

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jul-23	Jul-24	bps	%CHG	Jul-23	Jul-24	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.9%	92.1%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,399	\$1,450	3.6%
AK - Outlying	94.1%	94.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,570	\$1,572	0.1%
Alaska Average	95.6%	92.7%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,440	\$1,479	2.7%
AL - Birmingham	89.0%	88.7%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,189	\$1,197	0.7%
AL - Huntsville	87.4%	82.0%	-540	-6.2%	\$1,149	\$1,180	2.7%
AL - Mobile	93.9%	90.8%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,190	\$1,213	1.9%
AL - Montgomery	90.2%	89.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$982	\$1,017	3.6%
AL - Outlying	92.9%	95.4%	249	2.7%	\$841	\$868	3.3%
Alabama Average	89.7%	87.3%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,139	\$1,161	1.9%
AR - Little Rock	87.6%	90.6%	300	3.4%	\$973	\$1,007	3.6%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	93.3%	89.1%	-420	-4.5%	\$993	\$1,021	2.9%
AR - Outlying	94.1%	96.8%	270	2.9%	\$753	\$815	8.2%
Arkansas Average	90.4%	90.5%	10	0.1%	\$962	\$997	3.6%
AZ - Flagstaff	91.8%	90.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,869	\$1,867	-0.1%
AZ - Outlying	93.0%	94.2%	119	1.3%	\$1,126	\$1,146	1.8%
AZ - Phoenix	88.0%	87.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,607	\$1,556	-3.1%
AZ - Tucson	90.9%	89.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,190	\$1,184	-0.5%
Arizona Average	88.5%	88.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,539	\$1,498	-2.6%
CA - Central Coast	94.7%	93.6%	-109	-1.2%	\$2,544	\$2,630	3.4%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	90.9%	91.3%	40	0.4%	\$2,709	\$2,728	0.7%
CA - Outlying	95.4%	96.4%	100	1.0%	\$1,274	\$1,332	4.6%
CA - Sacramento	93.0%	93.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,894	\$1,929	1.9%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.2%	93.6%	40	0.4%	\$2,171	\$2,171	0.0%
CA - San Diego	94.7%	92.6%	-209	-2.2%	\$2,722	\$2,715	-0.3%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.8%	90.8%	0	0.0%	\$2,897	\$2,897	0.0%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.1%	95.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,539	\$1,585	3.0%
California Average	92.2%	92.2%	0	0.0%	\$2,552	\$2,568	0.6%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	89.8%	87.1%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,853	\$1,860	0.4%
CO - Outlying	95.3%	90.0%	-529	-5.5%	\$1,740	\$1,851	6.4%
Colorado Average	89.7%	87.2%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,851	\$1,860	0.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.6%	87.9%	-270	-3.0%	\$2,415	\$2,427	0.5%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.0%	78.2%	-579	-6.9%	\$2,032	\$1,960	-3.5%
FL - Gainesville	91.7%	90.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,658	\$1,672	0.9%
FL - Jacksonville	88.1%	83.3%	-480	-5.5%	\$1,516	\$1,463	-3.5%
FL - Melbourne	88.8%	89.6%	80	0.9%	\$1,688	\$1,668	-1.2%
FL - Miami	87.0%	86.7%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,466	\$2,533	2.7%
FL - Orlando	88.6%	87.4%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,807	\$1,761	-2.6%
FL - Palm Beach	89.5%	92.2%	270	3.0%	\$2,422	\$2,427	0.2%
FL - Pensacola	87.6%	87.2%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,569	\$1,574	0.3%
FL - Tallahassee	92.0%	90.3%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,350	\$1,393	3.2%
FL - Tampa	90.2%	87.9%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,821	\$1,783	-2.1%
Florida Average	88.8%	87.1%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,932	\$1,911	-1.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	86.7%	92.5%	580	6.7%	\$967	\$997	3.1%
GA - Atlanta	89.0%	85.7%	-330	-3.7%	\$1,654	\$1,601	-3.2%
