

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-23	May-24	bps	%CHG	May-23	May-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.3%	91.5%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,324	\$1,432	8.1%
AK - Outlying	91.7%	91.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,543	\$1,568	1.6%
Alaska Average	94.3%	91.4%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,377	\$1,465	6.4%
AL - Birmingham	91.8%	87.9%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,159	\$1,188	2.5%
AL - Huntsville	87.5%	82.1%	-540	-6.2%	\$1,137	\$1,177	3.5%
AL - Mobile	93.2%	91.0%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,175	\$1,194	1.6%
AL - Montgomery	91.5%	88.3%	-320	-3.5%	\$962	\$1,001	4.0%
AL - Outlying	93.0%	95.7%	269	2.9%	\$831	\$864	3.9%
Alabama Average	90.9%	87.0%	-390	-4.3%	\$1,118	\$1,151	3.0%
AR - Little Rock	87.2%	90.6%	340	3.9%	\$965	\$997	3.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.9%	89.3%	-360	-3.8%	\$979	\$1,013	3.5%
AR - Outlying	95.9%	96.6%	70	0.7%	\$738	\$799	8.3%
Arkansas Average	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.4%	\$951	\$986	3.7%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.6%	90.7%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,808	\$1,840	1.8%
AZ - Outlying	93.2%	95.0%	179	1.9%	\$1,120	\$1,135	1.4%
AZ - Phoenix	88.6%	88.0%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,602	\$1,556	-2.9%
AZ - Tucson	91.1%	89.5%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,178	\$1,180	0.2%
Arizona Average	89.1%	88.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,531	\$1,497	-2.2%
CA - Central Coast	95.6%	93.5%	-209	-2.3%	\$2,516	\$2,611	3.8%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.2%	91.2%	0	0.0%	\$2,686	\$2,720	1.3%
CA - Outlying	96.4%	96.3%	-10	0.0%	\$1,250	\$1,327	6.1%
CA - Sacramento	92.3%	92.9%	60	0.7%	\$1,878	\$1,902	1.3%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.7%	93.5%	-20	-0.1%	\$2,158	\$2,153	-0.2%
CA - San Diego	94.9%	92.5%	-239	-2.6%	\$2,685	\$2,704	0.7%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.8%	91.1%	30	0.3%	\$2,876	\$2,874	-0.1%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.5%	95.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,527	\$1,570	2.8%
California Average	92.4%	92.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,530	\$2,553	0.9%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.6%	87.6%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,831	\$1,845	0.8%
CO - Outlying	94.7%	90.3%	-439	-4.7%	\$1,730	\$1,856	7.3%
Colorado Average	90.5%	87.5%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,829	\$1,845	0.9%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	91.8%	87.9%	-390	-4.3%	\$2,412	\$2,440	1.2%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	88.0%	82.8%	-520	-5.9%	\$2,116	\$1,968	-7.0%
FL - Gainesville	93.1%	91.2%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,637	\$1,657	1.2%
FL - Jacksonville	89.0%	84.2%	-480	-5.4%	\$1,519	\$1,471	-3.2%
FL - Melbourne	89.5%	89.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,690	\$1,651	-2.3%
FL - Miami	89.1%	85.9%	-320	-3.6%	\$2,456	\$2,522	2.7%
FL - Orlando	89.0%	87.4%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,810	\$1,753	-3.2%
FL - Palm Beach	90.7%	92.0%	130	1.4%	\$2,422	\$2,433	0.5%
FL - Pensacola	88.1%	87.0%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,560	\$1,551	-0.6%
FL - Tallahassee	92.6%	89.5%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,326	\$1,381	4.2%
