	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUP	ANCY	CHAN	CHANGE		IVE RENT	
	Jul-24	Jul-25	bps	%CHG	Jul-24	Jul-25	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	92.1%	94.4%	229	2.5%	\$1,442	\$1,515	5.1%
AK - Outlying	94.5%	91.1%	-339	-3.5%	\$1,572	\$1,626	3.4%
Alaska Average	92.7%	93.9%	119	1.4%	\$1,473	\$1,542	4.7%
AL - Birmingham	88.8%	90.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,195	\$1,234	3.3%
AL - Huntsville	81.3%	81.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,173	\$1,158	-1.4%
AL - Mobile	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.4%	\$1,213	\$1,247	2.8%
AL - Montgomery	89.3%	93.9%	459	5.2%	\$1,012	\$1,044	3.1%
AL - Outlying	95.5%	95.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$860	\$914	6.2%
Alabama Average	87.0%	88.2%	120	1.4%	\$1,157	\$1,180	2.0%
AR - Little Rock	88.1%	88.8%	70	0.8%	\$1,002	\$1,029	2.7%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	87.1%	83.1%	-400	-4.6%	\$1,019	\$1,118	9.7%
AR - Outlying	94.8%	95.9%	110	1.2%	\$812	\$835	2.8%
Arkansas Average	88.2%	87.1%	-110	-1.3%	\$992	\$1,050	5.8%
AZ - Flagstaff	91.0%	85.8%	-520	-5.8%	\$1,850	\$1,850	0.0%
AZ - Outlying	94.2%	93.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,146	\$1,164	1.5%
AZ - Phoenix	86.8%	86.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,556	\$1,552	-0.3%
AZ - Tucson	89.3%	90.2%	90	1.0%	\$1,182	\$1,171	-1.0%
Arizona Average	87.2%	86.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,497	\$1,495	-0.2%
CA - Bakersfield	94.1%	90.2%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,458	\$1,490	2.2%
CA - Central Coast	93.6%	93.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,629	\$2,696	2.6%
CA - Fresno/Visalia	95.6%	95.9%	30	0.4%	\$1,506	\$1,552	3.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.1%	91.9%	80	0.8%	\$2,718	\$2,781	2.3%
CA - Outlying	95.5%	94.1%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,330	\$1,405	5.6%
CA - Sacramento	92.1%	91.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,921	\$1,947	1.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	91.4%	90.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,168	\$2,234	3.1%
CA - San Diego	91.9%	92.2%	30	0.4%	\$2,712	\$2,776	2.4%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.4%	92.6%	320	3.5%	\$2,845	\$2,999	5.4%
CA - San Jose/Sunnyvale/Santa Clara	92.9%	90.9%	-200	-2.1%	\$3,105	\$3,277	5.5%
CA - Santa Rosa/Napa/Vallejo	90.6%	89.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,357	\$2,359	0.1%
CA - Stockton	95.2%	95.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,723	\$1,761	2.2%
California Average	91.7%	91.9%	20	0.2%	\$2,561	\$2,639	3.1%
CO - Boulder	84.2%	88.2%	400	4.7%	\$2,126	\$2,081	-2.1%
CO - Colorado Springs	83.0%	82.5%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,472	\$1,469	-0.2%
CO - Denver	86.9%	84.9%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,932	\$1,884	-2.5%
CO - Fort Collins/Loveland/Greeley	85.4%	88.4%	300		\$1,754	\$1,748	
CO - Outlying	91.2%	85.2%	-600	-6.5%	\$1,811	\$1,899	
Colorado Average	86.1%	85.0%	-110		\$1,859	\$1,823	
FL - Daytona Beach/Deltona	86.1%	88.6%	250		\$1,513	\$1,545	
FL - Fort Lauderdale	88.2%	89.2%	100		\$2,412	\$2,460	
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	77.6%	78.4%	80	1.0%	\$1,960	\$1,863	