GA - Augusta	88.6%	89.6%	100	1.2%	\$1,146	\$1,165	1.6%
GA - Columbus	94.4%	91.7%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,127	\$1,163	3.2%
GA - Macon	88.4%	87.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,155	\$1,149	-0.5%
GA - Outlying	96.1%	89.3%	-679	-7.1%	\$1,542	\$1,419	-7.9%
GA - Savannah	88.5%	82.6%	-590	-6.7%	\$1,574	\$1,678	6.6%
Georgia Average	89.2%	86.0%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,579	\$1,549	-1.9%
IA - Des Moines	93.2%	90.5%	-270	-2.9%	\$1,100	\$1,147	4.3%
IA - Outlying	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,018	\$1,053	3.4%
Iowa Average	93.1%	90.6%	-250	-2.6%	\$1,093	\$1,139	4.2%
ID - Boise	85.6%	82.6%	-300	-3.6%	\$1,560	\$1,554	-0.4%
ID - Outlying	92.2%	94.0%	179	1.9%	\$915	\$927	1.2%
Idaho Average	85.9%	82.9%	-300	-3.5%	\$1,528	\$1,528	0.0%
IL - Chicago	93.5%	92.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,929	\$1,988	3.0%
IL - Moline	94.9%	88.9%	-599	-6.3%	\$905	\$968	7.0%
IL - Outlying	89.9%	83.8%	-610	-6.8%	\$683	\$697	2.1%
IL - Springfield	94.2%	94.6%	40	0.4%	\$1,006	\$1,048	4.2%
Illinois Average	93.5%	92.6%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,806	\$1,865	3.3%
IN - Evansville	94.1%	95.1%	100	1.1%	\$928	\$973	4.9%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.8%	93.6%	-19	-0.2%	\$1,030	\$1,059	2.8%
IN - Indianapolis	92.3%	91.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,217	\$1,268	4.1%
IN - Outlying	97.2%	98.6%	140	1.4%	\$951	\$990	4.1%
IN - South Bend	94.3%	93.4%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,135	\$1,167	2.8%
Indiana Average	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,174	\$1,220	4.0%
KS - Outlying	97.7%	97.9%	20	0.2%	\$678	\$706	4.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.1%	93.9%	179	1.9%	\$916	\$959	4.7%
KS - Wichita	92.1%	92.9%	80	0.8%	\$875	\$903	3.2%
Kansas Average	92.2%	93.4%	120	1.2%	\$887	\$920	3.8%
KY - Lexington	93.3%	91.3%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,114	\$1,163	4.4%
KY - Louisville	91.9%	91.6%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,167	\$1,229	5.3%
KY - Outlying	96.8%	93.8%	-300	-3.1%	\$749	\$800	6.8%
Kentucky Average	92.3%	91.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,141	\$1,199	5.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.3%	88.5%	20	0.2%	\$1,139	\$1,139	0.0%
LA - Lake Charles	84.2%	89.0%	480	5.7%	\$1,001	\$1,015	1.5%
LA - Monroe	92.2%	90.1%	-210	-2.3%	\$920	\$940	2.2%
LA - New Orleans	88.6%	89.1%	50	0.6%	\$1,249	\$1,259	0.9%
LA - Outlying	87.4%	87.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$850	\$859	1.0%
LA - Shreveport	89.2%	89.2%	0	-0.1%	\$991	\$1,001	1.1%
Louisiana Average	88.5%	88.8%	30	0.4%	\$1,136	\$1,143	0.6%
MA - Boston	91.1%	90.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$2,730	\$2,798	2.5%
MA - Springfield	96.8%	97.0%	20	0.2%	\$1,625	\$1,703	4.8%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA**

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	91.2%	90.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,687	\$2,757	2.6%
MD - Baltimore	92.1%	91.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,653	\$1,696	2.6%
MD - Outlying	99.0%	97.9%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,430	\$1,462	2.3%
Maryland Average	92.3%	91.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,648	\$1,690	2.6%
ME - Augusta/Portland	92.5%	88.2%	-430	-4.7%	\$1,932	\$1,976	2.3%
Maine Average	92.5%	88.2%	-430	-4.7%	\$1,932	\$1,976	2.3%
MI - Detroit	92.9%	93.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,277	\$1,315	2.9%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.4%	92.0%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,249	\$1,305	4.5%
MI - Outlying	95.4%	95.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,049	\$1,101	5.0%
