

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Aug-23	Aug-24	bps	%CHG	Aug-23	Aug-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.8%	92.5%	-329	-3.4%	\$1,430	\$1,461	2.2%
AK - Outlying	94.5%	95.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,576	\$1,591	0.9%
Alaska Average	95.6%	93.0%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,465	\$1,492	1.9%
AL - Birmingham	88.6%	88.6%	0	0.1%	\$1,199	\$1,189	-0.8%
AL - Huntsville	86.8%	81.0%	-579	-6.7%	\$1,144	\$1,182	3.4%
AL - Mobile	93.8%	89.5%	-429	-4.6%	\$1,199	\$1,228	2.4%
AL - Montgomery	89.5%	88.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$988	\$1,014	2.7%
AL - Outlying	92.8%	95.6%	279	3.1%	\$847	\$874	3.2%
Alabama Average	89.2%	86.6%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,144	\$1,160	1.4%
AR - Little Rock	87.2%	89.2%	200	2.3%	\$978	\$1,008	3.1%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	93.4%	89.5%	-390	-4.2%	\$993	\$1,036	4.4%
AR - Outlying	94.4%	93.4%	-99	-1.1%	\$751	\$835	11.2%
Arkansas Average	90.3%	89.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$965	\$1,004	4.1%
AZ - Flagstaff	92.4%	90.8%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,842	\$1,843	0.0%
AZ - Outlying	92.8%	95.0%	219	2.4%	\$1,133	\$1,168	3.1%
AZ - Phoenix	87.9%	87.3%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,605	\$1,556	-3.0%
AZ - Tucson	90.9%	88.8%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,198	\$1,185	-1.1%
Arizona Average	88.3%	87.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,538	\$1,498	-2.6%
CA - Central Coast	94.7%	92.9%	-179	-1.8%	\$2,559	\$2,640	3.2%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.7%	91.1%	40	0.5%	\$2,715	\$2,734	0.7%
CA - Outlying	94.9%	94.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,271	\$1,350	6.3%
CA - Sacramento	92.4%	93.2%	80	0.9%	\$1,894	\$1,927	1.7%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.5%	93.8%	129	1.4%	\$2,171	\$2,178	0.3%
CA - San Diego	94.8%	92.6%	-219	-2.3%	\$2,732	\$2,719	-0.5%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.2%	90.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,899	\$2,904	0.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	94.9%	95.0%	10	0.0%	\$1,543	\$1,592	3.2%
California Average	92.1%	92.1%	0	-0.1%	\$2,557	\$2,574	0.7%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	89.9%	86.2%	-370	-4.0%	\$1,858	\$1,853	-0.2%
CO - Outlying	95.9%	88.7%	-719	-7.4%	\$1,753	\$1,852	5.6%
Colorado Average	89.7%	86.3%	-340	-3.8%	\$1,856	\$1,853	-0.1%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.8%	88.3%	-250	-2.7%	\$2,414	\$2,423	0.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	83.8%	76.6%	-719	-8.6%	\$2,020	\$1,937	-4.1%
FL - Gainesville	89.3%	89.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,643	\$1,663	1.2%
FL - Jacksonville	87.7%	83.3%	-440	-5.1%	\$1,510	\$1,461	-3.2%
FL - Melbourne	89.4%	89.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,683	\$1,666	-1.0%
FL - Miami	87.0%	85.5%	-150	-1.7%	\$2,475	\$2,541	2.6%
FL - Orlando	88.1%	87.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,796	\$1,757	-2.2%
FL - Palm Beach	90.0%	90.6%	60	0.7%	\$2,420	\$2,451	1.3%
FL - Pensacola	87.9%	87.5%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,564	\$1,575	0.7%
FL - Tallahassee	91.2%	89.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,346	\$1,402	4.1%