FL - Gainesville	90.1%	90.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,666	\$1,733	
FL - Jacksonville	82.8%	85.9%	310		\$1,463	\$1,510	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUP	ANCY	CHAN	IGE	EFFECT	TIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
FL - Lakeland/Winter Haven	74.5%	77.3%	280	3.8%	\$1,544	\$1,584	2.6%	
FL - Melbourne	88.4%	85.0%	-340	-3.9%	\$1,668	\$1,755	5.2%	
FL - Miami	86.7%	83.3%	-340	-3.9%	\$2,526	\$2,607	3.2%	
FL - Orlando	86.6%	86.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,780	\$1,806	1.4%	
FL - Palm Beach	91.0%	89.7%	-130	-1.5%	\$2,420	\$2,531	4.6%	
FL - Pensacola	86.2%	86.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,573	\$1,596	1.5%	
FL - Sarasota/Bradenton	83.4%	81.7%	-170	-2.1%	\$1,885	\$1,870	-0.8%	
FL - Tallahassee	90.3%	89.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,384	\$1,475	6.6%	
FL - Tampa	88.2%	88.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,789	\$1,863	4.2%	
Florida Average	86.3%	86.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,906	\$1,951	2.4%	
GA - Albany	90.0%	89.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,008	\$1,008	0.0%	
GA - Athens/Clarke County	89.9%	91.2%	130	1.4%	\$1,310	\$1,342	2.4%	
GA - Atlanta	84.8%	87.4%	260	3.1%	\$1,605	\$1,655	3.1%	
GA - Augusta	88.0%	89.9%	190	2.1%	\$1,162	\$1,235	6.3%	
GA - Columbus	91.3%	88.8%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,151	\$1,204	4.6%	
GA - Macon	83.3%	87.8%	450	5.3%	\$1,144	\$1,179	3.1%	
GA - Outlying	89.3%	95.7%	639	7.2%	\$1,419	\$1,870	31.7%	
GA - Savannah	79.9%	80.2%	30	0.3%	\$1,678	\$1,715	2.2%	
Georgia Average	84.9%	87.1%	220	2.6%	\$1,545	\$1,595	3.2%	
IA - Des Moines	88.0%	88.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,143	\$1,178	3.1%	
IA - Outlying	92.6%	95.6%	299	3.3%	\$1,053	\$1,097	4.2%	
lowa Average	88.3%	88.9%	60	0.7%	\$1,136	\$1,172	3.2%	
ID - Boise	83.4%	84.8%	140	1.6%	\$1,553	\$1,617	4.1%	
ID - Outlying	94.0%	94.9%	90	1.0%	\$927	\$978	5.5%	
Idaho Average	83.0%	85.2%	220	2.7%	\$1,526	\$1,590	4.2%	
IL - Chicago	92.7%	92.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,987	\$2,134	7.4%	
IL - Moline	88.0%	91.0%	300	3.4%	\$961	\$1,006	4.7%	
IL - Outlying	83.8%	98.3%	1450	17.4%	\$792	\$813	2.7%	
IL - Springfield	94.6%	92.8%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,045	\$1,113	6.5%	
Illinois Average	92.3%	92.5%	20	0.1%	\$1,861	\$1,998	7.4%	
IN - Evansville	95.1%	95.6%	50	0.5%	\$976	\$1,042	6.9%	
IN - Fort Wayne	93.5%	94.7%	119	1.3%	\$1,058	\$1,124	6.2%	
IN - Indianapolis	91.0%	91.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,265	\$1,329	5.1%	
IN - Outlying	98.7%	97.5%	-120	-1.2%	\$935	\$1,036	10.8%	
IN - South Bend	93.4%	95.3%	189	2.0%	\$1,167	\$1,288	10.4%	
Indiana Average	91.6%	92.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,218	\$1,288	5.8%	
KS - Outlying	97.9%	98.7%	80	0.8%	\$706	\$725	2.7%	
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.8%	94.8%	100	1.1%	\$960	\$1,023	6.6%	
KS - Wichita	91.1%	91.4%	30	0.4%	\$900	\$948	5.3%	
Kansas Average	92.3%	92.9%	60	0.6%	\$919	\$973	5.8%	
KY - Lexington	91.3%	90.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,159	\$1,261	8.7%	
KY - Louisville	91.1%	89.4%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,226	\$1,273	3.9%	





