

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Apr-21	Apr-22	bps	%CHG	Apr-21	Apr-22	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	96.8%	97.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,070	\$1,208	12.9%
AK - Misc. AK	92.1%	90.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,253	\$1,407	12.2%
Alaska Average	95.6%	95.7%	10	0.1%	\$1,126	\$1,256	11.6%
AL - Birmingham	91.8%	92.6%	80	0.9%	\$989	\$1,110	12.2%
AL - Huntsville	93.8%	92.4%	-139	-1.4%	\$927	\$1,079	16.4%
AL - Misc. AL	94.0%	95.4%	140	1.5%	\$672	\$750	11.7%
AL - Mobile	93.1%	94.1%	99	1.1%	\$917	\$1,093	19.2%
AL - Montgomery	93.1%	94.4%	129	1.3%	\$828	\$929	12.2%
Alabama Average	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.4%	\$926	\$1,061	14.6%
AR - Little Rock	91.8%	89.9%	-190	-2.1%	\$803	\$889	10.8%
AR - Misc. AR	96.8%	96.6%	-20	-0.3%	\$620	\$683	10.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.9%	93.1%	320	3.6%	\$742	\$851	14.7%
Arkansas Average	91.6%	91.8%	20	0.1%	\$763	\$857	12.3%
AZ - Flagstaff	96.8%	96.7%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,429	\$1,683	17.8%
AZ - Misc. AZ	97.3%	97.4%	10	0.1%	\$876	\$1,047	19.6%
AZ - Phoenix	92.6%	92.4%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,296	\$1,634	26.1%
AZ - Tucson	94.7%	94.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$939	\$1,152	22.7%
Arizona Average	93.0%	92.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,231	\$1,547	25.6%
CA - Central Coast	95.4%	96.6%	120	1.2%	\$2,075	\$2,400	15.7%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.9%	94.7%	279	3.0%	\$2,221	\$2,567	15.6%
CA - Misc. CA	97.2%	98.6%	140	1.4%	\$1,111	\$1,192	7.3%
CA - Sacramento	95.8%	95.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,613	\$1,862	15.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	97.2%	96.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,777	\$2,098	18.0%
CA - San Diego	94.1%	96.3%	220	2.3%	\$2,065	\$2,479	20.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	88.9%	93.2%	430	4.8%	\$2,534	\$2,803	10.6%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	97.4%	97.4%	0	0.1%	\$1,265	\$1,470	16.2%
California Average	92.8%	95.1%	229	2.4%	\$2,112	\$2,429	15.0%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	92.4%	93.4%	100	1.1%	\$1,510	\$1,763	16.8%
CO - Misc. CO	93.0%	98.6%	559	6.1%	\$1,295	\$1,458	12.6%
Colorado Average	92.3%	93.4%	110	1.3%	\$1,506	\$1,758	16.7%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	91.1%	94.5%	339	3.7%	\$1,759	\$2,267	28.9%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	88.8%	93.5%	470	5.2%	\$1,398	\$1,977	41.5%
FL - Gainesville	89.0%	95.7%	669	7.6%	\$1,289	\$1,502	16.5%
FL - Jacksonville	92.8%	93.2%	40	0.5%	\$1,202	\$1,475	22.8%
FL - Melbourne	91.4%	93.0%	160	1.8%	\$1,262	\$1,595	26.4%
FL - Miami	90.1%	93.0%	290	3.2%	\$1,831	\$2,290	25.1%
FL - Orlando	91.3%	94.6%	329	3.6%	\$1,338	\$1,722	28.6%
