

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-22	Nov-23	bps	%CHG	Nov-22	Nov-23	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.4%	92.9%	-249	-2.6%	\$1,317	\$1,414	7.4%
AK - Outlying	93.3%	90.1%	-320	-3.4%	\$1,489	\$1,555	4.4%
Alaska Average	94.9%	92.3%	-259	-2.7%	\$1,359	\$1,448	6.6%
AL - Birmingham	91.6%	87.7%	-390	-4.3%	\$1,147	\$1,185	3.4%
AL - Huntsville	87.4%	85.0%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,128	\$1,144	1.4%
AL - Mobile	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,136	\$1,182	4.1%
AL - Montgomery	92.3%	87.4%	-490	-5.2%	\$947	\$975	3.0%
AL - Outlying	92.7%	94.6%	189	2.0%	\$797	\$851	6.8%
Alabama Average	90.7%	87.9%	-280	-3.1%	\$1,101	\$1,135	3.1%
AR - Little Rock	88.4%	89.9%	150	1.7%	\$939	\$984	4.8%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	91.8%	92.7%	90	1.0%	\$947	\$1,008	6.5%
AR - Outlying	94.9%	94.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$719	\$752	4.6%
Arkansas Average	90.2%	91.5%	130	1.4%	\$924	\$974	5.5%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.8%	91.0%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,767	\$1,802	2.0%
AZ - Outlying	95.2%	94.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,102	\$1,114	1.1%
AZ - Phoenix	88.9%	88.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,629	\$1,573	-3.5%
AZ - Tucson	92.4%	90.5%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,183	\$1,193	0.9%
Arizona Average	89.7%	88.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,552	\$1,510	-2.7%
CA - Central Coast	95.0%	94.0%	-100	-1.0%	\$2,489	\$2,555	2.6%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.6%	90.7%	-190	-2.1%	\$2,683	\$2,724	1.5%
CA - Outlying	93.8%	96.8%	300	3.3%	\$1,218	\$1,298	6.6%
CA - Sacramento	92.5%	92.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,885	\$1,882	-0.2%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	94.7%	93.0%	-169	-1.9%	\$2,138	\$2,136	-0.1%
CA - San Diego	94.1%	94.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,649	\$2,706	2.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.7%	90.7%	0	0.1%	\$2,889	\$2,845	-1.5%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.7%	95.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,513	\$1,554	2.7%
California Average	92.8%	92.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$2,523	\$2,541	0.7%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.5%	88.9%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,821	\$1,829	0.5%
CO - Outlying	96.9%	95.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,631	\$1,783	9.3%
Colorado Average	90.2%	88.9%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,818	\$1,828	0.6%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.1%	90.1%	-200	-2.2%	\$2,356	\$2,389	1.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	91.0%	84.0%	-700	-7.7%	\$2,079	\$1,991	-4.2%
FL - Gainesville	94.8%	91.4%	-339	-3.6%	\$1,596	\$1,623	1.7%
FL - Jacksonville	89.5%	84.8%	-470	-5.2%	\$1,521	\$1,476	-3.0%
FL - Melbourne	90.1%	89.0%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,652	\$1,641	-0.7%
FL - Miami	89.5%	86.9%	-260	-2.8%	\$2,428	\$2,491	2.6%
FL - Orlando	91.4%	87.6%	-380	-4.1%	\$1,804	\$1,755	-2.7%
FL - Palm Beach	91.9%	90.7%	-120	-1.3%	\$2,386	\$2,427	1.7%
FL - Pensacola	86.4%	90.8%	440	5.2%	\$1,571	\$1,541	-1.9%
FL - Tallahassee	92.3%	90.6%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,375	\$1,351	-1.8%
