

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Apr-22	Apr-23	bps	%CHG	Apr-22	Apr-23	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	97.3%	95.1%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,208	\$1,312	8.6%
AK - Outlying	90.9%	89.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,407	\$1,538	9.3%
Alaska Average	95.7%	93.7%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,256	\$1,367	8.8%
AL - Birmingham	91.8%	91.5%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,108	\$1,156	4.4%
AL - Huntsville	91.4%	87.1%	-430	-4.7%	\$1,072	\$1,140	6.3%
AL - Mobile	93.0%	92.2%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,093	\$1,159	6.0%
AL - Montgomery	94.2%	91.1%	-309	-3.3%	\$921	\$964	4.6%
AL - Outlying	95.5%	92.5%	-299	-3.1%	\$749	\$825	10.2%
Alabama Average	92.3%	90.4%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,057	\$1,115	5.4%
AR - Little Rock	90.0%	87.1%	-290	-3.2%	\$886	\$946	6.7%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	93.0%	93.6%	60	0.6%	\$836	\$969	16.0%
AR - Outlying	96.5%	95.3%	-120	-1.2%	\$678	\$727	7.3%
Arkansas Average	91.7%	90.4%	-130	-1.5%	\$849	\$937	10.3%
AZ - Flagstaff	96.7%	93.1%	-359	-3.7%	\$1,683	\$1,828	8.6%
AZ - Outlying	97.4%	94.1%	-330	-3.4%	\$1,048	\$1,123	7.2%
AZ - Phoenix	91.7%	89.6%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,632	\$1,604	-1.7%
AZ - Tucson	94.5%	91.6%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,144	\$1,176	2.8%
Arizona Average	92.2%	89.9%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,544	\$1,532	-0.7%
CA - Central Coast	96.5%	95.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,412	\$2,516	4.3%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	94.2%	91.3%	-289	-3.0%	\$2,565	\$2,688	4.8%
CA - Outlying	98.6%	95.9%	-270	-2.8%	\$1,190	\$1,249	5.0%
CA - Sacramento	95.6%	91.7%	-389	-4.0%	\$1,858	\$1,880	1.2%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	96.8%	93.7%	-309	-3.2%	\$2,102	\$2,150	2.3%
CA - San Diego	95.9%	95.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,473	\$2,664	7.8%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.7%	90.5%	-220	-2.5%	\$2,801	\$2,868	2.4%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	97.4%	95.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,467	\$1,523	3.8%
California Average	94.7%	92.4%	-229	-2.5%	\$2,426	\$2,525	4.1%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	92.2%	90.7%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,761	\$1,819	3.3%
CO - Outlying	96.6%	95.6%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,502	\$1,719	14.4%
Colorado Average	92.3%	90.6%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,757	\$1,818	3.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.3%	\$2,265	\$2,403	6.1%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	90.7%	89.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,968	\$2,144	9.0%
FL - Gainesville	95.7%	93.2%	-249	-2.5%	\$1,502	\$1,633	8.7%
FL - Jacksonville	93.1%	89.7%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,473	\$1,517	2.9%
FL - Melbourne	92.0%	89.6%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,595	\$1,695	6.3%
FL - Miami	92.7%	89.6%	-310	-3.4%	\$2,288	\$2,457	7.4%
FL - Orlando	93.5%	89.6%	-390	-4.1%	\$1,721	\$1,812	5.3%
FL - Palm Beach	93.9%	92.8%	-109	-1.2%	\$2,356	\$2,412	2.4%
FL - Pensacola	91.3%	89.3%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,500	\$1,550	3.3%
FL - Tallahassee	94.3%	92.9%	-139	-1.4%	\$1,228	\$1,317	7.3%
FL - Tampa	94.2%	90.8%	-339	-3.6%	\$1,743	\$1,810	3.8%
Florida Average	93.5%	90.5%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,837	\$1,929	5.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	89.7%	91.7%	200	2.2%	\$872	\$927	6.3%
