

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-23	Nov-24	bps	%CHG	Nov-23	Nov-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	92.9%	90.3%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,421	\$1,477	3.9%
AK - Outlying	90.1%	92.3%	220	2.5%	\$1,555	\$1,582	1.8%
Alaska Average	92.3%	90.7%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,453	\$1,503	3.4%
AL - Birmingham	87.7%	87.3%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,183	\$1,197	1.1%
AL - Huntsville	83.6%	81.3%	-230	-2.8%	\$1,138	\$1,182	3.9%
AL - Mobile	92.0%	89.0%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,181	\$1,217	3.0%
AL - Montgomery	87.5%	89.4%	190	2.2%	\$975	\$1,017	4.2%
AL - Outlying	94.4%	95.0%	60	0.6%	\$851	\$885	4.0%
Alabama Average	87.5%	86.2%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,133	\$1,163	2.7%
AR - Little Rock	88.9%	86.1%	-280	-3.1%	\$979	\$1,015	3.6%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.8%	88.8%	-400	-4.2%	\$1,004	\$1,054	5.0%
AR - Outlying	94.7%	94.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$762	\$833	9.4%
Arkansas Average	91.0%	87.9%	-310	-3.4%	\$970	\$1,015	4.6%
AZ - Flagstaff	91.1%	88.0%	-310	-3.5%	\$1,792	\$1,786	-0.3%
AZ - Outlying	94.4%	96.1%	170	1.8%	\$1,113	\$1,179	5.9%
AZ - Phoenix	88.0%	85.2%	-280	-3.3%	\$1,572	\$1,554	-1.1%
AZ - Tucson	90.6%	88.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,194	\$1,170	-2.0%
Arizona Average	88.5%	85.9%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,509	\$1,494	-1.0%
CA - Central Coast	94.0%	93.4%	-59	-0.6%	\$2,559	\$2,663	4.1%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.6%	91.5%	90	0.9%	\$2,714	\$2,750	1.3%
CA - Outlying	96.9%	96.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,297	\$1,365	5.2%
CA - Sacramento	91.7%	92.0%	30	0.4%	\$1,879	\$1,933	2.9%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.0%	92.1%	-90	-0.9%	\$2,135	\$2,203	3.2%
CA - San Diego	93.9%	92.1%	-179	-1.9%	\$2,700	\$2,775	2.8%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.8%	89.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,847	\$2,921	2.6%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.3%	94.4%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,554	\$1,602	3.1%
California Average	91.9%	91.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,537	\$2,595	2.3%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	88.7%	85.3%	-340	-3.8%	\$1,829	\$1,817	-0.7%
CO - Outlying	95.3%	88.8%	-649	-6.8%	\$1,773	\$1,867	5.3%
Colorado Average	88.7%	85.4%	-330	-3.8%	\$1,828	\$1,818	-0.6%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.4%	87.4%	-300	-3.3%	\$2,384	\$2,417	1.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	82.8%	77.7%	-509	-6.1%	\$1,994	\$1,909	-4.3%
FL - Gainesville	91.4%	89.5%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,621	\$1,656	2.1%
FL - Jacksonville	85.6%	82.5%	-310	-3.5%	\$1,474	\$1,459	-1.0%
FL - Melbourne	86.8%	88.4%	160	1.9%	\$1,641	\$1,686	2.7%
FL - Miami	85.8%	83.9%	-190	-2.3%	\$2,494	\$2,538	1.8%
FL - Orlando	87.5%	86.6%	-90	-1.1%	\$1,752	\$1,740	-0.7%
FL - Palm Beach	90.7%	88.2%	-250	-2.7%	\$2,429	\$2,469	1.7%
FL - Pensacola	88.4%	86.5%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,540	\$1,582	2.7%
