

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-22	Jun-23	bps	%CHG	Jun-22	Jun-23	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	96.9%	95.8%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,275	\$1,359	6.6%
AK - Outlying	91.9%	93.4%	150	1.6%	\$1,484	\$1,560	5.1%
Alaska Average	95.7%	95.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,326	\$1,408	6.2%
AL - Birmingham	92.7%	91.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,140	\$1,177	3.2%
AL - Huntsville	92.5%	88.1%	-440	-4.7%	\$1,098	\$1,145	4.3%
AL - Mobile	93.0%	93.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,116	\$1,188	6.5%
AL - Montgomery	93.4%	91.8%	-160	-1.7%	\$938	\$972	3.7%
AL - Outlying	96.8%	93.7%	-309	-3.1%	\$773	\$841	8.8%
Alabama Average	92.8%	91.0%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,084	\$1,131	4.4%
AR - Little Rock	90.5%	88.3%	-220	-2.5%	\$907	\$968	6.8%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.2%	93.2%	100	1.0%	\$864	\$988	14.4%
AR - Outlying	96.7%	96.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$692	\$739	6.7%
Arkansas Average	91.7%	91.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$872	\$957	9.8%
AZ - Flagstaff	96.4%	95.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,759	\$1,857	5.6%
AZ - Outlying	96.6%	93.2%	-339	-3.6%	\$1,055	\$1,124	6.5%
AZ - Phoenix	90.9%	88.6%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,655	\$1,605	-3.0%
AZ - Tucson	94.0%	90.9%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,170	\$1,187	1.5%
Arizona Average	91.6%	89.0%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,568	\$1,536	-2.0%
CA - Central Coast	96.7%	94.9%	-180	-1.9%	\$2,469	\$2,527	2.4%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	93.9%	91.0%	-289	-3.1%	\$2,617	\$2,710	3.6%
CA - Outlying	94.9%	96.1%	120	1.3%	\$1,206	\$1,265	4.9%
CA - Sacramento	94.6%	92.7%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,904	\$1,887	-0.9%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	96.4%	93.5%	-289	-3.0%	\$2,148	\$2,177	1.3%
CA - San Diego	95.1%	94.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$2,557	\$2,708	5.9%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.5%	90.7%	-180	-1.9%	\$2,868	\$2,888	0.7%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	97.2%	95.8%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,496	\$1,534	2.6%
California Average	94.3%	92.3%	-199	-2.2%	\$2,483	\$2,548	2.6%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.6%	90.5%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,821	\$1,845	1.4%
CO - Outlying	95.1%	95.1%	0	0.1%	\$1,555	\$1,733	11.4%
Colorado Average	91.7%	90.2%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,816	\$1,843	1.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	93.3%	92.0%	-130	-1.4%	\$2,325	\$2,413	3.8%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	88.9%	87.9%	-100	-1.2%	\$2,031	\$2,073	2.1%
FL - Gainesville	94.7%	92.2%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,549	\$1,651	6.6%
FL - Jacksonville	91.9%	89.5%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,523	\$1,516	-0.5%
FL - Melbourne	91.7%	88.9%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,638	\$1,693	3.3%
FL - Miami	91.9%	88.6%	-330	-3.6%	\$2,365	\$2,472	4.5%
FL - Orlando	92.8%	89.2%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,787	\$1,813	1.5%
FL - Palm Beach	92.4%	90.6%	-180	-2.0%	\$2,395	\$2,428	1.4%