## **Trusted Experience**

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



## Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

## Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

### Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

#### **CONTACT US**

ALN Apartment Data www.alndata.com 800-643-6416 x 3 Sales@alndata.com

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/data-services to learn more about our services

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHAN	CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
KY - Outlying	93.8%	92.1%	-169	-1.7%	\$800	\$873	9.1%	
Kentucky Average	91.2%	89.8%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,197	\$1,260	5.3%	
LA - Baton Rouge	87.4%	89.5%	210	2.3%	\$1,140	\$1,194	4.7%	
LA - Lake Charles	85.6%	86.7%	110	1.4%	\$1,002	\$1,071	7.0%	
LA - Monroe	88.9%	95.2%	629	7.1%	\$932	\$965	3.6%	
LA - New Orleans	88.3%	91.2%	290	3.3%	\$1,246	\$1,287	3.3%	
LA - Outlying	87.3%	92.8%	550	6.3%	\$859	\$926	7.8%	
LA - Shreveport	89.4%	93.4%	400	4.5%	\$992	\$1,070	7.9%	
Louisiana Average	88.0%	90.7%	270	3.1%	\$1,136	\$1,189	4.7%	
MA - Boston	90.4%	90.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,796	\$2,932	4.8%	
MA - Outlying	100.0%	97.1%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,640	\$2,205	34.4%	
MA - Springfield	97.0%	97.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,662	\$1,726	3.9%	
Massachusetts Average	90.6%	90.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,751	\$2,886	4.9%	
MD - Baltimore	91.5%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$1,695	\$1,759	3.8%	
MD - Outlying	97.9%	92.9%	-499	-5.1%	\$1,462	\$1,568	7.2%	
Maryland Average	91.5%	94.1%	259	2.9%	\$1,689	\$1,755	3.9%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	86.9%	88.8%	190	2.1%	\$1,972	\$2,135	8.2%	
Maine Average	86.9%	88.8%	190	2.1%	\$1,972	\$2,135	8.2%	
MI - Detroit	93.0%	93.8%	79	0.9%	\$1,312	\$1,385	5.5%	
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	92.0%	93.5%	150	1.6%	\$1,304	\$1,380	5.8%	
MI - Outlying	95.5%	95.6%	10	0.2%	\$1,097	\$1,189	8.4%	
Michigan Average	92.7%	93.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,302	\$1,376	5.7%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	89.4%	90.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,517	\$1,593	5.1%	
MN - Outlying	79.7%	96.7%	1699	21.3%	\$1,288	\$1,325	2.8%	
Minnesota Average	89.2%	90.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,510	\$1,585	5.0%	
MO - Columbia	94.2%	97.2%	300	3.2%	\$1,052	\$1,065	1.2%	
MO - Kansas City	91.1%	91.2%	10	0.1%	\$1,315	\$1,404	6.7%	
MO - Outlying	83.9%	66.5%	-1739	-20.7%	\$689	\$820	19.1%	
MO - Springfield	93.0%	95.2%	219	2.4%	\$940	\$997	6.0%	
MO - St. Louis	89.3%	90.5%	120	1.3%	\$1,299	\$1,379	6.1%	
Missouri Average	90.4%	91.1%	70	0.7%	\$1,274	\$1,356	6.4%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.9%	94.6%	169	1.9%	\$1,087	\$1,143	5.2%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	88.4%	91.8%	340	3.8%	\$1,131	\$1,197	5.8%	
MS - Outlying	93.4%	94.6%	119	1.3%	\$1,077	\$1,124	4.3%	
Mississippi Average	91.0%	93.2%	220	2.5%	\$1,105	\$1,163	5.2%	
NC - Asheville	93.6%	85.3%	-830	-8.9%	\$1,673	\$1,617	-3.4%	
NC - Charlotte	85.3%	85.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,557	\$1,611	3.5%	
NC - Fayetteville	90.6%	93.4%	280	3.1%	\$1,241	\$1,283	3.4%	
NC - Greensboro/High Point	92.0%	93.9%	189	2.1%	\$1,254	\$1,279	2.0%	
