

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Mar-23 | Mar-24 | bps | %CHG | Mar-23 | Mar-24 | %CHG |
| AK - Anchorage | 95.1% | 90.9% | -419 | -4.4% | \$1,300 | \$1,395 | 7.4% |
| AK - Outlying | 90.0% | 91.6% | 160 | 1.8% | \$1,533 | \$1,568 | 2.3% |
| Alaska Average | 93.7% | 91.1% | -260 | -2.8% | \$1,356 | \$1,438 | 6.0% |
| AL - Birmingham | 91.5% | 87.6% | -390 | -4.2% | \$1,152 | \$1,173 | 1.8% |
| AL - Huntsville | 87.8% | 84.3% | -350 | -4.0% | \$1,133 | \$1,152 | 1.6% |
| AL - Mobile | 92.2% | 91.4% | -80 | -0.9% | \$1,146 | \$1,175 | 2.5% |
| AL - Montgomery | 90.2% | 87.2% | -300 | -3.3% | \$960 | \$981 | 2.2% |
| AL - Outlying | 92.3% | 93.0% | 70 | 0.7% | \$811 | \$864 | 6.5% |
| Alabama Average | 90.5% | 87.4% | -310 | -3.4% | \$1,108 | \$1,132 | 2.2% |
| AR - Little Rock | 87.3% | 90.9% | 360 | 4.1% | \$937 | \$987 | 5.4% |
| AR - Northwest Arkansas | 93.1% | 89.7% | -340 | -3.6% | \$969 | \$1,017 | 5.0% |
| AR - Outlying | 94.6% | 95.5% | 90 | 1.0% | \$722 | \$770 | 6.6% |
| Arkansas Average | 90.3% | 90.6% | 30 | 0.4% | \$932 | \$980 | 5.2% |
| AZ - Flagstaff | 94.6% | 90.1% | -449 | -4.8% | \$1,775 | \$1,828 | 3.0% |
| AZ - Outlying | 94.4% | 94.9% | 50 | 0.5% | \$1,124 | \$1,145 | 1.8% |
| AZ - Phoenix | 89.2% | 87.7% | -150 | -1.6% | \$1,603 | \$1,554 | -3.1% |
| AZ - Tucson | 91.0% | 89.9% | -110 | -1.1% | \$1,173 | \$1,173 | 0.0% |
| Arizona Average | 89.6% | 88.1% | -150 | -1.6% | \$1,530 | \$1,494 | -2.4% |
| CA - Central Coast | 95.8% | 93.5% | -229 | -2.4% | \$2,502 | \$2,577 | 3.0% |
| CA - Los Angeles/OC | 91.5% | 91.4% | -10 | -0.1% | \$2,674 | \$2,706 | 1.2% |
| CA - Outlying | 96.2% | 96.5% | 30 | 0.3% | \$1,247 | \$1,316 | 5.5% |
| CA - Sacramento | 91.2% | 92.7% | 150 | 1.6% | \$1,875 | \$1,889 | 0.8% |
| CA - San Bernardino/Riverside | 94.1% | 93.1% | -99 | -1.0% | \$2,136 | \$2,133 | -0.1% |
| CA - San Diego | 94.9% | 93.2% | -169 | -1.8% | \$2,648 | \$2,685 | 1.4% |
| CA - San Francisco/Oakland | 90.7% | 90.8% | 10 | 0.1% | \$2,858 | \$2,841 | -0.6% |
| CA - San Joaquin Valley | 95.1% | 95.0% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,513 | \$1,560 | 3.1% |
| California Average | 92.4% | 92.1% | -30 | -0.3% | \$2,514 | \$2,532 | 0.7% |
| CO - Denver/Co Springs | 90.2% | 88.3% | -190 | -2.1% | \$1,812 | \$1,822 | 0.6% |
| CO - Outlying | 95.0% | 95.1% | 10 | 0.1% | \$1,688 | \$1,790 | 6.0% |
| Colorado Average | 90.1% | 88.2% | -190 | -2.2% | \$1,810 | \$1,822 | 0.6% |
| FL - Fort Lauderdale | 92.6% | 90.2% | -240 | -2.7% | \$2,394 | \$2,422 | 1.2% |
| FL - Fort Myers/Naples | 89.7% | 85.4% | -430 | -4.9% | \$2,155 | \$1,976 | -8.3% |