GA - Atlanta	93.6%	90.6%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,618	\$1,655	2.3%
GA - Augusta	94.6%	91.1%	-349	-3.6%	\$1,111	\$1,136	2.2%
GA - Columbus	96.3%	93.9%	-240	-2.5%	\$1,032	\$1,106	7.1%
GA - Macon	94.3%	90.1%	-419	-4.5%	\$1,060	\$1,140	7.6%
GA - Outlying	98.2%	88.4%	-979	-10.0%	\$1,518	\$1,530	0.8%
GA - Savannah	93.1%	89.7%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,435	\$1,554	8.3%
Georgia Average	93.6%	90.7%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,530	\$1,576	3.0%
IA - Des Moines	89.8%	91.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,006	\$1,079	7.3%
IA - Outlying	94.5%	93.7%	-79	-0.9%	\$943	\$992	5.3%
Iowa Average	90.2%	91.8%	160	1.7%	\$1,000	\$1,072	7.1%
ID - Boise	91.8%	89.4%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,532	\$1,548	1.1%
ID - Outlying	98.8%	97.0%	-180	-1.8%	\$856	\$942	10.0%
Idaho Average	92.1%	89.6%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,498	\$1,518	1.3%
IL - Chicago	93.6%	92.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,772	\$1,889	6.6%
IL - Moline	95.4%	94.4%	-100	-1.0%	\$865	\$909	5.0%
IL - Outlying	78.2%	85.6%	739	9.4%	\$661	\$669	1.2%
IL - Springfield	96.1%	93.9%	-220	-2.2%	\$893	\$961	7.5%
Illinois Average	93.7%	93.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,660	\$1,769	6.6%
IN - Evansville	96.2%	91.7%	-449	-4.7%	\$861	\$918	6.6%
IN - Fort Wayne	96.3%	93.9%	-240	-2.5%	\$944	\$1,016	7.7%
IN - Indianapolis	95.1%	92.2%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,095	\$1,202	9.8%
IN - Outlying	98.9%	99.0%	10	0.1%	\$933	\$930	-0.3%
IN - South Bend	93.7%	92.5%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,070	\$1,098	2.6%
Indiana Average	95.1%	92.3%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,064	\$1,157	8.7%
KS - Outlying	98.3%	97.3%	-100	-1.0%	\$657	\$666	1.4%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.9%	90.0%	-290	-3.1%	\$834	\$906	8.5%
KS - Wichita	95.2%	92.5%	-269	-2.8%	\$789	\$854	8.2%
Kansas Average	94.3%	91.6%	-269	-2.9%	\$803	\$870	8.3%
KY - Lexington	95.0%	92.4%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,006	\$1,077	7.0%
KY - Louisville	93.9%	91.4%	-249	-2.7%	\$1,062	\$1,160	9.2%
KY - Outlying	94.6%	95.9%	130	1.3%	\$705	\$742	5.3%
Kentucky Average	94.2%	91.7%	-249	-2.7%	\$1,037	\$1,126	8.6%
LA - Baton Rouge	92.5%	90.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,078	\$1,118	3.7%
LA - Lake Charles	82.6%	83.7%	110	1.4%	\$1,016	\$1,004	-1.2%
LA - Monroe	91.7%	88.9%	-280	-3.0%	\$891	\$917	3.0%
LA - New Orleans	87.6%	88.4%	80	1.0%	\$1,182	\$1,228	3.9%
LA - Outlying	93.2%	89.6%	-360	-3.8%	\$833	\$843	1.2%
LA - Shreveport	92.0%	88.3%	-370	-4.0%	\$917	\$970	5.8%
Louisiana Average	90.4%	89.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,076	\$1,117	3.8%
MA - Boston	92.7%	91.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,534	\$2,674	5.5%
MA - Springfield	98.5%	97.0%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,456	\$1,599	9.8%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER
ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	92.5%	92.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$2,489	\$2,632	5.7%
MD - Baltimore	95.6%	92.6%	-299	-3.1%	\$1,585	\$1,644	3.7%
MD - Outlying	99.2%	99.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,300	\$1,424	9.5%
Maryland Average	95.7%	92.8%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,579	\$1,639	3.8%
ME - Augusta/Portland	98.1%	96.3%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,685	\$1,821	8.0%
Maine Average	98.1%	96.3%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,685	\$1,821	8.0%
