

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-22	May-23	bps	%CHG	May-22	May-23	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	97.1%	95.3%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,220	\$1,324	8.5%
AK - Outlying	91.2%	91.7%	50	0.6%	\$1,435	\$1,543	7.6%
Alaska Average	95.7%	94.3%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,273	\$1,377	8.2%
AL - Birmingham	91.8%	91.8%	0	0.0%	\$1,121	\$1,159	3.4%
AL - Huntsville	92.5%	87.5%	-500	-5.3%	\$1,091	\$1,138	4.3%
AL - Mobile	93.1%	93.2%	10	0.2%	\$1,105	\$1,176	6.4%
AL - Montgomery	93.6%	91.6%	-200	-2.1%	\$926	\$966	4.3%
AL - Outlying	95.5%	93.1%	-239	-2.5%	\$758	\$833	9.9%
Alabama Average	92.3%	90.9%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,071	\$1,119	4.5%
AR - Little Rock	90.4%	87.8%	-260	-2.8%	\$894	\$965	7.9%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	91.9%	92.9%	100	1.1%	\$848	\$982	15.8%
AR - Outlying	96.1%	96.2%	10	0.0%	\$684	\$730	6.7%
Arkansas Average	91.5%	90.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$858	\$952	10.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	97.0%	94.6%	-240	-2.4%	\$1,699	\$1,809	6.5%
AZ - Outlying	97.4%	93.1%	-429	-4.3%	\$1,049	\$1,120	6.7%
AZ - Phoenix	91.3%	89.2%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,646	\$1,603	-2.6%
AZ - Tucson	94.4%	91.1%	-329	-3.5%	\$1,156	\$1,179	2.1%
Arizona Average	91.8%	89.6%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,557	\$1,532	-1.6%
CA - Central Coast	96.5%	95.6%	-90	-0.9%	\$2,440	\$2,512	3.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	94.2%	91.2%	-299	-3.2%	\$2,585	\$2,695	4.2%
CA - Outlying	95.3%	96.4%	110	1.1%	\$1,200	\$1,251	4.3%
CA - Sacramento	95.2%	92.3%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,874	\$1,882	0.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	96.5%	93.7%	-279	-2.9%	\$2,116	\$2,165	2.3%
CA - San Diego	95.5%	94.8%	-70	-0.8%	\$2,508	\$2,686	7.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	93.0%	90.8%	-220	-2.4%	\$2,833	\$2,875	1.5%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	97.4%	95.9%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,482	\$1,530	3.3%
California Average	94.3%	92.4%	-189	-2.1%	\$2,449	\$2,534	3.5%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.8%	90.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,794	\$1,829	2.0%
CO - Outlying	96.7%	94.7%	-200	-2.0%	\$1,534	\$1,730	12.7%
Colorado Average	91.8%	90.5%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,790	\$1,827	2.1%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	93.6%	92.2%	-140	-1.4%	\$2,289	\$2,412	5.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	89.6%	88.0%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,995	\$2,116	6.1%
FL - Gainesville	95.0%	93.1%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,527	\$1,637	7.2%
FL - Jacksonville	92.0%	89.2%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,500	\$1,521	1.4%
FL - Melbourne	92.3%	89.5%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,616	\$1,691	4.6%
FL - Miami	93.0%	89.7%	-330	-3.6%	\$2,324	\$2,455	5.6%
FL - Orlando	93.2%	89.3%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,757	\$1,813	3.2%
FL - Palm Beach	93.4%	91.4%	-200	-2.1%	\$2,367	\$2,423	2.4%
FL - Pensacola	91.7%	90.0%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,518	\$1,560	2.8%
