

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Mar-24	Mar-25	bps	%CHG	Mar-24	Mar-25	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	90.9%	91.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,395	\$1,496	7.2%
AK - Outlying	91.6%	84.9%	-670	-7.3%	\$1,568	\$1,645	4.9%
Alaska Average	91.1%	90.0%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,437	\$1,532	6.6%
AL - Birmingham	87.7%	88.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,170	\$1,219	4.2%
AL - Huntsville	83.5%	80.5%	-299	-3.7%	\$1,145	\$1,176	2.7%
AL - Mobile	90.8%	88.5%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,174	\$1,221	3.9%
AL - Montgomery	87.3%	90.5%	320	3.6%	\$977	\$1,019	4.3%
AL - Outlying	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.1%	\$856	\$881	3.0%
Alabama Average	87.1%	86.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,128	\$1,171	3.8%
AR - Little Rock	88.7%	86.4%	-230	-2.6%	\$982	\$1,015	3.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.5%	86.7%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,013	\$1,083	6.9%
AR - Outlying	95.5%	93.8%	-170	-1.8%	\$780	\$835	7.1%
Arkansas Average	89.5%	87.1%	-240	-2.7%	\$977	\$1,027	5.2%
AZ - Flagstaff	90.4%	87.0%	-340	-3.7%	\$1,797	\$1,813	0.8%
AZ - Outlying	94.9%	94.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,154	\$1,174	1.8%
AZ - Phoenix	87.6%	84.7%	-290	-3.4%	\$1,554	\$1,561	0.5%
AZ - Tucson	89.8%	88.1%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,172	\$1,171	0.0%
Arizona Average	87.9%	85.4%	-250	-2.9%	\$1,493	\$1,501	0.6%
CA - Central Coast	93.5%	92.9%	-60	-0.7%	\$2,578	\$2,679	3.9%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.3%	90.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,698	\$2,756	2.2%
CA - Outlying	95.6%	95.6%	0	0.1%	\$1,315	\$1,380	4.9%
CA - Sacramento	92.3%	90.8%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,882	\$1,931	2.6%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.8%	91.1%	-170	-1.8%	\$2,129	\$2,217	4.1%
CA - San Diego	93.0%	90.2%	-280	-3.0%	\$2,682	\$2,765	3.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.7%	89.7%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,842	\$2,975	4.7%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	94.7%	94.6%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,554	\$1,609	3.5%
California Average	92.0%	90.8%	-120	-1.2%	\$2,527	\$2,610	3.3%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	88.3%	83.5%	-480	-5.4%	\$1,821	\$1,807	-0.8%
CO - Outlying	95.1%	91.9%	-319	-3.4%	\$1,759	\$1,854	5.4%
Colorado Average	88.1%	83.6%	-450	-5.1%	\$1,820	\$1,808	-0.7%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.5%	87.3%	-320	-3.5%	\$2,407	\$2,453	1.9%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.7%	79.9%	-479	-5.6%	\$1,976	\$1,940	-1.8%
FL - Gainesville	90.9%	90.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,646	\$1,695	3.0%
FL - Jacksonville	85.8%	83.8%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,465	\$1,504	2.6%
FL - Melbourne	90.6%	84.3%	-630	-7.0%	\$1,637	\$1,723	5.3%
FL - Miami	86.6%	83.1%	-350	-4.0%	\$2,517	\$2,574	2.2%
FL - Orlando	87.4%	86.1%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,747	\$1,769	1.3%
FL - Palm Beach	90.5%	88.2%	-230	-2.6%	\$2,430	\$2,504	3.1%
FL - Pensacola	88.0%	82.3%	-570	-6.4%	\$1,530	\$1,579	3.2%