| FL - Gainesville | 94.6% | 91.6% | -299 | -3.2% | \$1,619 | \$1,648 | 1.8% |
| FL - Jacksonville | 89.5% | 85.8% | -370 | -4.1% | \$1,513 | \$1,466 | -3.1% |
| FL - Melbourne | 88.9% | 90.7% | 180 | 1.9% | \$1,686 | \$1,643 | -2.5% |
| FL - Miami | 88.3% | 86.9% | -140 | -1.6% | \$2,452 | \$2,521 | 2.8% |
| FL - Orlando | 90.0% | 87.5% | -250 | -2.8% | \$1,806 | \$1,749 | -3.2% |
| FL - Palm Beach | 92.6% | 91.8% | -80 | -0.9% | \$2,405 | \$2,436 | 1.3% |
| FL - Pensacola | 88.4% | 88.8% | 40 | 0.5% | \$1,543 | \$1,529 | -0.9% |
| FL - Tallahassee | 91.0% | 89.8% | -120 | -1.3% | \$1,351 | \$1,365 | 1.0% |
| FL - Tampa | 90.5% | 88.9% | -160 | -1.8% | \$1,803 | \$1,790 | -0.7% |
| Florida Average | 90.2% | 88.3% | -190 | -2.2% | \$1,923 | \$1,907 | -0.9% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|-------|----------------|---------|--------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| GA - Albany | 91.5% | 92.2% | 70 | 0.7% | \$937 | \$982 | 4.8% |
| GA - Atlanta | 90.3% | 87.6% | -270 | -3.0% | \$1,657 | \$1,604 | -3.2% |
| GA - Augusta | 90.0% | 90.5% | 50 | 0.5% | \$1,125 | \$1,142 | 1.6% |
| GA - Columbus | 93.7% | 95.0% | 129 | 1.3% | \$1,106 | \$1,149 | 3.9% |
| GA - Macon | 88.4% | 86.3% | -210 | -2.4% | \$1,142 | \$1,134 | -0.7% |
| GA - Outlying | 88.4% | 88.0% | -40 | -0.5% | \$1,478 | \$1,350 | -8.7% |
| GA - Savannah | 88.5% | 87.2% | -130 | -1.6% | \$1,550 | \$1,612 | 4.0% |
| Georgia Average | 90.3% | 88.1% | -220 | -2.4% | \$1,577 | \$1,542 | -2.2% |
| IA - Des Moines | 90.8% | 89.8% | -100 | -1.1% | \$1,070 | \$1,109 | 3.7% |
| IA - Outlying | 92.6% | 93.6% | 100 | 1.1% | \$993 | \$1,036 | 4.3% |
| Iowa Average | 91.0% | 90.1% | -90 | -0.9% | \$1,063 | \$1,104 | 3.8% |
| ID - Boise | 87.5% | 84.0% | -350 | -4.0% | \$1,534 | \$1,524 | -0.6% |
| ID - Outlying | 98.8% | 94.6% | -420 | -4.3% | \$1,148 | \$938 | -18.3% |
| Idaho Average | 87.9% | 83.4% | -450 | -5.1% | \$1,512 | \$1,498 | -0.9% |
| IL - Chicago | 93.0% | 92.9% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,869 | \$1,936 | 3.6% |
| IL - Moline | 93.9% | 90.8% | -309 | -3.3% | \$898 | \$944 | 5.2% |
| IL - Outlying | 85.6% | 85.2% | -40 | -0.4% | \$667 | \$792 | 18.8% |
| IL - Springfield | 93.9% | 92.1% | -179 | -1.9% | \$957 | \$1,012 | 5.8% |
| Illinois Average | 93.1% | 92.7% | -40 | -0.4% | \$1,749 | \$1,817 | 3.9% |
| IN - Evansville | 93.5% | 94.8% | 129 | 1.4% | \$916 | \$971 | 5.9% |
| IN - Fort Wayne | 93.7% | 94.6% | 89 | 1.0% | \$1,019 | \$1,034 | 1.5% |
| IN - Indianapolis | 92.4% | 91.4% | -100 | -1.0% | \$1,190 | \$1,248 | 4.9% |
| IN - Outlying | 98.6% | 100.0% | 140 | 1.5% | \$927 | \$979 | 5.6% |