FL - Tallahassee	90.5%	87.1%	-340	-3.7%	\$1,342	\$1,417	5.6%
FL - Tampa	89.2%	85.1%	-410	-4.6%	\$1,790	\$1,794	0.2%
Florida Average	87.9%	85.4%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,901	\$1,908	0.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	90.9%	92.9%	200	2.2%	\$973	\$981	0.8%
GA - Atlanta	87.6%	85.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,621	\$1,604	-1.1%
GA - Augusta	87.1%	88.2%	110	1.2%	\$1,150	\$1,189	3.4%
GA - Columbus	94.3%	89.0%	-529	-5.6%	\$1,125	\$1,186	5.4%
GA - Macon	83.1%	87.4%	430	5.2%	\$1,137	\$1,146	0.8%
GA - Outlying	91.8%	94.0%	219	2.4%	\$1,551	\$1,563	0.8%
GA - Savannah	88.8%	81.4%	-740	-8.3%	\$1,603	\$1,683	5.0%
Georgia Average	87.8%	85.8%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,555	\$1,553	-0.1%
IA - Des Moines	88.9%	86.4%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,100	\$1,149	4.5%
IA - Outlying	90.6%	94.9%	429	4.8%	\$1,019	\$1,051	3.1%
Iowa Average	89.0%	87.1%	-190	-2.2%	\$1,094	\$1,142	4.4%
ID - Boise	85.1%	82.2%	-290	-3.5%	\$1,522	\$1,552	2.0%
ID - Outlying	95.7%	96.2%	50	0.5%	\$935	\$928	-0.7%
Idaho Average	85.5%	82.6%	-290	-3.5%	\$1,495	\$1,528	2.2%
IL - Chicago	93.2%	92.3%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,929	\$2,020	4.7%
IL - Moline	95.6%	87.5%	-809	-8.6%	\$911	\$989	8.6%
IL - Outlying	73.4%	87.9%	1450	19.7%	\$706	\$788	11.6%
IL - Springfield	92.6%	93.9%	129	1.4%	\$1,005	\$1,050	4.4%
Illinois Average	93.2%	92.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,806	\$1,894	4.8%
IN - Evansville	94.1%	95.9%	180	1.9%	\$938	\$1,001	6.7%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.1%	95.1%	100	1.1%	\$1,035	\$1,082	4.5%
IN - Indianapolis	90.8%	90.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,229	\$1,284	4.4%
IN - Outlying	98.4%	97.1%	-130	-1.3%	\$904	\$1,013	12.1%
IN - South Bend	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.4%	\$1,148	\$1,224	6.6%
Indiana Average	91.5%	91.4%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,185	\$1,242	4.8%
KS - Outlying	96.3%	97.1%	80	0.9%	\$689	\$707	2.7%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.2%	94.3%	109	1.2%	\$925	\$979	5.9%
KS - Wichita	90.6%	90.9%	30	0.3%	\$877	\$900	2.6%
Kansas Average	91.8%	92.3%	50	0.6%	\$891	\$927	4.0%
KY - Lexington	94.2%	90.5%	-369	-3.9%	\$1,125	\$1,194	6.2%
KY - Louisville	90.1%	87.8%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,173	\$1,241	5.8%
KY - Outlying	98.7%	91.9%	-679	-6.8%	\$772	\$842	9.0%
Kentucky Average	91.5%	88.7%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,149	\$1,218	6.0%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.8%	88.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,131	\$1,143	1.1%
LA - Lake Charles	89.9%	88.3%	-160	-1.8%	\$997	\$1,007	1.1%
LA - Monroe	91.2%	91.8%	60	0.6%	\$916	\$938	2.4%
LA - New Orleans	89.4%	89.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,231	\$1,251	1.6%
LA - Outlying	87.8%	85.4%	-240	-2.8%	\$851	\$884	4.0%
LA - Shreveport	89.8%	90.1%	30	0.4%	\$981	\$1,023	4.2%
Louisiana Average	88.9%	88.9%	0	0.1%	\$1,124	\$1,145	1.9%
MA - Boston	91.0%	91.0%	0	-0.1%	\$2,723	\$2,826	3.8%
MA - Springfield	95.9%	97.5%	160	1.6%	\$1,650	\$1,699	3.0%