NC - Greenville/Inner Coastal Plain	92.9%	95.5%	259	2.8%	\$1,124	\$1,139	1.3%	
NC - Outlying	98.5%	97.6%	-90	-0.8%	\$1,390	\$1,476	6.2%	
NC - Raleigh-Durham	85.6%	86.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,547	\$1,572	1.6%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHAN	CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
NC - Wilmington	80.4%	80.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,440	\$1,501	4.2%	
NC - Winston-Salem	92.3%	91.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,210	\$1,231	1.7%	
North Carolina Average	86.6%	87.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,477	\$1,517	2.7%	
ND - Bismarck	96.3%	96.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,144	\$1,227	7.2%	
ND - Fargo	88.0%	89.9%	190	2.2%	\$1,003	\$1,036	3.3%	
ND - Outlying	91.6%	94.4%	279	3.0%	\$1,153	\$1,236	7.2%	
North Dakota Average	90.7%	92.6%	190	2.1%	\$1,079	\$1,136	5.2%	
NE - Lincoln	87.4%	89.4%	200	2.3%	\$1,178	\$1,238	5.1%	
NE - Omaha	93.0%	93.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,229	\$1,301	5.9%	
NE - Outlying	96.7%	97.7%	100	1.0%	\$902	\$1,019	13.0%	
Nebraska Average	91.7%	92.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,210	\$1,280	5.8%	
NJ - Trenton/Princeton	91.4%	88.9%	-250	-2.8%	\$2,117	\$2,230	5.3%	
New Jersey Average	91.4%	88.9%	-250	-2.8%	\$2,117	\$2,230	5.3%	
NM - Albuquerque	89.2%	89.3%	10	0.2%	\$1,376	\$1,420	3.2%	
NM - Outlying	94.0%	92.1%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,302	\$1,395	7.1%	
New Mexico Average	89.5%	89.6%	10	0.0%	\$1,369	\$1,418	3.6%	
NV - Las Vegas	88.7%	90.8%	210	2.3%	\$1,465	\$1,484	1.3%	
NV - Outlying	94.7%	94.6%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,278	\$1,301	1.8%	
NV - Reno	87.8%	87.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,612	\$1,697	5.3%	
Nevada Average	88.6%	90.3%	170	1.9%	\$1,490	\$1,521	2.1%	
NY - Albany	88.4%	90.3%	190	2.2%	\$1,573	\$1,637	4.1%	
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	93.9%	93.1%	-79	-0.9%	\$1,362	\$1,481	8.7%	
NY - New York City	82.8%	82.2%	-60	-0.7%	\$3,179	\$3,325	4.6%	
NY - Outlying	92.5%	89.8%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,210	\$1,247	3.1%	
New York Average	84.3%	84.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,841	\$2,988	5.2%	
OH - Cincinnati	90.1%	92.2%	210	2.3%	\$1,375	\$1,432	4.2%	
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.1%	91.8%	70	0.8%	\$1,195	\$1,254	5.0%	
OH - Columbus	90.0%	89.2%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,319	\$1,394	5.6%	
OH - Dayton	93.8%	93.5%	-29	-0.3%	\$1,132	\$1,200	6.0%	
OH - Outlying	99.7%	98.0%	-170	-1.7%	\$850	\$904	6.5%	
OH - Toledo	93.6%	95.4%	179	2.0%	\$955	\$995	4.1%	
Ohio Average	90.8%	91.2%	40	0.5%	\$1,256		5.2%	
OK - Oklahoma City	90.0%	90.7%	70	0.9%	\$978	\$1,027	5.0%	
OK - Outlying	93.6%	85.7%	-790	-8.5%	\$852	\$884	3.9%	
OK - Tulsa	92.2%	89.2%	-300	-3.2%	\$998	\$1,045	4.6%	
Oklahoma Average	91.0%	90.0%	-100	-1.1%	\$984	\$1,031	4.8%	
OR - Central Oregon	89.2%	90.6%	140			\$1,576	2.3%	
OR - Outlying	97.1%	96.2%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,374	\$1,418	3.2%	
OR - Portland	91.0%	92.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,750	\$1,780	1.7%	
Oregon Average	90.7%	91.9%	120	1.3%	\$1,708	\$1,738	1.8%	
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster/Reading	89.2%	92.2%	300	3.3%	\$1,450	\$1,537	6.0%	