FL - Pensacola	91.6%	90.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,548	\$1,565	1.1%
FL - Tallahassee	94.7%	92.3%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,257	\$1,344	7.0%
FL - Tampa	93.0%	90.3%	-270	-2.9%	\$1,790	\$1,821	1.7%
Florida Average	92.6%	89.7%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,892	\$1,936	2.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	88.8%	89.2%	40	0.4%	\$910	\$951	4.4%
GA - Atlanta	93.2%	90.2%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,646	\$1,656	0.6%
GA - Augusta	94.3%	89.5%	-479	-5.1%	\$1,131	\$1,152	1.9%
GA - Columbus	95.8%	94.5%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,054	\$1,116	5.9%
GA - Macon	93.8%	90.1%	-369	-3.9%	\$1,078	\$1,148	6.5%
GA - Outlying	99.4%	96.1%	-330	-3.3%	\$1,538	\$1,542	0.3%
GA - Savannah	92.4%	90.9%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,480	\$1,574	6.4%
Georgia Average	93.3%	90.3%	-300	-3.1%	\$1,558	\$1,580	1.4%
IA - Des Moines	89.7%	92.6%	290	3.3%	\$1,022	\$1,094	7.0%
IA - Outlying	94.9%	92.7%	-219	-2.3%	\$956	\$1,021	6.8%
Iowa Average	90.1%	92.6%	250	2.8%	\$1,016	\$1,087	7.0%
ID - Boise	93.6%	86.7%	-690	-7.4%	\$1,576	\$1,575	-0.1%
ID - Outlying	98.7%	91.7%	-699	-7.1%	\$874	\$940	7.5%
Idaho Average	93.8%	86.9%	-689	-7.4%	\$1,541	\$1,545	0.2%
IL - Chicago	94.1%	93.1%	-99	-1.1%	\$1,818	\$1,923	5.8%
IL - Moline	95.3%	95.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$871	\$909	4.4%
IL - Outlying	76.9%	89.9%	1300	16.8%	\$632	\$677	7.1%
IL - Springfield	95.7%	94.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$906	\$996	10.0%
Illinois Average	94.2%	93.2%	-99	-1.0%	\$1,701	\$1,803	6.0%
IN - Evansville	96.1%	93.2%	-289	-3.0%	\$870	\$914	5.1%
IN - Fort Wayne	96.2%	93.7%	-249	-2.6%	\$961	\$1,028	7.0%
IN - Indianapolis	94.6%	92.4%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,127	\$1,218	8.1%
IN - Outlying	97.9%	97.2%	-70	-0.7%	\$941	\$951	1.0%
IN - South Bend	93.3%	94.2%	89	0.9%	\$1,107	\$1,121	1.3%
Indiana Average	94.7%	92.7%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,094	\$1,172	7.2%
KS - Outlying	98.4%	97.3%	-110	-1.1%	\$658	\$675	2.7%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	93.2%	92.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$850	\$916	7.8%
KS - Wichita	95.3%	92.3%	-299	-3.1%	\$799	\$869	8.7%
Kansas Average	94.5%	92.3%	-219	-2.3%	\$815	\$883	8.2%
KY - Lexington	93.4%	92.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,048	\$1,100	5.0%
KY - Louisville	94.0%	92.0%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,086	\$1,163	7.1%
KY - Outlying	98.4%	95.6%	-280	-2.8%	\$710	\$749	5.5%
Kentucky Average	93.9%	92.2%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,065	\$1,135	6.5%
LA - Baton Rouge	92.5%	89.8%	-270	-2.9%	\$1,102	\$1,135	3.0%
LA - Lake Charles	82.3%	83.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,002	\$1,003	0.2%
LA - Monroe	90.4%	89.7%	-70	-0.7%	\$899	\$923	2.8%
LA - New Orleans	86.6%	88.5%	190	2.2%	\$1,198	\$1,245	3.9%
LA - Outlying	92.7%	88.4%	-430	-4.7%	\$847	\$853	0.7%
LA - Shreveport	90.3%	88.0%	-230	-2.6%	\$929	\$985	6.0%
Louisiana Average	89.6%	88.7%	-90	-1.1%	\$1,092	\$1,132	3.6%
MA - Boston	92.4%	91.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,593	\$2,715	4.7%
MA - Springfield	98.6%	97.1%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,494	\$1,620	8.4%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