FL - Tampa	90.5%	88.1%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,810	\$1,786	-1.3%
Florida Average	89.8%	87.4%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,929	\$1,910	-1.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	91.8%	92.3%	50	0.6%	\$947	\$984	3.9%
GA - Atlanta	90.0%	87.4%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,655	\$1,609	-2.7%
GA - Augusta	89.3%	90.2%	90	1.0%	\$1,143	\$1,151	0.7%
GA - Columbus	94.1%	92.5%	-159	-1.8%	\$1,108	\$1,161	4.8%
GA - Macon	88.6%	86.6%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,142	\$1,145	0.3%
GA - Outlying	88.4%	89.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,530	\$1,443	-5.7%
GA - Savannah	89.4%	83.9%	-550	-6.1%	\$1,562	\$1,651	5.7%
Georgia Average	90.0%	87.4%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,576	\$1,552	-1.6%
IA - Des Moines	91.8%	89.2%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,087	\$1,129	3.8%
IA - Outlying	92.8%	92.1%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,003	\$1,029	2.6%
Iowa Average	91.9%	89.5%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,080	\$1,121	3.7%
ID - Boise	88.0%	86.4%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,549	\$1,538	-0.7%
ID - Outlying	95.0%	96.1%	110	1.1%	\$1,140	\$930	-18.4%
Idaho Average	87.5%	86.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,528	\$1,512	-1.0%
IL - Chicago	93.1%	92.6%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,902	\$1,970	3.6%
IL - Moline	94.8%	92.0%	-279	-2.9%	\$904	\$950	5.1%
IL - Outlying	89.9%	83.8%	-610	-6.8%	\$677	\$690	1.9%
IL - Springfield	93.9%	94.4%	50	0.6%	\$978	\$1,027	5.0%
Illinois Average	93.2%	92.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,780	\$1,848	3.8%
IN - Evansville	93.7%	94.8%	109	1.2%	\$913	\$960	5.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.6%	93.9%	29	0.3%	\$1,025	\$1,046	2.1%
IN - Indianapolis	92.1%	91.5%	-60	-0.8%	\$1,206	\$1,260	4.5%
IN - Outlying	97.2%	99.0%	180	1.9%	\$936	\$989	5.6%
IN - South Bend	93.6%	92.0%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,105	\$1,162	5.2%
Indiana Average	92.4%	91.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,161	\$1,212	4.5%
KS - Outlying	97.7%	99.8%	210	2.2%	\$670	\$700	4.4%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	91.2%	93.9%	269	2.9%	\$907	\$944	4.0%
KS - Wichita	92.3%	93.2%	90	0.9%	\$864	\$897	3.8%
Kansas Average	92.0%	93.6%	160	1.7%	\$877	\$911	3.8%
KY - Lexington	92.3%	91.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,087	\$1,144	5.2%
KY - Louisville	91.7%	91.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,161	\$1,223	5.4%
KY - Outlying	96.5%	93.8%	-270	-2.7%	\$743	\$795	7.0%
Kentucky Average	91.9%	91.8%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,129	\$1,190	5.4%
LA - Baton Rouge	89.3%	86.6%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,128	\$1,121	-0.6%
LA - Lake Charles	84.3%	87.9%	360	4.3%	\$1,006	\$998	-0.8%
LA - Monroe	88.9%	89.2%	30	0.4%	\$917	\$915	-0.2%
LA - New Orleans	88.4%	88.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,234	\$1,253	1.6%
LA - Outlying	87.9%	86.2%	-170	-1.9%	\$841	\$866	3.0%
LA - Shreveport	88.4%	89.5%	110	1.3%	\$980	\$996	1.6%
Louisiana Average	88.6%	87.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,125	\$1,132	0.6%
MA - Boston	92.0%	90.7%	-130	-1.4%	\$2,692	\$2,768	2.8%
MA - Springfield	97.1%	95.6%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,603	\$1,694	5.7%

