

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-21	May-22	bps	%CHG	May-21	May-22	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	96.7%	97.1%	40	0.4%	\$1,079	\$1,220	13.1%
AK - Misc. AK	91.3%	91.2%	-10	0.0%	\$1,260	\$1,435	13.9%
Alaska Average	95.4%	95.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,123	\$1,273	13.3%
AL - Birmingham	92.5%	92.6%	10	0.1%	\$1,005	\$1,124	11.9%
AL - Huntsville	94.7%	93.5%	-119	-1.2%	\$940	\$1,098	16.8%
AL - Misc. AL	94.9%	95.4%	50	0.6%	\$672	\$759	12.9%
AL - Mobile	94.2%	94.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$934	\$1,105	18.3%
AL - Montgomery	94.5%	93.8%	-70	-0.7%	\$832	\$935	12.3%
Alabama Average	93.6%	93.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$940	\$1,075	14.4%
AR - Little Rock	92.0%	90.3%	-170	-1.8%	\$811	\$898	10.7%
AR - Misc. AR	96.6%	96.2%	-40	-0.4%	\$625	\$689	10.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.0%	93.3%	430	4.8%	\$746	\$863	15.7%
Arkansas Average	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.6%	\$769	\$867	12.6%
AZ - Flagstaff	96.9%	97.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,458	\$1,699	16.5%
AZ - Misc. AZ	96.8%	97.3%	50	0.5%	\$888	\$1,049	18.1%
AZ - Phoenix	93.1%	92.2%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,331	\$1,648	23.8%
AZ - Tucson	94.9%	94.5%	-40	-0.4%	\$960	\$1,165	21.4%
Arizona Average	93.4%	92.5%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,264	\$1,560	23.5%
CA - Central Coast	95.6%	96.6%	100	1.0%	\$2,101	\$2,428	15.5%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.6%	95.0%	239	2.6%	\$2,246	\$2,587	15.2%
CA - Misc. CA	97.5%	98.6%	110	1.1%	\$1,114	\$1,202	7.8%
CA - Sacramento	96.0%	95.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,652	\$1,878	13.7%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	97.5%	96.7%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,810	\$2,113	16.7%
CA - San Diego	94.8%	96.4%	160	1.7%	\$2,094	\$2,515	20.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.6%	93.4%	380	4.2%	\$2,559	\$2,834	10.8%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	98.1%	97.4%	-70	-0.6%	\$1,285	\$1,485	15.6%
California Average	93.3%	94.9%	159	1.6%	\$2,139	\$2,453	14.6%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	93.1%	93.2%	10	0.2%	\$1,548	\$1,795	16.0%
CO - Misc. CO	98.0%	96.7%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,310	\$1,486	13.4%
Colorado Average	93.1%	93.3%	20	0.1%	\$1,544	\$1,790	15.9%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.3%	94.4%	209	2.3%	\$1,793	\$2,292	27.8%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	89.6%	92.3%	270	3.1%	\$1,438	\$2,005	39.4%
FL - Gainesville	89.7%	95.0%	529	6.0%	\$1,301	\$1,526	17.4%
FL - Jacksonville	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,228	\$1,502	22.3%
FL - Melbourne	91.7%	94.2%	249	2.8%	\$1,287	\$1,615	25.5%
FL - Miami	89.8%	93.4%	360	4.0%	\$1,871	\$2,326	24.3%
