

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-23	Oct-24	bps	%CHG	Oct-23	Oct-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	94.4%	91.2%	-319	-3.5%	\$1,429	\$1,482	3.7%
AK - Outlying	92.1%	92.9%	80	0.8%	\$1,552	\$1,580	1.8%
Alaska Average	94.0%	91.5%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,459	\$1,506	3.2%
AL - Birmingham	88.4%	87.1%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,191	\$1,199	0.6%
AL - Huntsville	85.3%	81.3%	-400	-4.7%	\$1,142	\$1,187	3.9%
AL - Mobile	92.4%	89.5%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,191	\$1,220	2.4%
AL - Montgomery	90.3%	89.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$984	\$1,023	4.0%
AL - Outlying	94.5%	95.6%	110	1.2%	\$852	\$887	4.2%
Alabama Average	88.7%	86.1%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,139	\$1,166	2.4%
AR - Little Rock	89.3%	88.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$982	\$1,009	2.7%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.9%	90.1%	-280	-3.1%	\$999	\$1,046	4.6%
AR - Outlying	94.5%	94.8%	30	0.4%	\$756	\$836	10.6%
Arkansas Average	91.3%	89.5%	-180	-1.9%	\$970	\$1,008	4.0%
AZ - Flagstaff	92.1%	89.5%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,840	\$1,811	-1.6%
AZ - Outlying	94.2%	96.4%	220	2.4%	\$1,138	\$1,163	2.2%
AZ - Phoenix	87.7%	85.3%	-240	-2.8%	\$1,587	\$1,555	-2.0%
AZ - Tucson	91.2%	88.2%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,202	\$1,180	-1.8%
Arizona Average	88.3%	86.0%	-230	-2.7%	\$1,524	\$1,496	-1.8%
CA - Central Coast	95.6%	93.3%	-229	-2.5%	\$2,550	\$2,641	3.6%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.8%	91.2%	40	0.4%	\$2,719	\$2,743	0.9%
CA - Outlying	96.7%	95.0%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,293	\$1,368	5.8%
CA - Sacramento	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,884	\$1,928	2.3%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.9%	92.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,148	\$2,191	2.0%
CA - San Diego	94.4%	91.8%	-259	-2.8%	\$2,717	\$2,757	1.5%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.4%	89.7%	-170	-1.8%	\$2,870	\$2,912	1.5%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.0%	94.8%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,552	\$1,596	2.9%
California Average	92.2%	91.4%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,547	\$2,585	1.5%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	89.5%	85.6%	-390	-4.4%	\$1,841	\$1,829	-0.6%
CO - Outlying	95.4%	88.9%	-649	-6.9%	\$1,760	\$1,874	6.5%
Colorado Average	89.3%	85.6%	-370	-4.2%	\$1,840	\$1,830	-0.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	91.0%	87.2%	-380	-4.1%	\$2,399	\$2,418	0.8%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	83.2%	75.9%	-729	-8.7%	\$2,010	\$1,907	-5.1%
FL - Gainesville	89.8%	90.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,629	\$1,660	1.9%
FL - Jacksonville	85.6%	82.4%	-320	-3.7%	\$1,483	\$1,461	-1.5%
FL - Melbourne	87.1%	88.3%	120	1.3%	\$1,658	\$1,683	1.5%
FL - Miami	86.2%	84.3%	-190	-2.2%	\$2,492	\$2,538	1.8%
FL - Orlando	87.7%	86.8%	-90	-1.1%	\$1,768	\$1,747	-1.2%
FL - Palm Beach	90.4%	87.9%	-250	-2.8%	\$2,435	\$2,455	0.8%
FL - Pensacola	87.7%	87.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,559	\$1,574	1.0%
FL - Tallahassee	91.7%	87.5%	-420	-4.6%	\$1,330	\$1,414	6.3%
FL - Tampa	89.3%	84.9%	-440	-4.9%	\$1,798	\$1,782	-0.9%
Florida Average	88.1%	85.5%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,911	\$1,906	-0.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	89.8%	92.9%	310	3.5%	\$972	\$988	1.6%
GA - Atlanta	88.2%	85.3%	-290	-3.3%	\$1,628	\$1,594	-2.0%
GA - Augusta	87.6%	87.6%	0	0.0%	\$1,158	\$1,184	2.2%
GA - Columbus	94.5%	88.8%	-569	-6.1%	\$1,131	\$1,186	4.8%