MI - Detroit	96.2%	93.2%	-299	-3.1%	\$1,202	\$1,257	4.6%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.8%	94.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,137	\$1,230	8.2%
MI - Outlying	95.7%	94.3%	-140	-1.4%	\$986	\$1,060	7.5%
Michigan Average	96.1%	93.6%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,181	\$1,244	5.3%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	92.0%	91.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,411	\$1,457	3.3%
MN - Outlying	97.5%	96.9%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,166	\$1,212	4.0%
Minnesota Average	92.0%	92.0%	0	0.1%	\$1,405	\$1,450	3.3%
MO - Columbia	98.8%	97.1%	-170	-1.7%	\$840	\$946	12.6%
MO - Kansas City	93.4%	91.7%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,155	\$1,250	8.3%
MO - Outlying	90.1%	91.2%	110	1.2%	\$623	\$641	2.8%
MO - Springfield	98.3%	96.7%	-160	-1.6%	\$821	\$901	9.7%
MO - St. Louis	92.8%	91.2%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,154	\$1,242	7.6%
Missouri Average	93.2%	91.7%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,121	\$1,213	8.2%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	94.1%	92.2%	-189	-2.0%	\$941	\$1,016	7.9%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.1%	90.2%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,011	\$1,100	8.8%
MS - Outlying	93.1%	93.6%	50	0.5%	\$937	\$1,043	11.3%
Mississippi Average	93.3%	91.6%	-170	-1.9%	\$975	\$1,064	9.1%
NC - Asheville	92.2%	93.7%	150	1.6%	\$1,547	\$1,641	6.1%
NC - Charlotte	92.9%	89.9%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,502	\$1,573	4.7%
NC - Fayetteville	96.3%	93.9%	-240	-2.5%	\$1,123	\$1,247	11.0%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	94.6%	91.9%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,115	\$1,178	5.7%
NC - Outlying	99.2%	99.2%	0	0.1%	\$1,107	\$1,202	8.6%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.9%	89.5%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,443	\$1,521	5.4%
NC - Wilmington	92.8%	90.5%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,353	\$1,443	6.6%
North Carolina Average	93.2%	90.3%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,402	\$1,480	5.6%
ND - Bismarck	95.0%	87.1%	-789	-8.3%	\$981	\$1,077	9.8%
ND - Fargo	96.4%	92.0%	-439	-4.5%	\$861	\$944	9.6%
ND - Outlying	81.3%	91.0%	970	11.9%	\$914	\$1,009	10.3%
North Dakota Average	88.8%	90.8%	200	2.2%	\$901	\$987	9.5%
NE - Lincoln	95.8%	91.8%	-399	-4.2%	\$994	\$1,095	10.3%
NE - Omaha	93.8%	88.4%	-539	-5.8%	\$1,066	\$1,180	10.7%
NE - Outlying	98.5%	97.0%	-150	-1.5%	\$751	\$778	3.7%
Nebraska Average	94.3%	89.2%	-509	-5.4%	\$1,044	\$1,154	10.5%
NM - Albuquerque	93.5%	93.4%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,198	\$1,312	9.5%
NM - Outlying	90.0%	92.7%	270	3.0%	\$1,105	\$1,236	11.8%
New Mexico Average	93.2%	93.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,190	\$1,305	9.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	93.5%	90.4%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,494	\$1,469	-1.6%
NV - Outlying	95.2%	97.3%	210	2.2%	\$1,118	\$1,169	4.6%
NV - Reno	89.6%	86.4%	-320	-3.5%	\$1,562	\$1,566	0.2%
Nevada Average	92.9%	89.8%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,502	\$1,484	-1.2%
NY - Albany	94.6%	93.3%	-129	-1.3%	\$1,399	\$1,487	6.2%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.2%	95.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,178	\$1,257	6.7%
NY - New York City	91.0%	88.8%	-220	-2.4%	\$2,855	\$3,052	6.9%
NY - Outlying	94.0%	93.0%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,119	\$1,167	4.3%
New York Average	92.0%	90.3%	-170	-1.9%	\$2,537	\$2,718	7.1%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	95.1%	93.1%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,124	\$1,219	8.5%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	95.0%	92.7%	-229	-2.5%	\$1,028	\$1,117	8.7%