FL - Tallahassee	94.0%	92.7%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,242	\$1,324	6.6%
FL - Tampa	93.7%	90.7%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,767	\$1,813	2.6%
Florida Average	93.0%	90.1%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,864	\$1,932	3.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	89.7%	91.7%	200	2.2%	\$881	\$933	5.9%
GA - Atlanta	93.5%	90.5%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,629	\$1,654	1.5%
GA - Augusta	94.7%	89.9%	-479	-5.1%	\$1,125	\$1,147	1.9%
GA - Columbus	96.4%	94.1%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,043	\$1,108	6.2%
GA - Macon	94.2%	90.4%	-379	-4.1%	\$1,070	\$1,144	6.9%
GA - Outlying	98.2%	88.4%	-979	-10.0%	\$1,518	\$1,530	0.8%
GA - Savannah	92.1%	90.3%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,452	\$1,561	7.5%
Georgia Average	93.5%	90.6%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,542	\$1,577	2.2%
IA - Des Moines	90.1%	92.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,013	\$1,088	7.4%
IA - Outlying	94.5%	92.8%	-169	-1.8%	\$943	\$1,003	6.3%
Iowa Average	90.4%	92.1%	170	1.8%	\$1,007	\$1,081	7.3%
ID - Boise	94.0%	88.6%	-539	-5.8%	\$1,541	\$1,557	1.1%
ID - Outlying	98.7%	94.6%	-410	-4.2%	\$861	\$944	9.7%
Idaho Average	94.2%	88.0%	-619	-6.6%	\$1,507	\$1,528	1.4%
IL - Chicago	93.8%	93.1%	-69	-0.8%	\$1,795	\$1,905	6.1%
IL - Moline	95.6%	94.8%	-80	-0.8%	\$864	\$909	5.2%
IL - Outlying	78.2%	89.9%	1170	14.9%	\$661	\$677	2.4%
IL - Springfield	95.8%	93.8%	-200	-2.0%	\$894	\$976	9.2%
Illinois Average	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.8%	\$1,681	\$1,785	6.2%
IN - Evansville	96.6%	91.9%	-469	-4.9%	\$865	\$915	5.8%
IN - Fort Wayne	96.7%	93.6%	-309	-3.2%	\$948	\$1,025	8.1%
IN - Indianapolis	94.8%	92.4%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,110	\$1,208	8.8%
IN - Outlying	98.3%	97.2%	-110	-1.1%	\$937	\$936	-0.1%
IN - South Bend	93.7%	93.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,086	\$1,100	1.3%
Indiana Average	95.0%	92.5%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,077	\$1,162	7.8%
KS - Outlying	98.7%	97.7%	-100	-1.0%	\$657	\$670	2.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.0%	91.2%	-180	-1.9%	\$844	\$910	7.9%
KS - Wichita	95.1%	92.5%	-259	-2.8%	\$793	\$861	8.6%
Kansas Average	94.3%	92.1%	-219	-2.4%	\$809	\$876	8.2%
KY - Lexington	93.6%	92.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,026	\$1,088	6.1%
KY - Louisville	93.8%	91.9%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,073	\$1,161	8.2%
KY - Outlying	98.5%	96.5%	-200	-2.1%	\$707	\$742	4.9%
Kentucky Average	93.8%	92.1%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,050	\$1,130	7.6%
LA - Baton Rouge	92.4%	90.0%	-240	-2.5%	\$1,093	\$1,130	3.4%
LA - Lake Charles	81.4%	84.3%	290	3.5%	\$999	\$1,010	1.1%
LA - Monroe	91.1%	88.9%	-220	-2.4%	\$897	\$917	2.2%
LA - New Orleans	86.5%	88.4%	190	2.2%	\$1,187	\$1,238	4.2%
LA - Outlying	92.9%	88.4%	-450	-4.9%	\$837	\$852	1.8%
LA - Shreveport	92.3%	88.4%	-390	-4.2%	\$923	\$980	6.1%
Louisiana Average	89.9%	88.9%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,084	\$1,127	3.9%
MA - Boston	92.5%	92.1%	-40	-0.5%	\$2,565	\$2,698	5.2%
MA - Springfield	98.4%	97.1%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,482	\$1,608	8.4%

