

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-23	Jun-24	bps	%CHG	Jun-23	Jun-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.5%	91.8%	-369	-3.9%	\$1,366	\$1,444	5.8%
AK - Outlying	93.4%	93.9%	49	0.6%	\$1,560	\$1,567	0.4%
Alaska Average	95.0%	92.3%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,412	\$1,474	4.4%
AL - Birmingham	91.3%	88.1%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,176	\$1,192	1.4%
AL - Huntsville	88.1%	81.4%	-670	-7.6%	\$1,145	\$1,180	3.0%
AL - Mobile	93.4%	90.4%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,187	\$1,205	1.5%
AL - Montgomery	91.6%	88.8%	-280	-3.0%	\$968	\$1,001	3.4%
AL - Outlying	93.7%	95.8%	209	2.3%	\$839	\$866	3.2%
Alabama Average	91.0%	86.8%	-420	-4.6%	\$1,130	\$1,155	2.3%
AR - Little Rock	87.6%	90.6%	300	3.4%	\$969	\$1,001	3.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	93.1%	90.0%	-310	-3.3%	\$986	\$1,018	3.2%
AR - Outlying	96.0%	96.8%	80	0.9%	\$746	\$805	7.9%
Arkansas Average	90.6%	90.9%	30	0.3%	\$957	\$991	3.6%
AZ - Flagstaff	91.9%	91.2%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,846	\$1,845	0.0%
AZ - Outlying	93.3%	93.8%	49	0.6%	\$1,122	\$1,139	1.5%
AZ - Phoenix	88.2%	87.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,604	\$1,558	-2.9%
AZ - Tucson	90.9%	89.6%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,186	\$1,181	-0.4%
Arizona Average	88.7%	88.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,535	\$1,498	-2.4%
CA - Central Coast	94.8%	93.2%	-159	-1.7%	\$2,531	\$2,620	3.5%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.1%	90.9%	-20	-0.1%	\$2,700	\$2,726	0.9%
CA - Outlying	96.1%	96.7%	60	0.6%	\$1,265	\$1,337	5.6%
CA - Sacramento	92.8%	93.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,884	\$1,910	1.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.5%	93.4%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,170	\$2,163	-0.3%
CA - San Diego	94.6%	92.4%	-219	-2.4%	\$2,708	\$2,711	0.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.7%	91.3%	60	0.6%	\$2,889	\$2,884	-0.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.3%	95.1%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,532	\$1,579	3.1%
California Average	92.3%	92.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,544	\$2,561	0.7%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.2%	87.3%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,847	\$1,854	0.4%
CO - Outlying	95.1%	89.9%	-519	-5.5%	\$1,733	\$1,855	7.0%
Colorado Average	90.0%	87.3%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,845	\$1,854	0.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	91.5%	88.1%	-340	-3.7%	\$2,413	\$2,434	0.9%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	86.0%	83.3%	-270	-3.1%	\$2,073	\$1,965	-5.2%
FL - Gainesville	92.2%	91.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,651	\$1,660	0.5%
FL - Jacksonville	89.1%	84.0%	-510	-5.7%	\$1,514	\$1,463	-3.4%
FL - Melbourne	88.9%	89.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,695	\$1,660	-2.0%
FL - Miami	88.0%	86.1%	-190	-2.1%	\$2,472	\$2,533	2.5%
FL - Orlando	89.1%	87.4%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,809	\$1,756	-2.9%
FL - Palm Beach	89.8%	91.9%	210	2.3%	\$2,426	\$2,433	0.3%
FL - Pensacola	87.9%	86.8%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,560	\$1,565	0.3%
FL - Tallahassee	92.4%	89.3%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,345	\$1,392	3.5%
FL - Tampa	90.2%	87.7%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,819	\$1,782	-2.0%
Florida Average	89.3%	87.4%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,933	\$1,910	-1.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	89.3%	92.0%	270	3.1%	\$953	\$990	3.9%
GA - Atlanta	89.5%	86.8%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,656	\$1,608	-2.9%
GA - Augusta	88.9%	89.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,149	\$1,163	1.2%