GA - Macon	83.7%	87.3%	360	4.2%	\$1,149	\$1,149	-0.1%
GA - Outlying	96.1%	94.0%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,535	\$1,646	7.2%
GA - Savannah	88.3%	80.5%	-779	-8.8%	\$1,607	\$1,675	4.2%
Georgia Average	88.3%	85.3%	-300	-3.4%	\$1,561	\$1,544	-1.1%
IA - Des Moines	91.0%	87.2%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,101	\$1,150	4.5%
IA - Outlying	92.4%	94.7%	229	2.5%	\$1,020	\$1,050	2.9%
Iowa Average	91.1%	87.9%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,094	\$1,142	4.4%
ID - Boise	85.7%	82.1%	-360	-4.3%	\$1,541	\$1,561	1.3%
ID - Outlying	95.6%	96.0%	40	0.3%	\$927	\$928	0.1%
Idaho Average	86.2%	82.4%	-380	-4.3%	\$1,512	\$1,536	1.6%
IL - Chicago	93.1%	91.6%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,933	\$2,010	4.0%
IL - Moline	95.6%	87.3%	-829	-8.7%	\$912	\$987	8.2%
IL - Outlying	73.4%	87.9%	1450	19.7%	\$710	\$791	11.3%
IL - Springfield	92.4%	94.8%	239	2.6%	\$1,007	\$1,047	4.0%
Illinois Average	93.1%	91.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,810	\$1,885	4.2%
IN - Evansville	93.5%	95.5%	199	2.1%	\$933	\$994	6.6%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.1%	95.1%	100	1.0%	\$1,033	\$1,072	3.7%
IN - Indianapolis	91.0%	89.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,229	\$1,280	4.2%
IN - Outlying	98.7%	97.6%	-110	-1.1%	\$904	\$1,005	11.2%
IN - South Bend	94.3%	93.5%	-79	-0.9%	\$1,152	\$1,199	4.1%
Indiana Average	91.7%	90.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,184	\$1,235	4.3%
KS - Outlying	96.6%	97.4%	80	0.8%	\$689	\$707	2.7%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.1%	94.1%	99	1.1%	\$926	\$975	5.3%
KS - Wichita	91.3%	91.7%	40	0.5%	\$878	\$905	3.2%
Kansas Average	92.1%	92.3%	20	0.2%	\$893	\$928	4.0%
KY - Lexington	94.4%	90.3%	-409	-4.3%	\$1,131	\$1,190	5.3%
KY - Louisville	90.4%	87.9%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,174	\$1,240	5.7%
KY - Outlying	91.3%	91.5%	20	0.1%	\$760	\$840	10.6%
Kentucky Average	91.6%	88.7%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,151	\$1,216	5.7%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.4%	88.7%	30	0.4%	\$1,134	\$1,150	1.4%
LA - Lake Charles	89.6%	88.2%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,005	\$1,025	2.0%
LA - Monroe	92.5%	94.2%	169	1.8%	\$922	\$938	1.7%
LA - New Orleans	89.4%	88.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,233	\$1,257	1.9%
LA - Outlying	87.0%	85.6%	-140	-1.6%	\$845	\$878	3.9%
LA - Shreveport	89.6%	89.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$992	\$1,021	2.9%
Louisiana Average	89.0%	88.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,128	\$1,150	2.0%
MA - Boston	91.1%	90.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$2,733	\$2,809	2.8%
MA - Springfield	96.5%	96.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,634	\$1,696	3.8%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA**

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	91.3%	90.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,691	\$2,767	2.9%
MD - Baltimore	92.1%	91.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,656	\$1,703	2.8%
MD - Outlying	87.0%	98.1%	1109	12.8%	\$1,457	\$1,501	3.1%
Maryland Average	91.9%	92.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,652	\$1,698	2.8%
ME - Augusta/Portland	92.5%	91.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,907	\$1,962	2.9%
Maine Average	92.5%	91.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,907	\$1,962	2.9%
MI - Detroit	92.6%	93.1%	50	0.5%	\$1,281	\$1,327	3.7%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.1%	91.9%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,263	\$1,319	4.4%
MI - Outlying	95.4%	94.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,069	\$1,125	5.3%