FL - Palm Beach	92.3%	94.4%	209	2.2%	\$1,800	\$2,368	31.5%
FL - Pensacola	92.7%	93.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,257	\$1,514	20.4%
FL - Tallahassee	91.1%	94.2%	309	3.4%	\$1,064	\$1,229	15.5%
FL - Tampa	92.7%	94.7%	199	2.2%	\$1,349	\$1,746	29.4%
Florida Average	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.7%	\$1,437	\$1,841	28.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	93.4%	89.7%	-370	-4.0%	\$773	\$867	12.2%
GA - Atlanta	92.8%	94.0%	119	1.3%	\$1,339	\$1,622	21.1%
GA - Augusta	92.1%	94.6%	249	2.7%	\$974	\$1,111	14.1%
GA - Columbus	94.5%	96.3%	180	2.0%	\$925	\$1,036	12.0%
GA - Macon	96.1%	94.6%	-150	-1.5%	\$913	\$1,063	16.5%
GA - Savannah	92.4%	95.3%	289	3.0%	\$1,158	\$1,436	24.1%
Georgia Average	92.8%	94.1%	129	1.4%	\$1,272	\$1,534	20.6%
HI - Honolulu	89.6%	85.3%	-430	-4.8%	\$1,984	\$2,110	6.4%
Hawaii Average	81.6%	85.3%	370	4.5%	\$1,980	\$2,110	6.6%
IA - Des Moines	86.7%	89.8%	310	3.6%	\$928	\$1,007	8.6%
IA - Misc. IA	83.0%	94.4%	1140	13.7%	\$863	\$945	9.5%
Iowa Average	86.4%	90.2%	380	4.3%	\$923	\$1,002	8.6%
ID - Boise	94.1%	92.3%	-179	-1.8%	\$1,298	\$1,531	18.0%
ID - Misc. ID	98.2%	98.4%	20	0.2%	\$778	\$884	13.7%
Idaho Average	94.2%	92.6%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,271	\$1,502	18.2%
IL - Chicago	89.4%	93.7%	430	4.8%	\$1,574	\$1,779	13.0%
IL - Misc. IL	84.2%	79.5%	-469	-5.6%	\$639	\$657	2.8%
IL - Moline	93.5%	95.4%	189	2.0%	\$810	\$861	6.3%
IL - Springfield	94.5%	95.8%	130	1.3%	\$800	\$907	13.4%
Illinois Average	89.8%	93.8%	399	4.4%	\$1,477	\$1,670	13.1%
IN - Evansville	95.9%	95.6%	-30	-0.4%	\$788	\$861	9.3%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.3%	96.3%	200	2.1%	\$817	\$946	15.8%
IN - Indianapolis	93.3%	95.2%	189	2.1%	\$954	\$1,094	14.7%
IN - Misc. IN	98.1%	98.9%	80	0.8%	\$833	\$933	12.0%
IN - South Bend	93.5%	93.7%	20	0.2%	\$929	\$1,064	14.6%
Indiana Average	93.6%	95.2%	159	1.8%	\$928	\$1,062	14.5%
KS - Misc. KS	94.4%	98.3%	390	4.1%	\$636	\$657	3.3%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	91.1%	92.9%	180	1.9%	\$785	\$836	6.5%
KS - Wichita	92.8%	95.2%	239	2.5%	\$727	\$797	9.6%
Kansas Average	92.2%	94.3%	209	2.3%	\$748	\$809	8.1%
KY - Lexington	94.2%	95.1%	90	0.9%	\$871	\$1,007	15.5%
KY - Louisville	92.2%	94.1%	189	2.1%	\$956	\$1,061	11.0%
KY - Misc. KY	95.7%	94.6%	-110	-1.1%	\$660	\$705	6.8%
Kentucky Average	92.8%	94.4%	159	1.7%	\$924	\$1,037	12.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	90.4%	92.9%	250	2.8%	\$955	\$1,078	12.9%
LA - Lake Charles	87.7%	82.6%	-510	-5.9%	\$1,036	\$1,012	-2.3%
LA - Misc. LA	92.7%	93.2%	50	0.5%	\$821	\$833	1.4%
LA - Monroe	94.8%	91.7%	-309	-3.3%	\$830	\$891	7.3%
LA - New Orleans	91.0%	88.7%	-230	-2.5%	\$1,053	\$1,182	12.3%
LA - Shreveport	92.8%	92.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$842	\$924	9.7%
Louisiana Average	91.1%	91.0%	-10	-0.2%	\$971	\$1,078	11.0%
MA - Boston	90.0%	93.1%	310	3.4%	\$2,213	\$2,539	14.7%