GA - Columbus	94.5%	91.7%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,116	\$1,163	4.1%
GA - Macon	88.2%	86.7%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,146	\$1,151	0.4%
GA - Outlying	96.1%	89.0%	-709	-7.4%	\$1,542	\$1,443	-6.4%
GA - Savannah	89.5%	83.2%	-630	-7.1%	\$1,575	\$1,671	6.1%
Georgia Average	89.7%	86.9%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,580	\$1,553	-1.7%
IA - Des Moines	92.4%	89.2%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,093	\$1,140	4.3%
IA - Outlying	92.7%	91.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,021	\$1,044	2.3%
Iowa Average	92.4%	89.4%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,087	\$1,132	4.2%
ID - Boise	86.1%	83.8%	-230	-2.7%	\$1,567	\$1,547	-1.3%
ID - Outlying	92.4%	95.3%	289	3.2%	\$1,137	\$928	-18.3%
Idaho Average	86.4%	84.1%	-230	-2.7%	\$1,544	\$1,520	-1.6%
IL - Chicago	93.1%	92.8%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,921	\$1,977	2.9%
IL - Moline	95.1%	89.2%	-589	-6.2%	\$906	\$966	6.7%
IL - Outlying	89.9%	83.8%	-610	-6.8%	\$677	\$690	1.9%
IL - Springfield	94.3%	94.2%	-10	0.0%	\$998	\$1,038	4.0%
Illinois Average	93.3%	92.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,799	\$1,855	3.1%
IN - Evansville	93.5%	95.3%	179	1.9%	\$914	\$972	6.3%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.7%	93.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,028	\$1,056	2.6%
IN - Indianapolis	92.2%	91.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,216	\$1,264	4.0%
IN - Outlying	97.2%	99.0%	180	1.9%	\$951	\$989	3.9%
IN - South Bend	94.2%	93.4%	-79	-0.8%	\$1,127	\$1,162	3.1%
Indiana Average	92.5%	91.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,171	\$1,217	3.9%
KS - Outlying	97.3%	99.7%	240	2.4%	\$675	\$706	4.6%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.0%	94.1%	209	2.3%	\$913	\$949	3.9%
KS - Wichita	92.2%	93.4%	120	1.3%	\$872	\$902	3.4%
Kansas Average	92.2%	93.8%	159	1.7%	\$884	\$916	3.6%
KY - Lexington	92.6%	91.3%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,099	\$1,150	4.6%
KY - Louisville	91.8%	92.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,162	\$1,227	5.5%
KY - Outlying	96.2%	94.4%	-180	-1.9%	\$750	\$797	6.4%
Kentucky Average	92.1%	91.9%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,134	\$1,194	5.3%
LA - Baton Rouge	89.0%	86.9%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,132	\$1,128	-0.3%
LA - Lake Charles	83.0%	87.8%	480	5.8%	\$999	\$997	-0.2%
LA - Monroe	89.7%	90.1%	40	0.3%	\$923	\$924	0.0%
LA - New Orleans	88.5%	89.0%	50	0.5%	\$1,241	\$1,256	1.2%
LA - Outlying	87.5%	85.9%	-160	-1.9%	\$843	\$859	2.0%
LA - Shreveport	88.0%	89.6%	160	1.9%	\$985	\$999	1.5%
Louisiana Average	88.4%	88.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,129	\$1,136	0.6%
MA - Boston	91.3%	91.2%	-10	-0.2%	\$2,712	\$2,783	2.6%
MA - Springfield	97.1%	97.0%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,615	\$1,697	5.1%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER
**ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA**

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	91.0%	91.4%	40	0.4%	\$2,668	\$2,742	2.8%
MD - Baltimore	92.3%	91.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,647	\$1,682	2.1%
MD - Outlying	99.2%	97.0%	-220	-2.2%	\$1,429	\$1,460	2.1%
Maryland Average	92.5%	91.7%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,642	\$1,677	2.1%
ME - Augusta/Portland	96.9%	91.3%	-559	-5.7%	\$1,857	\$1,937	4.3%
Maine Average	96.9%	91.3%	-559	-5.7%	\$1,857	\$1,937	4.3%
MI - Detroit	93.0%	92.7%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,272	\$1,305	2.7%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.7%	91.9%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,242	\$1,297	4.4%
MI - Outlying	95.3%	95.3%	0	0.0%	\$1,051	\$1,095	4.2%
