

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Feb-21	Feb-22	bps	%CHG	Feb-21	Feb-22	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	95.7%	97.7%	200	2.2%	\$1,061	\$1,184	11.6%
AK - Misc. AK	89.5%	90.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,252	\$1,349	7.7%
Alaska Average	94.4%	95.8%	140	1.5%	\$1,119	\$1,224	9.4%
AL - Birmingham	91.9%	93.2%	130	1.4%	\$975	\$1,087	11.4%
AL - Huntsville	94.3%	93.4%	-89	-0.9%	\$915	\$1,032	12.7%
AL - Misc. AL	93.5%	94.8%	129	1.4%	\$661	\$731	10.6%
AL - Mobile	92.0%	94.7%	269	2.9%	\$897	\$1,060	18.1%
AL - Montgomery	93.4%	93.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$812	\$911	12.2%
Alabama Average	92.5%	93.6%	110	1.2%	\$912	\$1,031	13.1%
AR - Little Rock	91.2%	90.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$796	\$882	10.8%
AR - Misc. AR	95.5%	96.0%	50	0.5%	\$606	\$671	10.8%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	88.2%	92.5%	430	4.9%	\$738	\$829	12.3%
Arkansas Average	90.5%	91.6%	110	1.2%	\$757	\$844	11.4%
AZ - Flagstaff	96.7%	96.7%	0	0.0%	\$1,404	\$1,643	17.0%
AZ - Misc. AZ	97.5%	97.4%	-10	-0.2%	\$870	\$1,018	17.0%
AZ - Phoenix	93.1%	93.3%	20	0.2%	\$1,256	\$1,602	27.5%
AZ - Tucson	94.5%	94.8%	30	0.3%	\$916	\$1,137	24.0%
Arizona Average	93.4%	93.5%	10	0.1%	\$1,195	\$1,517	26.9%
CA - Central Coast	95.0%	97.0%	200	2.1%	\$2,046	\$2,365	15.6%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.8%	94.7%	289	3.2%	\$2,203	\$2,514	14.1%
CA - Misc. CA	96.8%	98.7%	190	2.0%	\$1,098	\$1,177	7.2%
CA - Sacramento	95.9%	95.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,583	\$1,835	15.9%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	97.2%	97.0%	-20	-0.1%	\$1,715	\$2,050	19.5%
CA - San Diego	94.8%	96.7%	190	2.0%	\$2,018	\$2,425	20.2%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	88.2%	92.6%	440	5.0%	\$2,517	\$2,744	9.0%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	97.4%	98.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,234	\$1,438	16.5%
California Average	92.7%	95.0%	229	2.4%	\$2,084	\$2,380	14.2%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.6%	93.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,470	\$1,718	16.9%
CO - Misc. CO	92.0%	97.3%	529	5.8%	\$1,276	\$1,423	11.6%
Colorado Average	91.5%	93.4%	190	2.1%	\$1,467	\$1,713	16.8%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.4%	94.7%	429	4.8%	\$1,718	\$2,179	26.8%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	86.8%	91.8%	500	5.8%	\$1,350	\$1,899	40.7%
FL - Gainesville	90.8%	96.5%	569	6.3%	\$1,270	\$1,488	17.1%
FL - Jacksonville	91.9%	93.7%	180	2.0%	\$1,157	\$1,467	26.8%
FL - Melbourne	92.5%	94.8%	229	2.5%	\$1,219	\$1,560	28.1%
FL - Miami	88.3%	94.4%	609	6.9%	\$1,789	\$2,213	23.7%
FL - Orlando	90.8%	95.0%	419	4.6%	\$1,299	\$1,669	28.5%
FL - Palm Beach	92.4%	95.2%	279	3.0%	\$1,757	\$2,316	31.8%
