

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Apr-23	Apr-24	bps	%CHG	Apr-23	Apr-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.1%	91.7%	-339	-3.6%	\$1,312	\$1,415	7.9%
AK - Outlying	89.8%	92.3%	250	2.8%	\$1,538	\$1,562	1.6%
Alaska Average	93.7%	91.8%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,367	\$1,451	6.2%
AL - Birmingham	91.5%	87.9%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,155	\$1,180	2.1%
AL - Huntsville	87.1%	83.2%	-390	-4.4%	\$1,139	\$1,160	1.8%
AL - Mobile	92.2%	90.9%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,159	\$1,187	2.5%
AL - Montgomery	90.9%	87.1%	-380	-4.2%	\$960	\$988	3.0%
AL - Outlying	92.5%	93.9%	139	1.5%	\$824	\$865	5.0%
Alabama Average	90.4%	87.1%	-330	-3.7%	\$1,114	\$1,140	2.4%
AR - Little Rock	87.1%	90.9%	380	4.4%	\$945	\$996	5.4%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.9%	89.0%	-390	-4.2%	\$968	\$1,015	4.9%
AR - Outlying	95.2%	95.7%	50	0.4%	\$725	\$772	6.5%
Arkansas Average	90.1%	90.4%	30	0.3%	\$935	\$984	5.2%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.5%	90.5%	-399	-4.3%	\$1,783	\$1,832	2.8%
AZ - Outlying	94.1%	95.5%	140	1.4%	\$1,123	\$1,134	1.0%
AZ - Phoenix	89.2%	87.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,603	\$1,555	-3.0%
AZ - Tucson	91.6%	90.1%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,175	\$1,178	0.3%
Arizona Average	89.7%	88.2%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,530	\$1,495	-2.3%
CA - Central Coast	95.6%	92.9%	-269	-2.9%	\$2,517	\$2,593	3.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.5%	91.5%	0	0.0%	\$2,679	\$2,717	1.4%
CA - Outlying	95.9%	96.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,249	\$1,327	6.3%
CA - Sacramento	91.8%	92.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,877	\$1,899	1.1%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.7%	93.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$2,143	\$2,141	-0.1%
CA - San Diego	94.9%	92.7%	-219	-2.4%	\$2,664	\$2,699	1.3%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.4%	90.8%	40	0.5%	\$2,869	\$2,857	-0.4%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.5%	95.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,520	\$1,565	3.0%
California Average	92.4%	92.1%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,521	\$2,545	0.9%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.6%	88.1%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,822	\$1,830	0.4%
CO - Outlying	95.6%	93.1%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,719	\$1,795	4.5%
Colorado Average	90.5%	88.0%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,820	\$1,829	0.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	93.4%	89.1%	-430	-4.5%	\$2,403	\$2,432	1.2%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	89.2%	84.1%	-510	-5.7%	\$2,144	\$1,979	-7.7%
FL - Gainesville	93.2%	92.1%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,633	\$1,654	1.3%
FL - Jacksonville	89.7%	85.2%	-450	-5.0%	\$1,515	\$1,470	-3.0%
FL - Melbourne	89.6%	89.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,694	\$1,649	-2.7%
FL - Miami	88.9%	86.6%	-230	-2.6%	\$2,456	\$2,527	2.9%
FL - Orlando	89.1%	87.6%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,807	\$1,749	-3.2%
FL - Palm Beach	92.1%	92.2%	10	0.1%	\$2,410	\$2,441	1.3%
FL - Pensacola	87.3%	86.9%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,548	\$1,544	-0.3%
FL - Tallahassee	92.7%	89.8%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,320	\$1,369	3.7%
FL - Tampa	90.6%	88.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,808	\$1,786	-1.2%
Florida Average	90.1%	88.0%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,926	\$1,910	-0.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	91.7%	93.8%	209	2.2%	\$940	\$986	4.9%
GA - Atlanta	90.1%	87.7%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,654	\$1,607	-2.9%