| IN - South Bend | 92.7% | 91.6% | -110 | -1.2% | \$1,105 | \$1,148 | 4.0% |
| Indiana Average | 92.6% | 91.9% | -70 | -0.7% | \$1,148 | \$1,201 | 4.6% |
| KS - Outlying | 97.3% | 99.0% | 170 | 1.7% | \$666 | \$688 | 3.3% |
| KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence | 90.7% | 94.1% | 339 | 3.7% | \$898 | \$937 | 4.4% |
| KS - Wichita | 94.2% | 92.3% | -189 | -2.0% | \$843 | \$893 | 5.9% |
| Kansas Average | 92.9% | 93.1% | 20 | 0.3% | \$861 | \$905 | 5.1% |
| KY - Lexington | 91.8% | 93.8% | 199 | 2.1% | \$1,071 | \$1,122 | 4.8% |
| KY - Louisville | 91.9% | 91.4% | -50 | -0.5% | \$1,153 | \$1,202 | 4.3% |
| KY - Outlying | 96.2% | 96.3% | 10 | 0.1% | \$740 | \$791 | 6.8% |
| Kentucky Average | 91.9% | 92.1% | 20 | 0.3% | \$1,119 | \$1,170 | 4.6% |
| LA - Baton Rouge | 90.3% | 87.3% | -300 | -3.3% | \$1,106 | \$1,116 | 0.9% |
| LA - Lake Charles | 83.4% | 87.8% | 440 | 5.3% | \$987 | \$996 | 1.0% |
| LA - Monroe | 88.3% | 89.5% | 120 | 1.3% | \$909 | \$919 | 1.1% |
| LA - New Orleans | 88.6% | 89.4% | 80 | 1.0% | \$1,211 | \$1,239 | 2.3% |
| LA - Outlying | 88.9% | 89.4% | 50 | 0.6% | \$843 | \$865 | 2.6% |
| LA - Shreveport | 89.1% | 90.1% | 100 | 1.2% | \$961 | \$983 | 2.3% |
| Louisiana Average | 89.1% | 88.5% | -60 | -0.6% | \$1,104 | \$1,123 | 1.7% |
| MA - Boston | 91.5% | 91.5% | 0 | 0.1% | \$2,652 | \$2,730 | 2.9% |
| MA - Springfield | 97.0% | 95.2% | -180 | -1.9% | \$1,592 | \$1,687 | 6.0% |

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA**

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|--------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| Massachusetts Average | 91.6% | 91.7% | 10 | 0.1% | \$2,610 | \$2,691 | 3.1% |
| MD - Baltimore | 92.8% | 91.7% | -110 | -1.2% | \$1,639 | \$1,655 | 1.0% |
| MD - Outlying | 98.3% | 97.4% | -90 | -0.9% | \$1,412 | \$1,452 | 2.8% |
| Maryland Average | 92.9% | 91.8% | -110 | -1.2% | \$1,634 | \$1,651 | 1.0% |
| ME - Augusta/Portland | 94.9% | 86.8% | -809 | -8.5% | \$1,823 | \$1,937 | 6.3% |
| Maine Average | 94.9% | 86.8% | -809 | -8.5% | \$1,823 | \$1,937 | 6.3% |
| MI - Detroit | 93.2% | 92.0% | -120 | -1.3% | \$1,252 | \$1,286 | 2.7% |
| MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek | 94.6% | 92.7% | -189 | -2.0% | \$1,216 | \$1,270 | 4.4% |
| MI - Outlying | 95.0% | 92.2% | -279 | -2.9% | \$1,029 | \$1,091 | 5.9% |
| Michigan Average | 93.6% | 92.0% | -160 | -1.7% | \$1,236 | \$1,275 | 3.1% |
| MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul | 91.7% | 90.7% | -100 | -1.1% | \$1,450 | \$1,494 | 3.0% |
| MN - Outlying | 96.4% | 92.5% | -389 | -4.1% | \$1,197 | \$1,259 | 5.2% |