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

**NATIONWIDE**  
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,  
RELIABLE  
DATA**

**DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE**

## Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

**Thousands** of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

### Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

### What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

### How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



## LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/our-services](http://alndata.co/our-services) to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data  
[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)  
800-643-6416 x 3  
[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	91.2%	91.2%	0	-0.1%	\$2,681	\$2,784	3.8%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	92.0%	92.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,649	\$1,707	3.5%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	87.1%	98.1%	1099	12.6%	\$1,456	\$1,501	3.1%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	91.9%	92.6%	70	0.8%	\$1,644	\$1,702	3.5%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	86.6%	86.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,898	\$1,948	2.7%
<b>Maine Average</b>	86.6%	86.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,898	\$1,948	2.7%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	92.6%	93.7%	110	1.1%	\$1,280	\$1,336	4.4%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	92.6%	92.2%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,263	\$1,328	5.2%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	94.8%	94.9%	10	0.1%	\$1,068	\$1,130	5.8%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	92.5%	93.2%	70	0.8%	\$1,268	\$1,326	4.6%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	90.8%	88.7%	-210	-2.4%	\$1,479	\$1,530	3.4%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	91.4%	72.6%	-1880	-20.6%	\$1,253	\$1,315	5.0%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	90.8%	88.3%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,472	\$1,523	3.4%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	95.6%	96.0%	40	0.4%	\$961	\$1,050	9.3%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	91.0%	90.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,282	\$1,340	4.5%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	76.9%	89.1%	1220	15.9%	\$668	\$702	5.0%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	93.7%	90.9%	-280	-3.0%	\$909	\$954	4.9%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	89.6%	88.7%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,282	\$1,334	4.1%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	90.6%	89.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,246	\$1,302	4.5%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,047	\$1,105	5.5%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	90.5%	89.3%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,106	\$1,164	5.3%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	93.5%	94.8%	129	1.5%	\$1,040	\$1,135	9.1%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	92.0%	91.6%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,074	\$1,141	6.3%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	91.5%	89.0%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,634	\$1,665	1.9%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	87.4%	84.2%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,570	\$1,567	-0.2%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	89.7%	91.3%	160	1.8%	\$1,216	\$1,249	2.7%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	91.4%	91.9%	50	0.6%	\$1,194	\$1,229	2.9%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	89.5%	97.3%	779	8.8%	\$1,223	\$1,383	13.1%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	87.5%	85.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,502	\$1,492	-0.7%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	87.7%	84.0%	-370	-4.2%	\$1,430	\$1,447	1.2%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	88.2%	86.2%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,472	\$1,478	0.4%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	96.0%	96.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,084	\$1,160	7.0%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	93.8%	88.7%	-509	-5.5%	\$985	\$1,025	4.1%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	91.4%	92.4%	100	1.1%	\$1,099	\$1,178	7.2%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	93.0%	91.