GA - Augusta	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,133	\$1,147	1.2%
GA - Columbus	93.9%	92.1%	-179	-2.0%	\$1,106	\$1,164	5.2%
GA - Macon	88.3%	86.8%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,138	\$1,138	0.0%
GA - Outlying	88.4%	89.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,530	\$1,443	-5.7%
GA - Savannah	88.8%	84.2%	-460	-5.2%	\$1,555	\$1,646	5.8%
Georgia Average	90.2%	87.7%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,575	\$1,548	-1.7%
IA - Des Moines	91.4%	89.2%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,078	\$1,128	4.6%
IA - Outlying	93.7%	91.7%	-200	-2.1%	\$990	\$1,027	3.7%
Iowa Average	91.6%	89.4%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,071	\$1,120	4.6%
ID - Boise	87.5%	85.0%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,542	\$1,534	-0.5%
ID - Outlying	97.3%	95.2%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,138	\$936	-17.8%
Idaho Average	87.8%	85.2%	-260	-3.0%	\$1,520	\$1,507	-0.8%
IL - Chicago	92.8%	92.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,889	\$1,952	3.3%
IL - Moline	94.4%	90.5%	-389	-4.2%	\$905	\$944	4.3%
IL - Outlying	85.6%	83.5%	-210	-2.4%	\$669	\$691	3.3%
IL - Springfield	94.0%	92.5%	-149	-1.6%	\$962	\$1,019	5.9%
Illinois Average	93.0%	92.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,768	\$1,832	3.6%
IN - Evansville	93.6%	95.2%	159	1.7%	\$916	\$962	5.1%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.9%	94.1%	20	0.3%	\$1,016	\$1,043	2.7%
IN - Indianapolis	91.9%	91.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,200	\$1,251	4.3%
IN - Outlying	99.0%	99.0%	0	0.1%	\$930	\$977	5.1%
IN - South Bend	92.5%	91.6%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,103	\$1,149	4.2%
Indiana Average	92.2%	92.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,156	\$1,204	4.2%
KS - Outlying	97.3%	98.8%	150	1.5%	\$666	\$693	4.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.0%	94.3%	429	4.7%	\$903	\$942	4.2%
KS - Wichita	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.4%	\$857	\$892	4.1%
Kansas Average	91.5%	93.4%	190	2.1%	\$871	\$907	4.1%
KY - Lexington	92.3%	93.8%	149	1.7%	\$1,076	\$1,122	4.3%
KY - Louisville	91.2%	91.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,162	\$1,214	4.5%
KY - Outlying	95.9%	93.8%	-210	-2.2%	\$743	\$801	7.8%
Kentucky Average	91.6%	91.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,127	\$1,178	4.5%
LA - Baton Rouge	89.8%	86.8%	-300	-3.4%	\$1,116	\$1,115	-0.1%
LA - Lake Charles	83.7%	88.1%	440	5.2%	\$999	\$1,000	0.1%
LA - Monroe	88.9%	89.3%	40	0.4%	\$917	\$918	0.0%
LA - New Orleans	88.4%	88.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,224	\$1,248	1.9%
LA - Outlying	89.1%	88.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$833	\$859	3.1%
LA - Shreveport	88.3%	90.1%	180	2.0%	\$970	\$984	1.4%
Louisiana Average	88.8%	88.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,115	\$1,126	1.0%
MA - Boston	91.8%	91.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,668	\$2,746	2.9%
MA - Springfield	97.0%	95.5%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,594	\$1,683	5.6%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA**

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	92.0%	91.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$2,625	\$2,706	3.1%
MD - Baltimore	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,644	\$1,662	1.1%
MD - Outlying	99.0%	96.8%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,424	\$1,452	2.0%
Maryland Average	92.9%	92.2%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,639	\$1,658	1.1%
ME - Augusta/Portland	96.3%	88.0%	-829	-8.6%	\$1,821	\$1,939	6.5%
Maine Average	96.3%	88.0%	-829	-8.6%	\$1,821	\$1,939	6.5%
MI - Detroit	93.1%	92.3%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,255	\$1,291	2.8%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.3%	92.8%	-149	-1.5%	\$1,226	\$1,280	4.4%