FL - Pensacola	93.6%	92.8%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,211	\$1,487	22.7%
FL - Tallahassee	91.3%	93.0%	170	1.9%	\$1,050	\$1,201	14.4%
FL - Tampa	92.3%	95.2%	289	3.2%	\$1,309	\$1,709	30.6%
Florida Average	91.2%	94.6%	339	3.7%	\$1,397	\$1,792	28.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.8%	91.0%	-180	-2.0%	\$764	\$857	12.3%
GA - Atlanta	92.2%	94.5%	229	2.4%	\$1,311	\$1,594	21.6%
GA - Augusta	94.2%	94.5%	30	0.3%	\$940	\$1,076	14.4%
GA - Columbus	95.1%	96.2%	110	1.2%	\$910	\$1,023	12.4%
GA - Macon	95.6%	95.3%	-30	-0.4%	\$886	\$1,046	18.0%
GA - Savannah	90.9%	94.9%	399	4.4%	\$1,130	\$1,396	23.5%
Georgia Average	92.4%	94.5%	209	2.3%	\$1,244	\$1,506	21.0%
HI - Honolulu	95.1%	86.3%	-879	-9.3%	\$1,983	\$2,058	3.8%
Hawaii Average	95.1%	86.3%	-879	-9.3%	\$1,978	\$2,058	4.0%
IA - Des Moines	88.0%	89.1%	110	1.3%	\$921	\$991	7.5%
IA - Misc. IA	83.4%	93.8%	1039	12.4%	\$842	\$933	10.8%
Iowa Average	87.6%	89.5%	190	2.2%	\$915	\$986	7.7%
ID - Boise	94.9%	92.0%	-289	-3.1%	\$1,229	\$1,486	20.9%
ID - Misc. ID	97.5%	97.8%	30	0.3%	\$766	\$884	15.4%
Idaho Average	95.0%	92.2%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,205	\$1,458	21.0%
IL - Chicago	89.2%	93.3%	410	4.7%	\$1,538	\$1,736	12.9%
IL - Misc. IL	88.4%	85.0%	-340	-3.9%	\$632	\$652	3.1%
IL - Moline	93.2%	96.2%	299	3.3%	\$807	\$844	4.7%
IL - Springfield	93.7%	94.8%	109	1.2%	\$773	\$870	12.5%
Illinois Average	89.7%	93.5%	380	4.2%	\$1,444	\$1,628	12.8%
IN - Evansville	94.4%	96.0%	160	1.7%	\$777	\$846	8.9%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.9%	96.5%	260	2.8%	\$797	\$929	16.5%
IN - Indianapolis	93.2%	95.1%	189	2.0%	\$941	\$1,072	13.9%
IN - Misc. IN	98.3%	96.6%	-170	-1.7%	\$834	\$893	7.2%
IN - South Bend	92.8%	93.7%	90	1.0%	\$919	\$1,051	14.3%
Indiana Average	93.3%	95.1%	179	1.9%	\$915	\$1,043	13.9%
KS - Misc. KS	93.7%	96.4%	269	2.9%	\$628	\$650	3.4%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	91.5%	92.3%	80	0.9%	\$783	\$830	6.1%
KS - Wichita	93.6%	95.0%	139	1.5%	\$715	\$783	9.5%
Kansas Average	92.1%	93.8%	169	1.9%	\$741	\$798	7.8%
KY - Lexington	93.8%	94.7%	90	0.9%	\$867	\$982	13.4%
KY - Louisville	91.0%	93.9%	289	3.1%	\$948	\$1,034	9.1%
KY - Misc. KY	95.3%	93.0%	-229	-2.4%	\$658	\$688	4.6%
Kentucky Average	91.9%	94.1%	219	2.4%	\$917	\$1,010	10.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	89.8%	93.4%	360	4.0%	\$949	\$1,064	12.1%
LA - Lake Charles	84.1%	83.1%	-100	-1.2%	\$987	\$1,038	5.2%
LA - Misc. LA	93.4%	94.1%	69	0.7%	\$813	\$817	0.5%
LA - Monroe	94.4%	91.6%	-279	-3.0%	\$797	\$870	9.2%
LA - New Orleans	90.5%	89.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,048	\$1,162	10.8%
LA - Shreveport	92.0%	91.0%	-100	-1.0%	\$825	\$908	10.0%
Louisiana Average	90.4%	90.9%	50	0.6%	\$961	\$1,063	10.5%
MA - Boston	90.2%	93.8%	359	4.0%	\$2,183	\$2,470	13.1%