FL - Tampa	90.1%	89.5%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,810	\$1,793	-0.9%
Florida Average	90.5%	88.3%	-220	-2.5%	\$1,915	\$1,903	-0.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.4%	90.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$941	\$972	3.3%
GA - Atlanta	91.5%	88.7%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,668	\$1,625	-2.6%
GA - Augusta	91.1%	88.6%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,135	\$1,153	1.6%
GA - Columbus	95.0%	94.3%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,087	\$1,128	3.7%
GA - Macon	90.0%	88.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,120	\$1,142	2.0%
GA - Outlying	94.4%	91.8%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,529	\$1,551	1.4%
GA - Savannah	89.8%	89.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,563	\$1,597	2.2%
Georgia Average	91.5%	89.0%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,584	\$1,558	-1.7%
IA - Des Moines	92.5%	88.9%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,051	\$1,100	4.6%
IA - Outlying	90.2%	91.4%	120	1.3%	\$970	\$1,026	5.8%
Iowa Average	92.3%	89.0%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,045	\$1,094	4.7%
ID - Boise	86.9%	85.6%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,570	\$1,521	-3.1%
ID - Outlying	96.6%	95.7%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,127	\$935	-17.1%
Idaho Average	87.3%	86.0%	-130	-1.5%	\$1,547	\$1,493	-3.5%
IL - Chicago	92.4%	93.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,848	\$1,929	4.4%
IL - Moline	95.6%	95.6%	0	0.0%	\$886	\$915	3.4%
IL - Outlying	76.8%	73.4%	-340	-4.3%	\$671	\$706	5.3%
IL - Springfield	95.3%	92.6%	-269	-2.9%	\$938	\$1,007	7.4%
Illinois Average	92.6%	93.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,728	\$1,810	4.7%
IN - Evansville	95.0%	94.1%	-90	-0.9%	\$909	\$938	3.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.5%	94.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,000	\$1,035	3.5%
IN - Indianapolis	93.6%	91.2%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,175	\$1,231	4.8%
IN - Outlying	97.7%	99.0%	130	1.4%	\$956	\$950	-0.6%
IN - South Bend	93.6%	94.0%	39	0.5%	\$1,126	\$1,142	1.4%
Indiana Average	93.8%	91.8%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,137	\$1,186	4.3%
KS - Outlying	95.3%	96.3%	100	1.0%	\$668	\$689	3.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	94.7%	93.2%	-149	-1.6%	\$881	\$926	5.0%
KS - Wichita	94.3%	91.4%	-289	-3.0%	\$840	\$879	4.6%
Kansas Average	94.5%	92.3%	-219	-2.3%	\$853	\$893	4.7%
KY - Lexington	88.7%	94.2%	549	6.3%	\$1,078	\$1,129	4.8%
KY - Louisville	92.5%	90.8%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,131	\$1,174	3.8%
KY - Outlying	95.9%	98.7%	280	2.9%	\$719	\$783	8.8%
Kentucky Average	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,105	\$1,151	4.2%
LA - Baton Rouge	91.3%	88.8%	-250	-2.8%	\$1,109	\$1,133	2.1%
LA - Lake Charles	81.0%	89.9%	889	11.0%	\$962	\$1,010	5.0%
LA - Monroe	90.8%	91.2%	40	0.5%	\$931	\$916	-1.6%
LA - New Orleans	89.4%	89.4%	0	-0.1%	\$1,209	\$1,236	2.2%
LA - Outlying	91.9%	87.9%	-400	-4.3%	\$849	\$861	1.5%
LA - Shreveport	89.1%	89.8%	70	0.7%	\$953	\$981	3.0%
Louisiana Average	89.8%	89.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,104	\$1,127	2.1%
MA - Boston	92.7%	91.0%	-170	-1.7%	\$2,636	\$2,726	3.4%
MA - Springfield	98.2%	95.9%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,567	\$1,654	5.6%