FL - Tampa	89.7%	86.6%	-310	-3.5%	\$1,815	\$1,781	-1.9%
Florida Average	88.7%	86.6%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,927	\$1,910	-0.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	87.0%	92.3%	530	6.1%	\$968	\$997	3.0%
GA - Atlanta	89.0%	85.5%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,652	\$1,604	-2.9%
GA - Augusta	88.1%	89.4%	130	1.5%	\$1,150	\$1,159	0.8%
GA - Columbus	94.4%	91.3%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,138	\$1,179	3.6%
GA - Macon	88.1%	87.2%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,155	\$1,146	-0.8%
GA - Outlying	95.6%	89.3%	-629	-6.6%	\$1,508	\$1,419	-5.9%
GA - Savannah	88.7%	84.0%	-470	-5.3%	\$1,577	\$1,674	6.2%
Georgia Average	89.0%	86.0%	-300	-3.4%	\$1,578	\$1,551	-1.7%
IA - Des Moines	92.1%	89.8%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,104	\$1,148	4.0%
IA - Outlying	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,021	\$1,055	3.3%
Iowa Average	92.1%	90.0%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,097	\$1,140	3.9%
ID - Boise	86.0%	83.1%	-290	-3.4%	\$1,548	\$1,562	0.9%
ID - Outlying	93.4%	94.4%	99	1.1%	\$918	\$929	1.2%
Idaho Average	86.3%	83.3%	-300	-3.5%	\$1,517	\$1,537	1.3%
IL - Chicago	93.2%	92.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,935	\$1,996	3.2%
IL - Moline	94.7%	88.7%	-599	-6.3%	\$910	\$983	8.0%
IL - Outlying	89.9%	86.5%	-340	-3.8%	\$683	\$697	2.1%
IL - Springfield	92.3%	94.5%	219	2.3%	\$1,009	\$1,055	4.5%
Illinois Average	93.2%	92.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,812	\$1,874	3.4%
IN - Evansville	93.3%	95.6%	229	2.5%	\$930	\$989	6.4%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.7%	94.7%	99	1.1%	\$1,028	\$1,066	3.7%
IN - Indianapolis	92.2%	90.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,223	\$1,274	4.1%
IN - Outlying	97.2%	98.6%	140	1.4%	\$950	\$987	3.9%
IN - South Bend	93.7%	93.6%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,145	\$1,170	2.2%
Indiana Average	92.5%	91.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,179	\$1,227	4.1%
KS - Outlying	97.9%	97.9%	0	0.0%	\$684	\$706	3.2%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.7%	93.8%	109	1.2%	\$920	\$964	4.8%
KS - Wichita	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.1%	\$873	\$908	4.0%
Kansas Average	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$888	\$925	4.2%
KY - Lexington	94.5%	90.6%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,124	\$1,182	5.1%
KY - Louisville	91.9%	90.4%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,173	\$1,231	4.9%
KY - Outlying	97.2%	93.8%	-340	-3.5%	\$752	\$806	7.2%
Kentucky Average	92.7%	90.5%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,148	\$1,206	5.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.6%	88.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,141	\$1,142	0.1%
LA - Lake Charles	88.4%	89.6%	120	1.3%	\$996	\$1,025	2.8%
LA - Monroe	92.4%	93.0%	60	0.6%	\$926	\$945	2.0%
LA - New Orleans	90.1%	89.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,241	\$1,256	1.2%
LA - Outlying	88.2%	85.9%	-230	-2.7%	\$851	\$871	2.4%
LA - Shreveport	89.8%	90.0%	20	0.3%	\$992	\$1,008	1.6%
Louisiana Average	89.4%	89.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,133	\$1,145	1.0%
MA - Boston	91.3%	91.4%	10	0.1%	\$2,736	\$2,802	2.4%
MA - Springfield	96.4%	96.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,627	\$1,692	4.0%