| Minnesota Average | 91.8% | 90.7% | -110 | -1.2% | \$1,443 | \$1,487 | 3.0% |
| MO - Columbia | 98.0% | 96.3% | -170 | -1.7% | \$932 | \$1,064 | 14.2% |
| MO - Kansas City | 91.8% | 90.7% | -110 | -1.3% | \$1,239 | \$1,277 | 3.1% |
| MO - Outlying | 90.7% | 86.0% | -470 | -5.2% | \$643 | \$693 | 7.8% |
| MO - Springfield | 96.5% | 93.1% | -339 | -3.6% | \$892 | \$923 | 3.5% |
| MO - St. Louis | 91.0% | 89.1% | -190 | -2.1% | \$1,236 | \$1,287 | 4.1% |
| Missouri Average | 91.7% | 90.3% | -140 | -1.6% | \$1,205 | \$1,249 | 3.7% |
| MS - Gulfport/Biloxi | 91.6% | 91.5% | -10 | -0.1% | \$1,009 | \$1,052 | 4.3% |
| MS - Jackson/Central MS | 89.9% | 88.3% | -160 | -1.8% | \$1,091 | \$1,108 | 1.6% |
| MS - Outlying | 94.3% | 92.6% | -169 | -1.8% | \$1,038 | \$1,061 | 2.2% |
| Mississippi Average | 91.5% | 90.3% | -120 | -1.3% | \$1,057 | \$1,081 | 2.3% |
| NC - Asheville | 95.5% | 94.1% | -140 | -1.5% | \$1,652 | \$1,641 | -0.7% |
| NC - Charlotte | 89.5% | 87.7% | -180 | -2.0% | \$1,571 | \$1,541 | -1.9% |
| NC - Fayetteville | 94.1% | 90.4% | -369 | -4.0% | \$1,237 | \$1,223 | -1.2% |
| NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem | 91.3% | 91.6% | 30 | 0.4% | \$1,178 | \$1,201 | 1.9% |
| NC - Outlying | 99.5% | 98.3% | -120 | -1.2% | \$1,203 | \$1,307 | 8.6% |
| NC - Raleigh-Durham | 90.4% | 87.7% | -270 | -2.9% | \$1,513 | \$1,483 | -2.0% |
| NC - Wilmington | 91.8% | 88.1% | -370 | -4.0% | \$1,424 | \$1,396 | -2.0% |
| North Carolina Average | 90.6% | 88.6% | -200 | -2.3% | \$1,475 | \$1,454 | -1.4% |
| ND - Bismarck | 94.0% | 95.7% | 170 | 1.8% | \$1,064 | \$1,111 | 4.3% |
| ND - Fargo | 91.7% | 89.2% | -250 | -2.7% | \$942 | \$1,009 | 7.2% |
| ND - Outlying | 89.9% | 91.1% | 120 | 1.3% | \$989 | \$1,140 | 15.3% |
| North Dakota Average | 91.1% | 91.3% | 20 | 0.2% | \$977 | \$1,072 | 9.7% |
| NE - Lincoln | 93.0% | 85.0% | -800 | -8.6% | \$1,070 | \$1,146 | 7.0% |
| NE - Omaha | 88.5% | 91.8% | 330 | 3.6% | \$1,173 | \$1,196 | 2.0% |
| NE - Outlying | 97.8% | 92.9% | -489 | -5.0% | \$790 | \$872 | 10.4% |
| Nebraska Average | 89.7% | 90.1% | 40 | 0.4% | \$1,143 | \$1,178 | 3.1% |
| NM - Albuquerque | 92.4% | 90.6% | -180 | -2.0% | \$1,302 | \$1,340 | 2.9% |
| NM - Outlying | 92.9% | 95.7% | 279 | 3.0% | \$1,231 | \$1,292 | 5.0% |
| New Mexico Average | 92.4% | 90.9% | -150 | -1.6% | \$1,295 | \$1,335 | 3.1% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| NV - Las Vegas | 90.5% | 88.5% | -200 | -2.2% | \$1,474 | \$1,441 | -2.2% |
| NV - Outlying | 97.7% | 96.0% | -170 | -1.7% | \$1,178 | \$1,232 | 4.5% |
| NV - Reno | 87.4% | 87.7% | 30 | 0.3% | \$1,563 | \$1,579 | 1.0% |
| Nevada Average | 89.7% | 88.4% | -130 | -1.4% | \$1,487 | \$1,464 | -1.5% |