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

**NATIONWIDE**  
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,  
RELIABLE  
DATA**

**DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE**

## Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

**Thousands** of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

### Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

### What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

### How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



## LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/our-services](http://alndata.co/our-services) to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data  
[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)  
800-643-6416 x 3  
[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	92.0%	90.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$2,648	\$2,728	3.0%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	92.6%	92.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,646	\$1,671	1.6%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	99.1%	97.0%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,422	\$1,459	2.6%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	92.8%	92.2%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,641	\$1,667	1.6%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	96.9%	87.7%	-919	-9.5%	\$1,833	\$1,943	6.0%
<b>Maine Average</b>	96.9%	87.7%	-919	-9.5%	\$1,833	\$1,943	6.0%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	93.1%	92.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,263	\$1,299	2.9%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	94.4%	91.8%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,233	\$1,291	4.7%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	95.8%	95.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,038	\$1,096	5.6%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	93.5%	92.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,248	\$1,289	3.3%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	92.0%	89.6%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,467	\$1,509	2.9%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	96.5%	83.2%	-1330	-13.8%	\$1,211	\$1,282	5.8%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	92.1%	89.5%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,460	\$1,502	2.9%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	96.9%	96.3%	-60	-0.6%	\$955	\$1,071	12.2%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	91.2%	90.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,257	\$1,296	3.1%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	93.8%	82.5%	-1130	-12.1%	\$643	\$700	8.9%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	96.9%	93.9%	-300	-3.0%	\$908	\$941	3.6%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	91.2%	89.1%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,251	\$1,296	3.6%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	91.5%	90.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,222	\$1,265	3.5%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	92.0%	92.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,020	\$1,058	3.7%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	91.4%	88.5%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,103	\$1,127	2.1%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	93.5%	93.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,040	\$1,076	3.5%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	92.1%	90.7%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,067	\$1,095	2.7%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	91.1%	93.7%	260	2.9%	\$1,653	\$1,660	0.4%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	89.4%	86.5%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,582	\$1,549	-2.1%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	94.1%	91.2%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,250	\$1,246	-0.3%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	91.9%	91.3%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,185	\$1,214	2.4%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	99.2%	97.8%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,217	\$1,378	13.2%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	88.9%	86.6%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,523	\$1,489	-2.2%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	89.9%	87.1%	-280	-3.2%	\$1,448	\$1,409	-2.7%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	89.8%	87.6%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,486	\$1,465	-1.5%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	93.1%	96.9%	379	4.1%	\$1,094	\$1,131	3.4%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	92.5%	90.5%	-200	-2.2%	\$945	\$1,005	6.3%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	91.7%	91.8%	10	0.1%	\$1,019	\$1,146	12.5%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	92.2%	92.