FL - Tallahassee	89.7%	89.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,360	\$1,440	5.9%
FL - Tampa	88.0%	84.4%	-360	-4.2%	\$1,786	\$1,844	3.3%
Florida Average	87.8%	85.0%	-280	-3.2%	\$1,902	\$1,944	2.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	90.3%	88.5%	-180	-1.9%	\$999	\$1,022	2.3%
GA - Atlanta	87.3%	84.1%	-320	-3.7%	\$1,600	\$1,631	1.9%
GA - Augusta	90.5%	88.9%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,141	\$1,224	7.3%
GA - Columbus	94.9%	89.7%	-519	-5.5%	\$1,138	\$1,197	5.2%
GA - Macon	85.9%	86.2%	30	0.3%	\$1,128	\$1,154	2.3%
GA - Outlying	88.0%	94.4%	639	7.3%	\$1,350	\$1,671	23.8%
GA - Savannah	87.3%	81.5%	-580	-6.6%	\$1,614	\$1,695	5.1%
Georgia Average	87.8%	84.4%	-340	-3.9%	\$1,537	\$1,577	2.6%
IA - Des Moines	89.9%	87.8%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,109	\$1,159	4.6%
IA - Outlying	93.8%	95.3%	150	1.6%	\$1,033	\$1,086	5.2%
Iowa Average	90.2%	88.4%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,103	\$1,154	4.6%
ID - Boise	83.5%	82.2%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,523	\$1,584	4.0%
ID - Outlying	94.6%	95.1%	50	0.5%	\$938	\$966	2.9%
Idaho Average	83.1%	82.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,497	\$1,558	4.0%
IL - Chicago	92.8%	91.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,934	\$2,068	6.9%
IL - Moline	90.9%	89.0%	-190	-2.1%	\$936	\$1,003	7.1%
IL - Outlying	85.2%	97.3%	1210	14.2%	\$792	\$800	1.0%
IL - Springfield	91.6%	94.6%	299	3.4%	\$1,011	\$1,065	5.3%
Illinois Average	92.6%	91.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,812	\$1,938	6.9%
IN - Evansville	94.8%	94.9%	10	0.1%	\$971	\$997	2.7%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.6%	94.6%	0	0.0%	\$1,031	\$1,089	5.6%
IN - Indianapolis	91.2%	90.2%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,245	\$1,306	4.9%
IN - Outlying	99.7%	98.1%	-160	-1.6%	\$926	\$1,026	10.9%
IN - South Bend	91.6%	93.6%	200	2.1%	\$1,148	\$1,233	7.4%
Indiana Average	91.7%	91.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,198	\$1,260	5.2%
KS - Outlying	99.0%	97.3%	-170	-1.7%	\$688	\$727	5.7%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.5%	\$936	\$1,011	8.0%
KS - Wichita	91.0%	90.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$889	\$912	2.6%
Kansas Average	92.4%	92.7%	30	0.3%	\$903	\$948	5.0%
KY - Lexington	93.3%	89.2%	-410	-4.4%	\$1,119	\$1,219	9.0%
KY - Louisville	91.4%	88.9%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,200	\$1,248	4.0%
KY - Outlying	96.3%	90.9%	-539	-5.6%	\$780	\$858	10.0%
Kentucky Average	92.0%	89.0%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,166	\$1,230	5.5%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.7%	88.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,116	\$1,163	4.2%
LA - Lake Charles	84.3%	87.4%	310	3.6%	\$984	\$996	1.2%
LA - Monroe	88.1%	91.3%	320	3.7%	\$919	\$929	1.1%
LA - New Orleans	89.4%	89.1%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,226	\$1,267	3.4%
LA - Outlying	89.4%	87.5%	-190	-2.2%	\$860	\$894	3.9%
LA - Shreveport	90.1%	91.8%	170	1.8%	\$983	\$1,034	5.1%
Louisiana Average	88.4%	89.3%	90	1.0%	\$1,117	\$1,160	3.8%
MA - Boston	91.3%	89.6%	-170	-1.9%	\$2,729	\$2,857	4.7%
MA - Springfield	95.2%	97.4%	220	2.3%	\$1,675	\$1,735	3.6%