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

**NATIONWIDE**  
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,  
RELIABLE  
DATA**

**DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE**

## Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

**Thousands** of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

### Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

### What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

### How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



## LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/our-services](http://alndata.co/our-services) to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data  
[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)  
800-643-6416 x 3  
[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	91.2%	91.6%	40	0.5%	\$2,693	\$2,761	2.5%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	92.0%	91.6%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,654	\$1,702	2.9%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	87.6%	92.3%	470	5.4%	\$1,433	\$1,486	3.6%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	91.9%	91.6%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,649	\$1,697	2.9%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	92.8%	88.0%	-480	-5.1%	\$1,914	\$1,968	2.8%
<b>Maine Average</b>	92.8%	88.0%	-480	-5.1%	\$1,914	\$1,968	2.8%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	92.6%	93.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,280	\$1,319	3.1%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	94.4%	92.0%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,252	\$1,311	4.7%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	95.4%	95.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,052	\$1,117	6.2%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	93.0%	92.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,265	\$1,309	3.5%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	91.8%	90.3%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,485	\$1,518	2.2%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	96.9%	74.3%	-2260	-23.4%	\$1,243	\$1,318	6.0%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	91.7%	90.0%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,479	\$1,511	2.2%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	97.0%	96.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$956	\$1,058	10.7%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	91.9%	91.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,276	\$1,326	3.9%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	73.5%	91.8%	1830	24.9%	\$667	\$698	4.7%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	95.6%	92.7%	-289	-3.0%	\$915	\$951	3.9%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	89.9%	90.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,270	\$1,310	3.2%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	91.3%	90.7%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,240	\$1,286	3.7%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	93.5%	93.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,036	\$1,091	5.3%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	90.8%	88.6%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,113	\$1,139	2.3%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	92.8%	92.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,033	\$1,084	4.9%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	92.1%	90.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,072	\$1,112	3.7%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	92.1%	93.5%	140	1.5%	\$1,636	\$1,667	1.9%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	89.0%	85.1%	-390	-4.4%	\$1,597	\$1,559	-2.4%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	93.0%	91.0%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,237	\$1,246	0.7%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	91.0%	92.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,196	\$1,235	3.2%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	90.7%	98.2%	749	8.3%	\$1,234	\$1,387	12.4%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	88.6%	86.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,536	\$1,506	-1.9%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	88.7%	84.6%	-410	-4.5%	\$1,454	\$1,443	-0.8%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	89.3%	87.1%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,497	\$1,480	-1.2%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	94.6%	96.6%	200	2.1%	\$1,078	\$1,148	6.5%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	93.1%	90.9%	-220	-2.4%	\$966	\$1,006	4.1%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	93.7%	92.1%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,072	\$1,151	7.4%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	93.7%	92.