Michigan Average	93.1%	92.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,257	\$1,295	3.1%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	92.0%	89.9%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,473	\$1,515	2.8%
MN - Outlying	96.7%	78.6%	-1809	-18.8%	\$1,213	\$1,306	7.7%
Minnesota Average	92.1%	89.3%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,466	\$1,508	2.9%
MO - Columbia	97.3%	96.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$946	\$1,067	12.9%
MO - Kansas City	91.6%	90.7%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,267	\$1,307	3.2%
MO - Outlying	93.8%	83.9%	-989	-10.5%	\$644	\$698	8.3%
MO - Springfield	97.1%	93.3%	-379	-3.9%	\$908	\$945	4.0%
MO - St. Louis	91.4%	89.6%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,253	\$1,303	4.0%
Missouri Average	91.6%	90.4%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,228	\$1,273	3.7%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.8%	92.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,032	\$1,074	4.0%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	91.5%	87.8%	-370	-4.1%	\$1,108	\$1,130	2.1%
MS - Outlying	93.6%	93.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,042	\$1,077	3.3%
Mississippi Average	92.4%	90.5%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,072	\$1,102	2.7%
NC - Asheville	90.7%	93.8%	309	3.4%	\$1,645	\$1,668	1.4%
NC - Charlotte	88.9%	86.4%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,590	\$1,554	-2.3%
NC - Fayetteville	93.5%	91.4%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,246	\$1,242	-0.3%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.9%	91.7%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,189	\$1,224	3.0%
NC - Outlying	99.2%	98.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,228	\$1,390	13.2%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	88.5%	86.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,532	\$1,498	-2.2%
NC - Wilmington	90.3%	86.6%	-370	-4.1%	\$1,448	\$1,419	-2.0%
North Carolina Average	89.5%	87.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,493	\$1,472	-1.4%
ND - Bismarck	93.7%	97.0%	329	3.6%	\$1,094	\$1,134	3.6%
ND - Fargo	92.1%	89.0%	-310	-3.4%	\$952	\$1,001	5.1%
ND - Outlying	92.4%	91.9%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,050	\$1,151	9.6%
North Dakota Average	92.6%	91.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,009	\$1,075	6.6%
NE - Lincoln	91.4%	86.5%	-490	-5.4%	\$1,101	\$1,169	6.2%
NE - Omaha	89.1%	92.7%	360	3.9%	\$1,192	\$1,223	2.6%
NE - Outlying	96.2%	94.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$840	\$875	4.1%
Nebraska Average	89.8%	91.0%	120	1.4%	\$1,163	\$1,204	3.5%
NM - Albuquerque	93.2%	90.0%	-320	-3.4%	\$1,336	\$1,371	2.6%
NM - Outlying	93.4%	93.8%	39	0.5%	\$1,251	\$1,293	3.3%
New Mexico Average	93.2%	90.3%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,328	\$1,363	2.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	90.1%	89.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,468	\$1,464	-0.3%
NV - Outlying	94.4%	94.8%	40	0.4%	\$1,199	\$1,295	8.0%
NV - Reno	87.6%	88.6%	100	1.2%	\$1,579	\$1,604	1.6%
Nevada Average	89.4%	89.1%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,484	\$1,487	0.2%
NY - Albany	93.9%	88.7%	-519	-5.5%	\$1,503	\$1,571	4.6%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.5%	93.9%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,269	\$1,355	6.7%
NY - New York City	87.4%	85.5%	-190	-2.3%	\$3,076	\$3,163	2.8%
NY - Outlying	92.8%	92.9%	10	0.1%	\$1,231	\$1,240	0.8%
New York Average	89.2%	86.5%	-270	-3.1%	\$2,743	\$2,826	3.0%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.3%	91.3%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,242	\$1,300	4.7%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.9%	91.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,132	\$1,191	5.2%
OH - Columbus	92.7%	91.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,269	\$1,311	3.3%