# MULTIFAMILY DATA SOLUTIONS

Reliable multifamily data you can trust

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

## Trusted Experience

We're more than a data provider; we are a trusted partner to thousands of multifamily professionals across the country who utilize our data and services daily.



Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/data-services](http://alndata.co/data-services) to learn more about our services

## ✓ Accurate, Reliable Data

Our in-house research experts provide accurate data on over 300 data points per property like rent, occupancy, amenities, and more

## ✓ Informed Decision-Making

By analyzing our current data, historical data, and market trends, you can stay informed on the factors that drive business

## ✓ Must-Have Features

Build valuable and insightful custom market survey reports and have them delivered to your inbox when you need them

## CONTACT US

**ALN Apartment Data**

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

800-643-6416 x 3

[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	91.4%	89.8%	-160	-1.8%	\$2,688	\$2,815	4.7%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,652	\$1,730	4.7%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	97.4%	97.8%	40	0.4%	\$1,452	\$1,507	3.8%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	92.0%	92.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,647	\$1,725	4.7%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	86.8%	84.7%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,937	\$2,025	4.6%
<b>Maine Average</b>	86.8%	84.7%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,937	\$2,025	4.6%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	92.0%	93.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,284	\$1,358	5.7%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	92.9%	91.6%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,268	\$1,355	6.9%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	92.3%	94.2%	189	2.0%	\$1,091	\$1,140	4.5%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	92.0%	92.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,273	\$1,348	5.9%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	90.0%	88.1%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,492	\$1,555	4.2%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	96.3%	88.4%	-789	-8.2%	\$1,252	\$1,316	5.1%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	90.1%	88.1%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,485	\$1,547	4.2%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	94.8%	94.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,043	\$1,080	3.6%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	90.7%	89.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,275	\$1,376	7.9%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	86.0%	67.0%	-1899	-22.1%	\$684	\$856	25.1%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	93.2%	92.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$919	\$980	6.7%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	89.0%	89.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,278	\$1,345	5.2%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	90.2%	89.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,243	\$1,328	6.8%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	91.8%	92.6%	80	0.8%	\$1,057	\$1,126	6.5%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	88.4%	89.3%	90	1.0%	\$1,103	\$1,156	4.8%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	92.4%	93.6%	120	1.3%	\$1,058	\$1,120	5.9%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	90.4%	91.2%	80	0.9%	\$1,079	\$1,139	5.5%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	94.1%	85.1%	-899	-9.6%	\$1,641	\$1,626	-0.9%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	87.7%	82.5%	-520	-5.9%	\$1,541	\$1,597	3.7%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	90.4%	89.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,223	\$1,269	3.8%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	91.7%	91.4%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,198	\$1,227	2.4%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	98.3%	82.5%	-1580	-16.1%	\$1,307	\$1,470	12.5%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	87.7%	85.3%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,482	\$1,513	2.1%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	83.7%	82.5%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,410	\$1,466	4.0%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	88.2%	85.0%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,454	\$1,499	3.1%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	95.7%	96.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,111	\$1,195	7.6%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	89.2%	89.