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

NATIONWIDE
MULTIFAMILY DATA

DISCOVER

**ACCURATE,
RELIABLE
DATA**

DATA FOR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, SUPPLIER PARTNERS, ON-SITE, AND MORE

Discover the most powerful multifamily industry data

Thousands of multifamily professionals rely on ALN for unsurpassed data integrity and our passion for taking care of our clients. Let us show you what we do, how we do it, and why hiring a research company could be right for your business.

Who We Are

Based in Dallas, TX, and founded in 1991. We are independently owned and proud members and supporters of 100+ industry associations.

What We Do

We update, collect, and report our multifamily data nationwide. Clients access our data and reports from proprietary web-based platforms.

How We Do It

From two separate offices, our call centers update directly with properties and companies on a monthly basis.



LEARN MORE

Scan the code or visit alndata.co/our-services to find additional resources about our company

ALN Apartment Data
www.alndata.com
800-643-6416 x 3
Sales@alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Massachusetts Average	92.6%	91.3%	-130	-1.5%	\$2,593	\$2,685	3.5%
MD - Baltimore	94.2%	92.0%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,624	\$1,651	1.7%
MD - Outlying	99.1%	98.1%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,404	\$1,456	3.7%
Maryland Average	94.3%	92.2%	-209	-2.3%	\$1,619	\$1,646	1.7%
ME - Augusta/Portland	96.4%	86.6%	-979	-10.1%	\$1,741	\$1,898	9.0%
Maine Average	96.4%	86.6%	-979	-10.1%	\$1,741	\$1,898	9.0%
MI - Detroit	94.1%	92.6%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,255	\$1,281	2.1%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.2%	92.6%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,214	\$1,267	4.4%
MI - Outlying	95.3%	94.6%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,033	\$1,090	5.5%
Michigan Average	94.2%	92.4%	-179	-1.8%	\$1,238	\$1,271	2.6%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.1%	91.2%	10	0.2%	\$1,442	\$1,481	2.7%
MN - Outlying	97.0%	91.4%	-559	-5.8%	\$1,176	\$1,249	6.2%
Minnesota Average	91.0%	91.3%	30	0.2%	\$1,434	\$1,474	2.8%
MO - Columbia	96.7%	97.2%	50	0.5%	\$894	\$981	9.7%
MO - Kansas City	92.0%	91.7%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,226	\$1,283	4.6%
MO - Outlying	98.8%	76.9%	-2189	-22.1%	\$619	\$675	9.1%
MO - Springfield	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.4%	\$865	\$911	5.3%
MO - St. Louis	91.4%	89.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,228	\$1,284	4.6%
Missouri Average	91.7%	91.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,191	\$1,248	4.8%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.1%	93.3%	120	1.2%	\$1,010	\$1,047	3.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.0%	90.5%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,079	\$1,109	2.7%
MS - Outlying	92.1%	93.6%	150	1.7%	\$1,025	\$1,044	1.9%
Mississippi Average	92.0%	92.1%	10	0.0%	\$1,048	\$1,076	2.6%
NC - Asheville	95.5%	93.7%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,646	\$1,636	-0.6%
NC - Charlotte	90.0%	87.7%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,575	\$1,570	-0.3%
NC - Fayetteville	95.2%	90.9%	-429	-4.5%	\$1,199	\$1,214	1.3%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	91.7%	91.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,180	\$1,194	1.2%
NC - Outlying	95.0%	89.5%	-549	-5.8%	\$1,115	\$1,223	9.7%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	91.5%	87.7%	-380	-4.2%	\$1,528	\$1,504	-1.6%
NC - Wilmington	92.9%	90.4%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,441	\$1,422	-1.4%
North Carolina Average	91.3%	88.7%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,481	\$1,474	-0.5%
ND - Bismarck	91.5%	96.0%	449	4.9%	\$1,046	\$1,084	3.6%
ND - Fargo	93.0%	93.8%	79	0.8%	\$909	\$985	8.4%
ND - Outlying	89.4%	91.4%	200	2.3%	\$968	\$1,104	14.1%
North Dakota Average	91.0%	93.0%	200	2.2%	\$951	\$1,045	9.8%
NE - Lincoln	97.1%	88.5%	-859	-8.9%	\$1,045	\$1,139	9.0%
NE - Omaha	89.0%	91.3%	230	2.6%	\$1,140	\$1,192	4.6%
NE - Outlying	98.0%	96.6%	-140	-1.5%	\$790	\$868	10.0%