Michigan Average	93.1%	92.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,262	\$1,304	3.3%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.9%	90.3%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,481	\$1,519	2.6%
MN - Outlying	96.8%	77.9%	-1889	-19.5%	\$1,246	\$1,311	5.3%
Minnesota Average	92.0%	90.0%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,474	\$1,513	2.6%
MO - Columbia	96.1%	95.9%	-20	-0.3%	\$973	\$1,068	9.8%
MO - Kansas City	91.8%	91.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,273	\$1,317	3.5%
MO - Outlying	94.0%	83.9%	-1009	-10.8%	\$644	\$698	8.3%
MO - Springfield	96.9%	92.8%	-409	-4.3%	\$911	\$946	3.9%
MO - St. Louis	90.1%	90.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,259	\$1,308	3.9%
Missouri Average	91.2%	90.8%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,234	\$1,280	3.7%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.2%	92.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,029	\$1,087	5.6%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	91.4%	88.4%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,116	\$1,137	1.9%
MS - Outlying	92.7%	93.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,036	\$1,081	4.4%
Mississippi Average	92.2%	91.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,073	\$1,109	3.4%
NC - Asheville	92.1%	92.9%	80	0.8%	\$1,639	\$1,676	2.3%
NC - Charlotte	88.8%	85.8%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,594	\$1,556	-2.4%
NC - Fayetteville	93.6%	90.6%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,233	\$1,244	0.9%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.8%	91.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,190	\$1,231	3.5%
NC - Outlying	99.7%	98.5%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,244	\$1,390	11.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	88.4%	86.6%	-180	-2.1%	\$1,536	\$1,505	-2.0%
NC - Wilmington	88.7%	84.5%	-420	-4.7%	\$1,467	\$1,438	-2.0%
North Carolina Average	89.4%	87.2%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,496	\$1,477	-1.3%
ND - Bismarck	93.2%	96.3%	309	3.2%	\$1,106	\$1,144	3.4%
ND - Fargo	92.4%	89.0%	-340	-3.7%	\$958	\$1,003	4.7%
ND - Outlying	93.1%	91.6%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,070	\$1,153	7.7%
North Dakota Average	92.9%	91.2%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,022	\$1,079	5.6%
NE - Lincoln	91.4%	87.4%	-400	-4.5%	\$1,118	\$1,181	5.6%
NE - Omaha	89.5%	93.4%	390	4.4%	\$1,197	\$1,232	2.9%
NE - Outlying	96.8%	96.7%	-10	-0.1%	\$850	\$908	6.9%
Nebraska Average	90.0%	92.0%	200	2.2%	\$1,171	\$1,214	3.6%
NM - Albuquerque	92.4%	89.2%	-320	-3.4%	\$1,349	\$1,375	2.0%
NM - Outlying	93.8%	94.0%	20	0.2%	\$1,247	\$1,302	4.4%
New Mexico Average	92.5%	89.6%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,338	\$1,368	2.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	89.8%	89.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,469	\$1,463	-0.4%
NV - Outlying	94.6%	94.7%	10	0.2%	\$1,196	\$1,278	6.8%
NV - Reno	88.8%	87.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,579	\$1,614	2.3%
Nevada Average	89.3%	89.3%	0	0.0%	\$1,485	\$1,489	0.3%
NY - Albany	93.7%	88.6%	-510	-5.5%	\$1,516	\$1,576	3.9%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.5%	93.9%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,277	\$1,357	6.3%
NY - New York City	87.1%	85.1%	-200	-2.2%	\$3,090	\$3,185	3.1%
NY - Outlying	92.8%	91.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,220	\$1,219	-0.1%
New York Average	88.8%	86.1%	-270	-3.0%	\$2,757	\$2,845	3.2%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.3%	91.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,248	\$1,309	4.9%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.2%	92.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,136	\$1,201	5.8%
OH - Columbus	92.7%	91.7%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,274	\$1,320	3.6%