MI - Outlying	95.2%	95.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,039	\$1,093	5.2%
Michigan Average	93.4%	92.2%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,241	\$1,281	3.2%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.6%	90.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,455	\$1,500	3.1%
MN - Outlying	96.5%	81.5%	-1500	-15.5%	\$1,203	\$1,283	6.6%
Minnesota Average	91.8%	90.1%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,448	\$1,493	3.1%
MO - Columbia	97.1%	96.4%	-70	-0.7%	\$946	\$1,075	13.7%
MO - Kansas City	91.2%	90.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,250	\$1,289	3.1%
MO - Outlying	90.5%	84.4%	-610	-6.7%	\$642	\$709	10.5%
MO - Springfield	96.7%	93.7%	-299	-3.1%	\$905	\$936	3.4%
MO - St. Louis	91.0%	89.1%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,242	\$1,289	3.8%
Missouri Average	91.4%	90.0%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,214	\$1,257	3.5%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.9%	92.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,014	\$1,057	4.3%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	90.2%	88.4%	-180	-2.1%	\$1,098	\$1,124	2.4%
MS - Outlying	93.5%	92.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,039	\$1,067	2.7%
Mississippi Average	91.5%	90.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,062	\$1,092	2.8%
NC - Asheville	93.6%	94.1%	49	0.5%	\$1,642	\$1,655	0.8%
NC - Charlotte	89.5%	86.7%	-280	-3.2%	\$1,573	\$1,545	-1.8%
NC - Fayetteville	93.9%	90.6%	-329	-3.5%	\$1,250	\$1,235	-1.2%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.7%	91.8%	10	0.1%	\$1,180	\$1,204	2.1%
NC - Outlying	99.2%	98.1%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,202	\$1,378	14.6%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	89.3%	87.3%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,520	\$1,484	-2.4%
NC - Wilmington	90.0%	87.3%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,444	\$1,401	-2.9%
North Carolina Average	90.0%	88.0%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,480	\$1,459	-1.4%
ND - Bismarck	87.1%	95.8%	869	10.0%	\$1,077	\$1,127	4.6%
ND - Fargo	91.9%	89.9%	-200	-2.1%	\$943	\$1,007	6.8%
ND - Outlying	91.0%	91.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,006	\$1,146	14.0%
North Dakota Average	90.7%	91.5%	80	0.9%	\$986	\$1,076	9.2%
NE - Lincoln	91.9%	85.9%	-600	-6.6%	\$1,092	\$1,154	5.7%
NE - Omaha	88.4%	92.0%	360	4.1%	\$1,180	\$1,209	2.4%
NE - Outlying	97.0%	91.8%	-519	-5.4%	\$778	\$887	13.9%
Nebraska Average	89.3%	90.4%	110	1.3%	\$1,153	\$1,189	3.2%
NM - Albuquerque	93.4%	90.3%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,316	\$1,338	1.7%
NM - Outlying	92.7%	95.5%	279	3.0%	\$1,236	\$1,292	4.5%
New Mexico Average	93.3%	90.7%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,308	\$1,333	1.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	90.2%	88.9%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,470	\$1,446	-1.6%
NV - Outlying	97.3%	96.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,169	\$1,266	8.3%
NV - Reno	86.9%	88.0%	110	1.3%	\$1,566	\$1,587	1.4%
Nevada Average	89.4%	88.8%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,484	\$1,470	-0.9%
NY - Albany	93.3%	91.0%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,489	\$1,549	4.1%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.0%	94.4%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,255	\$1,333	6.3%
NY - New York City	88.4%	86.9%	-150	-1.7%	\$3,049	\$3,138	2.9%
NY - Outlying	92.0%	93.6%	160	1.8%	\$1,191	\$1,274	7.0%
New York Average	90.0%	87.6%	-240	-2.7%	\$2,717	\$2,801	3.1%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.1%	91.0%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,220	\$1,282	5.1%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.8%	91.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,116	\$1,169	4.7%