PA - Lehigh Valley	93.5%	92.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,647	\$1,745	5.9%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUP	ANCY	CHAN	IGE	EFFECT	TIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
PA - Outlying	97.3%	94.6%	-270	-2.7%	\$1,323	\$1,421	7.4%	
PA - Philadelphia	91.0%	90.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,799	\$1,877	4.3%	
PA - Pittsburgh	91.6%	94.1%	249	2.7%	\$1,419	\$1,491	5.1%	
PA - State College/Altoona	96.7%	96.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,364	\$1,476	8.2%	
Pennsylvania Average	91.2%	91.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,677	\$1,758	4.8%	
RI - Providence	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.2%	\$2,018	\$2,157	6.9%	
Rhode Island Average	94.6%	93.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$2,018	\$2,157	6.9%	
SC - Charleston	87.4%	86.1%	-130	-1.6%	\$1,793	\$1,861	3.8%	
SC - Columbia	89.0%	90.1%	110	1.3%	\$1,282	\$1,342	4.7%	
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.0%	87.2%	220	2.6%	\$1,333	\$1,396	4.7%	
SC - Myrtle Beach	71.6%	75.9%	430	6.1%	\$1,541	\$1,616	4.9%	
SC - Outlying	92.3%	91.3%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,205	\$1,290	7.1%	
South Carolina Average	85.6%	86.3%	70	0.9%	\$1,494	\$1,565	4.7%	
SD - Outlying	98.3%	97.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,005	\$1,031	2.6%	
SD - Rapid City	77.8%	78.2%	40	0.6%	\$1,287	\$1,258	-2.2%	
SD - Sioux Falls	86.3%	90.6%	430	5.0%	\$1,079	\$1,084	0.5%	
South Dakota Average	85.2%	88.1%	290	3.4%	\$1,117	\$1,121	0.3%	
TN - Chattanooga	86.1%	85.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,368	\$1,408	2.9%	
TN - Knoxville	92.9%	93.7%	80	0.9%	\$1,472	\$1,527	3.7%	
TN - Memphis	90.0%	90.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,169	\$1,198	2.5%	
TN - Nashville	86.2%	86.8%	60	0.7%	\$1,613	\$1,643	1.9%	
TN - Outlying	94.8%	96.0%	120	1.3%	\$1,119	\$1,178	5.3%	
Tennessee Average	88.2%	88.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,448	\$1,488	2.7%	
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	87.9%	87.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,514	\$1,526	0.8%	
TX - Greater Dallas	88.6%	88.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,562	\$1,570	0.5%	
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.7%	87.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,411	\$1,431	1.4%	
TX - Abilene	92.8%	95.0%	219	2.4%	\$987	\$1,107	12.1%	
TX - Amarillo	87.6%	89.1%	150	1.7%	\$929	\$970	4.4%	
TX - Austin	82.6%	83.8%	120	1.4%	\$1,540	\$1,496	-2.8%	
TX - Beaumont	88.8%	92.4%	360	4.0%	\$1,043	\$1,096	5.1%	
TX - College Station	89.3%	90.0%	70	0.8%	\$1,522	\$1,561	2.6%	
TX - Corpus Christi	84.0%	86.8%	280	3.3%	\$1,156	\$1,152	-0.4%	
TX - El Paso	93.3%	91.2%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,086	\$1,101	1.4%	
TX - Houston	88.4%	88.9%	50	0.6%	\$1,325	\$1,344	1.5%	
TX - Laredo	94.7%	94.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,130	\$1,154	2.2%	
TX - Longview/Marshall	86.7%	88.9%	220	2.5%	\$1,032	\$1,069	3.7%	
TX - Lubbock	85.8%	87.4%	160	1.8%	\$919	\$940	2.2%	
TX - Lufkin	88.6%	88.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$937	\$961	2.6%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.0%	93.3%	30	0.4%	\$1,394	\$1,447	3.8%	
TX - Outlying	92.8%	91.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,006	\$1,029	2.2%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	90.1%	86.7%	-340	-3.8%	\$993	\$1,019	2.6%	
TX - San Angelo	91.8%	93.1%	130	1.4%	\$1,026	\$1,070	4.3%	