FL - Orlando	92.0%	94.7%	269	2.9%	\$1,372	\$1,757	28.0%
FL - Palm Beach	93.5%	94.4%	89	1.0%	\$1,846	\$2,378	28.9%
FL - Pensacola	92.2%	93.2%	100	1.0%	\$1,286	\$1,532	19.1%
FL - Tallahassee	91.6%	93.8%	219	2.4%	\$1,079	\$1,244	15.4%
FL - Tampa	93.3%	94.5%	119	1.2%	\$1,386	\$1,769	27.7%
Florida Average	92.4%	94.0%	159	1.7%	\$1,471	\$1,867	26.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	93.9%	89.7%	-419	-4.4%	\$777	\$875	12.7%
GA - Atlanta	93.0%	93.9%	89	1.0%	\$1,367	\$1,634	19.5%
GA - Augusta	92.8%	94.7%	189	2.0%	\$987	\$1,125	14.0%
GA - Columbus	95.0%	96.4%	140	1.5%	\$938	\$1,047	11.5%
GA - Macon	96.5%	94.5%	-200	-2.1%	\$929	\$1,078	16.1%
GA - Savannah	93.6%	95.4%	179	1.9%	\$1,179	\$1,453	23.2%
Georgia Average	93.2%	94.1%	89	0.9%	\$1,297	\$1,546	19.2%
HI - Honolulu	89.2%	84.0%	-520	-5.8%	\$2,025	\$2,124	4.9%
Hawaii Average	81.5%	84.0%	250	3.1%	\$2,020	\$2,124	5.2%
IA - Des Moines	88.1%	90.1%	200	2.3%	\$940	\$1,015	8.0%
IA - Misc. IA	84.5%	94.4%	989	11.7%	\$889	\$946	6.4%
Iowa Average	87.6%	90.4%	280	3.2%	\$936	\$1,009	7.9%
ID - Boise	92.7%	94.7%	199	2.1%	\$1,337	\$1,540	15.1%
ID - Misc. ID	98.0%	98.7%	70	0.7%	\$746	\$841	12.6%
Idaho Average	91.5%	94.8%	329	3.6%	\$1,304	\$1,502	15.2%
IL - Chicago	90.2%	93.9%	369	4.1%	\$1,609	\$1,802	12.0%
IL - Misc. IL	84.0%	79.5%	-449	-5.3%	\$642	\$657	2.2%
IL - Moline	94.2%	95.6%	140	1.5%	\$822	\$867	5.5%
IL - Springfield	94.8%	95.4%	60	0.6%	\$807	\$907	12.4%
Illinois Average	90.5%	94.0%	349	3.8%	\$1,508	\$1,691	12.1%
IN - Evansville	96.3%	96.6%	30	0.3%	\$797	\$865	8.5%
IN - Fort Wayne	95.8%	96.7%	90	0.9%	\$821	\$951	15.9%
IN - Indianapolis	93.9%	95.0%	110	1.2%	\$969	\$1,109	14.4%
IN - Misc. IN	98.1%	98.3%	20	0.3%	\$833	\$937	12.4%
IN - South Bend	93.6%	93.7%	10	0.1%	\$938	\$1,083	15.4%
Indiana Average	94.1%	95.1%	100	1.0%	\$941	\$1,076	14.4%
KS - Misc. KS	92.6%	97.8%	519	5.6%	\$666	\$703	5.5%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	91.6%	93.0%	140	1.6%	\$787	\$846	7.4%
KS - Wichita	93.4%	95.1%	169	1.8%	\$730	\$802	9.9%
Kansas Average	92.7%	94.3%	159	1.8%	\$751	\$816	8.7%
KY - Lexington	95.6%	95.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$884	\$1,026	16.2%
KY - Louisville	92.5%	94.0%	149	1.6%	\$966	\$1,071	10.9%
KY - Misc. KY	95.5%	98.5%	300	3.1%	\$659	\$707	7.4%
Kentucky Average	93.4%	94.4%	99	1.0%	\$934	\$1,049	12.3%
LA - Baton Rouge	91.0%	92.9%	190	2.1%	\$970	\$1,094	12.7%
LA - Lake Charles	86.2%	81.4%	-480	-5.5%	\$1,054	\$996	-5.5%
LA - Misc. LA	93.4%	92.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$821	\$837	1.9%
LA - Monroe	94.6%	91.1%	-349	-3.7%	\$829	\$897	8.2%
LA - New Orleans	91.4%	87.5%	-390	-4.3%	\$1,059	\$1,188	12.2%
LA - Shreveport	92.0%	92.3%	30	0.3%	\$847	\$926	9.4%
Louisiana Average	91.3%	90.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$980	\$1,085	10.7%
MA - Boston	89.9%	93.0%	310	3.4%	\$2,260	\$2,569	13.7%