OH - Columbus	92.0%	91.0%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,251	\$1,298	3.8%
OH - Outlying	99.0%	99.7%	70	0.6%	\$814	\$838	3.0%
OH - Toledo	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.3%	\$903	\$950	5.2%
Ohio Average	92.6%	91.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,181	\$1,234	4.6%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.5%	90.1%	-40	-0.5%	\$947	\$964	1.7%
OK - Outlying	85.0%	90.6%	560	6.6%	\$801	\$836	4.3%
OK - Tulsa	92.2%	91.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$926	\$984	6.3%
Oklahoma Average	91.2%	90.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$936	\$969	3.6%
OR - Outlying	87.5%	90.9%	340	3.9%	\$1,385	\$1,363	-1.6%
OR - Portland	92.7%	91.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,703	\$1,685	-1.1%
Oregon Average	92.6%	91.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,699	\$1,681	-1.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	96.5%	89.6%	-689	-7.2%	\$1,360	\$1,420	4.4%
PA - Outlying	97.4%	95.8%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,271	\$1,300	2.3%
PA - Philadelphia	93.3%	91.5%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,722	\$1,771	2.8%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.2%	92.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,352	\$1,390	2.8%
PA - State College/Altoona	98.4%	96.7%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,298	\$1,341	3.3%
Pennsylvania Average	93.6%	91.6%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,621	\$1,667	2.9%
RI - Providence	96.2%	95.4%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,884	\$1,987	5.4%
Rhode Island Average	96.2%	95.4%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,884	\$1,987	5.4%
SC - Charleston	89.7%	89.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,736	\$1,769	1.9%
SC - Columbia	90.6%	88.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,225	\$1,260	2.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	87.2%	85.0%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,314	\$1,320	0.4%
SC - Myrtle Beach	80.9%	72.2%	-870	-10.7%	\$1,544	\$1,527	-1.1%
SC - Outlying	81.9%	91.2%	930	11.3%	\$1,182	\$1,219	3.2%
South Carolina Average	88.2%	86.0%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,451	\$1,473	1.5%
SD - Outlying	97.9%	98.8%	90	0.9%	\$1,003	\$1,006	0.2%
SD - Rapid City	79.8%	69.1%	-1070	-13.4%	\$1,240	\$1,238	-0.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.7%	89.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,058	\$1,071	1.2%
South Dakota Average	87.8%	85.0%	-280	-3.2%	\$1,091	\$1,103	1.1%
TN - Chattanooga	88.5%	89.7%	120	1.3%	\$1,365	\$1,355	-0.7%
TN - Knoxville	95.7%	91.8%	-389	-4.0%	\$1,369	\$1,452	6.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.5%	89.8%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,175	\$1,171	-0.4%
TN - Nashville	88.4%	86.2%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,616	\$1,597	-1.2%
TN - Outlying	94.4%	96.1%	170	1.8%	\$1,018	\$1,119	9.8%
Tennessee Average	89.9%	88.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,432	\$1,438	0.4%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.4%	88.2%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,537	\$1,510	-1.8%
TX - Greater Dallas	91.1%	89.2%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,590	\$1,557	-2.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	89.5%	86.6%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,422	\$1,406	-1.1%
TX - Abilene	88.6%	92.6%	400	4.5%	\$935	\$982	5.0%
TX - Amarillo	89.2%	89.8%	60	0.7%	\$905	\$929	2.6%
TX - Austin	88.1%	83.7%	-440	-5.0%	\$1,667	\$1,558	-6.6%
TX - Beaumont	87.6%	89.0%	140	1.6%	\$986	\$1,041	5.6%
TX - College Station	91.8%	91.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,429	\$1,493	4.5%
TX - Corpus Christi	88.3%	86.7%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,141	\$1,136	-0.4%