ALN APARTMENT DATA

ACCURATE
RELIABLE
NATIONWIDE

WWW.ALNDATA.COM

We are proud to offer our services nationwide to management companies, supplier partners, brokers, lenders, government agencies, and many more.

Web Based Platforms

Monthly & Quarterly Reports

Property Updates

Original Content

Call Us
800-643-6416

Email Us
sales@alndata.com

Visit Us
www.alndata.com

ALN
APARTMENT DATA

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.9%	97.4%	-50	-0.4%	\$1,291	\$1,420	10.0%
Massachusetts Average	90.2%	92.9%	270	3.0%	\$2,174	\$2,492	14.7%
MD - Baltimore	95.1%	95.6%	50	0.4%	\$1,418	\$1,585	11.7%
MD - Misc. MD	98.4%	99.2%	80	0.8%	\$1,133	\$1,300	14.7%
Maryland Average	95.2%	95.6%	40	0.5%	\$1,412	\$1,578	11.8%
ME - Augusta/Portland	94.3%	98.1%	380	4.1%	\$1,579	\$1,689	7.0%
Maine Average	94.3%	98.1%	380	4.1%	\$1,579	\$1,689	7.0%
MI - Detroit	95.0%	96.2%	120	1.3%	\$1,077	\$1,202	11.7%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	96.5%	96.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$999	\$1,142	14.2%
MI - Misc. MI	92.0%	95.7%	369	4.1%	\$923	\$987	7.0%
Michigan Average	95.0%	96.2%	120	1.2%	\$1,056	\$1,183	12.0%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,324	\$1,418	7.1%
MN - Misc. MN	97.4%	97.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,042	\$1,152	10.5%
Minnesota Average	92.0%	92.4%	40	0.5%	\$1,316	\$1,410	7.1%
MO - Columbia	95.8%	98.8%	300	3.1%	\$793	\$834	5.3%
MO - Kansas City	91.5%	93.6%	210	2.3%	\$1,046	\$1,162	11.1%
MO - Misc. MO	90.7%	85.0%	-570	-6.3%	\$604	\$643	6.4%
MO - Springfield	96.8%	98.3%	150	1.6%	\$740	\$825	11.5%
MO - St. Louis	92.7%	93.7%	100	1.0%	\$1,027	\$1,158	12.8%
Missouri Average	92.1%	93.7%	160	1.7%	\$1,009	\$1,127	11.7%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.6%	93.8%	19	0.2%	\$828	\$946	14.2%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	94.3%	93.1%	-119	-1.3%	\$911	\$1,017	11.7%
MS - Misc. MS	94.2%	95.3%	110	1.1%	\$843	\$920	9.1%
Mississippi Average	94.1%	93.7%	-39	-0.4%	\$872	\$974	11.7%
NC - Asheville	93.2%	92.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,266	\$1,547	22.2%
NC - Charlotte	92.2%	93.6%	140	1.5%	\$1,237	\$1,498	21.1%
NC - Fayetteville	97.4%	95.4%	-200	-2.0%	\$956	\$1,123	17.4%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.7%	95.5%	179	1.9%	\$932	\$1,116	19.8%
NC - Misc. NC	99.4%	98.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,077	\$1,247	15.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.7%	93.3%	60	0.6%	\$1,187	\$1,445	21.7%
NC - Wilmington	88.4%	92.8%	440	5.0%	\$1,100	\$1,352	22.9%
North Carolina Average	92.7%	93.7%	100	1.1%	\$1,154	\$1,401	21.3%
ND - Bismarck	92.3%	95.0%	269	2.9%	\$947	\$987	4.2%
ND - Fargo	95.7%	96.4%	70	0.7%	\$813	\$864	6.2%
ND - Misc. ND	79.8%	80.9%	110	1.4%	\$901	\$928	3.1%
North Dakota Average	87.8%	88.7%	90	1.0%	\$866	\$906	4.7%
NE - Lincoln	95.1%	95.8%	70	0.7%	\$905	\$996	10.1%
NE - Misc. NE	97.5%	98.5%	100	1.0%	\$720	\$751	4.2%
NE - Omaha	93.5%	95.7%	219	2.3%	\$975	\$1,066	9.3%
Nebraska Average	93.5%	95.7%	219	2.4%	\$955	\$1,045	9.4%
NM - Albuquerque	95.3%	94.2%	-110	-1.1%	\$994	\$1,200	20.8%
NM - Misc. NM	84.2%	90.0%	580	6.9%	\$1,037	\$1,105	6.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
New Mexico Average	94.0%	93.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$998	\$1,192	19.5%
NV - Las Vegas	94.7%	94.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,189	\$1,495	25.8%
NV - Misc. NV	95.8%	95.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,016	\$1,118	10.0%