Empower Your Budgeting Process with Accurate Data Analysis



Informed Decision-Making

Gain a competitive edge by leveraging accurate data analysis.



Realistic Financial Projections

Create a solid budget plan based on reliable market insights.



Cost Control and Optimization

Maximize profitability through data-driven expense management.

ALN OnLine is a comprehensive multifamily data software platform for your research and analytics.

Scan the QR code to learn more or go to
alndata.co/2023budgetseason



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	92.6%	91.0%	-160	-1.7%	\$2,548	\$2,672	4.9%
MD - Baltimore	95.3%	92.2%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,610	\$1,649	2.4%
MD - Outlying	99.4%	99.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,335	\$1,429	7.1%
Maryland Average	95.4%	92.4%	-299	-3.2%	\$1,604	\$1,644	2.5%
ME - Augusta/Portland	98.0%	96.9%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,748	\$1,857	6.2%
Maine Average	98.0%	96.9%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,748	\$1,857	6.2%
MI - Detroit	95.7%	93.0%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,229	\$1,273	3.6%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.5%	93.8%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,171	\$1,247	6.4%
MI - Outlying	95.6%	94.3%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,008	\$1,082	7.3%
Michigan Average	95.7%	93.1%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,209	\$1,261	4.3%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,424	\$1,475	3.6%
MN - Outlying	97.3%	97.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,181	\$1,222	3.5%
Minnesota Average	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,418	\$1,468	3.6%
MO - Columbia	97.7%	97.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$848	\$940	10.8%
MO - Kansas City	93.1%	92.2%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,182	\$1,268	7.3%
MO - Outlying	88.2%	93.8%	559	6.3%	\$617	\$644	4.4%
MO - Springfield	97.3%	97.1%	-20	-0.3%	\$831	\$904	8.8%
MO - St. Louis	92.5%	91.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,182	\$1,255	6.2%
Missouri Average	93.0%	92.0%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,147	\$1,229	7.1%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	95.1%	92.8%	-229	-2.5%	\$950	\$1,032	8.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.4%	91.5%	-190	-2.0%	\$1,030	\$1,108	7.6%
MS - Outlying	94.1%	93.7%	-39	-0.4%	\$958	\$1,046	9.2%
Mississippi Average	94.0%	92.5%	-149	-1.6%	\$991	\$1,072	8.2%
NC - Asheville	91.7%	92.9%	120	1.3%	\$1,582	\$1,645	4.0%
NC - Charlotte	92.7%	89.1%	-360	-3.8%	\$1,549	\$1,590	2.7%
NC - Fayetteville	96.3%	93.6%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,157	\$1,243	7.5%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	94.0%	92.1%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,150	\$1,187	3.2%
NC - Outlying	99.2%	99.2%	0	0.1%	\$1,093	\$1,228	12.3%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.4%	88.6%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,493	\$1,534	2.7%
NC - Wilmington	94.0%	90.8%	-319	-3.4%	\$1,388	\$1,447	4.3%
North Carolina Average	93.0%	89.7%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,446	\$1,494	3.3%
ND - Bismarck	91.9%	93.7%	180	1.9%	\$1,005	\$1,094	8.9%
ND - Fargo	93.7%	92.2%	-150	-1.6%	\$879	\$953	8.5%
ND - Outlying	83.6%	92.5%	890	10.6%	\$923	\$1,055	14.3%
North Dakota Average	88.4%	92.6%	420	4.7%	\$916	\$1,011	10.4%
NE - Lincoln	95.2%	91.4%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,010	\$1,103	9.3%
NE - Omaha	92.9%	89.1%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,088	\$1,190	9.4%
NE - Outlying	98.9%	96.2%	-270	-2.7%	\$765	\$840	9.9%
Nebraska Average	93.5%	89.8%	-370	-4.0%	\$1,064	\$1,163	9.3%
NM - Albuquerque	94.0%	93.2%	-79	-0.9%	\$1,244	\$1,332	7.1%
NM - Outlying	90.4%	93.4%	300	3.3%	\$1,115	\$1,251	12.2%
New Mexico Average	93.7%	93.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,232	\$1,324	7.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	92.9%	90.7%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,521	\$1,466	-3.6%