ALN APARTMENT DATA

ACCURATE
RELIABLE
NATIONWIDE

WWW.ALNDATA.COM

We are proud to offer our services nationwide to management companies, supplier partners, brokers, lenders, government agencies, and many more.

Web Based Platforms

Property Updates

Monthly & Quarterly Reports

Original Content

Call Us
800-643-6416

Email Us
sales@alndata.com

Visit Us
www.alndata.com

ALN
APARTMENT DATA

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.8%	97.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,288	\$1,409	9.3%
Massachusetts Average	90.5%	93.9%	339	3.8%	\$2,143	\$2,424	13.1%
MD - Baltimore	94.7%	95.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,403	\$1,565	11.6%
MD - Misc. MD	98.7%	99.7%	100	1.0%	\$1,123	\$1,291	14.9%
Maryland Average	94.7%	95.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,397	\$1,559	11.7%
ME - Augusta/Portland	97.4%	96.6%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,506	\$1,647	9.3%
Maine Average	97.4%	96.6%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,506	\$1,647	9.3%
MI - Detroit	95.0%	96.2%	120	1.3%	\$1,061	\$1,182	11.4%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.7%	96.0%	30	0.3%	\$981	\$1,117	13.8%
MI - Misc. MI	92.0%	95.9%	389	4.3%	\$906	\$991	9.3%
Michigan Average	94.7%	96.2%	150	1.5%	\$1,040	\$1,162	11.8%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.7%	92.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,311	\$1,394	6.4%
MN - Misc. MN	97.1%	96.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,034	\$1,144	10.7%
Minnesota Average	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,303	\$1,387	6.4%
MO - Columbia	93.8%	98.6%	480	5.1%	\$769	\$817	6.2%
MO - Kansas City	90.7%	93.6%	290	3.2%	\$1,030	\$1,138	10.4%
MO - Misc. MO	90.9%	92.8%	190	2.1%	\$596	\$638	7.0%
MO - Springfield	96.1%	98.5%	240	2.5%	\$731	\$809	10.6%
MO - St. Louis	92.4%	95.2%	279	3.1%	\$1,017	\$1,130	11.1%
Missouri Average	91.6%	94.5%	289	3.1%	\$996	\$1,102	10.7%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.7%	\$813	\$925	13.8%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	94.2%	93.2%	-99	-1.1%	\$906	\$1,001	10.6%
MS - Misc. MS	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.4%	\$842	\$909	7.9%
Mississippi Average	94.0%	94.1%	10	0.1%	\$865	\$958	10.8%
NC - Asheville	94.0%	91.6%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,238	\$1,521	22.9%
NC - Charlotte	92.1%	93.8%	169	1.8%	\$1,210	\$1,463	20.9%
NC - Fayetteville	97.6%	95.9%	-170	-1.7%	\$924	\$1,086	17.5%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.9%	95.5%	160	1.7%	\$916	\$1,084	18.4%
NC - Misc. NC	97.3%	99.7%	240	2.6%	\$1,078	\$1,139	5.6%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.2%	94.5%	229	2.5%	\$1,169	\$1,408	20.5%
NC - Wilmington	88.4%	92.5%	410	4.6%	\$1,076	\$1,293	20.2%
North Carolina Average	92.4%	94.1%	169	1.9%	\$1,132	\$1,364	20.5%
ND - Bismarck	92.2%	95.0%	279	3.0%	\$944	\$979	3.6%
ND - Fargo	95.5%	97.2%	170	1.8%	\$811	\$851	4.9%
ND - Misc. ND	78.4%	79.2%	80	1.1%	\$915	\$912	-0.3%
North Dakota Average	86.7%	87.9%	120	1.4%	\$870	\$892	2.6%
NE - Lincoln	94.1%	97.2%	310	3.3%	\$898	\$980	9.2%
NE - Misc. NE	96.3%	98.1%	180	1.8%	\$720	\$740	2.8%
NE - Omaha	93.1%	94.9%	179	1.9%	\$962	\$1,045	8.6%
Nebraska Average	93.3%	95.4%	209	2.2%	\$944	\$1,026	8.7%
NM - Albuquerque	94.6%	94.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$967	\$1,165	20.5%
NM - Misc. NM	83.6%	88.6%	500	5.9%	\$1,025	\$1,095	6.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
