

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Feb-22	Feb-23	bps	%CHG	Feb-22	Feb-23	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	97.7%	94.4%	-330	-3.4%	\$1,185	\$1,299	9.6%
AK - Outlying	90.0%	90.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,349	\$1,501	11.3%
Alaska Average	95.8%	93.4%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,225	\$1,348	10.1%
AL - Birmingham	93.0%	91.3%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,084	\$1,155	6.5%
AL - Huntsville	93.4%	86.5%	-690	-7.4%	\$1,027	\$1,138	10.8%
AL - Mobile	93.7%	92.0%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,060	\$1,144	7.9%
AL - Montgomery	93.0%	90.9%	-210	-2.3%	\$906	\$960	6.0%
AL - Outlying	94.8%	95.2%	40	0.4%	\$731	\$801	9.6%
Alabama Average	93.3%	90.2%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,028	\$1,110	8.0%
AR - Little Rock	90.4%	87.8%	-260	-2.8%	\$879	\$945	7.5%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.5%	91.6%	-90	-0.9%	\$814	\$967	18.8%
AR - Outlying	95.9%	94.7%	-120	-1.3%	\$667	\$721	8.2%
Arkansas Average	91.6%	90.0%	-160	-1.8%	\$836	\$935	11.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	96.7%	93.5%	-319	-3.3%	\$1,643	\$1,815	10.5%
AZ - Outlying	97.4%	93.8%	-360	-3.6%	\$1,024	\$1,097	7.2%
AZ - Phoenix	93.0%	89.6%	-340	-3.7%	\$1,600	\$1,604	0.2%
AZ - Tucson	94.8%	91.1%	-369	-3.9%	\$1,130	\$1,176	4.1%
Arizona Average	93.3%	89.7%	-360	-3.8%	\$1,514	\$1,531	1.1%
CA - Central Coast	97.0%	95.2%	-180	-1.9%	\$2,372	\$2,490	5.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	94.5%	91.7%	-279	-2.9%	\$2,512	\$2,681	6.7%
CA - Outlying	98.7%	95.9%	-280	-2.8%	\$1,186	\$1,231	3.8%
CA - Sacramento	95.7%	91.6%	-409	-4.3%	\$1,831	\$1,871	2.2%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	97.0%	94.0%	-300	-3.2%	\$2,054	\$2,142	4.3%
CA - San Diego	96.4%	94.4%	-200	-2.1%	\$2,417	\$2,640	9.3%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.6%	90.9%	-170	-1.9%	\$2,743	\$2,850	3.9%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	98.0%	95.4%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,435	\$1,515	5.6%
California Average	94.9%	92.5%	-239	-2.5%	\$2,376	\$2,512	5.7%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	92.9%	90.9%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,716	\$1,808	5.4%
CO - Outlying	97.2%	98.3%	110	1.1%	\$1,467	\$1,673	14.0%
Colorado Average	92.9%	90.8%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,712	\$1,806	5.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	94.7%	92.8%	-189	-2.1%	\$2,179	\$2,386	9.5%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	89.9%	90.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,903	\$2,157	13.4%
FL - Gainesville	96.5%	94.4%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,486	\$1,621	9.1%
FL - Jacksonville	93.7%	89.5%	-420	-4.4%	\$1,465	\$1,510	3.1%
FL - Melbourne	93.8%	89.8%	-399	-4.3%	\$1,560	\$1,683	7.8%
FL - Miami	93.2%	90.5%	-270	-2.9%	\$2,212	\$2,442	10.4%
FL - Orlando	94.4%	90.1%	-429	-4.6%	\$1,670	\$1,805	8.1%
FL - Palm Beach	95.2%	92.7%	-249	-2.6%	\$2,302	\$2,407	4.6%
FL - Pensacola	91.0%	88.2%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,472	\$1,534	4.2%