NV - Reno	93.7%	93.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,404	\$1,562	11.3%
Nevada Average	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.6%	\$1,222	\$1,503	23.0%
NY - Albany	93.0%	94.6%	159	1.6%	\$1,255	\$1,400	11.5%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.9%	96.3%	140	1.4%	\$1,075	\$1,175	9.4%
NY - Misc. NY	94.9%	94.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,071	\$1,119	4.5%
NY - New York City	90.7%	91.5%	80	0.8%	\$2,565	\$2,857	11.4%
New York Average	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.2%	\$2,283	\$2,539	11.2%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.8%	95.3%	150	1.6%	\$1,002	\$1,128	12.6%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.5%	95.2%	70	0.8%	\$933	\$1,030	10.5%
OH - Columbus	92.8%	95.1%	229	2.4%	\$1,034	\$1,159	12.1%
OH - Misc. OH	100.0%	99.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$710	\$733	3.2%
OH - Toledo	94.0%	96.1%	210	2.3%	\$775	\$866	11.7%
Ohio Average	93.5%	95.2%	169	1.7%	\$979	\$1,094	11.8%
OK - Misc. OK	88.2%	87.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$681	\$749	9.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.7%	93.2%	250	2.8%	\$792	\$892	12.5%
OK - Tulsa	93.5%	94.8%	129	1.4%	\$767	\$886	15.6%
Oklahoma Average	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.1%	\$780	\$887	13.7%
OR - Misc. OR	99.4%	98.7%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,153	\$1,306	13.3%
OR - Portland	93.3%	94.3%	99	1.1%	\$1,428	\$1,639	14.8%
Oregon Average	93.4%	94.4%	99	1.0%	\$1,424	\$1,635	14.8%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	97.0%	97.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,169	\$1,296	10.9%
PA - Misc. PA	97.8%	98.0%	20	0.1%	\$1,025	\$1,162	13.4%
PA - Philadelphia	93.6%	95.8%	219	2.3%	\$1,431	\$1,621	13.2%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.9%	94.7%	80	0.9%	\$1,169	\$1,273	8.9%
PA - State College/Altoona	91.0%	97.8%	679	7.5%	\$1,125	\$1,213	7.8%
Pennsylvania Average	94.1%	95.9%	180	2.0%	\$1,356	\$1,524	12.5%
RI - Providence	96.9%	98.4%	150	1.6%	\$1,574	\$1,762	11.9%
Rhode Island Average	96.9%	98.4%	150	1.6%	\$1,574	\$1,762	11.9%
SC - Charleston	86.9%	90.6%	370	4.3%	\$1,316	\$1,578	19.9%
SC - Columbia	93.8%	93.3%	-49	-0.6%	\$1,023	\$1,164	13.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	91.7%	92.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,016	\$1,217	19.7%
SC - Misc. SC	95.9%	93.9%	-200	-2.1%	\$763	\$986	29.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	93.5%	95.0%	149	1.6%	\$1,120	\$1,433	27.9%
South Carolina Average	90.7%	92.2%	150	1.6%	\$1,125	\$1,340	19.1%
SD - Misc. SD	96.8%	98.8%	200	2.0%	\$865	\$900	4.0%
SD - Rapid City	98.9%	98.3%	-60	-0.6%	\$989	\$1,142	15.5%
SD - Sioux Falls	92.8%	90.4%	-240	-2.6%	\$871	\$975	12.1%
South Dakota Average	94.6%	93.2%	-139	-1.5%	\$894	\$1,000	11.9%
TN - Chattanooga	94.0%	95.7%	170	1.8%	\$1,069	\$1,261	18.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Knoxville	96.1%	96.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,012	\$1,214	20.0%
TN - Memphis	93.9%	92.8%	-109	-1.1%	\$950	\$1,099	15.7%
TN - Misc. TN	94.8%	96.2%	140	1.5%	\$817	\$920	12.6%
TN - Nashville	91.6%	93.2%	160	1.7%	\$1,264	\$1,547	22.3%
Tennessee Average	93.0%	93.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,116	\$1,342	20.2%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.4%	93.3%	290	3.1%	\$1,233	\$1,482	20.3%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.6%	93.4%	280	3.1%	\$1,270	\$1,535	20.8%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.1%	93.1%	300	3.3%	\$1,152	\$1,372	19.2%