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,009	\$1,041	3.1%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	91.1%	92.9%	180	1.9%	\$1,135	\$1,194	5.1%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	91.3%	91.9%	60	0.7%	\$1,070	\$1,119	4.5%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	85.0%	90.3%	530	6.2%	\$1,144	\$1,198	4.8%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	91.6%	92.1%	50	0.5%	\$1,195	\$1,266	5.9%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	92.9%	93.6%	70	0.8%	\$867	\$980	13.0%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	90.0%	91.5%	150	1.7%	\$1,176	\$1,243	5.7%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	90.6%	87.3%	-330	-3.6%	\$1,339	\$1,406	5.0%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	95.7%	95.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,292	\$1,374	6.3%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	90.9%	88.0%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,335	\$1,403	5.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	88.6%	89.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,444	\$1,490	3.2%
NV - Outlying	96.0%	92.7%	-329	-3.4%	\$1,232	\$1,267	2.9%
NV - Reno	87.7%	88.7%	100	1.2%	\$1,578	\$1,646	4.4%
Nevada Average	88.4%	89.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,467	\$1,516	3.4%
NY - Albany	91.4%	90.8%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,541	\$1,607	4.3%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.0%	92.7%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,326	\$1,425	7.4%
NY - New York City	86.8%	83.1%	-370	-4.3%	\$3,112	\$3,246	4.3%
NY - Outlying	93.7%	90.3%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,214	\$1,222	0.7%
New York Average	87.7%	84.5%	-320	-3.6%	\$2,779	\$2,912	4.8%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,269	\$1,335	5.2%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	91.2%	92.0%	80	0.8%	\$1,158	\$1,223	5.6%
OH - Columbus	91.2%	89.4%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,287	\$1,360	5.7%
OH - Outlying	99.3%	96.1%	70	0.8%	\$849	\$880	3.7%
OH - Toledo	94.4%	93.7%	-69	-0.8%	\$937	\$980	4.6%
Ohio Average	91.3%	91.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,222	\$1,290	5.6%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.1%	90.9%	180	2.0%	\$963	\$1,008	4.7%
OK - Outlying	88.8%	88.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$829	\$877	5.8%
OK - Tulsa	90.8%	90.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$981	\$1,027	4.7%
Oklahoma Average	89.9%	90.4%	50	0.6%	\$967	\$1,013	4.7%
OR - Outlying	93.4%	94.5%	109	1.2%	\$1,359	\$1,422	4.6%
OR - Portland	91.3%	88.6%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,673	\$1,731	3.5%
Oregon Average	91.2%	88.7%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,669	\$1,727	3.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	89.6%	90.4%	80	0.9%	\$1,412	\$1,486	5.3%
PA - Outlying	95.5%	93.4%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,299	\$1,379	6.1%
PA - Philadelphia	91.6%	89.8%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,761	\$1,838	4.4%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.6%	92.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,372	\$1,458	6.3%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.5%	97.6%	10	0.1%	\$1,353	\$1,459	7.8%
Pennsylvania Average	91.6%	90.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,657	\$1,736	4.8%
RI - Providence	95.5%	94.8%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,967	\$2,104	7.0%
Rhode Island Average	95.5%	94.8%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,967	\$2,104	7.0%
SC - Charleston	87.4%	84.0%	-340	-3.9%	\$1,766	\$1,817	2.9%
SC - Columbia	89.2%	89.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,249	\$1,330	6.5%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.5%	88.3%	280	3.3%	\$1,313	\$1,381	5.2%
SC - Myrtle Beach	72.5%	72.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,537	\$1,590	3.4%
SC - Outlying	90.3%	93.7%	340	3.8%	\$1,213	\$1,261	4.0%
South Carolina Average	86.1%	85.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,468	\$1,537	4.7%
SD - Outlying	98.9%	97.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$996	\$1,031	3.5%
SD - Rapid City	68.5%	87.1%	1859	27.2%	\$1,256	\$1,261	0.4%
SD - Sioux Falls	90.5%	89.2%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,062	\$1,074	1.1%
South Dakota Average	85.7%	89.6%	390	4.5%	\$1,099	\$1,111	1.1%
TN - Chattanooga	85.9%	82.3%	-360	-4.2%	\$1,337	\$1,398	4.6%
TN - Knoxville	93.4%	92.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,443	\$1,494	3.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	89.1%	87.5%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,159	\$1,186	2.4%