Nebraska Average	90.9%	90.8%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,112	\$1,173	5.5%
NM - Albuquerque	91.7%	91.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,282	\$1,347	5.1%
NM - Outlying	92.1%	91.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,212	\$1,256	3.6%
New Mexico Average	91.7%	91.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,275	\$1,337	4.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	91.0%	88.7%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,491	\$1,452	-2.6%
NV - Outlying	95.7%	88.6%	-709	-7.4%	\$1,149	\$1,215	5.8%
NV - Reno	89.2%	87.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,568	\$1,578	0.6%
Nevada Average	90.4%	88.6%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,501	\$1,473	-1.9%
NY - Albany	92.4%	89.8%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,454	\$1,544	6.1%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	96.6%	94.9%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,228	\$1,305	6.2%
NY - New York City	89.2%	86.8%	-240	-2.7%	\$3,018	\$3,107	3.0%
NY - Outlying	91.3%	90.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,151	\$1,226	6.6%
New York Average	90.6%	87.6%	-300	-3.3%	\$2,682	\$2,773	3.4%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.7%	91.1%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,196	\$1,265	5.8%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,093	\$1,145	4.8%
OH - Columbus	92.1%	91.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,232	\$1,273	3.3%
OH - Outlying	99.7%	99.7%	0	-0.1%	\$808	\$827	2.3%
OH - Toledo	92.7%	94.1%	139	1.5%	\$898	\$937	4.3%
Ohio Average	92.7%	91.6%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,160	\$1,213	4.6%
OK - Oklahoma City	91.5%	90.1%	-140	-1.6%	\$931	\$969	4.0%
OK - Outlying	84.0%	88.7%	470	5.6%	\$790	\$823	4.2%
OK - Tulsa	93.5%	91.0%	-250	-2.7%	\$923	\$963	4.3%
Oklahoma Average	92.2%	90.5%	-170	-1.9%	\$926	\$964	4.1%
OR - Outlying	96.2%	82.0%	-1420	-14.7%	\$1,379	\$1,357	-1.6%
OR - Portland	93.4%	91.1%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,717	\$1,685	-1.9%
Oregon Average	93.4%	91.0%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,713	\$1,680	-1.9%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	94.7%	92.6%	-209	-2.1%	\$1,348	\$1,402	4.0%
PA - Outlying	97.8%	97.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,220	\$1,260	3.4%
PA - Philadelphia	93.3%	92.2%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,709	\$1,760	3.0%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.2%	92.8%	-139	-1.5%	\$1,341	\$1,378	2.8%
PA - State College/Altoona	99.1%	97.2%	-190	-1.9%	\$1,262	\$1,327	5.2%
Pennsylvania Average	93.7%	92.5%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,607	\$1,655	3.0%
RI - Providence	97.2%	96.6%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,857	\$1,974	6.3%
Rhode Island Average	97.2%	96.6%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,857	\$1,974	6.3%
SC - Charleston	91.5%	88.4%	-310	-3.4%	\$1,714	\$1,764	2.9%
SC - Columbia	90.7%	89.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,205	\$1,250	3.7%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	87.4%	85.7%	-170	-2.0%	\$1,305	\$1,332	2.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	80.5%	78.4%	-210	-2.6%	\$1,524	\$1,522	-0.1%
SC - Outlying	80.7%	93.0%	1230	15.1%	\$1,102	\$1,225	11.2%
South Carolina Average	89.0%	87.0%	-200	-2.2%	\$1,433	\$1,472	2.7%
SD - Outlying	98.5%	99.2%	70	0.8%	\$968	\$1,022	5.6%
SD - Rapid City	78.7%	65.3%	-1340	-17.0%	\$1,201	\$1,264	5.3%
SD - Sioux Falls	90.8%	88.5%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,050	\$1,071	2.1%
South Dakota Average	88.1%	82.8%	-530	-6.0%	\$1,074	\$1,111	3.4%
TN - Chattanooga	91.7%	88.1%	-360	-3.9%	\$1,341	\$1,373	2.4%
TN - Knoxville	95.8%	94.4%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,350	\$1,427	5.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Memphis	91.0%	90.4%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,152	\$1,168	1.3%
TN - Nashville	90.8%	88.2%	-260	-2.8%	\$1,612	\$1,606	-0.4%
TN - Outlying	95.6%	96.8%	120	1.2%	\$1,016	\$1,087	7.0%
Tennessee Average	91.5%	89.6%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,419	\$1,438	1.3%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	91.3%	89.0%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,545	\$1,523	-1.4%