| NY - Albany | 93.6% | 91.3% | -230 | -2.4% | \$1,482 | \$1,543 | 4.1% |
| NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse | 95.8% | 95.0% | -80 | -0.9% | \$1,246 | \$1,324 | 6.3% |
| NY - New York City | 88.8% | 87.6% | -120 | -1.3% | \$3,045 | \$3,122 | 2.5% |
| NY - Outlying | 93.5% | 92.9% | -60 | -0.7% | \$1,188 | \$1,257 | 5.8% |
| New York Average | 90.2% | 88.2% | -200 | -2.2% | \$2,712 | \$2,786 | 2.7% |
| OH - Cincinnati/Dayton | 92.9% | 91.3% | -160 | -1.7% | \$1,216 | \$1,277 | 5.0% |
| OH - Cleveland/Akron | 92.4% | 92.0% | -40 | -0.5% | \$1,112 | \$1,161 | 4.4% |
| OH - Columbus | 92.1% | 91.3% | -80 | -0.9% | \$1,245 | \$1,287 | 3.4% |
| OH - Outlying | 100.0% | 99.3% | -70 | -0.7% | \$814 | \$849 | 4.3% |
| OH - Toledo | 92.6% | 94.3% | 169 | 1.8% | \$905 | \$939 | 3.8% |
| Ohio Average | 92.4% | 91.6% | -80 | -0.9% | \$1,176 | \$1,225 | 4.2% |
| OK - Oklahoma City | 90.7% | 90.0% | -70 | -0.8% | \$944 | \$965 | 2.2% |
| OK - Outlying | 84.7% | 88.8% | 410 | 4.8% | \$798 | \$829 | 3.9% |
| OK - Tulsa | 92.7% | 90.8% | -190 | -2.0% | \$921 | \$981 | 6.6% |
| Oklahoma Average | 91.4% | 90.4% | -100 | -1.2% | \$932 | \$969 | 4.0% |
| OR - Outlying | 88.3% | 90.6% | 230 | 2.6% | \$1,391 | \$1,349 | -3.0% |
| OR - Portland | 92.7% | 91.5% | -120 | -1.3% | \$1,706 | \$1,676 | -1.7% |
| Oregon Average | 92.6% | 91.3% | -130 | -1.4% | \$1,702 | \$1,672 | -1.8% |
| PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster | 96.4% | 89.8% | -659 | -6.9% | \$1,359 | \$1,412 | 3.9% |
| PA - Outlying | 97.0% | 95.5% | -150 | -1.5% | \$1,273 | \$1,299 | 2.0% |
| PA - Philadelphia | 93.5% | 91.7% | -180 | -1.9% | \$1,710 | \$1,764 | 3.2% |
| PA - Pittsburgh | 92.0% | 93.4% | 140 | 1.5% | \$1,354 | \$1,374 | 1.4% |
| PA - State College/Altoona | 98.7% | 97.5% | -120 | -1.2% | \$1,296 | \$1,344 | 3.7% |
| Pennsylvania Average | 93.7% | 91.8% | -190 | -2.0% | \$1,612 | \$1,659 | 2.9% |
| RI - Providence | 96.1% | 95.4% | -70 | -0.8% | \$1,865 | \$1,978 | 6.1% |
| Rhode Island Average | 96.1% | 95.4% | -70 | -0.8% | \$1,865 | \$1,978 | 6.1% |
| SC - Charleston | 90.2% | 88.0% | -220 | -2.4% | \$1,728 | \$1,765 | 2.2% |
| SC - Columbia | 91.7% | 89.2% | -250 | -2.7% | \$1,219 | \$1,246 | 2.2% |
| SC - Greenville-Spartanburg | 86.3% | 85.3% | -100 | -1.2% | \$1,314 | \$1,313 | -0.1% |
| SC - Myrtle Beach | 79.9% | 71.5% | -840 | -10.6% | \$1,546 | \$1,522 | -1.6% |
| SC - Outlying | 94.0% | 90.3% | -369 | -3.9% | \$1,110 | \$1,213 | 9.3% |
| South Carolina Average | 88.3% | 85.8% | -250 | -2.8% | \$1,447 | \$1,466 | 1.4% |
| SD - Outlying | 97.8% | 98.9% | 110 | 1.1% | \$1,003 | \$1,012 | 0.9% |