TN - Nashville	86.7%	85.0%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,589	\$1,632	2.7%
TN - Outlying	96.7%	96.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,104	\$1,156	4.7%
Tennessee Average	88.1%	86.3%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,425	\$1,473	3.3%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.2%	86.7%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,507	\$1,522	1.0%
TX - Greater Dallas	89.1%	87.3%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,556	\$1,568	0.8%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	86.6%	87.1%	50	0.6%	\$1,400	\$1,420	1.4%
TX - Abilene	91.7%	95.1%	339	3.7%	\$956	\$1,031	7.8%
TX - Amarillo	87.1%	89.1%	200	2.3%	\$927	\$949	2.4%
TX - Austin	83.7%	82.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,562	\$1,509	-3.4%
TX - Beaumont	90.0%	91.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,024	\$1,060	3.5%
TX - College Station	91.8%	92.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,494	\$1,563	4.6%
TX - Corpus Christi	86.7%	88.3%	160	1.9%	\$1,126	\$1,164	3.4%
TX - El Paso	93.3%	89.6%	-370	-3.9%	\$1,072	\$1,093	2.0%
TX - Houston	88.3%	87.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,311	\$1,344	2.5%
TX - Laredo	94.0%	94.5%	50	0.6%	\$1,110	\$1,169	5.4%
TX - Longview/Tyler	87.8%	87.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,090	\$1,123	3.0%
TX - Lubbock	87.1%	86.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$916	\$925	0.9%
TX - Lufkin	90.0%	88.6%	-140	-1.6%	\$919	\$960	4.5%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.2%	92.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,353	\$1,407	4.0%
TX - Outlying	91.3%	93.4%	210	2.2%	\$1,015	\$1,038	2.2%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.4%	89.1%	-330	-3.5%	\$971	\$997	2.6%
TX - San Angelo	90.7%	91.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,024	\$1,060	3.5%
TX - San Antonio	86.9%	83.6%	-330	-3.7%	\$1,217	\$1,246	2.3%
TX - Texarkana	88.3%	89.2%	90	1.0%	\$881	\$905	2.8%
TX - Victoria	90.6%	94.9%	429	4.7%	\$1,015	\$1,060	4.5%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	88.1%	85.5%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,135	\$1,156	1.8%
TX - Wichita Falls	86.0%	84.7%	-130	-1.4%	\$838	\$866	3.4%
Texas Average	87.7%	86.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,375	\$1,392	1.2%
UT - Outlying	76.3%	86.3%	999	13.1%	\$1,507	\$1,580	4.8%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.7%	84.5%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,534	\$1,550	1.1%
Utah Average	86.4%	84.4%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,533	\$1,551	1.2%
VA - Norfolk	92.9%	93.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,498	\$1,566	4.5%
VA - Outlying	92.1%	100.0%	789	8.6%	\$1,271	\$1,238	-2.6%
VA - Richmond	90.4%	89.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,511	\$1,609	6.4%
VA - Roanoke	95.2%	94.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,208	\$1,255	4.0%
Virginia Average	92.0%	91.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,478	\$1,558	5.4%
WA - Outlying	93.5%	83.4%	-1010	-10.9%	\$1,114	\$1,209	8.5%
WA - SE Washington	91.1%	93.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,368	\$1,425	4.2%
WA - Seattle	91.2%	89.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$2,080	\$2,133	2.6%
WA - Spokane	89.2%	88.1%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,384	\$1,408	1.8%
Washington Average	90.9%	89.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,984	\$2,034	2.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh</b>	90.7%	91.7%	100	1.1%	\$1,008	\$1,077	6.8%
<b>WI - Madison</b>	93.3%	87.5%	-580	-6.2%	\$1,477	\$1,544	4.5%
<b>WI - Milwaukee</b>	92.2%	90.0%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,428	\$1,530	7.1%
<b>WI - Outlying</b>	80.5%	70.4%	-1010	-12.6%	\$1,101	\$1,161	5.4%
<b>Wisconsin Average</b>	91.8%	88.3%	-350	-3.9%	\$1,361	\$1,445	6.1%
<b>WV - Charleston</b>	91.8%	96.3%	449	5.0%	\$1,036	\$1,077	3.9%
<b>WV - Outlying</b>	97.6%	97.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,015	\$1,082	6.6%
<b>West Virginia Average</b>	92.5%	96.8%	429	4.6%	\$1,032	\$1,078	4.5%
<b>CT - Hartford</b>	94.1%	91.0%	-309	-3.2%	\$1,794	\$1,879	4.7%
<b>DC - Washington</b>	91.6%	89.5%	-210	-2.2%	\$2,159	\$2,277	5.5%
<b>DE - Outlying</b>	90.4%	84.7%	-570	-6.3%	\$1,644	\$1,737	5.7%
<b>HI - State of Hawaii</b>	93.4%	95.5%	209	2.3%	\$2,253	\$2,387	6.0%
<b>MT - Outlying</b>	78.0%	81.9%	389	5.1%	\$1,530	\$1,664	8.8%
<b>NH - Concord</b>	83.1%	79.1%	-399	-4.9%	\$1,822	\$1,850	1.5%
<b>VT - Outlying</b>	99.7%	61.9%	-3780	-37.9%	\$1,865	\$2,002	7.4%
<b>WY - Outlying</b>	93.5%	93.8%	29	0.4%	\$1,243	\$1,282	3.2%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)