TX - Greater Dallas	91.9%	90.0%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,602	\$1,574	-1.7%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.7%	87.6%	-310	-3.5%	\$1,421	\$1,406	-1.1%
TX - Abilene	93.5%	89.2%	-430	-4.5%	\$897	\$959	6.9%
TX - Amarillo	89.9%	89.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$913	\$922	1.0%
TX - Austin	89.9%	85.8%	-410	-4.6%	\$1,695	\$1,598	-5.7%
TX - Beaumont	86.0%	89.7%	370	4.3%	\$963	\$997	3.5%
TX - College Station	95.0%	92.9%	-209	-2.2%	\$1,352	\$1,460	8.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	87.3%	86.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,121	\$1,122	0.1%
TX - El Paso	93.7%	93.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,018	\$1,060	4.1%
TX - Houston	90.3%	88.9%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,300	\$1,313	1.0%
TX - Laredo	95.6%	93.7%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,062	\$1,103	3.9%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.6%	88.7%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,086	\$1,093	0.7%
TX - Lubbock	90.5%	89.3%	-120	-1.4%	\$906	\$918	1.3%
TX - Lufkin	89.4%	88.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$892	\$902	1.1%
TX - Midland-Odessa	91.2%	93.1%	190	2.1%	\$1,212	\$1,353	11.6%
TX - Outlying	88.9%	90.1%	120	1.3%	\$900	\$982	9.0%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.3%	93.3%	100	1.1%	\$962	\$993	3.2%
TX - San Angelo	88.4%	91.3%	290	3.2%	\$996	\$1,021	2.5%
TX - San Antonio	90.7%	87.8%	-290	-3.2%	\$1,270	\$1,232	-2.9%
TX - Texarkana	93.2%	89.0%	-420	-4.5%	\$825	\$876	6.2%
TX - Victoria	88.8%	90.0%	120	1.4%	\$984	\$999	1.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	93.1%	90.8%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,109	\$1,135	2.4%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.0%	90.1%	10	0.1%	\$813	\$860	5.7%
Texas Average	90.8%	88.6%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,398	\$1,386	-0.8%
UT - Outlying	87.2%	84.0%	-320	-3.6%	\$1,470	\$1,511	2.8%
UT - Salt Lake City	89.8%	87.2%	-260	-2.9%	\$1,592	\$1,556	-2.3%
Utah Average	89.4%	87.1%	-230	-2.6%	\$1,589	\$1,554	-2.2%
VA - Norfolk	94.0%	93.2%	-79	-0.8%	\$1,451	\$1,492	2.8%
VA - Richmond	93.2%	90.4%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,487	\$1,509	1.5%
VA - Roanoke	92.5%	95.8%	329	3.6%	\$1,119	\$1,212	8.3%
Virginia Average	93.4%	92.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,438	\$1,475	2.6%
WA - Outlying	94.7%	96.7%	200	2.0%	\$1,028	\$1,098	6.8%
WA - SE Washington	91.4%	90.8%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,335	\$1,367	2.4%
WA - Seattle	91.1%	91.4%	30	0.3%	\$2,096	\$2,077	-0.9%
WA - Spokane	92.4%	92.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,371	\$1,373	0.1%
Washington Average	91.1%	91.5%	40	0.3%	\$2,001	\$1,980	-1.0%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	94.5%	91.6%	-289	-3.1%	\$915	\$979	7.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Madison	89.3%	90.1%	80	1.0%	\$1,364	\$1,470	7.8%
WI - Milwaukee	94.3%	93.2%	-109	-1.2%	\$1,353	\$1,421	5.0%
WI - Outlying	90.9%	83.1%	-780	-8.5%	\$977	\$1,089	11.5%
Wisconsin Average	92.6%	91.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,272	\$1,354	6.4%
WV - Charleston	94.4%	92.7%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,021	\$1,050	2.9%
WV - Outlying	98.4%	96.6%	-180	-1.8%	\$966	\$1,004	3.9%
West Virginia Average	95.3%	93.7%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,008	\$1,040	3.2%
CT - Hartford	94.0%	93.9%	-10	0.0%	\$1,664	\$1,753	5.3%
DC - Washington	92.8%	91.9%	-90	-0.9%	\$2,085	\$2,137	2.5%
DE - Outlying	88.1%	89.7%	160	1.8%	\$1,471	\$1,635	11.1%
HI - Honolulu	91.4%	92.1%	70	0.8%	\$2,225	\$2,222	-0.1%
MT - Outlying	91.6%	77.7%	-1390	-15.2%	\$1,461	\$1,566	7.2%
NH - Concord	98.4%	92.3%	-609	-6.2%	\$1,701	\$1,757	3.3%
VT - Outlying	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,747	\$1,850	5.9%
WY - Outlying	96.3%	94.2%	-210	-2.2%	\$1,122	\$1,188	5.8%
National Average	91.6%	90.0%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,679	\$1,698	1.1%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com