OH - Outlying	99.0%	99.7%	70	0.6%	\$825	\$850	3.0%
OH - Toledo	94.5%	93.5%	-99	-1.1%	\$935	\$959	2.6%
Ohio Average	92.8%	91.7%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,205	\$1,259	4.6%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.6%	90.4%	80	0.9%	\$958	\$981	2.4%
OK - Outlying	85.1%	93.6%	850	10.0%	\$811	\$851	5.0%
OK - Tulsa	92.6%	92.2%	-40	-0.4%	\$953	\$999	4.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.6%	91.2%	60	0.7%	\$953	\$986	3.4%
OR - Outlying	82.5%	97.0%	1450	17.6%	\$1,347	\$1,363	1.2%
OR - Portland	92.1%	91.4%	-70	-0.9%	\$1,714	\$1,717	0.1%
Oregon Average	91.7%	91.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,710	\$1,711	0.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	92.2%	88.4%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,389	\$1,443	3.9%
PA - Outlying	97.7%	97.3%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,284	\$1,323	3.0%
PA - Philadelphia	92.6%	91.5%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,753	\$1,800	2.7%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,380	\$1,421	3.0%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.9%	96.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,313	\$1,355	3.2%
Pennsylvania Average	92.5%	91.4%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,650	\$1,696	2.8%
RI - Providence	96.9%	94.5%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,956	\$2,030	3.8%
Rhode Island Average	96.9%	94.5%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,956	\$2,030	3.8%
SC - Charleston	88.6%	88.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,776	\$1,793	0.9%
SC - Columbia	90.3%	89.5%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,244	\$1,280	2.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	85.6%	85.7%	10	0.1%	\$1,340	\$1,331	-0.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	78.2%	73.2%	-500	-6.4%	\$1,566	\$1,541	-1.6%
SC - Outlying	93.5%	92.3%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,224	\$1,205	-1.6%
South Carolina Average	86.9%	86.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,479	\$1,491	0.9%
SD - Outlying	93.6%	98.2%	459	4.9%	\$990	\$1,036	4.6%
SD - Rapid City	80.2%	77.8%	-240	-3.1%	\$1,248	\$1,287	3.1%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.0%	88.0%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,073	\$1,086	1.2%
South Dakota Average	86.6%	86.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,102	\$1,126	2.3%
TN - Chattanooga	87.9%	88.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,369	\$1,371	0.1%
TN - Knoxville	95.4%	92.9%	-249	-2.5%	\$1,413	\$1,473	4.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	90.4%	90.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,177	\$1,179	0.1%
TN - Nashville	88.2%	86.6%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,634	\$1,612	-1.4%
TN - Outlying	94.8%	94.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,029	\$1,127	9.6%
Tennessee Average	89.7%	88.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,449	\$1,452	0.2%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.0%	88.3%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,549	\$1,516	-2.2%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.8%	89.1%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,603	\$1,562	-2.5%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	88.8%	87.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,433	\$1,413	-1.4%
TX - Abilene	88.5%	92.8%	430	4.9%	\$949	\$998	5.1%
TX - Amarillo	90.1%	89.9%	-20	-0.3%	\$914	\$924	1.1%
TX - Austin	86.8%	83.6%	-320	-3.7%	\$1,675	\$1,541	-7.9%
TX - Beaumont	88.7%	88.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$998	\$1,051	5.3%
TX - College Station	90.8%	89.3%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,441	\$1,522	5.6%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.6%	85.2%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,146	\$1,156	0.9%