FL - Tallahassee	90.9%	90.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,196	\$1,333	11.4%
FL - Tampa	94.8%	90.4%	-439	-4.7%	\$1,706	\$1,800	5.5%
Florida Average	94.1%	90.5%	-359	-3.8%	\$1,789	\$1,921	7.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	91.0%	89.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$858	\$916	6.8%
GA - Atlanta	94.2%	91.0%	-319	-3.4%	\$1,591	\$1,656	4.1%
GA - Augusta	94.5%	89.4%	-509	-5.5%	\$1,077	\$1,135	5.4%
GA - Columbus	96.2%	94.5%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,021	\$1,106	8.3%
GA - Macon	95.0%	90.2%	-479	-5.0%	\$1,042	\$1,136	9.0%
GA - Outlying	97.4%	88.4%	-899	-9.2%	\$1,560	\$1,478	-5.3%
GA - Savannah	94.3%	88.3%	-599	-6.3%	\$1,392	\$1,540	10.6%
Georgia Average	94.3%	90.8%	-349	-3.7%	\$1,503	\$1,575	4.8%
IA - Des Moines	89.1%	91.6%	250	2.8%	\$989	\$1,066	7.8%
IA - Outlying	93.8%	91.1%	-269	-2.8%	\$933	\$998	6.9%
Iowa Average	89.5%	91.6%	210	2.3%	\$985	\$1,061	7.7%
ID - Boise	91.9%	87.8%	-410	-4.5%	\$1,484	\$1,540	3.8%
ID - Outlying	98.7%	99.0%	30	0.3%	\$856	\$880	2.8%
Idaho Average	91.8%	88.0%	-380	-4.2%	\$1,451	\$1,511	4.1%
IL - Chicago	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,730	\$1,854	7.2%
IL - Moline	96.1%	94.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$850	\$901	6.0%
IL - Outlying	83.4%	84.6%	120	1.5%	\$656	\$666	1.5%
IL - Springfield	95.0%	93.8%	-120	-1.2%	\$871	\$955	9.6%
Illinois Average	93.5%	92.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,620	\$1,738	7.3%
IN - Evansville	96.0%	93.8%	-220	-2.2%	\$846	\$917	8.4%
IN - Fort Wayne	96.5%	94.8%	-170	-1.8%	\$927	\$1,017	9.8%
IN - Indianapolis	94.9%	93.0%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,075	\$1,185	10.2%
IN - Outlying	96.6%	98.3%	170	1.8%	\$893	\$941	5.4%
IN - South Bend	93.7%	92.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,055	\$1,117	5.9%
Indiana Average	95.0%	93.1%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,044	\$1,145	9.7%
KS - Outlying	96.4%	96.5%	10	0.2%	\$650	\$668	2.8%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.3%	92.5%	20	0.3%	\$827	\$889	7.5%
KS - Wichita	95.0%	93.9%	-110	-1.1%	\$776	\$839	8.2%
Kansas Average	93.8%	93.4%	-39	-0.5%	\$793	\$854	7.8%
KY - Lexington	94.6%	90.9%	-369	-4.0%	\$981	\$1,073	9.3%
KY - Louisville	93.8%	92.3%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,037	\$1,141	10.0%
KY - Outlying	93.0%	98.4%	539	5.8%	\$688	\$731	6.2%
Kentucky Average	94.0%	91.9%	-209	-2.3%	\$1,012	\$1,111	9.8%
LA - Baton Rouge	93.4%	91.3%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,062	\$1,104	3.9%
LA - Lake Charles	83.1%	82.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,042	\$968	-7.2%
LA - Monroe	91.6%	88.0%	-360	-4.0%	\$870	\$911	4.7%
LA - New Orleans	89.0%	89.4%	40	0.5%	\$1,161	\$1,216	4.7%
LA - Outlying	94.1%	89.7%	-439	-4.6%	\$817	\$855	4.6%
LA - Shreveport	90.6%	88.6%	-200	-2.2%	\$902	\$955	5.8%
Louisiana Average	90.8%	89.6%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,061	\$1,104	4.0%
MA - Boston	93.2%	92.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,468	\$2,640	7.0%
MA - Springfield	97.5%	97.1%	-40	-0.3%	\$1,421	\$1,573	10.7%