# ALN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

**NATIONWIDE**  
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,  
RELIABLE  
DATA**

**DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE**

## Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

**Thousands** of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

### Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

### What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

### How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



**LEARN MORE**

Scan the code or visit [alndata.co/our-services](http://alndata.co/our-services) to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data  
[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)  
800-643-6416 x 3  
[Sales@alndata.com](mailto:Sales@alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Massachusetts Average</b>	92.4%	92.1%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,520	\$2,655	5.4%
<b>MD - Baltimore</b>	95.4%	92.7%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,597	\$1,646	3.1%
<b>MD - Outlying</b>	99.3%	99.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,321	\$1,422	7.6%
<b>Maryland Average</b>	95.5%	92.9%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,591	\$1,641	3.1%
<b>ME - Augusta/Portland</b>	98.3%	96.9%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,726	\$1,833	6.2%
<b>Maine Average</b>	98.3%	96.9%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,726	\$1,833	6.2%
<b>MI - Detroit</b>	95.9%	93.1%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,219	\$1,265	3.7%
<b>MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek</b>	96.1%	94.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,152	\$1,237	7.4%
<b>MI - Outlying</b>	95.7%	94.7%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,003	\$1,070	6.6%
<b>Michigan Average</b>	95.9%	93.5%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,198	\$1,252	4.5%
<b>MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul</b>	92.2%	92.2%	0	0.0%	\$1,416	\$1,468	3.7%
<b>MN - Outlying</b>	97.3%	97.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,171	\$1,220	4.2%
<b>Minnesota Average</b>	92.1%	92.4%	30	0.2%	\$1,409	\$1,461	3.7%
<b>MO - Columbia</b>	98.2%	96.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$846	\$955	12.8%
<b>MO - Kansas City</b>	93.2%	91.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,168	\$1,257	7.6%
<b>MO - Outlying</b>	95.0%	94.1%	-90	-0.9%	\$618	\$642	3.9%
<b>MO - Springfield</b>	98.1%	96.9%	-120	-1.2%	\$823	\$904	9.8%
<b>MO - St. Louis</b>	92.1%	91.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,172	\$1,252	6.9%
<b>Missouri Average</b>	92.9%	91.9%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,135	\$1,222	7.6%
<b>MS - Gulfport/Biloxi</b>	94.7%	92.4%	-229	-2.5%	\$943	\$1,022	8.4%
<b>MS - Jackson/Central MS</b>	93.5%	91.4%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,018	\$1,105	8.6%
<b>MS - Outlying</b>	92.8%	93.6%	80	0.9%	\$940	\$1,044	11.1%
<b>Mississippi Average</b>	93.6%	92.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$979	\$1,068	9.1%
<b>NC - Asheville</b>	92.2%	93.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,568	\$1,653	5.4%
<b>NC - Charlotte</b>	93.2%	89.8%	-340	-3.6%	\$1,524	\$1,583	3.9%
<b>NC - Fayetteville</b>	96.3%	94.1%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,138	\$1,248	9.7%
<b>NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem</b>	94.2%	92.1%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,135	\$1,183	4.2%
<b>NC - Outlying</b>	99.2%	99.2%	0	0.1%	\$1,107	\$1,217	10.0%
<b>NC - Raleigh-Durham</b>	92.6%	89.1%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,467	\$1,524	3.9%
<b>NC - Wilmington</b>	93.3%	90.5%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,372	\$1,447	5.5%
<b>North Carolina Average</b>	93.2%	90.2%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,423	\$1,487	4.5%
<b>ND - Bismarck</b>	94.0%	93.1%	-89	-1.0%	\$988	\$1,094	10.7%
<b>ND - Fargo</b>	96.8%	92.5%	-429	-4.5%	\$868	\$946	9.0%
<b>ND - Outlying</b>	81.6%	91.7%	1010	12.3%	\$916	\$1,023	11.7%
<b>North Dakota Average</b>	87.6%	92.2%	460	5.3%	\$906	\$996	9.9%
<b>NE - Lincoln</b>	94.2%	91.7%	-249	-2.7%	\$1,003	\$1,097	9.4%