ALN APARTMENT DATA

**ACCURATE
RELIABLE
NATIONWIDE**

WWW.ALNDATA.COM

We are proud to offer our services nationwide to management companies, supplier partners, brokers, lenders, government agencies, and many more.

Web Based Platforms

Monthly & Quarterly Reports

Property Updates

Original Content

Call Us
800-643-6416

Email Us
sales@alndata.com

Visit Us
www.alndata.com

ALN
APARTMENT DATA

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	96.9%	97.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,299	\$1,446	11.3%
Massachusetts Average	90.1%	92.8%	270	3.0%	\$2,219	\$2,523	13.7%
MD - Baltimore	95.1%	95.3%	20	0.2%	\$1,439	\$1,597	11.0%
MD - Misc. MD	98.9%	99.3%	40	0.5%	\$1,145	\$1,321	15.4%
Maryland Average	95.2%	95.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,432	\$1,590	11.1%
ME - Augusta/Portland	93.8%	98.3%	450	4.8%	\$1,592	\$1,731	8.7%
Maine Average	93.8%	98.3%	450	4.8%	\$1,592	\$1,731	8.7%
MI - Detroit	95.4%	96.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,091	\$1,220	11.9%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	96.8%	96.6%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,017	\$1,155	13.6%
MI - Misc. MI	93.3%	95.7%	239	2.5%	\$936	\$1,007	7.6%
Michigan Average	95.4%	96.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,070	\$1,200	12.1%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	91.7%	92.5%	80	1.0%	\$1,335	\$1,423	6.6%
MN - Misc. MN	98.1%	97.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,055	\$1,156	9.6%
Minnesota Average	91.7%	92.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,327	\$1,416	6.7%
MO - Columbia	95.7%	98.2%	250	2.6%	\$798	\$841	5.3%
MO - Kansas City	91.9%	93.4%	150	1.7%	\$1,057	\$1,174	11.1%
MO - Misc. MO	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.6%	\$609	\$636	4.4%
MO - Springfield	97.3%	98.1%	80	0.9%	\$747	\$827	10.7%
MO - St. Louis	93.2%	92.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,041	\$1,177	13.0%
Missouri Average	92.6%	93.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,021	\$1,141	11.8%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	94.0%	94.3%	30	0.4%	\$835	\$943	12.9%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.7%	93.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$928	\$1,024	10.3%
MS - Misc. MS	94.6%	95.0%	40	0.4%	\$850	\$925	8.7%
Mississippi Average	94.0%	94.0%	0	0.0%	\$884	\$978	10.7%
NC - Asheville	94.2%	92.2%	-199	-2.2%	\$1,288	\$1,568	21.8%
NC - Charlotte	92.4%	93.9%	149	1.6%	\$1,262	\$1,519	20.4%
NC - Fayetteville	97.4%	95.5%	-190	-2.0%	\$983	\$1,137	15.7%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.4%	\$947	\$1,138	20.1%
NC - Misc. NC	99.4%	98.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,087	\$1,247	14.7%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.9%	93.1%	20	0.3%	\$1,217	\$1,469	20.7%
NC - Wilmington	89.4%	93.3%	390	4.3%	\$1,117	\$1,371	22.7%
North Carolina Average	92.8%	93.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,179	\$1,423	20.6%
ND - Bismarck	93.6%	93.9%	29	0.4%	\$953	\$996	4.5%
ND - Fargo	96.1%	96.8%	70	0.8%	\$819	\$871	6.4%
ND - Misc. ND	80.5%	81.4%	89	1.1%	\$899	\$931	3.6%
North Dakota Average	88.2%	87.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$868	\$912	5.0%
NE - Lincoln	95.6%	96.0%	40	0.4%	\$912	\$1,005	10.2%
NE - Misc. NE	97.7%	98.3%	60	0.6%	\$723	\$753	4.2%
NE - Omaha	94.0%	96.2%	220	2.3%	\$981	\$1,075	9.5%
Nebraska Average	93.8%	96.1%	230	2.5%	\$961	\$1,053	9.6%
NM - Albuquerque	95.0%	94.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,026	\$1,222	19.1%
NM - Misc. NM	86.2%	89.7%	350	4.0%	\$1,065	\$1,116	4.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
