0.5%	\$1,267	\$1,327	4.8%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.5%	89.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,000	\$1,034	3.4%
OK - Outlying	94.8%	90.8%	-399	-4.2%	\$862	\$870	0.8%
OK - Tulsa	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,017	\$1,046	2.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.0%	90.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,004	\$1,036	3.1%
OR - Central Oregon	90.3%	93.1%	280	3.1%	\$1,551	\$1,593	2.7%
OR - Outlying	93.0%	95.7%	269	2.9%	\$1,407	\$1,445	2.8%
OR - Portland	90.0%	92.8%	280	3.2%	\$1,761	\$1,764	0.2%
Oregon Average	90.0%	92.8%	280	3.2%	\$1,719	\$1,728	0.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	88.9%	92.8%	390	4.3%	\$1,466	\$1,535	4.7%
PA - Lehigh Valley	94.7%	94.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,667	\$1,738	4.3%
PA - Outlying	95.3%	95.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,378	\$1,436	4.2%
PA - Philadelphia	88.7%	92.1%	340	3.8%	\$1,817	\$1,879	3.4%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.9%	92.0%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,427	\$1,495	4.7%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.9%	97.8%	90	0.9%	\$1,379	\$1,486	7.8%
Pennsylvania Average	89.9%	92.4%	250	2.8%	\$1,694	\$1,759	3.8%
RI - Providence	94.3%	94.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,055	\$2,147	4.5%
Rhode Island Average	94.3%	94.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,055	\$2,147	4.5%
SC - Charleston	86.1%	90.1%	400	4.7%	\$1,799	\$1,860	3.4%
SC - Columbia	90.0%	90.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,305	\$1,342	2.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	83.3%	89.0%	570	6.8%	\$1,350	\$1,396	3.4%
SC - Myrtle Beach	70.6%	82.2%	1160	16.4%	\$1,581	\$1,594	0.8%
SC - Outlying	95.8%	88.5%	-729	-7.6%	\$1,236	\$1,302	5.4%
South Carolina Average	84.5%	89.1%	460	5.4%	\$1,515	\$1,563	3.2%
SD - Outlying	98.8%	92.2%	-659	-6.6%	\$1,006	\$1,047	4.1%
SD - Rapid City	75.9%	78.4%	250	3.3%	\$1,222	\$1,273	4.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	87.6%	88.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,069	\$1,086	1.5%
South Dakota Average	85.9%	85.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,097	\$1,126	2.7%
TN - Chattanooga	82.2%	89.8%	760	9.2%	\$1,398	\$1,406	0.5%
TN - Knoxville	91.6%	92.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,493	\$1,506	0.8%
TN - Memphis	89.1%	88.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,172	\$1,192	1.8%
TN - Nashville	85.3%	88.2%	290	3.5%	\$1,615	\$1,635	1.3%
TN - Outlying	95.4%	92.5%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,132	\$1,195	5.6%
Tennessee Average	86.8%	89.0%	220	2.5%	\$1,458	\$1,481	1.6%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	87.3%	88.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,508	\$1,503	-0.3%
TX - Greater Dallas	88.1%	89.1%	100	1.1%	\$1,556	\$1,550	-0.4%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.4%	87.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,400	\$1,402	0.2%
TX - Abilene	92.8%	96.2%	339	3.7%	\$989	\$1,184	19.8%
TX - Amarillo	87.5%	91.9%	440	5.0%	\$946	\$974	3.0%
TX - Austin	83.0%	85.7%	270	3.1%	\$1,497	\$1,447	-3.4%
TX - Beaumont	90.4%	92.8%	240	2.7%	\$1,028	\$1,083	5.3%
TX - College Station	93.5%	92.0%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,531	\$1,550	1.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TX - Corpus Christi	86.1%	87.3%	120	1.4%	\$1,132	\$1,129	-0.3%
TX - El Paso	91.7%	92.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,088	\$1,113	2.3%
TX - Houston	87.9%	88.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,327	\$1,334	0.5%