| SD - Rapid City | 79.7% | 66.6% | -1310 | -16.4% | \$1,229 | \$1,265 | 2.9% |
| SD - Sioux Falls | 89.7% | 90.5% | 80 | 1.0% | \$1,058 | \$1,069 | 1.1% |
| South Dakota Average | 87.8% | 85.2% | -260 | -3.0% | \$1,089 | \$1,109 | 1.9% |
| TN - Chattanooga | 89.7% | 88.7% | -100 | -1.1% | \$1,343 | \$1,338 | -0.4% |
| TN - Knoxville | 95.7% | 93.4% | -229 | -2.4% | \$1,361 | \$1,447 | 6.4% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| TN - Memphis | 90.2% | 89.1% | -110 | -1.2% | \$1,167 | \$1,167 | 0.0% |
| TN - Nashville | 89.2% | 86.7% | -250 | -2.8% | \$1,608 | \$1,589 | -1.2% |
| TN - Outlying | 96.2% | 96.7% | 50 | 0.6% | \$1,019 | \$1,110 | 8.9% |
| Tennessee Average | 90.3% | 88.3% | -200 | -2.1% | \$1,422 | \$1,429 | 0.5% |
| TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth | 90.4% | 88.2% | -220 | -2.4% | \$1,536 | \$1,508 | -1.8% |
| TX - Greater Dallas | 91.0% | 89.2% | -180 | -2.0% | \$1,589 | \$1,556 | -2.0% |
| TX - Greater Fort Worth | 89.7% | 86.7% | -300 | -3.3% | \$1,421 | \$1,402 | -1.4% |
| TX - Abilene | 87.9% | 92.0% | 410 | 4.7% | \$923 | \$969 | 4.9% |
| TX - Amarillo | 89.7% | 89.4% | -30 | -0.4% | \$909 | \$922 | 1.4% |
| TX - Austin | 88.5% | 83.9% | -460 | -5.2% | \$1,670 | \$1,564 | -6.4% |
| TX - Beaumont | 87.4% | 90.0% | 260 | 2.9% | \$969 | \$1,024 | 5.7% |
| TX - College Station | 92.1% | 91.8% | -30 | -0.3% | \$1,412 | \$1,494 | 5.8% |
| TX - Corpus Christi | 88.7% | 86.7% | -200 | -2.3% | \$1,135 | \$1,126 | -0.8% |
| TX - El Paso | 94.1% | 93.3% | -79 | -0.8% | \$1,036 | \$1,072 | 3.5% |
| TX - Houston | 89.5% | 88.4% | -110 | -1.3% | \$1,307 | \$1,312 | 0.4% |
| TX - Laredo | 96.4% | 94.0% | -240 | -2.6% | \$1,060 | \$1,121 | 5.8% |
| TX - Longview/Tyler | 91.9% | 89.5% | -240 | -2.7% | \$1,089 | \$1,092 | 0.3% |
| TX - Lubbock | 90.4% | 87.6% | -280 | -3.1% | \$912 | \$916 | 0.5% |
| TX - Lufkin | 87.1% | 89.9% | 280 | 3.1% | \$894 | \$922 | 3.1% |
| TX - Midland-Odessa | 92.4% | 92.2% | -20 | -0.2% | \$1,256 | \$1,353 | 7.8% |
| TX - Outlying | 91.6% | 91.3% | -30 | -0.3% | \$935 | \$1,019 | 9.0% |
| TX - Rio Grande Valley | 91.9% | 92.2% | 30 | 0.3% | \$975 | \$975 | 0.0% |
| TX - San Angelo | 89.8% | 90.7% | 90 | 1.0% | \$1,004 | \$1,027 | 2.2% |
| TX - San Antonio | 89.2% | 87.1% | -210 | -2.4% | \$1,264 | \$1,218 | -3.6% |
| TX - Texarkana | 92.6% | 88.3% | -430 | -4.6% | \$833 | \$880 | 5.6% |
| TX - Victoria | 88.1% | 90.6% | 250 | 2.8% | \$989 | \$1,015 | 2.6% |
| TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen | 91.0% | 88.1% | -290 | -3.2% | \$1,104 | \$1,128 | 2.1% |
| TX - Wichita Falls | 89.8% | 86.2% | -360 | -4.0% | \$828 | \$848 | 2.5% |