NV - Outlying	95.2%	94.4%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,145	\$1,199	4.7%
NV - Reno	88.6%	87.2%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,606	\$1,582	-1.5%
Nevada Average	92.2%	90.1%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,532	\$1,484	-3.1%
NY - Albany	94.5%	93.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,425	\$1,501	5.3%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.0%	96.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,201	\$1,272	5.9%
NY - New York City	90.7%	87.7%	-300	-3.3%	\$2,912	\$3,080	5.8%
NY - Outlying	92.6%	92.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,125	\$1,231	9.4%
New York Average	91.4%	89.5%	-190	-2.1%	\$2,585	\$2,745	6.2%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.6%	92.2%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,148	\$1,241	8.1%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.3%	92.8%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,051	\$1,132	7.7%
OH - Columbus	94.0%	93.0%	-99	-1.2%	\$1,192	\$1,269	6.5%
OH - Outlying	99.4%	97.6%	-180	-1.8%	\$752	\$838	11.4%
OH - Toledo	95.5%	94.5%	-100	-1.0%	\$869	\$928	6.8%
Ohio Average	94.3%	92.7%	-159	-1.6%	\$1,118	\$1,199	7.2%
OK - Oklahoma City	93.2%	89.6%	-360	-3.8%	\$913	\$953	4.4%
OK - Outlying	79.9%	85.1%	519	6.5%	\$793	\$811	2.2%
OK - Tulsa	94.4%	92.7%	-169	-1.8%	\$914	\$944	3.2%
Oklahoma Average	93.4%	90.8%	-260	-2.8%	\$911	\$947	3.9%
OR - Outlying	98.9%	90.3%	-859	-8.6%	\$1,319	\$1,358	2.9%
OR - Portland	94.3%	92.4%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,680	\$1,716	2.1%
Oregon Average	94.4%	92.4%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,675	\$1,711	2.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.9%	93.0%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,323	\$1,384	4.6%
PA - Outlying	97.2%	97.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,189	\$1,267	6.5%
PA - Philadelphia	94.8%	92.9%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,649	\$1,746	5.9%
PA - Pittsburgh	95.2%	92.4%	-279	-2.9%	\$1,290	\$1,365	5.8%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.5%	98.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,240	\$1,313	5.9%
Pennsylvania Average	94.8%	93.0%	-179	-2.0%	\$1,552	\$1,642	5.8%
RI - Providence	98.0%	96.7%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,817	\$1,943	6.9%
Rhode Island Average	98.0%	96.7%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,817	\$1,943	6.9%
SC - Charleston	92.2%	90.4%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,640	\$1,764	7.6%
SC - Columbia	92.5%	90.9%	-160	-1.6%	\$1,174	\$1,241	5.7%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.3%	85.9%	-440	-4.8%	\$1,260	\$1,340	6.4%
SC - Myrtle Beach	88.7%	80.9%	-779	-8.8%	\$1,494	\$1,543	3.3%
SC - Outlying	83.1%	91.5%	840	10.1%	\$1,027	\$1,218	18.7%
South Carolina Average	91.2%	87.9%	-330	-3.6%	\$1,382	\$1,473	6.6%
SD - Outlying	97.7%	95.7%	-200	-2.0%	\$1,011	\$1,003	-0.8%
SD - Rapid City	80.7%	79.4%	-130	-1.6%	\$1,194	\$1,241	4.0%
SD - Sioux Falls	86.6%	89.1%	250	2.9%	\$991	\$1,076	8.6%
South Dakota Average	85.8%	86.7%	90	1.1%	\$1,036	\$1,103	6.4%
TN - Chattanooga	92.9%	89.5%	-340	-3.7%	\$1,284	\$1,375	7.1%
TN - Knoxville	96.7%	95.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,270	\$1,399	10.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	92.4%	90.3%	-210	-2.3%	\$1,127	\$1,180	4.7%
TN - Nashville	92.0%	88.1%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,584	\$1,630	2.9%
TN - Outlying	98.0%	95.9%	-210	-2.1%	\$952	\$1,034	8.6%
Tennessee Average	92.7%	89.7%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,380	\$1,447	4.8%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	92.9%	90.2%	-270	-2.8%	\$1,522	\$1,547	1.6%
TX - Greater Dallas	93.3%	91.1%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,577	\$1,599	1.4%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	92.1%	88.8%	-330	-3.6%	\$1,409	\$1,433	1.7%