Michigan Average	92.8%	92.7%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,268	\$1,318	3.9%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.0%	88.8%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,486	\$1,526	2.7%
MN - Outlying	92.4%	72.6%	-1980	-21.5%	\$1,250	\$1,315	5.2%
Minnesota Average	91.0%	88.5%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,479	\$1,520	2.7%
MO - Columbia	96.0%	96.5%	50	0.5%	\$964	\$1,052	9.2%
MO - Kansas City	91.3%	90.5%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,284	\$1,338	4.2%
MO - Outlying	75.2%	94.1%	1889	25.2%	\$663	\$702	5.9%
MO - Springfield	93.7%	93.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$913	\$952	4.3%
MO - St. Louis	89.8%	88.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,281	\$1,333	4.0%
Missouri Average	90.7%	90.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,247	\$1,301	4.3%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.1%	92.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,048	\$1,103	5.2%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	91.1%	88.6%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,108	\$1,157	4.4%
MS - Outlying	93.3%	94.4%	109	1.1%	\$1,038	\$1,120	7.9%
Mississippi Average	92.0%	91.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,074	\$1,133	5.5%
NC - Asheville	92.2%	89.9%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,642	\$1,667	1.5%
NC - Charlotte	88.2%	84.0%	-420	-4.8%	\$1,580	\$1,559	-1.3%
NC - Fayetteville	91.3%	90.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,223	\$1,242	1.6%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.4%	91.8%	40	0.4%	\$1,198	\$1,235	3.2%
NC - Outlying	90.7%	97.6%	689	7.6%	\$1,250	\$1,387	11.0%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	87.7%	85.2%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,514	\$1,492	-1.5%
NC - Wilmington	87.7%	81.8%	-590	-6.7%	\$1,445	\$1,442	-0.2%
North Carolina Average	88.7%	85.9%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,483	\$1,475	-0.5%
ND - Bismarck	96.4%	97.4%	100	1.0%	\$1,084	\$1,153	6.4%
ND - Fargo	93.2%	87.6%	-560	-5.9%	\$985	\$1,019	3.4%
ND - Outlying	92.4%	92.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,089	\$1,167	7.2%
North Dakota Average	93.4%	91.5%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,039	\$1,092	5.1%
NE - Lincoln	89.0%	86.4%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,130	\$1,178	4.3%
NE - Omaha	89.8%	91.9%	210	2.3%	\$1,198	\$1,245	4.0%
NE - Outlying	96.0%	97.7%	170	1.8%	\$862	\$907	5.1%
Nebraska Average	89.7%	90.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,175	\$1,223	4.1%
NM - Albuquerque	91.3%	89.6%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,355	\$1,380	1.9%
NM - Outlying	92.4%	93.2%	80	0.8%	\$1,255	\$1,324	5.5%
New Mexico Average	91.4%	89.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,345	\$1,375	2.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	88.6%	89.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,458	\$1,469	0.7%
NV - Outlying	89.1%	93.4%	430	4.9%	\$1,212	\$1,278	5.5%
NV - Reno	84.4%	88.2%	380	4.5%	\$1,584	\$1,622	2.4%
Nevada Average	87.5%	89.0%	150	1.8%	\$1,479	\$1,495	1.1%
NY - Albany	90.2%	89.0%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,538	\$1,582	2.9%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.0%	93.3%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,301	\$1,379	6.0%
NY - New York City	86.3%	82.0%	-430	-5.0%	\$3,099	\$3,215	3.7%
NY - Outlying	90.6%	87.9%	-270	-2.9%	\$1,179	\$1,207	2.4%
New York Average	87.3%	83.3%	-400	-4.6%	\$2,768	\$2,879	4.0%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.5%	91.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,262	\$1,316	4.3%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.5%	91.2%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,141	\$1,213	6.3%