New Mexico Average	93.8%	93.6%	-19	-0.1%	\$972	\$1,159	19.2%
NV - Las Vegas	94.9%	95.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,158	\$1,463	26.4%
NV - Misc. NV	93.9%	83.1%	-1079	-11.5%	\$990	\$1,080	9.1%
NV - Reno	94.5%	93.4%	-109	-1.1%	\$1,380	\$1,546	12.1%
Nevada Average	94.8%	94.4%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,192	\$1,474	23.6%
NY - Albany	92.3%	94.9%	259	2.8%	\$1,241	\$1,364	9.9%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.0%	95.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,063	\$1,149	8.2%
NY - Misc. NY	95.2%	95.3%	10	0.2%	\$1,047	\$1,115	6.5%
NY - New York City	91.4%	92.6%	120	1.3%	\$2,582	\$2,796	8.3%
New York Average	91.0%	93.1%	210	2.3%	\$2,293	\$2,482	8.3%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.6%	95.4%	179	2.0%	\$987	\$1,106	12.1%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.2%	95.0%	80	0.8%	\$922	\$1,011	9.7%
OH - Columbus	93.3%	95.0%	169	1.7%	\$1,016	\$1,131	11.3%
OH - Misc. OH	100.0%	99.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$710	\$728	2.5%
OH - Toledo	93.9%	94.3%	40	0.4%	\$768	\$850	10.7%
Ohio Average	93.5%	95.0%	149	1.6%	\$964	\$1,071	11.1%
OK - Misc. OK	88.2%	86.4%	-180	-2.0%	\$677	\$737	8.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.0%	92.9%	290	3.2%	\$784	\$877	11.8%
OK - Tulsa	94.1%	95.2%	110	1.3%	\$759	\$867	14.2%
Oklahoma Average	91.6%	93.8%	219	2.3%	\$772	\$870	12.7%
OR - Misc. OR	99.1%	99.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,095	\$1,266	15.6%
OR - Portland	93.1%	94.4%	129	1.4%	\$1,402	\$1,606	14.5%
Oregon Average	92.9%	94.4%	149	1.7%	\$1,399	\$1,602	14.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	96.7%	97.8%	110	1.2%	\$1,150	\$1,283	11.6%
PA - Misc. PA	97.3%	98.0%	70	0.7%	\$1,020	\$1,149	12.7%
PA - Philadelphia	94.2%	95.9%	170	1.8%	\$1,411	\$1,592	12.8%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.9%	95.9%	200	2.1%	\$1,164	\$1,248	7.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	91.0%	97.6%	659	7.3%	\$1,123	\$1,202	7.0%
Pennsylvania Average	94.4%	96.1%	170	1.9%	\$1,338	\$1,498	12.0%
RI - Providence	96.2%	98.2%	200	2.0%	\$1,554	\$1,719	10.6%
Rhode Island Average	96.2%	98.2%	200	2.0%	\$1,554	\$1,719	10.6%
SC - Charleston	88.6%	90.2%	160	1.7%	\$1,286	\$1,545	20.1%
SC - Columbia	93.2%	94.2%	99	1.0%	\$1,008	\$1,139	13.0%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	92.4%	91.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,000	\$1,184	18.5%
SC - Misc. SC	94.0%	93.7%	-29	-0.3%	\$733	\$969	32.3%
SC - Myrtle Beach	92.6%	95.1%	249	2.6%	\$1,098	\$1,375	25.2%
South Carolina Average	91.1%	91.8%	70	0.8%	\$1,102	\$1,307	18.6%
SD - Misc. SD	95.4%	96.3%	90	1.0%	\$863	\$886	2.7%
SD - Rapid City	98.6%	98.8%	20	0.3%	\$972	\$1,112	14.3%
SD - Sioux Falls	95.7%	89.8%	-589	-6.2%	\$864	\$954	10.5%
South Dakota Average	96.5%	92.7%	-379	-3.9%	\$885	\$978	10.4%
TN - Chattanooga	92.8%	95.4%	259	2.8%	\$1,042	\$1,225	17.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Knoxville	95.9%	96.3%	40	0.4%	\$996	\$1,189	19.4%
TN - Memphis	93.9%	93.6%	-29	-0.4%	\$931	\$1,077	15.7%
TN - Misc. TN	92.7%	96.1%	339	3.6%	\$814	\$891	9.5%
TN - Nashville	91.4%	92.9%	150	1.6%	\$1,247	\$1,505	20.7%
Tennessee Average	92.7%	93.7%	100	1.0%	\$1,098	\$1,307	19.1%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.3%	93.7%	340	3.7%	\$1,205	\$1,444	19.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.4%	93.8%	339	3.8%	\$1,242	\$1,496	20.5%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.1%	93.3%	320	3.6%	\$1,124	\$1,335	18.8%