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,043	\$1,100	5.5%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	88.3%	88.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,132	\$1,171	3.4%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	91.2%	91.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,192	\$1,247	4.7%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	96.6%	98.3%	170	1.8%	\$864	\$913	5.7%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	90.7%	91.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,171	\$1,222	4.4%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	91.0%	89.6%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,347	\$1,393	3.5%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	91.7%	93.4%	170	1.9%	\$1,256	\$1,330	5.9%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	91.1%	89.8%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,337	\$1,387	3.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	88.4%	89.5%	110	1.3%	\$1,452	\$1,469	1.2%
NV - Outlying	88.6%	93.2%	460	5.2%	\$1,215	\$1,294	6.5%
NV - Reno	84.1%	88.3%	420	5.0%	\$1,577	\$1,636	3.7%
Nevada Average	87.5%	89.3%	180	2.0%	\$1,473	\$1,498	1.7%
NY - Albany	89.8%	89.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,540	\$1,589	3.2%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.7%	93.1%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,305	\$1,388	6.4%
NY - New York City	85.7%	81.8%	-390	-4.6%	\$3,096	\$3,222	4.1%
NY - Outlying	90.0%	87.8%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,193	\$1,218	2.0%
New York Average	86.8%	83.3%	-350	-4.0%	\$2,767	\$2,887	4.3%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.0%	91.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,262	\$1,312	3.9%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.2%	91.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,144	\$1,210	5.8%
OH - Columbus	90.6%	89.8%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,273	\$1,331	4.6%
OH - Outlying	99.7%	99.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$827	\$880	6.4%
OH - Toledo	94.2%	93.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$935	\$974	4.2%
Ohio Average	91.3%	91.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,211	\$1,269	4.8%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.0%	89.8%	80	0.9%	\$969	\$1,002	3.5%
OK - Outlying	88.7%	86.1%	-260	-2.9%	\$823	\$884	7.4%
OK - Tulsa	90.8%	91.4%	60	0.6%	\$962	\$1,016	5.6%
Oklahoma Average	89.8%	90.4%	60	0.7%	\$963	\$1,005	4.4%
OR - Outlying	82.0%	93.1%	1110	13.4%	\$1,357	\$1,395	2.8%
OR - Portland	90.8%	89.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,682	\$1,726	2.6%
Oregon Average	90.7%	90.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,678	\$1,721	2.6%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	91.7%	88.6%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,402	\$1,461	4.2%
PA - Outlying	96.8%	95.3%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,289	\$1,378	6.9%
PA - Philadelphia	91.9%	89.6%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,758	\$1,817	3.3%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,380	\$1,429	3.5%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.2%	96.8%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,327	\$1,371	3.3%
Pennsylvania Average	92.1%	90.2%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,655	\$1,712	3.5%
RI - Providence	96.6%	94.2%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,971	\$2,061	4.6%
Rhode Island Average	96.6%	94.2%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,971	\$2,061	4.6%
SC - Charleston	87.7%	86.5%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,764	\$1,799	2.0%
SC - Columbia	89.2%	90.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,251	\$1,300	3.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	84.9%	84.2%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,335	\$1,349	1.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	79.9%	69.1%	-1080	-13.5%	\$1,555	\$1,581	1.7%
SC - Outlying	93.0%	95.8%	279	3.0%	\$1,225	\$1,236	0.9%
South Carolina Average	86.4%	84.9%	-150	-1.8%	\$1,475	\$1,511	2.4%
SD - Outlying	99.2%	98.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,006	\$1,020	1.4%
SD - Rapid City	66.9%	75.9%	899	13.4%	\$1,257	\$1,222	-2.7%
SD - Sioux Falls	88.5%	90.9%	240	2.8%	\$1,068	\$1,073	0.5%
South Dakota Average	83.4%	87.8%	440	5.3%	\$1,104	\$1,101	-0.3%
TN - Chattanooga	87.5%	84.0%	-350	-4.1%	\$1,372	\$1,401	2.1%
TN - Knoxville	92.7%	92.8%	10	0.1%	\$1,426	\$1,492	4.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.4%	89.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,163	\$1,178	1.2%
TN - Nashville	87.7%	85.7%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,605	\$1,614	0.5%