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

**NATIONWIDE**  
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,  
RELIABLE  
DATA**

**DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE**

## Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

**Thousands** of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

### Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

### What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

### How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



## LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/our-services](http://alndata.co/our-services) to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data  
[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)  
800-643-6416 x 3  
[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	93.4%	92.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,422	\$2,596	7.2%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	95.6%	93.0%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,567	\$1,634	4.3%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	99.7%	97.8%	-190	-1.8%	\$1,291	\$1,412	9.4%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	95.7%	93.2%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,560	\$1,629	4.4%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	96.5%	95.2%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,654	\$1,789	8.1%
<b>Maine Average</b>	96.5%	95.2%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,654	\$1,789	8.1%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	96.2%	93.5%	-269	-2.7%	\$1,180	\$1,253	6.2%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	95.5%	94.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,113	\$1,215	9.2%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	95.9%	95.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$989	\$1,049	6.1%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	96.0%	93.8%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,160	\$1,237	6.7%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	92.2%	91.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,390	\$1,449	4.2%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	96.6%	97.1%	50	0.6%	\$1,152	\$1,200	4.2%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	92.3%	91.7%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,384	\$1,442	4.2%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	98.6%	98.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$820	\$922	12.4%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	93.4%	92.3%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,134	\$1,236	9.1%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	93.1%	94.3%	119	1.3%	\$623	\$640	2.7%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	98.5%	96.1%	-240	-2.4%	\$805	\$881	9.5%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	95.1%	91.5%	-359	-3.8%	\$1,124	\$1,225	8.9%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	94.3%	92.1%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,097	\$1,198	9.2%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	94.4%	91.7%	-269	-2.9%	\$921	\$1,009	9.5%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	93.3%	90.6%	-270	-2.9%	\$995	\$1,086	9.2%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	92.9%	94.2%	129	1.4%	\$921	\$1,028	11.6%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	93.4%	91.8%	-160	-1.8%	\$958	\$1,052	9.8%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	91.6%	95.4%	379	4.1%	\$1,521	\$1,661	9.2%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	93.5%	90.1%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,465	\$1,562	6.6%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	95.9%	94.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,086	\$1,220	12.3%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	95.5%	91.4%	-409	-4.2%	\$1,082	\$1,172	8.3%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	99.7%	99.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$988	\$1,189	20.4%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	94.4%	90.5%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,407	\$1,511	7.4%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	92.5%	91.