TX - Laredo	94.8%	93.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,131	\$1,117	-1.2%
TX - Longview/Marshall	87.3%	91.6%	430	5.0%	\$1,031	\$1,051	1.9%
TX - Lubbock	87.1%	88.0%	90	1.0%	\$926	\$942	1.7%
TX - Lufkin	89.3%	90.8%	150	1.7%	\$952	\$992	4.1%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.5%	92.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,407	\$1,393	-1.0%
TX - Outlying	94.1%	91.9%	-219	-2.4%	\$1,019	\$1,008	-1.1%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	90.5%	87.3%	-320	-3.5%	\$986	\$1,023	3.8%
TX - San Angelo	91.3%	94.1%	279	3.1%	\$1,046	\$1,073	2.5%
TX - San Antonio	84.3%	86.0%	170	2.0%	\$1,223	\$1,202	-1.7%
TX - Texarkana	91.2%	94.0%	279	3.0%	\$888	\$915	3.1%
TX - Tyler	86.0%	89.5%	350	4.0%	\$1,167	\$1,192	2.1%
TX - Victoria	94.7%	94.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,037	\$1,062	2.4%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	83.7%	87.1%	340	4.1%	\$1,139	\$1,123	-1.5%
TX - Wichita Falls	84.1%	89.3%	520	6.3%	\$852	\$892	4.7%
Texas Average	86.9%	88.0%	110	1.3%	\$1,376	\$1,372	-0.3%
UT - Ogden/Logan	87.1%	89.4%	230	2.6%	\$1,445	\$1,464	1.3%
UT - Outlying	85.0%	86.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,549	\$1,573	1.5%
UT - Provo/Orem	82.6%	80.3%	-229	-2.7%	\$1,550	\$1,611	3.9%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.4%	85.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,576	\$1,551	-1.6%
Utah Average	86.0%	85.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,547	\$1,544	-0.2%
VA - Norfolk	92.2%	94.7%	249	2.7%	\$1,544	\$1,617	4.7%
VA - Outlying	88.7%	89.2%	50	0.6%	\$1,149	\$1,311	14.1%
VA - Richmond	90.1%	93.0%	290	3.3%	\$1,576	\$1,675	6.3%
VA - Roanoke	95.2%	95.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,236	\$1,289	4.3%
Virginia Average	91.4%	94.0%	259	2.8%	\$1,532	\$1,615	5.4%
WA - Olympia	88.1%	90.9%	280	3.1%	\$1,683	\$1,752	4.1%
WA - Outlying	88.9%	96.4%	749	8.4%	\$1,191	\$1,131	-5.0%
WA - SE Washington	90.1%	88.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,415	\$1,395	-1.5%
WA - Seattle	90.7%	92.3%	160	1.7%	\$2,144	\$2,161	0.8%
WA - Spokane	88.0%	92.3%	430	5.0%	\$1,410	\$1,415	0.4%
Washington Average	90.3%	92.1%	180	2.0%	\$2,022	\$2,035	0.6%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	87.8%	94.1%	629	7.2%	\$1,054	\$1,114	5.7%
WI - Madison	86.9%	89.0%	210	2.4%	\$1,516	\$1,584	4.5%
WI - Milwaukee	91.2%	92.0%	80	0.8%	\$1,497	\$1,568	4.7%
WI - Outlying	67.8%	77.3%	949	14.1%	\$1,135	\$1,183	4.2%
Wisconsin Average	88.2%	90.6%	240	2.7%	\$1,415	\$1,484	4.8%
WV - Charleston	90.5%	81.2%	-929	-10.2%	\$1,089	\$1,188	9.1%
WV - Outlying	98.5%	96.7%	-180	-1.8%	\$1,096	\$1,120	2.2%
West Virginia Average	92.0%	86.4%	-560	-6.1%	\$1,090	\$1,173	7.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
DC - Washington	90.6%	91.9%	130	1.5%	\$2,237	\$2,279	1.9%
DE - Outlying	83.2%	88.3%	510	6.0%	\$1,621	\$1,718	6.0%
HI - State of Hawaii	93.5%	97.0%	349	3.7%	\$2,457	\$2,591	5.4%
MT - State of Montana	72.0%	84.1%	1210	16.8%	\$1,659	\$1,671	0.7%
NH - Concord	70.4%	86.2%	1580	22.4%	\$1,887	\$2,074	9.9%
VT - Outlying	76.3%	84.5%	819	10.8%	\$2,011	\$1,992	-0.9%
WY - State of Wyoming	94.6%	94.7%	10	0.0%	\$1,297	\$1,350	4.0%
National Average	88.0%	89.9%	190	2.2%	\$1,731	\$1,767	2.1%

Subscribe to our monthly newsletter

GET THE LATEST MARKET NEWS



**ALN Monthly Newsletter
with Market News, Stats and
Commentary**



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President

1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 253

Jackie@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales

1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Tammy Longo

Regional Account Executive

1.800.643.6416 x 249

Tammy@alndata.com