TX - Abilene	93.9%	88.6%	-529	-5.6%	\$894	\$951	6.4%
TX - Amarillo	91.8%	89.0%	-280	-3.1%	\$880	\$911	3.5%
TX - Austin	91.7%	88.2%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,709	\$1,670	-2.3%
TX - Beaumont	87.2%	87.7%	50	0.6%	\$971	\$993	2.3%
TX - College Station	90.1%	90.7%	60	0.6%	\$1,318	\$1,439	9.2%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.6%	87.1%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,116	\$1,139	2.1%
TX - El Paso	96.3%	94.8%	-150	-1.6%	\$986	\$1,055	7.0%
TX - Houston	91.6%	89.9%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,288	\$1,320	2.5%
TX - Laredo	97.4%	96.3%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,025	\$1,084	5.8%
TX - Longview/Tyler	94.4%	89.2%	-519	-5.5%	\$1,071	\$1,116	4.2%
TX - Lubbock	90.7%	88.5%	-220	-2.4%	\$878	\$913	4.0%
TX - Lufkin	91.0%	86.4%	-460	-5.1%	\$882	\$911	3.3%
TX - Midland-Odessa	89.5%	93.5%	400	4.5%	\$1,114	\$1,323	18.7%
TX - Outlying	95.9%	93.0%	-289	-3.0%	\$850	\$948	11.5%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	94.2%	92.2%	-199	-2.1%	\$927	\$980	5.7%
TX - San Angelo	89.0%	91.3%	230	2.6%	\$996	\$1,048	5.3%
TX - San Antonio	92.8%	88.9%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,252	\$1,260	0.7%
TX - Texarkana	92.1%	89.0%	-310	-3.4%	\$811	\$862	6.3%
TX - Victoria	90.3%	88.1%	-220	-2.4%	\$988	\$982	-0.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	95.6%	91.2%	-439	-4.6%	\$1,055	\$1,118	5.9%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.6%	89.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$809	\$842	4.2%
Texas Average	92.3%	89.8%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,382	\$1,406	1.8%
UT - Outlying	97.4%	85.5%	-1190	-12.3%	\$1,388	\$1,571	13.1%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.2%	88.7%	-450	-4.9%	\$1,570	\$1,578	0.5%
Utah Average	93.3%	88.0%	-530	-5.7%	\$1,565	\$1,578	0.8%
VA - Norfolk	95.5%	93.3%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,410	\$1,473	4.5%
VA - Richmond	95.0%	90.9%	-409	-4.3%	\$1,460	\$1,517	4.0%
VA - Roanoke	96.3%	96.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,101	\$1,188	7.8%
Virginia Average	95.4%	92.5%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,406	\$1,468	4.5%
WA - Outlying	88.4%	90.8%	240	2.7%	\$994	\$1,094	10.0%
WA - SE Washington	93.2%	93.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,312	\$1,356	3.4%
WA - Seattle	92.2%	91.6%	-60	-0.7%	\$2,073	\$2,088	0.8%
WA - Spokane	95.9%	92.1%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,360	\$1,380	1.5%
Washington Average	92.1%	91.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,979	\$1,993	0.7%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	95.5%	94.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$871	\$959	10.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	90.2%	88.5%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,281	\$1,442	12.6%
WI - Milwaukee	95.1%	94.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,324	\$1,405	6.1%
WI - Outlying	94.1%	96.7%	260	2.7%	\$944	\$992	5.1%
Wisconsin Average	93.7%	92.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,222	\$1,332	9.0%
WV - Charleston	93.1%	92.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$977	\$1,025	4.9%
WV - Outlying	98.1%	95.3%	-280	-2.8%	\$921	\$988	7.2%
West Virginia Average	94.4%	93.4%	-99	-1.1%	\$965	\$1,017	5.4%
CT - Hartford	95.1%	92.7%	-239	-2.5%	\$1,615	\$1,721	6.6%
DC - Washington	93.6%	92.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$2,047	\$2,120	3.6%
DE - Outlying	93.0%	86.5%	-650	-6.9%	\$1,426	\$1,530	7.3%
HI - Honolulu	90.4%	95.9%	549	6.1%	\$2,135	\$2,232	4.5%
MT - Outlying	89.9%	90.6%	70	0.8%	\$1,420	\$1,565	10.2%
NH - Concord	98.5%	92.6%	-589	-6.0%	\$1,669	\$1,727	3.5%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,657	\$1,831	10.5%
WY - Outlying	96.6%	95.5%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,101	\$1,163	5.7%
National Average	93.1%	90.9%	-220	-2.4%	\$1,649	\$1,704	3.3%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com