| Texas Average | 89.9% | 87.8% | -210 | -2.3% | \$1,395 | \$1,377 | -1.4% |
| UT - Outlying | 84.8% | 83.8% | -100 | -1.2% | \$1,586 | \$1,523 | -4.0% |
| UT - Salt Lake City | 89.1% | 87.8% | -130 | -1.5% | \$1,567 | \$1,534 | -2.1% |
| Utah Average | 89.0% | 87.7% | -130 | -1.4% | \$1,568 | \$1,534 | -2.2% |
| VA - Norfolk | 93.0% | 93.2% | 20 | 0.1% | \$1,450 | \$1,501 | 3.5% |
| VA - Richmond | 91.1% | 90.6% | -50 | -0.6% | \$1,494 | \$1,516 | 1.4% |
| VA - Roanoke | 95.5% | 95.2% | -30 | -0.4% | \$1,153 | \$1,215 | 5.4% |
| Virginia Average | 92.4% | 92.1% | -30 | -0.2% | \$1,444 | \$1,482 | 2.7% |
| WA - Outlying | 93.9% | 93.5% | -39 | -0.5% | \$1,094 | \$1,114 | 1.8% |
| WA - SE Washington | 92.5% | 91.1% | -140 | -1.4% | \$1,333 | \$1,367 | 2.6% |
| WA - Seattle | 90.7% | 91.0% | 30 | 0.2% | \$2,068 | \$2,081 | 0.6% |
| WA - Spokane | 93.1% | 90.5% | -260 | -2.8% | \$1,366 | \$1,380 | 1.1% |
| Washington Average | 91.0% | 90.8% | -20 | -0.3% | \$1,975 | \$1,985 | 0.5% |
| WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh | 93.7% | 90.7% | -300 | -3.2% | \$931 | \$1,009 | 8.3% |

| | OVERALL MARKET | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--------|--------|----------------|---------|-------|
| | OCCUPANCY | | CHANGE | | EFFECTIVE RENT | | |
| | Y/Y | Last Mo | bps | %CHG | Y/Y | Last Mo | %CHG |
| WI - Madison | 90.5% | 93.0% | 250 | 2.8% | \$1,404 | \$1,497 | 6.6% |
| WI - Milwaukee | 95.2% | 92.2% | -299 | -3.1% | \$1,368 | \$1,432 | 4.7% |
| WI - Outlying | 89.6% | 80.0% | -959 | -10.7% | \$985 | \$1,101 | 11.8% |
| Wisconsin Average | 93.4% | 91.7% | -170 | -1.8% | \$1,295 | \$1,370 | 5.8% |
| WV - Charleston | 94.4% | 92.1% | -229 | -2.4% | \$1,002 | \$1,043 | 4.0% |
| WV - Outlying | 99.2% | 97.6% | -160 | -1.6% | \$972 | \$1,015 | 4.4% |
| West Virginia Average | 95.6% | 92.8% | -279 | -3.0% | \$995 | \$1,037 | 4.1% |
| CT - Hartford | 92.8% | 94.1% | 129 | 1.3% | \$1,676 | \$1,795 | 7.1% |
| DC - Washington | 92.3% | 91.5% | -80 | -0.8% | \$2,081 | \$2,156 | 3.6% |
| DE - Outlying | 84.0% | 90.4% | 640 | 7.6% | \$1,497 | \$1,653 | 10.4% |
| HI - State of Hawaii | 92.4% | 93.4% | 100 | 1.0% | \$2,166 | \$2,253 | 4.0% |
| MT - Outlying | 83.3% | 79.2% | -409 | -5.0% | \$1,482 | \$1,542 | 4.0% |
| NH - Concord | 98.1% | 83.1% | -1500 | -15.3% | \$1,724 | \$1,822 | 5.7% |
| VT - Outlying | 100.0% | 99.7% | -30 | -0.3% | \$1,818 | \$1,865 | 2.6% |
| WY - Outlying | 95.7% | 93.1% | -259 | -2.7% | \$1,137 | \$1,230 | 8.1% |
| National Average | 91.0% | 89.6% | -140 | -1.6% | \$1,682 | \$1,696 | 0.9% |

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com