New Mexico Average	93.9%	94.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,030	\$1,213	17.7%
NV - Las Vegas	94.7%	94.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,221	\$1,509	23.7%
NV - Misc. NV	96.2%	95.1%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,033	\$1,138	10.1%
NV - Reno	94.2%	92.4%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,426	\$1,589	11.4%
Nevada Average	93.9%	93.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,252	\$1,520	21.4%
NY - Albany	93.2%	95.0%	179	1.9%	\$1,270	\$1,411	11.1%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.3%	96.3%	100	1.1%	\$1,081	\$1,187	9.8%
NY - Misc. NY	94.7%	92.9%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,079	\$1,114	3.3%
NY - New York City	91.5%	91.7%	20	0.2%	\$2,585	\$2,885	11.6%
New York Average	91.9%	91.9%	0	0.0%	\$2,304	\$2,563	11.3%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.4%	\$1,016	\$1,138	12.0%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.7%	94.8%	10	0.1%	\$941	\$1,042	10.7%
OH - Columbus	93.2%	95.2%	199	2.2%	\$1,051	\$1,174	11.7%
OH - Misc. OH	100.0%	98.4%	-160	-1.6%	\$714	\$745	4.4%
OH - Toledo	95.1%	96.0%	90	1.0%	\$782	\$866	10.8%
Ohio Average	93.9%	95.0%	110	1.1%	\$992	\$1,106	11.5%
OK - Misc. OK	88.3%	87.4%	-90	-1.1%	\$686	\$762	11.0%
OK - Oklahoma City	92.0%	93.2%	120	1.3%	\$805	\$906	12.4%
OK - Tulsa	93.9%	94.9%	100	1.0%	\$776	\$901	16.2%
Oklahoma Average	92.7%	93.8%	109	1.1%	\$791	\$901	13.9%
OR - Misc. OR	99.2%	98.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,159	\$1,309	12.9%
OR - Portland	93.7%	94.5%	79	0.9%	\$1,449	\$1,658	14.5%
Oregon Average	93.7%	94.6%	89	0.9%	\$1,445	\$1,654	14.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	97.2%	97.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,177	\$1,311	11.4%
PA - Misc. PA	98.1%	97.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,046	\$1,196	14.4%
PA - Philadelphia	94.2%	95.8%	160	1.7%	\$1,449	\$1,635	12.9%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.5%	95.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,181	\$1,287	9.0%
PA - State College/Altoona	89.9%	97.3%	739	8.2%	\$1,151	\$1,213	5.3%
Pennsylvania Average	94.5%	95.9%	140	1.4%	\$1,371	\$1,539	12.2%
RI - Providence	96.3%	98.2%	190	2.0%	\$1,613	\$1,780	10.4%
Rhode Island Average	96.3%	98.2%	190	2.0%	\$1,613	\$1,780	10.4%
SC - Charleston	88.0%	91.7%	370	4.1%	\$1,346	\$1,608	19.5%
SC - Columbia	94.6%	92.9%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,042	\$1,166	11.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	92.0%	92.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,049	\$1,245	18.7%
SC - Misc. SC	95.9%	93.3%	-259	-2.7%	\$765	\$1,022	33.6%
SC - Myrtle Beach	91.7%	95.3%	359	3.9%	\$1,144	\$1,478	29.2%
South Carolina Average	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.2%	\$1,152	\$1,363	18.3%
SD - Misc. SD	99.0%	98.0%	-100	-1.0%	\$865	\$931	7.7%
SD - Rapid City	99.2%	98.3%	-90	-1.0%	\$994	\$1,140	14.7%
SD - Sioux Falls	94.1%	91.4%	-269	-2.9%	\$873	\$985	12.9%
South Dakota Average	95.6%	92.5%	-309	-3.3%	\$896	\$1,009	12.6%
TN - Chattanooga	94.5%	95.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,087	\$1,281	17.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Knoxville	96.5%	96.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,019	\$1,246	22.2%
TN - Memphis	94.1%	93.4%	-69	-0.7%	\$966	\$1,116	15.6%
TN - Misc. TN	94.0%	96.4%	240	2.6%	\$819	\$928	13.3%
TN - Nashville	92.2%	93.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,288	\$1,566	21.6%
Tennessee Average	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.4%	\$1,134	\$1,362	20.0%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	91.1%	93.2%	210	2.3%	\$1,256	\$1,502	19.6%