TX - El Paso	94.4%	93.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,038	\$1,081	4.2%
TX - Houston	89.5%	88.4%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,311	\$1,316	0.4%
TX - Laredo	96.7%	94.7%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,072	\$1,131	5.5%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.3%	89.6%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,097	\$1,082	-1.4%
TX - Lubbock	89.2%	87.7%	-150	-1.6%	\$910	\$908	-0.1%
TX - Lufkin	87.9%	88.3%	40	0.4%	\$899	\$927	3.1%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.0%	92.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,281	\$1,365	6.6%
TX - Outlying	92.6%	93.3%	70	0.7%	\$949	\$1,019	7.4%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.5%	92.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$975	\$977	0.3%
TX - San Angelo	89.3%	91.0%	170	1.9%	\$1,012	\$1,023	1.1%
TX - San Antonio	88.7%	86.6%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,263	\$1,219	-3.5%
TX - Texarkana	93.3%	87.8%	-550	-5.9%	\$838	\$883	5.3%
TX - Victoria	90.2%	90.3%	10	0.2%	\$995	\$1,013	1.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	91.2%	87.8%	-340	-3.7%	\$1,107	\$1,127	1.8%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.3%	85.8%	-350	-3.9%	\$834	\$844	1.2%
Texas Average	89.8%	87.7%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,397	\$1,378	-1.4%
UT - Outlying	84.9%	84.9%	0	0.0%	\$1,573	\$1,514	-3.7%
UT - Salt Lake City	89.4%	88.3%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,567	\$1,536	-1.9%
Utah Average	89.3%	88.2%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,567	\$1,536	-2.0%
VA - Norfolk	93.3%	93.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,459	\$1,507	3.3%
VA - Richmond	91.1%	90.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,503	\$1,533	2.0%
VA - Roanoke	95.6%	94.8%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,161	\$1,214	4.6%
Virginia Average	92.5%	91.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,452	\$1,493	2.8%
WA - Outlying	93.1%	92.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,090	\$1,143	4.9%
WA - SE Washington	92.7%	90.5%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,335	\$1,376	3.1%
WA - Seattle	91.1%	90.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,072	\$2,088	0.8%
WA - Spokane	93.4%	90.1%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,375	\$1,389	1.0%
Washington Average	91.4%	90.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,979	\$1,993	0.7%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	93.4%	90.6%	-280	-3.0%	\$936	\$1,022	9.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	90.7%	92.3%	160	1.8%	\$1,415	\$1,503	6.2%
WI - Milwaukee	94.9%	92.3%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,380	\$1,438	4.2%
WI - Outlying	84.7%	75.2%	-949	-11.1%	\$987	\$1,125	14.0%
Wisconsin Average	92.9%	91.2%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,306	\$1,378	5.6%
WV - Charleston	92.6%	94.0%	139	1.5%	\$1,005	\$1,052	4.7%
WV - Outlying	96.4%	98.6%	220	2.3%	\$972	\$1,019	4.8%
West Virginia Average	93.5%	94.7%	119	1.3%	\$997	\$1,045	4.7%
CT - Hartford	93.0%	94.4%	139	1.5%	\$1,694	\$1,809	6.8%
DC - Washington	92.3%	91.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,091	\$2,167	3.6%
DE - Outlying	84.2%	87.6%	340	4.1%	\$1,507	\$1,643	9.0%
HI - State of Hawaii	93.5%	95.1%	159	1.7%	\$2,166	\$2,330	7.6%
MT - Outlying	83.1%	80.9%	-219	-2.6%	\$1,489	\$1,581	6.2%
NH - Concord	92.7%	79.4%	-1330	-14.3%	\$1,732	\$1,810	4.5%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	88.5%	-1150	-11.5%	\$1,818	\$1,878	3.3%
WY - Outlying	94.1%	93.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,133	\$1,244	9.8%
National Average	90.9%	89.4%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,687	\$1,703	1.0%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com