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,023	\$1,079	5.5%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	91.2%	88.8%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,118	\$1,180	5.6%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	90.1%	92.3%	220	2.5%	\$1,200	\$1,243	3.6%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	96.0%	98.7%	270	2.9%	\$851	\$910	7.0%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	90.0%	91.7%	170	1.9%	\$1,173	\$1,221	4.1%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	92.4%	89.5%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,351	\$1,378	2.0%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	92.9%	93.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,254	\$1,310	4.5%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	92.4%	89.8%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,341	\$1,371	2.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	89.2%	89.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,471	\$1,463	-0.6%
NV - Outlying	94.4%	95.2%	80	0.9%	\$1,200	\$1,253	4.4%
NV - Reno	88.0%	88.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,581	\$1,618	2.4%
Nevada Average	88.8%	89.0%	20	0.3%	\$1,488	\$1,489	0.1%
NY - Albany	93.7%	89.0%	-470	-5.1%	\$1,523	\$1,583	4.0%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.3%	93.5%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,284	\$1,369	6.6%
NY - New York City	86.8%	84.8%	-200	-2.3%	\$3,099	\$3,196	3.1%
NY - Outlying	91.7%	91.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,218	\$1,229	0.9%
New York Average	88.4%	84.6%	-380	-4.3%	\$2,767	\$2,856	3.2%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.0%	91.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,255	\$1,312	4.5%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.6%	91.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,141	\$1,205	5.6%
OH - Columbus	92.4%	90.2%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,276	\$1,328	4.1%
OH - Outlying	99.0%	99.7%	70	0.6%	\$823	\$850	3.2%
OH - Toledo	94.4%	93.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$938	\$964	2.8%
Ohio Average	92.4%	91.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,209	\$1,265	4.6%
OK - Oklahoma City	88.7%	89.4%	70	0.8%	\$962	\$996	3.6%
OK - Outlying	87.6%	92.0%	440	5.0%	\$823	\$856	4.0%
OK - Tulsa	93.0%	91.8%	-120	-1.3%	\$957	\$1,003	4.8%
Oklahoma Average	90.4%	90.5%	10	0.1%	\$957	\$996	4.1%
OR - Outlying	74.0%	97.1%	2310	31.4%	\$1,355	\$1,377	1.6%
OR - Portland	91.9%	91.7%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,715	\$1,721	0.3%
Oregon Average	91.8%	91.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,710	\$1,715	0.3%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	91.1%	90.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,393	\$1,453	4.3%
PA - Outlying	97.7%	98.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,275	\$1,351	6.0%
PA - Philadelphia	92.5%	91.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,759	\$1,803	2.5%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.6%	92.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,381	\$1,424	3.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.8%	96.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,328	\$1,360	2.4%
Pennsylvania Average	92.4%	91.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,654	\$1,700	2.8%
RI - Providence	96.8%	94.2%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,971	\$2,036	3.3%
Rhode Island Average	96.8%	94.2%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,971	\$2,036	3.3%
SC - Charleston	88.5%	88.9%	40	0.5%	\$1,793	\$1,799	0.3%
SC - Columbia	89.9%	89.2%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,256	\$1,285	2.3%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.3%	84.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,336	\$1,332	-0.3%
SC - Myrtle Beach	78.8%	70.7%	-810	-10.2%	\$1,588	\$1,550	-2.4%
SC - Outlying	95.1%	92.3%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,241	\$1,214	-2.1%
South Carolina Average	86.8%	86.0%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,487	\$1,495	0.5%
SD - Outlying	97.9%	98.6%	70	0.8%	\$1,002	\$1,023	2.1%
SD - Rapid City	73.1%	77.8%	470	6.3%	\$1,281	\$1,285	0.3%
SD - Sioux Falls	87.2%	89.3%	210	2.5%	\$1,082	\$1,089	0.7%
South Dakota Average	84.4%	87.1%	270	3.2%	\$1,117	\$1,126	0.8%
TN - Chattanooga	88.0%	85.5%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,379	\$1,381	0.2%
TN - Knoxville	94.3%	93.2%	-109	-1.1%	\$1,423	\$1,482	4.2%