OH - Outlying	97.6%	98.0%	40	0.4%	\$838	\$867	3.5%
OH - Toledo	94.5%	93.5%	-99	-1.0%	\$927	\$955	3.0%
Ohio Average	92.6%	91.6%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,199	\$1,251	4.3%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.6%	90.6%	100	1.1%	\$954	\$974	2.1%
OK - Outlying	85.1%	92.0%	690	8.1%	\$811	\$854	5.3%
OK - Tulsa	92.5%	92.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$945	\$995	5.3%
Oklahoma Average	90.7%	91.3%	60	0.6%	\$948	\$980	3.4%
OR - Outlying	84.5%	97.2%	1270	15.0%	\$1,357	\$1,369	0.9%
OR - Portland	92.3%	91.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,715	\$1,706	-0.5%
Oregon Average	92.2%	91.4%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,710	\$1,701	-0.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	93.0%	89.2%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,384	\$1,435	3.7%
PA - Outlying	97.7%	97.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,291	\$1,311	1.6%
PA - Philadelphia	92.9%	91.6%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,745	\$1,790	2.6%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,368	\$1,410	3.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	98.4%	96.8%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,310	\$1,356	3.5%
Pennsylvania Average	93.0%	91.6%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,642	\$1,686	2.7%
RI - Providence	96.7%	94.4%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,943	\$2,020	4.0%
Rhode Island Average	96.7%	94.4%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,943	\$2,020	4.0%
SC - Charleston	89.3%	88.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,764	\$1,780	0.9%
SC - Columbia	90.2%	88.8%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,238	\$1,268	2.5%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.5%	85.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,336	\$1,326	-0.8%
SC - Myrtle Beach	81.0%	74.5%	-650	-8.0%	\$1,556	\$1,537	-1.2%
SC - Outlying	91.5%	93.6%	210	2.3%	\$1,218	\$1,188	-2.4%
South Carolina Average	87.2%	85.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,472	\$1,482	0.7%
SD - Outlying	95.7%	98.9%	320	3.3%	\$1,003	\$1,034	3.1%
SD - Rapid City	79.4%	72.1%	-730	-9.2%	\$1,241	\$1,268	2.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.1%	89.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,075	\$1,074	-0.1%
South Dakota Average	86.7%	85.5%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,102	\$1,114	1.1%
TN - Chattanooga	89.3%	88.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,374	\$1,368	-0.4%
TN - Knoxville	95.2%	92.6%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,395	\$1,468	5.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.3%	90.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,178	\$1,178	0.0%
TN - Nashville	87.8%	86.6%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,630	\$1,611	-1.2%
TN - Outlying	94.8%	95.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,030	\$1,121	8.8%
Tennessee Average	89.5%	88.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,445	\$1,450	0.3%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.0%	87.9%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,546	\$1,514	-2.1%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.9%	89.0%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,599	\$1,560	-2.4%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	88.7%	86.6%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,432	\$1,413	-1.4%
TX - Abilene	88.6%	92.8%	420	4.7%	\$951	\$992	4.3%
TX - Amarillo	89.0%	90.2%	120	1.4%	\$911	\$931	2.1%
TX - Austin	87.1%	83.5%	-360	-4.1%	\$1,668	\$1,552	-7.0%
TX - Beaumont	87.7%	88.9%	120	1.4%	\$994	\$1,046	5.2%
TX - College Station	90.7%	89.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,439	\$1,520	5.7%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.1%	86.0%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,139	\$1,148	0.8%