TX - Abilene	89.5%	92.8%	330	3.7%	\$781	\$864	10.6%
TX - Amarillo	91.0%	92.9%	190	2.1%	\$758	\$837	10.5%
TX - Austin	89.0%	92.6%	360	4.0%	\$1,282	\$1,624	26.6%
TX - Beaumont	89.6%	90.2%	60	0.6%	\$887	\$945	6.6%
TX - College Station	88.2%	92.4%	420	4.9%	\$1,204	\$1,298	7.8%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.6%	90.1%	50	0.5%	\$997	\$1,083	8.7%
TX - El Paso	95.6%	96.2%	60	0.6%	\$818	\$947	15.8%
TX - Houston	88.6%	92.1%	350	4.0%	\$1,085	\$1,247	14.9%
TX - Laredo	93.0%	97.6%	459	5.0%	\$903	\$998	10.6%
TX - Longview/Tyler	93.6%	95.2%	159	1.6%	\$901	\$1,039	15.4%
TX - Lubbock	89.0%	90.6%	160	1.8%	\$792	\$859	8.5%
TX - Lufkin	93.6%	92.1%	-150	-1.6%	\$778	\$863	11.0%
TX - Midland-Odessa	75.9%	85.0%	909	11.9%	\$956	\$1,028	7.4%
TX - Misc. TX	92.1%	95.7%	359	4.0%	\$737	\$830	12.6%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	93.5%	96.7%	319	3.4%	\$802	\$894	11.5%
TX - San Angelo	92.4%	91.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$888	\$945	6.4%
TX - San Antonio	90.5%	93.5%	300	3.3%	\$1,028	\$1,202	17.0%
TX - Texarkana	92.9%	92.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$742	\$793	6.8%
TX - Victoria	88.3%	90.7%	240	2.7%	\$893	\$952	6.5%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	93.9%	95.7%	180	1.9%	\$869	\$1,000	15.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.0%	92.7%	270	3.0%	\$711	\$769	8.3%
Texas Average	89.5%	92.9%	340	3.8%	\$1,117	\$1,322	18.3%
UT - Misc. UT	89.4%	96.5%	709	8.0%	\$1,089	\$1,280	17.5%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.2%	94.2%	99	1.0%	\$1,217	\$1,491	22.5%
Utah Average	93.1%	94.3%	119	1.2%	\$1,214	\$1,486	22.4%
VA - Norfolk	95.9%	96.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,187	\$1,355	14.1%
VA - Richmond	93.6%	95.7%	209	2.2%	\$1,218	\$1,396	14.6%
VA - Roanoke	95.7%	96.4%	70	0.7%	\$953	\$1,057	11.0%
Virginia Average	94.7%	96.1%	140	1.5%	\$1,179	\$1,345	14.1%
WA - Misc. WA	82.0%	86.6%	460	5.7%	\$931	\$1,003	7.8%
WA - SE Washington	96.8%	96.3%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,090	\$1,281	17.5%
WA - Seattle	91.7%	93.3%	160	1.7%	\$1,747	\$1,972	12.9%
WA - Spokane	97.5%	95.0%	-250	-2.6%	\$1,088	\$1,297	19.2%
Washington Average	92.1%	93.3%	120	1.4%	\$1,667	\$1,888	13.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.9%	94.8%	-310	-3.2%	\$781	\$850	8.8%
WI - Madison	95.5%	94.9%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,184	\$1,254	5.9%
WI - Milwaukee	93.1%	96.2%	309	3.3%	\$1,155	\$1,265	9.5%
WI - Misc. WI	96.8%	93.0%	-379	-3.9%	\$802	\$886	10.5%
Wisconsin Average	94.6%	95.1%	50	0.6%	\$1,088	\$1,178	8.3%
WV - Charleston	95.0%	96.0%	100	1.1%	\$928	\$980	5.6%
WV - Miscellaneous	92.2%	95.8%	359	3.8%	\$850	\$875	2.9%
West Virginia Average	94.3%	95.9%	160	1.7%	\$910	\$954	4.9%
CT - Hartford	94.9%	96.0%	110	1.1%	\$1,397	\$1,550	10.9%
DC - Washington	91.5%	93.5%	200	2.2%	\$1,800	\$1,967	9.3%
DE - Miscellaneous	98.2%	92.1%	-609	-6.2%	\$1,226	\$1,398	14.1%
MT - All of Montana	93.9%	93.6%	-29	-0.3%	\$1,102	\$1,325	20.2%
NH - Concord	96.1%	99.3%	320	3.3%	\$1,427	\$1,610	12.9%
VT - All of Vermont	98.1%	100.0%	190	1.9%	\$1,592	\$1,652	3.8%
WY - All of Wyoming	93.1%	91.9%	-120	-1.3%	\$917	\$1,037	13.1%
National Average	91.9%	94.0%	209	2.3%	\$1,366	\$1,579	15.6%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com