TX - Greater Dallas	91.2%	93.4%	220	2.4%	\$1,296	\$1,556	20.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	91.0%	92.7%	170	1.8%	\$1,171	\$1,387	18.5%
TX - Abilene	91.1%	94.0%	289	3.2%	\$795	\$881	10.8%
TX - Amarillo	92.0%	91.7%	-30	-0.4%	\$778	\$864	11.1%
TX - Austin	90.3%	92.4%	210	2.4%	\$1,375	\$1,685	22.6%
TX - Beaumont	89.6%	90.2%	60	0.7%	\$906	\$967	6.7%
TX - College Station	87.9%	92.3%	440	5.0%	\$1,239	\$1,312	5.8%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.8%	90.6%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,016	\$1,111	9.3%
TX - El Paso	96.6%	96.6%	0	-0.1%	\$838	\$971	15.9%
TX - Houston	90.0%	91.9%	190	2.1%	\$1,117	\$1,280	14.5%
TX - Laredo	95.1%	97.4%	230	2.4%	\$905	\$1,018	12.5%
TX - Longview/Tyler	94.4%	94.5%	10	0.1%	\$929	\$1,065	14.6%
TX - Lubbock	89.6%	90.6%	100	1.1%	\$803	\$868	8.2%
TX - Lufkin	94.0%	92.1%	-189	-2.1%	\$796	\$872	9.6%
TX - Midland-Odessa	78.0%	88.5%	1049	13.5%	\$970	\$1,091	12.5%
TX - Misc. TX	94.2%	95.2%	100	1.1%	\$751	\$844	12.4%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	95.4%	97.1%	170	1.8%	\$816	\$916	12.2%
TX - San Angelo	90.3%	87.4%	-290	-3.2%	\$908	\$991	9.1%
TX - San Antonio	91.7%	93.3%	160	1.8%	\$1,063	\$1,237	16.4%
TX - Texarkana	91.8%	92.9%	110	1.2%	\$745	\$816	9.5%
TX - Victoria	89.8%	90.5%	70	0.7%	\$898	\$975	8.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	95.0%	96.2%	120	1.2%	\$884	\$1,046	18.2%
TX - Wichita Falls	92.3%	92.3%	0	0.1%	\$731	\$804	10.0%
Texas Average	90.7%	92.7%	200	2.2%	\$1,162	\$1,367	17.7%
UT - Misc. UT	98.2%	96.4%	-180	-1.8%	\$1,171	\$1,351	15.4%
UT - Salt Lake City	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.6%	\$1,269	\$1,549	22.1%
Utah Average	93.4%	94.9%	149	1.6%	\$1,267	\$1,545	21.9%
VA - Norfolk	96.2%	95.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,226	\$1,387	13.2%
VA - Richmond	93.9%	94.8%	90	1.0%	\$1,260	\$1,447	14.8%
VA - Roanoke	96.5%	97.9%	140	1.5%	\$976	\$1,100	12.7%
Virginia Average	95.2%	95.6%	40	0.4%	\$1,218	\$1,386	13.9%
WA - Misc. WA	75.4%	88.2%	1280	17.1%	\$931	\$1,004	7.8%
WA - SE Washington	96.1%	96.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,131	\$1,298	14.7%
WA - Seattle	92.5%	93.5%	100	1.1%	\$1,791	\$2,042	14.0%
WA - Spokane	98.2%	96.3%	-190	-1.9%	\$1,156	\$1,339	15.9%
Washington Average	92.8%	93.1%	30	0.4%	\$1,713	\$1,953	14.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	98.3%	95.3%	-300	-3.1%	\$794	\$867	9.1%
WI - Madison	94.1%	94.8%	70	0.7%	\$1,198	\$1,280	6.8%
WI - Milwaukee	92.9%	95.2%	229	2.4%	\$1,190	\$1,312	10.2%
WI - Misc. WI	93.8%	93.8%	0	0.0%	\$834	\$924	10.8%
Wisconsin Average	93.3%	95.0%	169	1.8%	\$1,114	\$1,214	9.0%
WV - Charleston	96.3%	94.4%	-190	-2.0%	\$927	\$978	5.5%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.2%	98.2%	300	3.2%	\$890	\$920	3.3%
West Virginia Average	96.0%	95.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$919	\$965	5.0%
CT - Hartford	95.0%	95.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,448	\$1,601	10.6%
DC - Washington	92.0%	94.0%	199	2.2%	\$1,828	\$2,023	10.6%
DE - Miscellaneous	87.4%	91.6%	420	4.8%	\$1,260	\$1,420	12.7%
MT - All of Montana	95.5%	96.1%	60	0.6%	\$1,166	\$1,402	20.3%
NH - Concord	99.2%	98.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,459	\$1,655	13.4%
NJ - Miscellaneous	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	\$996	\$996	0.0%
VT - All of Vermont	98.1%	100.0%	190	1.9%	\$1,634	\$1,655	1.3%
WY - All of Wyoming	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.2%	\$948	\$1,059	11.7%
National Average	92.6%	93.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,410	\$1,632	15.7%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen, CAM, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com