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,295	\$1,423	9.9%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	94.0%	90.9%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,364	\$1,470	7.7%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	95.0%	92.2%	-279	-2.9%	\$973	\$1,067	9.7%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	97.2%	91.6%	-559	-5.7%	\$851	\$936	10.0%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	79.7%	89.1%	939	11.8%	\$899	\$980	9.0%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	88.0%	90.4%	240	2.7%	\$889	\$971	9.2%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	97.2%	96.4%	-80	-0.8%	\$978	\$1,055	7.8%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	93.9%	88.6%	-529	-5.6%	\$1,045	\$1,163	11.3%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	98.1%	97.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$740	\$789	6.5%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	94.2%	90.6%	-359	-3.8%	\$1,025	\$1,132	10.5%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	94.0%	93.7%	-29	-0.4%	\$1,166	\$1,291	10.7%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	88.6%	94.0%	539	6.1%	\$1,095	\$1,225	11.8%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	93.6%	93.7%	10	0.1%	\$1,160	\$1,284	10.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	94.7%	90.6%	-409	-4.4%	\$1,461	\$1,469	0.5%
NV - Outlying	83.1%	98.3%	1520	18.4%	\$1,080	\$1,177	8.9%
NV - Reno	91.5%	88.4%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,546	\$1,558	0.8%
Nevada Average	93.8%	89.9%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,472	\$1,482	0.7%
NY - Albany	94.9%	93.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,364	\$1,467	7.6%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.5%	96.1%	60	0.6%	\$1,153	\$1,242	7.7%
NY - New York City	92.1%	88.8%	-330	-3.6%	\$2,793	\$3,036	8.7%
NY - Outlying	95.3%	93.4%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,115	\$1,151	3.2%
New York Average	92.7%	90.3%	-240	-2.6%	\$2,482	\$2,701	8.9%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	95.4%	92.9%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,102	\$1,206	9.5%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.8%	91.8%	-299	-3.1%	\$1,010	\$1,107	9.5%
OH - Columbus	94.7%	92.5%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,130	\$1,236	9.4%
OH - Outlying	99.4%	100.0%	60	0.6%	\$743	\$794	6.9%
OH - Toledo	94.3%	92.8%	-149	-1.6%	\$842	\$897	6.6%
Ohio Average	94.8%	92.4%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,069	\$1,168	9.3%
OK - Oklahoma City	92.9%	90.6%	-230	-2.4%	\$877	\$943	7.5%
OK - Outlying	86.4%	84.5%	-190	-2.2%	\$737	\$789	7.1%
OK - Tulsa	95.4%	92.9%	-249	-2.6%	\$866	\$921	6.4%
Oklahoma Average	93.6%	91.5%	-210	-2.2%	\$872	\$931	6.8%
OR - Outlying	99.1%	96.2%	-290	-2.9%	\$1,266	\$1,390	9.8%
OR - Portland	94.2%	92.9%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,605	\$1,709	6.5%
Oregon Average	94.3%	92.9%	-139	-1.4%	\$1,601	\$1,705	6.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	97.8%	96.4%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,283	\$1,355	5.6%
PA - Outlying	98.0%	97.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,149	\$1,255	9.2%
PA - Philadelphia	95.6%	93.6%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,590	\$1,707	7.4%
PA - Pittsburgh	95.8%	94.0%	-180	-1.8%	\$1,245	\$1,342	7.8%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.6%	98.8%	120	1.2%	\$1,221	\$1,287	5.5%
Pennsylvania Average	95.9%	94.1%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,497	\$1,608	7.4%
RI - Providence	98.1%	95.7%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,727	\$1,859	7.6%
Rhode Island Average	98.1%	95.7%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,727	\$1,859	7.6%
SC - Charleston	90.1%	91.2%	110	1.3%	\$1,541	\$1,726	12.0%
SC - Columbia	93.9%	91.2%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,131	\$1,205	6.6%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.2%	88.6%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,193	\$1,308	9.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	92.0%	84.0%	-800	-8.7%	\$1,375	\$1,514	10.1%
SC - Outlying	93.7%	94.4%	69	0.8%	\$969	\$1,097	13.2%
South Carolina Average	91.0%	89.8%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,307	\$1,438	10.0%
SD - Outlying	96.3%	97.5%	120	1.2%	\$964	\$1,000	3.7%
SD - Rapid City	98.8%	80.9%	-1789	-18.1%	\$1,112	\$1,226	10.3%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.8%	91.9%	210	2.3%	\$950	\$1,050	10.5%
South Dakota Average	92.7%	89.2%	-350	-3.8%	\$982	\$1,083	10.3%
TN - Chattanooga	94.7%	90.3%	-439	-4.6%	\$1,221	\$1,349	10.5%
TN - Knoxville	96.3%	96.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,187	\$1,353	14.0%