OH - Columbus	94.4%	92.3%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,160	\$1,251	7.9%
OH - Outlying	99.4%	99.0%	-40	-0.3%	\$748	\$814	8.8%
OH - Toledo	96.1%	93.4%	-269	-2.8%	\$860	\$905	5.3%
Ohio Average	94.8%	92.7%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,092	\$1,181	8.1%
OK - Oklahoma City	93.2%	90.6%	-260	-2.8%	\$892	\$948	6.2%
OK - Outlying	87.9%	85.0%	-290	-3.3%	\$749	\$801	7.0%
OK - Tulsa	94.8%	92.7%	-209	-2.2%	\$885	\$925	4.6%
Oklahoma Average	93.8%	91.4%	-239	-2.6%	\$887	\$936	5.6%
OR - Outlying	98.7%	96.2%	-250	-2.5%	\$1,306	\$1,393	6.7%
OR - Portland	94.0%	93.1%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,638	\$1,706	4.1%
Oregon Average	94.1%	93.1%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,634	\$1,702	4.2%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	97.6%	96.5%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,297	\$1,360	4.8%
PA - Outlying	98.0%	97.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,162	\$1,245	7.2%
PA - Philadelphia	95.1%	93.5%	-159	-1.6%	\$1,618	\$1,723	6.5%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.7%	93.0%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,269	\$1,349	6.3%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.8%	98.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,235	\$1,301	5.3%
Pennsylvania Average	95.4%	93.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,523	\$1,621	6.4%
RI - Providence	98.4%	96.2%	-220	-2.2%	\$1,767	\$1,884	6.7%
Rhode Island Average	98.4%	96.2%	-220	-2.2%	\$1,767	\$1,884	6.7%
SC - Charleston	90.7%	90.8%	10	0.1%	\$1,575	\$1,736	10.2%
SC - Columbia	93.0%	91.5%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,158	\$1,228	6.1%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.1%	87.7%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,223	\$1,313	7.4%
SC - Myrtle Beach	92.0%	80.8%	-1119	-12.1%	\$1,425	\$1,531	7.4%
SC - Outlying	82.8%	81.9%	-90	-1.1%	\$986	\$1,182	19.9%
South Carolina Average	91.0%	89.0%	-200	-2.3%	\$1,339	\$1,450	8.3%
SD - Outlying	98.8%	97.9%	-90	-0.9%	\$977	\$1,003	2.7%
SD - Rapid City	98.3%	79.8%	-1849	-18.8%	\$1,142	\$1,240	8.7%
SD - Sioux Falls	90.4%	89.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$971	\$1,059	9.1%
South Dakota Average	93.2%	87.8%	-540	-5.8%	\$1,003	\$1,092	8.9%
TN - Chattanooga	92.6%	88.8%	-380	-4.2%	\$1,256	\$1,366	8.8%
TN - Knoxville	96.5%	96.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,215	\$1,370	12.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	92.4%	90.0%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,096	\$1,178	7.5%
TN - Nashville	92.0%	88.4%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,543	\$1,616	4.7%
TN - Outlying	96.2%	95.3%	-90	-0.9%	\$928	\$1,022	10.1%
Tennessee Average	92.7%	89.8%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,341	\$1,433	6.9%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	92.9%	90.5%	-240	-2.5%	\$1,479	\$1,538	3.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	93.2%	91.1%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,532	\$1,590	3.8%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	92.4%	89.6%	-280	-2.9%	\$1,370	\$1,423	3.9%
TX - Abilene	93.9%	88.6%	-529	-5.6%	\$883	\$935	5.9%
TX - Amarillo	94.0%	89.2%	-479	-5.1%	\$851	\$905	6.4%
TX - Austin	92.2%	89.4%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,660	\$1,669	0.5%
TX - Beaumont	90.1%	87.6%	-250	-2.7%	\$952	\$985	3.4%