<b>NE - Omaha</b>	93.2%	88.6%	-460	-4.9%	\$1,076	\$1,184	10.0%
<b>NE - Outlying</b>	98.3%	96.5%	-180	-1.8%	\$753	\$774	2.8%
<b>Nebraska Average</b>	93.5%	89.4%	-410	-4.4%	\$1,053	\$1,157	9.9%
<b>NM - Albuquerque</b>	93.6%	93.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,220	\$1,318	8.1%
<b>NM - Outlying</b>	89.7%	93.5%	380	4.2%	\$1,116	\$1,240	11.1%
<b>New Mexico Average</b>	93.3%	93.2%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,210	\$1,311	8.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	93.2%	90.6%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,508	\$1,472	-2.4%
NV - Outlying	95.1%	93.3%	-179	-1.8%	\$1,138	\$1,177	3.4%
NV - Reno	88.7%	87.1%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,591	\$1,580	-0.7%
Nevada Average	92.5%	90.0%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,519	\$1,489	-2.0%
NY - Albany	95.0%	93.5%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,411	\$1,491	5.7%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.2%	96.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,190	\$1,261	6.0%
NY - New York City	91.2%	88.7%	-250	-2.8%	\$2,887	\$3,062	6.1%
NY - Outlying	92.9%	94.4%	149	1.5%	\$1,114	\$1,206	8.2%
New York Average	91.5%	90.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$2,562	\$2,727	6.5%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	95.4%	92.9%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,132	\$1,230	8.6%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.6%	93.0%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,039	\$1,125	8.3%
OH - Columbus	94.2%	93.3%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,175	\$1,258	7.1%
OH - Outlying	98.4%	97.6%	-80	-0.8%	\$759	\$808	6.4%
OH - Toledo	95.6%	93.8%	-180	-1.9%	\$859	\$915	6.6%
Ohio Average	94.5%	93.0%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,103	\$1,190	7.8%
OK - Oklahoma City	93.2%	90.5%	-270	-2.9%	\$905	\$950	4.9%
OK - Outlying	87.4%	82.1%	-530	-6.0%	\$762	\$811	6.5%
OK - Tulsa	94.4%	92.9%	-149	-1.6%	\$900	\$934	3.9%
Oklahoma Average	93.6%	91.3%	-230	-2.4%	\$900	\$941	4.5%
OR - Outlying	98.8%	93.5%	-529	-5.4%	\$1,309	\$1,374	4.9%
OR - Portland	94.1%	92.9%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,656	\$1,710	3.2%
Oregon Average	94.2%	92.6%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,652	\$1,706	3.3%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	97.5%	96.3%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,313	\$1,363	3.9%
PA - Outlying	97.3%	97.5%	20	0.1%	\$1,191	\$1,243	4.3%
PA - Philadelphia	94.8%	93.2%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,632	\$1,734	6.2%
PA - Pittsburgh	95.0%	92.3%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,284	\$1,356	5.6%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.6%	98.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,241	\$1,307	5.3%
Pennsylvania Average	95.1%	93.4%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,538	\$1,630	6.0%
RI - Providence	98.2%	96.9%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,785	\$1,914	7.2%
Rhode Island Average	98.2%	96.9%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,785	\$1,914	7.2%
SC - Charleston	91.6%	90.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,604	\$1,748	8.9%
SC - Columbia	92.6%	91.6%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,162	\$1,234	6.2%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.3%	88.2%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,243	\$1,322	6.4%
SC - Myrtle Beach	88.7%	81.3%	-740	-8.4%	\$1,470	\$1,547	5.3%
SC - Outlying	82.3%	91.4%	910	11.1%	\$1,022	\$1,202	17.6%
South Carolina Average	91.1%	88.9%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,360	\$1,461	7.5%
SD - Outlying	98.0%	98.9%	90	0.9%	\$1,010	\$1,001	-0.9%
SD - Rapid City	98.3%	80.2%	-1809	-18.4%	\$1,140	\$1,233	8.1%
SD - Sioux Falls	91.4%	89.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$981	\$1,074	9.5%
South Dakota Average	92.5%	87.7%	-480	-5.2%	\$1,014	\$1,101	8.6%
TN - Chattanooga	92.6%	89.5%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,276	\$1,369	7.3%
TN - Knoxville	96.7%	95.5%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,246	\$1,395	11.9%