	OVERALL MARKET								
	OCCUPANCY		CHAN	IGE	EFFECT	EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG		
TX - San Antonio	86.0%	84.9%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,234	\$1,244	0.8%		
TX - Texarkana	88.8%	90.5%	170	2.0%	\$884	\$928	5.0%		
TX - Tyler	85.5%	87.3%	180	2.0%	\$1,143	\$1,221	6.8%		
TX - Victoria	91.3%	94.9%	359	4.0%	\$1,025	\$1,067	4.1%		
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	83.4%	87.7%	430	5.2%	\$1,146	\$1,154	0.6%		
TX - Wichita Falls	83.2%	85.7%	250	3.1%	\$855	\$880	2.9%		
Texas Average	87.3%	87.5%	20	0.2%	\$1,383	\$1,394	0.8%		
UT - Ogden/Logan	87.9%	92.0%	410	4.6%	\$1,457	\$1,483	1.8%		
UT - Outlying	83.8%	91.7%	790	9.5%	\$1,518	\$1,618	6.6%		
UT - Provo/Orem	83.1%	84.7%	160	1.8%	\$1,549	\$1,597	3.1%		
UT - Salt Lake City	86.0%	83.6%	-240	-2.8%	\$1,578	\$1,590	0.8%		
Utah Average	86.0%	85.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,549	\$1,572	1.5%		
VA - Norfolk	92.5%	94.2%	169	1.8%	\$1,526	\$1,604	5.1%		
VA - Outlying	97.0%	94.1%	-290	-3.0%	\$1,304	\$1,336	2.5%		
VA - Richmond	90.3%	91.8%	150	1.7%	\$1,554	\$1,717	10.5%		
VA - Roanoke	94.6%	94.6%	0	0.0%	\$1,223	\$1,288	5.3%		
Virginia Average	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,512	\$1,627	7.6%		
WA - Olympia	85.9%	89.5%	360	4.2%	\$1,698	\$1,768	4.1%		
WA - Outlying	85.3%	86.8%	150	1.8%	\$1,219	\$1,194	-2.1%		
WA - SE Washington	91.7%	94.5%	279	3.1%	\$1,416	\$1,424	0.6%		
WA - Seattle	90.7%	92.1%	140	1.6%	\$2,133	\$2,176	2.0%		
WA - Spokane	84.4%	90.8%	640	7.6%	\$1,410	\$1,428	1.2%		
Washington Average	89.9%	92.0%	210	2.3%	\$2,016	\$2,053	1.9%		
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,036	\$1,110	7.1%		
WI - Madison	91.0%	88.5%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,505	\$1,588	5.6%		
WI - Milwaukee	91.2%	90.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,467	\$1,561	6.5%		
WI - Outlying	75.5%	75.2%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,136	\$1,176	3.6%		
Wisconsin Average	89.9%	89.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,394	\$1,480	6.2%		
WV - Charleston	94.1%	85.8%	-829	-8.8%	\$1,060	\$1,143	7.8%		
WV - Outlying	97.9%	97.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,064	\$1,149	8.0%		
West Virginia Average	95.2%	88.6%	-659	-6.9%	\$1,061	\$1,144	7.9%		
CT - Hartford	92.7%	91.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,840	\$1,951	6.0%		
DC - Washington	91.1%	91.4%	30	0.3%	\$2,202	\$2,301	4.5%		
DE - Outlying	90.8%	96.2%	539	5.9%	\$1,561	\$1,701	9.0%		
HI - State of Hawaii	91.8%	96.9%	509	5.6%	\$2,488	\$2,488	0.0%		
MT - Outlying	77.5%	82.9%	539	7.1%	\$1,646	\$1,708	3.8%		
NH - Concord	66.9%	78.3%	1140	17.0%	\$1,848	\$2,005	8.5%		
VT - Outlying	76.1%	73.7%	-240	-3.0%	\$1,899	\$2,006	5.6%		
WY - Outlying	94.4%	94.5%	10	0.0%	\$1,292	\$1,291	-0.1%		
National Average	88.6%	89.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,720	\$1,776	3.3%		





# ALN Monthly Newsletter with Market News, Stats and Commentary



On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

#### Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President 1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

#### **Jackie James**

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 253

<u>Jackie@alndata.com</u>

#### **Tammy Longo**

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 249

Tammy@alndata.com

#### Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales 1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

#### Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 259 KNelsen@alndata.com

#### Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

#### **Mark Pennings**

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com