TN - Outlying	96.8%	95.4%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,080	\$1,132	4.8%
Tennessee Average	89.1%	87.3%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,435	\$1,460	1.8%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	88.8%	87.4%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,523	\$1,508	-1.0%
TX - Greater Dallas	89.9%	88.4%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,575	\$1,556	-1.2%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	87.4%	87.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,405	\$1,399	-0.4%
TX - Abilene	88.6%	92.8%	420	4.7%	\$948	\$989	4.3%
TX - Amarillo	89.0%	89.9%	90	1.0%	\$922	\$943	2.3%
TX - Austin	85.7%	84.0%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,597	\$1,501	-6.0%
TX - Beaumont	89.7%	89.3%	-40	-0.5%	\$995	\$1,037	4.2%
TX - College Station	92.9%	93.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,460	\$1,534	5.1%
TX - Corpus Christi	85.8%	87.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,122	\$1,134	1.1%
TX - El Paso	93.4%	91.7%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,060	\$1,092	3.0%
TX - Houston	88.8%	88.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,312	\$1,329	1.3%
TX - Laredo	93.7%	94.8%	109	1.2%	\$1,103	\$1,131	2.6%
TX - Longview/Tyler	88.7%	88.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,092	\$1,109	1.5%
TX - Lubbock	89.3%	87.5%	-180	-2.0%	\$918	\$922	0.5%
TX - Lufkin	88.8%	88.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$902	\$949	5.2%
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.1%	92.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,353	\$1,403	3.7%
TX - Outlying	90.1%	94.2%	409	4.6%	\$978	\$1,019	4.2%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	93.3%	90.4%	-290	-3.2%	\$993	\$988	-0.5%
TX - San Angelo	91.3%	91.3%	0	0.1%	\$1,019	\$1,046	2.7%
TX - San Antonio	87.7%	84.5%	-320	-3.7%	\$1,232	\$1,222	-0.8%
TX - Texarkana	89.0%	88.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$877	\$888	1.3%
TX - Victoria	90.0%	94.7%	469	5.2%	\$999	\$1,037	3.7%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	90.8%	85.2%	-560	-6.1%	\$1,135	\$1,134	0.0%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.7%	84.1%	-560	-6.3%	\$854	\$857	0.4%
Texas Average	88.5%	87.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,386	\$1,377	-0.6%
UT - Outlying	76.1%	85.0%	889	11.8%	\$1,495	\$1,547	3.5%
UT - Salt Lake City	86.1%	86.8%	70	0.8%	\$1,556	\$1,548	-0.5%
Utah Average	85.8%	86.7%	90	1.1%	\$1,554	\$1,548	-0.4%
VA - Norfolk	93.2%	92.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,491	\$1,546	3.6%
VA - Richmond	90.0%	90.5%	50	0.6%	\$1,509	\$1,578	4.6%
VA - Roanoke	93.1%	94.0%	89	0.9%	\$1,209	\$1,249	3.3%
Virginia Average	91.8%	91.7%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,475	\$1,535	4.0%
WA - Outlying	96.7%	88.9%	-779	-8.1%	\$1,098	\$1,191	8.5%
WA - SE Washington	90.7%	93.5%	280	3.0%	\$1,367	\$1,415	3.6%
WA - Seattle	91.2%	90.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$2,078	\$2,124	2.2%
WA - Spokane	91.1%	87.9%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,375	\$1,412	2.7%
Washington Average	91.2%	90.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,981	\$2,026	2.3%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	91.6%	87.7%	-390	-4.2%	\$976	\$1,056	8.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	89.1%	86.8%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,443	\$1,515	5.0%
WI - Milwaukee	92.4%	91.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,417	\$1,502	5.9%
WI - Outlying	83.7%	69.6%	-1410	-16.9%	\$1,084	\$1,143	5.4%
Wisconsin Average	90.6%	88.3%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,342	\$1,419	5.7%
WV - Charleston	93.0%	91.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,055	\$1,101	4.4%
WV - Outlying	96.6%	98.5%	190	1.9%	\$1,004	\$1,096	9.1%
West Virginia Average	93.9%	92.9%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,043	\$1,100	5.4%
CT - Hartford	93.9%	92.1%	-179	-2.0%	\$1,760	\$1,870	6.2%
DC - Washington	92.0%	90.5%	-150	-1.6%	\$2,140	\$2,238	4.6%
DE - Outlying	89.7%	83.8%	-590	-6.6%	\$1,626	\$1,698	4.4%
HI - State of Hawaii	92.1%	94.1%	199	2.2%	\$2,222	\$2,380	7.1%
MT - Outlying	76.2%	78.4%	220	3.0%	\$1,553	\$1,690	8.8%
NH - Concord	92.3%	77.6%	-1470	-15.9%	\$1,757	\$1,868	6.3%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	76.3%	-2370	-23.7%	\$1,850	\$2,011	8.7%
WY - Outlying	94.2%	94.6%	40	0.4%	\$1,195	\$1,297	8.6%
National Average	89.6%	88.3%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,696	\$1,733	2.1%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)