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	93.1%	89.8%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,075	\$1,162	8.1%
TN - Nashville	92.4%	90.2%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,501	\$1,605	6.9%
TN - Outlying	96.1%	96.1%	0	0.1%	\$891	\$1,009	13.3%
Tennessee Average	93.2%	90.9%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,307	\$1,418	8.5%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	93.4%	90.6%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,442	\$1,537	6.6%
TX - Greater Dallas	93.7%	91.3%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,494	\$1,589	6.4%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	92.9%	89.8%	-310	-3.3%	\$1,333	\$1,423	6.7%
TX - Abilene	92.8%	91.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$871	\$898	3.2%
TX - Amarillo	92.9%	89.4%	-350	-3.8%	\$835	\$905	8.5%
TX - Austin	92.8%	89.3%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,627	\$1,675	3.0%
TX - Beaumont	90.2%	87.0%	-320	-3.5%	\$940	\$963	2.4%
TX - College Station	92.4%	92.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,297	\$1,403	8.2%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.1%	88.3%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,083	\$1,132	4.5%
TX - El Paso	96.2%	94.0%	-220	-2.3%	\$950	\$1,023	7.7%
TX - Houston	91.8%	89.8%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,243	\$1,303	4.8%
TX - Laredo	97.6%	95.8%	-180	-1.8%	\$998	\$1,051	5.3%
TX - Longview/Tyler	95.2%	91.4%	-379	-4.1%	\$1,033	\$1,086	5.2%
TX - Lubbock	90.7%	90.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$861	\$909	5.5%
TX - Lufkin	92.1%	89.0%	-310	-3.4%	\$857	\$911	6.2%
TX - Midland-Odessa	85.0%	91.9%	690	8.2%	\$1,028	\$1,244	21.1%
TX - Outlying	95.7%	90.1%	-559	-5.9%	\$830	\$930	12.0%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	96.7%	92.0%	-469	-4.8%	\$892	\$982	10.2%
TX - San Angelo	91.5%	89.2%	-230	-2.5%	\$948	\$1,030	8.7%
TX - San Antonio	93.7%	90.1%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,203	\$1,260	4.8%
TX - Texarkana	92.9%	91.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$788	\$841	6.8%
TX - Victoria	90.7%	88.3%	-240	-2.6%	\$952	\$978	2.8%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	95.7%	92.3%	-339	-3.6%	\$996	\$1,108	11.3%
TX - Wichita Falls	92.7%	88.6%	-410	-4.5%	\$769	\$824	7.1%
Texas Average	92.8%	90.2%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,319	\$1,395	5.7%
UT - Outlying	96.4%	84.9%	-1150	-12.0%	\$1,300	\$1,561	20.1%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.9%	90.4%	-349	-3.7%	\$1,488	\$1,573	5.7%
Utah Average	93.9%	90.2%	-369	-3.9%	\$1,483	\$1,573	6.0%
VA - Norfolk	96.0%	93.4%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,354	\$1,441	6.4%
VA - Richmond	95.7%	91.9%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,395	\$1,490	6.8%
VA - Roanoke	96.4%	95.1%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,034	\$1,132	9.5%
Virginia Average	95.9%	92.9%	-299	-3.2%	\$1,345	\$1,436	6.8%
WA - Outlying	86.6%	95.1%	849	9.7%	\$1,003	\$1,078	7.4%
WA - SE Washington	96.3%	94.0%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,280	\$1,319	3.0%
WA - Seattle	93.1%	90.7%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,973	\$2,067	4.8%
WA - Spokane	95.0%	92.1%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,291	\$1,355	5.0%
Washington Average	93.2%	91.0%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,887	\$1,974	4.6%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	94.8%	94.1%	-70	-0.7%	\$850	\$927	9.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	94.1%	90.0%	-409	-4.4%	\$1,253	\$1,402	11.9%
WI - Milwaukee	96.1%	94.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,263	\$1,361	7.8%
WI - Outlying	93.0%	92.3%	-70	-0.7%	\$891	\$983	10.3%
Wisconsin Average	95.0%	93.0%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,177	\$1,291	9.7%
WV - Charleston	96.0%	93.9%	-210	-2.2%	\$965	\$995	3.1%
WV - Outlying	97.6%	99.0%	140	1.4%	\$908	\$986	8.6%
West Virginia Average	96.5%	95.0%	-150	-1.5%	\$952	\$993	4.3%
CT - Hartford	96.0%	93.1%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,547	\$1,670	7.9%
DC - Washington	93.2%	92.4%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,969	\$2,074	5.3%
DE - Outlying	92.3%	88.6%	-370	-3.9%	\$1,391	\$1,494	7.4%
HI - Honolulu	86.3%	90.8%	450	5.3%	\$2,058	\$2,182	6.0%
MT - Outlying	88.8%	90.8%	200	2.2%	\$1,325	\$1,464	10.5%
NH - Concord	99.3%	97.2%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,610	\$1,728	7.3%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,652	\$1,748	5.8%
WY - Outlying	91.9%	95.9%	399	4.4%	\$1,037	\$1,116	7.6%
National Average	93.8%	91.3%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,576	\$1,678	6.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)