OH - Columbus	91.0%	89.5%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,276	\$1,331	4.3%
OH - Outlying	99.7%	99.7%	0	0.0%	\$827	\$862	4.3%
OH - Toledo	94.6%	94.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$935	\$971	3.8%
Ohio Average	91.7%	90.8%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,211	\$1,270	4.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.1%	89.5%	40	0.4%	\$973	\$1,001	2.8%
OK - Outlying	91.0%	85.7%	-530	-5.8%	\$829	\$882	6.3%
OK - Tulsa	91.6%	91.7%	10	0.2%	\$957	\$1,011	5.6%
Oklahoma Average	90.2%	90.4%	20	0.2%	\$964	\$1,002	4.0%
OR - Outlying	81.4%	93.6%	1220	14.9%	\$1,355	\$1,392	2.7%
OR - Portland	91.0%	89.8%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,698	\$1,729	1.9%
Oregon Average	90.8%	89.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,692	\$1,724	1.9%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	91.7%	88.9%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,400	\$1,459	4.2%
PA - Outlying	97.6%	97.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,292	\$1,353	4.7%
PA - Philadelphia	92.0%	89.6%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,759	\$1,810	2.9%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.1%	93.3%	20	0.3%	\$1,379	\$1,425	3.3%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.1%	96.9%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,325	\$1,370	3.3%
Pennsylvania Average	92.2%	90.2%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,655	\$1,707	3.1%
RI - Providence	96.7%	93.6%	-309	-3.1%	\$1,975	\$2,052	3.9%
Rhode Island Average	96.7%	93.6%	-309	-3.1%	\$1,975	\$2,052	3.9%
SC - Charleston	88.3%	86.3%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,778	\$1,806	1.6%
SC - Columbia	89.7%	90.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,261	\$1,286	2.0%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.5%	84.5%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,335	\$1,341	0.5%
SC - Myrtle Beach	79.0%	70.3%	-870	-11.0%	\$1,562	\$1,556	-0.3%
SC - Outlying	93.2%	95.3%	209	2.2%	\$1,222	\$1,252	2.4%
South Carolina Average	86.8%	85.1%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,482	\$1,506	1.6%
SD - Outlying	98.9%	98.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,005	\$1,026	2.1%
SD - Rapid City	66.9%	76.1%	919	13.8%	\$1,280	\$1,236	-3.4%
SD - Sioux Falls	87.0%	90.0%	300	3.4%	\$1,076	\$1,076	0.0%
South Dakota Average	82.6%	87.1%	450	5.5%	\$1,115	\$1,107	-0.7%
TN - Chattanooga	87.9%	83.6%	-430	-4.9%	\$1,380	\$1,385	0.4%
TN - Knoxville	93.0%	93.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,422	\$1,495	5.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.3%	89.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,166	\$1,176	0.9%
TN - Nashville	88.2%	84.6%	-360	-4.1%	\$1,615	\$1,614	-0.1%
TN - Outlying	96.4%	95.2%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,060	\$1,137	7.2%
Tennessee Average	89.3%	86.8%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,440	\$1,459	1.3%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	89.1%	87.8%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,537	\$1,507	-1.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.1%	88.7%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,590	\$1,554	-2.2%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.7%	87.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,418	\$1,401	-1.2%
TX - Abilene	88.9%	92.6%	370	4.2%	\$957	\$992	3.6%
TX - Amarillo	90.3%	90.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$927	\$947	2.1%
TX - Austin	86.1%	83.8%	-230	-2.7%	\$1,615	\$1,506	-6.7%
TX - Beaumont	91.2%	88.7%	-250	-2.7%	\$995	\$1,041	4.6%
TX - College Station	92.6%	93.3%	70	0.7%	\$1,448	\$1,530	5.7%