TX - College Station	91.3%	91.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,302	\$1,429	9.8%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.5%	88.3%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,099	\$1,141	3.9%
TX - El Paso	96.1%	94.7%	-140	-1.5%	\$966	\$1,038	7.5%
TX - Houston	91.7%	89.8%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,264	\$1,309	3.6%
TX - Laredo	97.3%	96.7%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,011	\$1,072	6.0%
TX - Longview/Tyler	94.8%	91.3%	-349	-3.7%	\$1,053	\$1,097	4.2%
TX - Lubbock	90.8%	89.2%	-160	-1.8%	\$864	\$910	5.3%
TX - Lufkin	93.3%	87.9%	-540	-5.8%	\$858	\$904	5.4%
TX - Midland-Odessa	88.0%	92.0%	400	4.6%	\$1,075	\$1,281	19.2%
TX - Outlying	95.5%	92.6%	-289	-3.0%	\$835	\$950	13.7%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	96.7%	92.5%	-419	-4.3%	\$907	\$973	7.3%
TX - San Angelo	88.2%	89.5%	130	1.5%	\$987	\$1,032	4.6%
TX - San Antonio	93.3%	89.2%	-410	-4.4%	\$1,228	\$1,262	2.7%
TX - Texarkana	92.4%	93.3%	90	0.9%	\$801	\$841	5.0%
TX - Victoria	91.7%	90.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$968	\$995	2.8%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	96.0%	91.6%	-439	-4.5%	\$1,021	\$1,104	8.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	92.8%	89.3%	-350	-3.7%	\$784	\$831	6.0%
Texas Average	92.5%	90.1%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,348	\$1,398	3.7%
UT - Outlying	96.8%	84.9%	-1190	-12.3%	\$1,364	\$1,573	15.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.1%	90.1%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,526	\$1,569	2.8%
Utah Average	93.2%	89.9%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,522	\$1,569	3.1%
VA - Norfolk	95.8%	93.4%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,377	\$1,459	6.0%
VA - Richmond	95.3%	91.1%	-419	-4.4%	\$1,430	\$1,502	5.0%
VA - Roanoke	96.5%	96.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,063	\$1,161	9.2%
Virginia Average	95.5%	92.6%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,373	\$1,453	5.8%
WA - Outlying	86.6%	93.5%	690	8.0%	\$998	\$1,091	9.3%
WA - SE Washington	96.3%	94.3%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,284	\$1,338	4.2%
WA - Seattle	92.5%	91.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,019	\$2,073	2.7%
WA - Spokane	96.0%	93.4%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,320	\$1,373	4.0%
Washington Average	92.7%	91.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,929	\$1,980	2.6%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	95.3%	93.4%	-189	-2.0%	\$863	\$938	8.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	90.4%	89.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,272	\$1,419	11.5%
WI - Milwaukee	95.8%	95.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,292	\$1,383	7.0%
WI - Outlying	93.6%	92.0%	-160	-1.7%	\$907	\$988	9.0%
Wisconsin Average	94.0%	93.1%	-89	-0.9%	\$1,200	\$1,309	9.1%
WV - Charleston	94.4%	92.6%	-179	-1.9%	\$972	\$1,005	3.4%
WV - Outlying	97.0%	95.8%	-120	-1.2%	\$911	\$986	8.3%
West Virginia Average	95.1%	93.2%	-189	-1.9%	\$958	\$1,001	4.4%
CT - Hartford	95.1%	93.0%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,578	\$1,688	7.0%
DC - Washington	93.8%	92.4%	-139	-1.5%	\$2,004	\$2,090	4.3%
DE - Outlying	91.9%	84.2%	-770	-8.4%	\$1,395	\$1,507	8.0%
HI - Honolulu	85.3%	93.5%	820	9.6%	\$2,110	\$2,166	2.6%
MT - Outlying	91.5%	89.8%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,374	\$1,489	8.3%
NH - Concord	98.7%	92.7%	-599	-6.1%	\$1,646	\$1,732	5.2%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,650	\$1,818	10.2%
WY - Outlying	92.7%	94.1%	139	1.5%	\$1,045	\$1,133	8.4%
National Average	93.4%	91.2%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,611	\$1,688	4.8%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com