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$994	\$1,076	8.2%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	91.7%	86.1%	-560	-6.1%	\$1,095	\$1,164	6.3%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	88.6%	92.8%	420	4.7%	\$1,186	\$1,218	2.7%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	96.5%	92.3%	-419	-4.4%	\$774	\$887	14.6%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	89.4%	91.0%	160	1.8%	\$1,158	\$1,199	3.6%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	93.1%	90.2%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,322	\$1,350	2.1%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	93.5%	94.2%	69	0.8%	\$1,240	\$1,295	4.5%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	93.2%	90.5%	-270	-2.9%	\$1,314	\$1,344	2.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	90.2%	88.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,474	\$1,457	-1.1%
NV - Outlying	93.3%	96.1%	279	3.0%	\$1,177	\$1,282	8.9%
NV - Reno	87.5%	88.5%	100	1.1%	\$1,577	\$1,597	1.2%
Nevada Average	89.5%	88.9%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,488	\$1,481	-0.5%
NY - Albany	93.4%	89.9%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,493	\$1,560	4.5%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.3%	93.8%	-250	-2.6%	\$1,259	\$1,343	6.7%
NY - New York City	88.4%	86.5%	-190	-2.1%	\$3,060	\$3,152	3.0%
NY - Outlying	94.4%	93.3%	-109	-1.1%	\$1,206	\$1,244	3.2%
New York Average	89.8%	87.3%	-250	-2.8%	\$2,727	\$2,814	3.2%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.9%	91.2%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,232	\$1,294	5.0%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.0%	91.5%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,125	\$1,182	5.0%
OH - Columbus	93.0%	91.1%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,257	\$1,304	3.7%
OH - Outlying	97.6%	98.0%	40	0.4%	\$808	\$838	3.8%
OH - Toledo	93.8%	93.5%	-29	-0.3%	\$915	\$953	4.2%
Ohio Average	92.9%	91.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,190	\$1,243	4.5%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.4%	90.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$949	\$969	2.1%
OK - Outlying	82.1%	90.9%	880	10.7%	\$811	\$836	3.2%
OK - Tulsa	92.7%	92.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$935	\$989	5.8%
Oklahoma Average	91.2%	90.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$941	\$974	3.5%
OR - Outlying	87.0%	94.1%	709	8.2%	\$1,374	\$1,363	-0.8%
OR - Portland	92.8%	91.1%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,708	\$1,697	-0.6%
Oregon Average	92.4%	91.1%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,704	\$1,692	-0.7%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	94.9%	89.1%	-579	-6.1%	\$1,363	\$1,427	4.7%
PA - Outlying	97.5%	96.4%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,258	\$1,312	4.3%
PA - Philadelphia	93.2%	91.7%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,733	\$1,779	2.7%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.3%	92.2%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,359	\$1,401	3.0%
PA - State College/Altoona	98.3%	96.7%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,304	\$1,349	3.4%
Pennsylvania Average	93.2%	91.6%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,629	\$1,676	2.9%
RI - Providence	96.9%	95.6%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,914	\$2,000	4.5%
Rhode Island Average	96.9%	95.6%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,914	\$2,000	4.5%
SC - Charleston	89.3%	89.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,748	\$1,768	1.1%
SC - Columbia	90.8%	88.6%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,231	\$1,266	2.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	87.3%	85.1%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,324	\$1,323	-0.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	79.6%	73.8%	-580	-7.3%	\$1,560	\$1,542	-1.2%
SC - Outlying	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,202	\$1,212	0.9%
South Carolina Average	87.9%	86.1%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,462	\$1,476	1.0%
SD - Outlying	98.9%	99.2%	30	0.3%	\$1,001	\$1,029	2.9%
SD - Rapid City	80.2%	71.8%	-840	-10.4%	\$1,233	\$1,261	2.3%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.9%	89.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,073	\$1,069	-0.4%
South Dakota Average	87.7%	85.5%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,100	\$1,109	0.8%
TN - Chattanooga	89.2%	89.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,368	\$1,356	-0.9%
TN - Knoxville	95.1%	92.0%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,394	\$1,462	4.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.4%	90.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,178	\$1,174	-0.4%