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	92.4%	89.9%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,112	\$1,181	6.2%
TN - Nashville	92.0%	88.1%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,562	\$1,624	4.0%
TN - Outlying	96.4%	95.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$937	\$1,027	9.5%
Tennessee Average	92.6%	89.7%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,361	\$1,442	5.9%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	92.8%	90.6%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,499	\$1,542	2.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	93.1%	91.2%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,552	\$1,593	2.6%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	92.1%	89.8%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,387	\$1,430	3.1%
TX - Abilene	94.0%	89.1%	-489	-5.2%	\$888	\$944	6.3%
TX - Amarillo	91.7%	89.0%	-270	-3.0%	\$861	\$908	5.5%
TX - Austin	91.9%	88.8%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,684	\$1,666	-1.0%
TX - Beaumont	90.2%	88.2%	-200	-2.2%	\$961	\$980	1.9%
TX - College Station	91.7%	91.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,303	\$1,433	10.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.6%	87.8%	-280	-3.2%	\$1,111	\$1,141	2.8%
TX - El Paso	96.5%	95.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$974	\$1,043	7.1%
TX - Houston	91.7%	89.8%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,275	\$1,314	3.1%
TX - Laredo	97.4%	96.1%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,018	\$1,069	5.0%
TX - Longview/Tyler	94.6%	91.2%	-339	-3.6%	\$1,058	\$1,098	3.8%
TX - Lubbock	90.7%	88.3%	-240	-2.7%	\$870	\$911	4.7%
TX - Lufkin	92.1%	88.4%	-370	-4.0%	\$867	\$905	4.4%
TX - Midland-Odessa	88.5%	92.9%	440	4.9%	\$1,090	\$1,307	19.9%
TX - Outlying	95.2%	92.7%	-249	-2.6%	\$844	\$951	12.7%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	94.5%	91.7%	-279	-2.9%	\$913	\$978	7.2%
TX - San Angelo	87.4%	90.9%	350	4.0%	\$991	\$1,045	5.5%
TX - San Antonio	93.0%	89.0%	-400	-4.3%	\$1,237	\$1,263	2.1%
TX - Texarkana	93.0%	92.9%	-10	-0.2%	\$808	\$837	3.6%
TX - Victoria	90.5%	88.8%	-170	-1.8%	\$975	\$991	1.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	96.2%	91.9%	-429	-4.4%	\$1,035	\$1,102	6.5%
TX - Wichita Falls	92.3%	89.3%	-300	-3.3%	\$804	\$837	4.1%
Texas Average	92.3%	90.0%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,363	\$1,401	2.8%
UT - Outlying	96.3%	85.3%	-1099	-11.4%	\$1,374	\$1,556	13.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.3%	89.7%	-360	-3.8%	\$1,547	\$1,570	1.5%
Utah Average	93.3%	89.0%	-430	-4.6%	\$1,543	\$1,570	1.7%
VA - Norfolk	95.6%	93.3%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,387	\$1,470	6.0%
VA - Richmond	94.8%	91.2%	-359	-3.8%	\$1,445	\$1,508	4.4%
VA - Roanoke	96.5%	96.3%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,077	\$1,172	8.9%
Virginia Average	95.4%	92.6%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,386	\$1,461	5.4%
WA - Outlying	88.2%	93.7%	550	6.1%	\$999	\$1,097	9.8%
WA - SE Washington	93.8%	91.6%	-219	-2.4%	\$1,297	\$1,346	3.8%
WA - Seattle	92.5%	91.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,041	\$2,080	1.9%
WA - Spokane	96.3%	93.3%	-299	-3.2%	\$1,333	\$1,369	2.7%
Washington Average	92.3%	91.5%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,950	\$1,985	1.8%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	95.3%	94.0%	-130	-1.4%	\$867	\$952	9.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	90.6%	88.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,274	\$1,430	12.3%
WI - Milwaukee	95.0%	94.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,309	\$1,395	6.6%
WI - Outlying	93.8%	96.5%	270	2.9%	\$926	\$990	6.9%
Wisconsin Average	93.7%	93.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,211	\$1,322	9.1%
WV - Charleston	94.2%	92.8%	-139	-1.4%	\$972	\$1,017	4.6%
WV - Outlying	98.2%	95.5%	-270	-2.8%	\$920	\$986	7.2%
West Virginia Average	95.4%	93.3%	-209	-2.2%	\$960	\$1,010	5.2%
CT - Hartford	95.3%	93.5%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,599	\$1,703	6.5%
DC - Washington	93.9%	92.5%	-139	-1.4%	\$2,024	\$2,104	4.0%
DE - Outlying	91.8%	86.0%	-580	-6.2%	\$1,412	\$1,513	7.2%
HI - Honolulu	84.0%	94.2%	1019	12.2%	\$2,124	\$2,199	3.5%
MT - Outlying	91.4%	90.0%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,402	\$1,497	6.8%
NH - Concord	98.5%	91.7%	-679	-7.0%	\$1,655	\$1,715	3.6%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,655	\$1,828	10.5%
WY - Outlying	94.9%	94.6%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,059	\$1,153	8.8%
National Average	93.2%	91.1%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,629	\$1,695	4.1%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)

**Tammy Longo**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 249  
[Tammy@alndata.com](mailto:Tammy@alndata.com)