TX - Abilene	90.6%	93.9%	329	3.6%	\$790	\$876	10.9%
TX - Amarillo	91.8%	94.0%	219	2.5%	\$771	\$854	10.7%
TX - Austin	89.7%	92.3%	260	2.8%	\$1,330	\$1,657	24.6%
TX - Beaumont	89.7%	90.1%	40	0.4%	\$904	\$957	5.9%
TX - College Station	88.4%	91.9%	350	4.0%	\$1,234	\$1,311	6.3%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.8%	90.5%	70	0.8%	\$999	\$1,099	10.0%
TX - El Paso	96.5%	96.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$828	\$962	16.2%
TX - Houston	89.1%	91.9%	280	3.1%	\$1,102	\$1,269	15.1%
TX - Laredo	94.5%	97.3%	280	3.0%	\$896	\$1,011	12.9%
TX - Longview/Tyler	94.5%	94.7%	20	0.3%	\$911	\$1,059	16.3%
TX - Lubbock	89.7%	90.7%	100	1.2%	\$800	\$862	7.8%
TX - Lufkin	93.6%	93.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$786	\$863	9.8%
TX - Midland-Odessa	77.6%	88.0%	1039	13.4%	\$957	\$1,076	12.4%
TX - Misc. TX	93.5%	95.5%	199	2.2%	\$742	\$835	12.5%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	94.6%	96.7%	210	2.1%	\$810	\$910	12.3%
TX - San Angelo	92.7%	88.2%	-450	-4.9%	\$889	\$987	10.9%
TX - San Antonio	90.9%	93.6%	270	3.0%	\$1,046	\$1,228	17.4%
TX - Texarkana	91.9%	92.3%	40	0.4%	\$740	\$806	9.0%
TX - Victoria	89.1%	91.7%	260	2.9%	\$901	\$968	7.4%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	94.6%	96.0%	140	1.4%	\$880	\$1,030	17.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.0%	92.8%	280	3.1%	\$729	\$784	7.5%
Texas Average	90.0%	92.7%	270	3.0%	\$1,141	\$1,351	18.4%
UT - Misc. UT	98.6%	96.9%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,108	\$1,341	21.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.3%	94.2%	89	1.0%	\$1,244	\$1,530	23.0%
Utah Average	92.7%	94.3%	159	1.7%	\$1,241	\$1,526	22.9%
VA - Norfolk	96.0%	96.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,208	\$1,377	14.0%
VA - Richmond	93.6%	95.6%	199	2.2%	\$1,239	\$1,431	15.5%
VA - Roanoke	96.5%	98.0%	150	1.6%	\$960	\$1,088	13.3%
Virginia Average	95.0%	96.0%	100	1.1%	\$1,199	\$1,373	14.6%
WA - Misc. WA	77.9%	86.6%	869	11.2%	\$932	\$1,003	7.6%
WA - SE Washington	95.6%	96.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,114	\$1,285	15.4%
WA - Seattle	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,765	\$2,021	14.5%
WA - Spokane	98.1%	95.9%	-220	-2.2%	\$1,128	\$1,327	17.6%
Washington Average	92.1%	93.1%	100	1.1%	\$1,688	\$1,933	14.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	98.2%	95.3%	-290	-3.0%	\$789	\$863	9.4%
WI - Madison	93.4%	94.5%	109	1.1%	\$1,190	\$1,278	7.3%
WI - Milwaukee	93.1%	96.0%	289	3.1%	\$1,172	\$1,295	10.5%
WI - Misc. WI	92.8%	93.6%	80	0.8%	\$829	\$906	9.3%
Wisconsin Average	93.6%	95.2%	159	1.8%	\$1,101	\$1,203	9.2%
WV - Charleston	96.4%	94.4%	-200	-2.1%	\$934	\$987	5.7%
WV - Miscellaneous	91.0%	97.0%	599	6.6%	\$890	\$911	2.3%
West Virginia Average	94.9%	95.1%	20	0.2%	\$924	\$969	4.9%
CT - Hartford	94.9%	95.3%	40	0.5%	\$1,429	\$1,581	10.7%
DC - Washington	91.5%	93.9%	239	2.7%	\$1,812	\$2,002	10.5%
DE - Miscellaneous	86.5%	91.8%	530	6.1%	\$1,258	\$1,403	11.5%
MT - All of Montana	94.5%	96.1%	160	1.6%	\$1,142	\$1,374	20.4%
NH - Concord	99.0%	98.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,449	\$1,646	13.6%
VT - All of Vermont	98.1%	100.0%	190	1.9%	\$1,594	\$1,650	3.5%
WY - All of Wyoming	93.9%	92.6%	-129	-1.3%	\$944	\$1,045	10.7%
National Average	92.1%	93.8%	169	1.9%	\$1,388	\$1,614	16.3%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com