TN - Nashville	87.9%	86.2%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,624	\$1,607	-1.1%
TN - Outlying	94.3%	95.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,023	\$1,124	9.8%
Tennessee Average	89.6%	88.5%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,441	\$1,445	0.3%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.5%	88.2%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,542	\$1,513	-1.8%
TX - Greater Dallas	91.1%	89.3%	-180	-2.1%	\$1,593	\$1,559	-2.2%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	89.7%	86.4%	-330	-3.6%	\$1,429	\$1,414	-1.1%
TX - Abilene	89.1%	92.8%	370	4.2%	\$944	\$983	4.1%
TX - Amarillo	89.0%	90.4%	140	1.6%	\$908	\$925	1.8%
TX - Austin	87.6%	83.4%	-420	-4.8%	\$1,664	\$1,553	-6.7%
TX - Beaumont	88.2%	89.5%	130	1.5%	\$981	\$1,043	6.3%
TX - College Station	91.1%	90.3%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,433	\$1,511	5.5%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.8%	86.2%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,141	\$1,138	-0.3%
TX - El Paso	94.9%	94.2%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,043	\$1,085	4.0%
TX - Houston	89.4%	88.3%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,315	\$1,320	0.4%
TX - Laredo	96.1%	94.6%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,069	\$1,135	6.2%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.2%	89.0%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,098	\$1,089	-0.9%
TX - Lubbock	88.3%	86.5%	-180	-2.1%	\$911	\$917	0.7%
TX - Lufkin	88.4%	88.7%	30	0.3%	\$899	\$940	4.5%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.9%	93.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,307	\$1,371	4.9%
TX - Outlying	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.4%	\$950	\$1,028	8.2%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	91.7%	91.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$980	\$991	1.1%
TX - San Angelo	90.9%	91.1%	20	0.3%	\$1,025	\$1,025	0.0%
TX - San Antonio	88.5%	86.6%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,264	\$1,226	-3.0%
TX - Texarkana	87.0%	88.1%	110	1.3%	\$837	\$883	5.5%
TX - Victoria	88.8%	90.7%	190	2.2%	\$991	\$1,018	2.7%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	91.5%	85.9%	-560	-6.2%	\$1,106	\$1,128	2.0%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.3%	86.5%	-280	-3.0%	\$840	\$843	0.3%
Texas Average	89.7%	87.6%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,401	\$1,381	-1.4%
UT - Outlying	85.3%	86.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,556	\$1,530	-1.7%
UT - Salt Lake City	89.1%	88.2%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,567	\$1,540	-1.7%
Utah Average	88.4%	88.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,567	\$1,539	-1.7%
VA - Norfolk	93.3%	93.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,469	\$1,510	2.7%
VA - Richmond	91.2%	90.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,509	\$1,545	2.4%
VA - Roanoke	95.8%	94.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,172	\$1,217	3.8%
Virginia Average	92.6%	91.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,461	\$1,500	2.6%
WA - Outlying	93.3%	92.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,095	\$1,172	7.0%
WA - SE Washington	91.6%	91.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,344	\$1,380	2.7%
WA - Seattle	91.4%	90.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,080	\$2,098	0.9%
WA - Spokane	93.3%	89.7%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,371	\$1,386	1.1%
Washington Average	91.4%	90.4%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,985	\$2,001	0.8%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	94.0%	90.6%	-339	-3.5%	\$950	\$1,028	8.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	88.3%	91.8%	350	3.9%	\$1,427	\$1,511	5.9%
WI - Milwaukee	94.5%	92.2%	-229	-2.5%	\$1,393	\$1,456	4.5%
WI - Outlying	87.1%	74.7%	-1240	-14.2%	\$989	\$1,134	14.7%
Wisconsin Average	92.2%	90.9%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,318	\$1,391	5.5%
WV - Charleston	92.8%	94.6%	179	1.9%	\$1,017	\$1,056	3.8%
WV - Outlying	96.2%	98.9%	270	2.9%	\$972	\$1,022	5.1%
West Virginia Average	93.5%	95.7%	219	2.3%	\$1,007	\$1,048	4.1%
CT - Hartford	93.3%	94.7%	139	1.4%	\$1,711	\$1,815	6.1%
DC - Washington	92.3%	91.3%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,105	\$2,179	3.5%
DE - Outlying	86.0%	87.2%	120	1.4%	\$1,513	\$1,643	8.6%
HI - State of Hawaii	94.2%	95.1%	90	0.9%	\$2,199	\$2,359	7.3%
MT - Outlying	83.7%	81.6%	-210	-2.5%	\$1,481	\$1,626	9.8%
NH - Concord	91.7%	81.6%	-1010	-11.0%	\$1,715	\$1,834	6.9%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	88.5%	-1150	-11.5%	\$1,828	\$1,883	3.0%
WY - Outlying	94.6%	93.6%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,153	\$1,247	8.2%
National Average	90.9%	89.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,694	\$1,711	1.0%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)