TX - El Paso	94.2%	93.3%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,057	\$1,086	2.8%
TX - Houston	89.4%	88.6%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,326	\$1,327	0.1%
TX - Laredo	95.6%	94.7%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,100	\$1,141	3.7%
TX - Longview/Tyler	89.0%	89.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,118	\$1,095	-2.1%
TX - Lubbock	88.8%	85.8%	-300	-3.4%	\$917	\$919	0.3%
TX - Lufkin	87.8%	87.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$908	\$943	3.8%
TX - Midland-Odessa	93.3%	93.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,335	\$1,390	4.1%
TX - Outlying	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$944	\$1,010	7.0%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.6%	90.0%	-260	-2.8%	\$985	\$996	1.2%
TX - San Angelo	91.5%	92.0%	50	0.5%	\$1,027	\$1,030	0.3%
TX - San Antonio	87.8%	86.2%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,262	\$1,235	-2.2%
TX - Texarkana	88.9%	88.8%	-10	-0.2%	\$881	\$883	0.2%
TX - Victoria	89.1%	91.3%	220	2.5%	\$989	\$1,025	3.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	90.7%	85.2%	-550	-6.1%	\$1,128	\$1,139	1.0%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.0%	83.4%	-660	-7.3%	\$847	\$866	2.3%
Texas Average	89.2%	87.7%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,410	\$1,385	-1.8%
UT - Outlying	85.2%	85.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,574	\$1,527	-3.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	87.5%	87.9%	40	0.5%	\$1,573	\$1,549	-1.5%
Utah Average	86.2%	87.9%	170	1.9%	\$1,573	\$1,549	-1.5%
VA - Norfolk	93.4%	92.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,478	\$1,529	3.4%
VA - Richmond	91.2%	90.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,519	\$1,558	2.6%
VA - Roanoke	95.9%	94.6%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,193	\$1,231	3.2%
Virginia Average	92.6%	91.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,471	\$1,516	3.0%
WA - Outlying	85.8%	85.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,092	\$1,219	11.7%
WA - SE Washington	93.2%	91.6%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,369	\$1,417	3.5%
WA - Seattle	91.3%	90.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$2,097	\$2,114	0.8%
WA - Spokane	91.1%	86.7%	-440	-4.8%	\$1,396	\$1,410	1.0%
Washington Average	91.2%	90.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,001	\$2,018	0.9%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	94.4%	91.2%	-319	-3.5%	\$962	\$1,038	7.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	86.7%	92.7%	600	6.8%	\$1,414	\$1,501	6.1%
WI - Milwaukee	94.1%	92.2%	-189	-2.1%	\$1,407	\$1,475	4.8%
WI - Outlying	87.1%	75.5%	-1160	-13.3%	\$1,009	\$1,134	12.3%
Wisconsin Average	91.5%	90.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,324	\$1,398	5.6%
WV - Charleston	94.2%	96.0%	180	1.9%	\$1,031	\$1,068	3.5%
WV - Outlying	95.5%	97.9%	240	2.5%	\$975	\$1,064	9.1%
West Virginia Average	94.5%	96.5%	200	2.2%	\$1,019	\$1,067	4.7%
CT - Hartford	92.5%	93.1%	60	0.7%	\$1,745	\$1,843	5.6%
DC - Washington	92.3%	91.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,131	\$2,201	3.3%
DE - Outlying	86.8%	87.9%	110	1.3%	\$1,531	\$1,656	8.2%
HI - State of Hawaii	95.0%	92.6%	-239	-2.5%	\$2,289	\$2,431	6.2%
MT - Outlying	82.6%	81.8%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,566	\$1,690	7.9%
NH - Concord	91.7%	77.9%	-1380	-15.0%	\$1,753	\$1,848	5.4%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	89.2%	-1079	-10.8%	\$1,831	\$1,899	3.7%
WY - Outlying	95.6%	94.4%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,176	\$1,292	9.8%
National Average	90.4%	89.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,708	\$1,723	0.9%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com