TX - Corpus Christi	86.5%	87.3%	80	1.0%	\$1,138	\$1,140	0.2%
TX - El Paso	93.6%	91.8%	-180	-1.8%	\$1,063	\$1,092	2.8%
TX - Houston	89.2%	88.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,318	\$1,327	0.7%
TX - Laredo	94.9%	94.6%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,108	\$1,131	2.1%
TX - Longview/Tyler	89.3%	88.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,100	\$1,100	0.0%
TX - Lubbock	89.9%	87.5%	-240	-2.7%	\$918	\$922	0.5%
TX - Lufkin	87.8%	88.3%	50	0.6%	\$906	\$946	4.4%
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.4%	92.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,365	\$1,403	2.8%
TX - Outlying	90.4%	93.6%	320	3.5%	\$973	\$1,019	4.7%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	93.2%	89.8%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,004	\$994	-1.0%
TX - San Angelo	90.6%	92.8%	220	2.3%	\$1,013	\$1,048	3.4%
TX - San Antonio	88.0%	84.4%	-360	-4.1%	\$1,242	\$1,224	-1.4%
TX - Texarkana	89.3%	87.8%	-150	-1.7%	\$875	\$882	0.8%
TX - Victoria	88.7%	94.2%	549	6.2%	\$992	\$1,029	3.7%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	90.2%	85.7%	-450	-5.0%	\$1,136	\$1,123	-1.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.3%	85.0%	-530	-5.8%	\$856	\$860	0.4%
Texas Average	88.8%	87.3%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,396	\$1,377	-1.3%
UT - Outlying	74.1%	82.6%	849	11.5%	\$1,514	\$1,521	0.5%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.4%	86.3%	-10	0.0%	\$1,563	\$1,550	-0.8%
Utah Average	86.0%	86.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,562	\$1,549	-0.8%
VA - Norfolk	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,493	\$1,544	3.5%
VA - Richmond	90.2%	90.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,510	\$1,569	3.9%
VA - Roanoke	92.7%	93.7%	100	1.0%	\$1,196	\$1,248	4.4%
Virginia Average	91.7%	91.6%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,474	\$1,530	3.8%
WA - Outlying	93.8%	88.7%	-509	-5.4%	\$1,103	\$1,189	7.8%
WA - SE Washington	89.5%	93.3%	380	4.2%	\$1,370	\$1,411	3.0%
WA - Seattle	91.0%	90.5%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,088	\$2,119	1.5%
WA - Spokane	90.3%	88.0%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,393	\$1,417	1.7%
Washington Average	90.9%	90.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,991	\$2,021	1.5%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	91.8%	90.2%	-160	-1.7%	\$977	\$1,046	7.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	88.4%	87.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,436	\$1,515	5.5%
WI - Milwaukee	93.4%	90.3%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,410	\$1,492	5.8%
WI - Outlying	83.3%	70.1%	-1320	-15.9%	\$1,082	\$1,142	5.6%
Wisconsin Average	90.6%	88.0%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,336	\$1,414	5.8%
WV - Charleston	96.0%	91.7%	-429	-4.4%	\$1,057	\$1,098	3.8%
WV - Outlying	96.6%	99.1%	250	2.5%	\$1,004	\$1,087	8.2%
West Virginia Average	96.1%	93.0%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,045	\$1,095	4.8%
CT - Hartford	94.0%	92.1%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,760	\$1,873	6.4%
DC - Washington	92.2%	90.3%	-190	-2.0%	\$2,143	\$2,231	4.1%
DE - Outlying	81.8%	83.9%	210	2.6%	\$1,627	\$1,702	4.6%
HI - State of Hawaii	92.6%	93.5%	90	0.9%	\$2,216	\$2,373	7.1%
MT - Outlying	77.4%	77.6%	20	0.3%	\$1,593	\$1,716	7.8%
NH - Concord	90.2%	77.6%	-1260	-14.0%	\$1,765	\$1,838	4.1%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	76.3%	-2370	-23.7%	\$1,837	\$2,011	9.4%
WY - Outlying	94.8%	94.9%	10	0.0%	\$1,196	\$1,300	8.7%
National Average	89.9%	88.2%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,703	\$1,729	1.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com