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.3%	89.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,172	\$1,177	0.4%
TN - Nashville	88.3%	86.4%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,630	\$1,609	-1.3%
TN - Outlying	95.2%	94.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,035	\$1,129	9.0%
Tennessee Average	89.6%	88.2%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,448	\$1,453	0.4%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	89.7%	88.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,549	\$1,514	-2.3%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.4%	89.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,603	\$1,560	-2.6%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	88.7%	87.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,429	\$1,408	-1.5%
TX - Abilene	89.6%	89.6%	0	0.0%	\$964	\$1,008	4.6%
TX - Amarillo	90.3%	90.2%	-10	-0.2%	\$922	\$929	0.7%
TX - Austin	86.8%	83.9%	-290	-3.3%	\$1,655	\$1,531	-7.5%
TX - Beaumont	89.8%	87.9%	-190	-2.2%	\$994	\$1,029	3.5%
TX - College Station	87.6%	89.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,441	\$1,527	6.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	88.8%	85.8%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,139	\$1,152	1.1%
TX - El Paso	94.1%	92.8%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,053	\$1,095	3.9%
TX - Houston	89.5%	88.2%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,324	\$1,328	0.3%
TX - Laredo	95.8%	94.1%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,110	\$1,129	1.7%
TX - Longview/Tyler	89.7%	89.3%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,118	\$1,096	-2.0%
TX - Lubbock	89.8%	86.8%	-300	-3.3%	\$915	\$912	-0.4%
TX - Lufkin	88.2%	88.5%	30	0.4%	\$908	\$939	3.4%
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.4%	92.8%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,355	\$1,394	2.9%
TX - Outlying	90.9%	94.2%	329	3.6%	\$958	\$1,008	5.2%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.4%	89.9%	-250	-2.7%	\$992	\$999	0.8%
TX - San Angelo	92.4%	93.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,024	\$1,032	0.8%
TX - San Antonio	88.7%	86.2%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,259	\$1,230	-2.3%
TX - Texarkana	89.8%	88.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$875	\$887	1.3%
TX - Victoria	89.3%	92.7%	340	3.8%	\$995	\$1,024	2.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	91.0%	85.8%	-520	-5.7%	\$1,130	\$1,131	0.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.4%	85.4%	-500	-5.6%	\$848	\$864	1.9%
Texas Average	89.3%	87.6%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,408	\$1,383	-1.8%
UT - Outlying	83.2%	82.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,570	\$1,545	-1.6%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.9%	87.6%	70	0.8%	\$1,578	\$1,548	-1.9%
Utah Average	86.7%	87.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,577	\$1,548	-1.8%
VA - Norfolk	93.5%	92.6%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,483	\$1,536	3.5%
VA - Richmond	91.2%	90.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,518	\$1,558	2.6%
VA - Roanoke	96.3%	93.7%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,196	\$1,243	4.0%
Virginia Average	92.6%	91.7%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,474	\$1,520	3.1%
WA - Outlying	87.8%	89.2%	140	1.6%	\$1,092	\$1,219	11.7%
WA - SE Washington	91.4%	92.9%	150	1.6%	\$1,382	\$1,422	2.9%
WA - Seattle	91.3%	90.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$2,095	\$2,118	1.1%
WA - Spokane	91.6%	88.2%	-340	-3.8%	\$1,403	\$1,411	0.5%
Washington Average	91.3%	90.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$2,000	\$2,022	1.1%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	94.0%	90.6%	-339	-3.6%	\$968	\$1,041	7.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>WI - Madison</b>	87.4%	91.7%	430	4.9%	\$1,418	\$1,501	5.8%
<b>WI - Milwaukee</b>	93.8%	92.0%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,409	\$1,482	5.1%
<b>WI - Outlying</b>	88.9%	74.0%	-1490	-16.7%	\$1,013	\$1,138	12.4%
<b>Wisconsin Average</b>	91.5%	90.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,327	\$1,402	5.6%
<b>WV - Charleston</b>	94.0%	96.8%	280	2.9%	\$1,034	\$1,077	4.2%
<b>WV - Outlying</b>	98.9%	97.8%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,004	\$1,073	6.9%
<b>West Virginia Average</b>	95.0%	97.0%	200	2.1%	\$1,027	\$1,076	4.8%
<b>CT - Hartford</b>	92.7%	92.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,755	\$1,857	5.8%
<b>DC - Washington</b>	92.3%	91.0%	-130	-1.4%	\$2,139	\$2,217	3.6%
<b>DE - Outlying</b>	85.8%	82.6%	-320	-3.8%	\$1,523	\$1,681	10.4%
<b>HI - State of Hawaii</b>	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.4%	\$2,278	\$2,389	4.9%
<b>MT - Outlying</b>	82.6%	80.7%	-189	-2.3%	\$1,585	\$1,708	7.7%
<b>NH - Concord</b>	89.7%	76.9%	-1280	-14.3%	\$1,753	\$1,848	5.4%
<b>VT - Outlying</b>	100.0%	76.1%	-2390	-23.9%	\$1,829	\$1,955	6.9%
<b>WY - Outlying</b>	95.0%	94.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,178	\$1,308	11.0%
<b>National Average</b>	90.3%	89.0%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,710	\$1,725	0.9%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)