TX - El Paso	94.6%	93.8%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,055	\$1,085	2.8%
TX - Houston	89.5%	88.5%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,321	\$1,323	0.1%
TX - Laredo	96.3%	94.4%	-190	-1.9%	\$1,084	\$1,140	5.1%
TX - Longview/Tyler	88.4%	89.6%	120	1.4%	\$1,114	\$1,093	-1.9%
TX - Lubbock	88.5%	86.1%	-240	-2.7%	\$913	\$917	0.4%
TX - Lufkin	86.4%	88.5%	210	2.5%	\$911	\$946	3.9%
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.5%	92.9%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,323	\$1,380	4.3%
TX - Outlying	93.0%	92.9%	-10	0.0%	\$947	\$1,028	8.6%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.2%	90.1%	-210	-2.3%	\$987	\$987	0.0%
TX - San Angelo	91.3%	91.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,029	\$1,021	-0.7%
TX - San Antonio	88.3%	86.4%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,261	\$1,231	-2.3%
TX - Texarkana	89.0%	87.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$862	\$883	2.5%
TX - Victoria	88.1%	91.1%	300	3.4%	\$982	\$1,019	3.8%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	90.8%	85.7%	-510	-5.5%	\$1,121	\$1,134	1.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.9%	87.1%	-280	-3.1%	\$846	\$853	0.9%
Texas Average	89.4%	87.6%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,406	\$1,384	-1.6%
UT - Outlying	85.5%	82.9%	-260	-3.0%	\$1,571	\$1,501	-4.4%
UT - Salt Lake City	87.6%	88.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,572	\$1,542	-1.9%
Utah Average	87.0%	87.9%	90	1.0%	\$1,572	\$1,540	-2.0%
VA - Norfolk	93.3%	92.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,473	\$1,520	3.1%
VA - Richmond	91.0%	90.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,518	\$1,556	2.4%
VA - Roanoke	96.0%	94.9%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,188	\$1,227	3.3%
Virginia Average	92.5%	91.7%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,469	\$1,510	2.8%
WA - Outlying	90.4%	88.7%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,090	\$1,161	6.5%
WA - SE Washington	93.0%	91.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,356	\$1,403	3.4%
WA - Seattle	91.4%	90.5%	-90	-0.9%	\$2,089	\$2,106	0.8%
WA - Spokane	92.1%	87.5%	-460	-4.9%	\$1,382	\$1,400	1.4%
Washington Average	91.4%	90.3%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,993	\$2,009	0.8%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	94.3%	90.9%	-339	-3.6%	\$957	\$1,030	7.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	87.7%	92.7%	500	5.7%	\$1,409	\$1,494	6.0%
WI - Milwaukee	94.4%	92.1%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,403	\$1,466	4.5%
WI - Outlying	87.0%	75.2%	-1180	-13.6%	\$989	\$1,133	14.6%
Wisconsin Average	91.9%	91.1%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,319	\$1,390	5.5%
WV - Charleston	92.9%	96.1%	319	3.5%	\$1,025	\$1,061	3.5%
WV - Outlying	95.9%	99.1%	320	3.3%	\$973	\$1,053	8.2%
West Virginia Average	93.6%	97.0%	339	3.7%	\$1,014	\$1,059	4.5%
CT - Hartford	92.5%	94.8%	229	2.4%	\$1,729	\$1,818	5.2%
DC - Washington	92.2%	91.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,121	\$2,191	3.3%
DE - Outlying	86.5%	87.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,530	\$1,649	7.7%
HI - State of Hawaii	95.9%	95.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,232	\$2,427	8.8%
MT - Outlying	84.0%	83.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,555	\$1,646	5.8%
NH - Concord	92.6%	78.8%	-1380	-14.9%	\$1,727	\$1,836	6.3%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	89.2%	-1079	-10.8%	\$1,831	\$1,899	3.7%
WY - Outlying	95.5%	93.9%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,145	\$1,258	9.8%
National Average	90.6%	89.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,702	\$1,717	0.8%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com