	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHAI	NGE	EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Aug-20	Aug-21	bps	%CHG	Aug-20	Aug-21	%CHG	
AK - Anchorage	94.1%	97.7%	360	3.8%	\$1,047	\$1,112	6.2%	
AK - Misc. AK	92.2%	91.4%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,241	\$1,307	5.4%	
Alaska Average	93.7%	96.2%	249	2.6%	\$1,106	\$1,159	4.8%	
AL - Birmingham	91.5%	93.0%	150	1.7%	\$943	\$1,051	11.4%	
AL - Huntsville	94.2%	94.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$871	\$983	12.9%	
AL - Misc. AL	95.5%	95.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$696	\$774	11.2%	
AL - Mobile	89.8%	95.6%	579	6.5%	\$871	\$1,019	17.0%	
AL - Montgomery	93.7%	94.9%	119	1.3%	\$795	\$870	9.5%	
Alabama Average	92.0%	94.0%	199	2.2%	\$881	\$993	12.6%	
AR - Little Rock	92.0%	92.7%	70	0.8%	\$774	\$843	8.9%	
AR - Misc. AR	95.3%	97.1%	180	1.9%	\$599	\$647	7.9%	
AR - Northwest Arkansas	90.8%	92.2%	140	1.6%	\$726	\$786	8.4%	
Arkansas Average	91.8%	92.6%	80	0.9%	\$740	\$804	8.6%	
AZ - Flagstaff	90.7%	97.4%	669	7.4%	\$1,364	\$1,591	16.6%	
AZ - Misc. AZ	96.2%	96.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$864	\$945	9.4%	
AZ - Phoenix	92.7%	94.4%	169	1.8%	\$1,198	\$1,464	22.2%	
AZ - Tucson	94.5%	95.8%	130	1.4%	\$860	\$1,027	19.4%	
Arizona Average	92.9%	94.4%	149	1.6%	\$1,139	\$1,388	21.8%	
CA - Central Coast	93.3%	96.7%	339	3.7%	\$1,972	\$2,206	11.9%	
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.3%	93.5%	220	2.3%	\$2,213	\$2,350	6.2%	
CA - Misc. CA	94.7%	97.9%	320	3.4%	\$1,064	\$1,118	5.1%	
CA - Sacramento	95.2%	96.5%	130	1.3%	\$1,517	\$1,748	15.2%	
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	95.0%	97.8%	280	3.0%	\$1,615	\$1,910	18.2%	
CA - San Diego	93.6%	96.0%	239	2.6%	\$1,990	\$2,224	11.8%	
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.5%	91.0%	150	1.7%	\$2,689	\$2,683	-0.2%	
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.1%	97.6%	150	1.5%	\$1,183	\$1,357	14.7%	
California Average	92.1%	94.3%	219	2.4%	\$2,103	\$2,249	7.0%	
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.1%	94.2%	309	3.4%	\$1,480	\$1,672	13.0%	
CO - Misc. CO	86.4%	97.2%	1079	12.5%	\$1,162	\$1,350	16.2%	
Colorado Average	91.0%	94.1%	309	3.4%	\$1,475	\$1,667	13.0%	
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.1%	94.9%	479	5.3%	\$1,681	\$1,938	15.3%	
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.6%	93.2%	860	10.1%	\$1,309	\$1,567	19.7%	
FL - Gainesville	93.3%	92.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,246	\$1,348	8.2%	
FL - Jacksonville	91.1%	95.0%	389	4.3%	\$1,120	\$1,337	19.4%	
FL - Melbourne	87.5%	95.1%	759	8.6%	\$1,182	\$1,393	17.8%	
FL - Miami	87.2%	90.6%	340	4.0%	\$1,750	\$2,003	14.4%	
FL - Orlando	90.8%	93.7%	290	3.2%	\$1,297	\$1,509	16.3%	
FL - Palm Beach	89.2%	95.7%	649	7.4%	\$1,680	\$2,004	19.3%	
FL - Pensacola	91.2%	90.6%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,167	\$1,417	21.4%	
FL - Tallahassee	93.0%	93.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,015	\$1,125	10.8%	
FL - Tampa	92.2%	94.8%	259	2.8%	\$1,264	\$1,528	21.0%	
Florida Average	90.5%	94.0%	349	3.9%	\$1,363	\$1,603	17.7%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	occu	PANCY	CHANGE EFFECTIVE RENT					
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
GA - Albany	91.9%	93.4%	150	1.6%	\$740	\$797	7.7%	
GA - Atlanta	91.3%	94.4%	309	3.4%	\$1,274	\$1,473	15.6%	
GA - Augusta	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.2%	\$914	\$1,025	12.2%	
GA - Columbus	94.4%	95.3%	90	0.9%	\$882	\$970	10.0%	
GA - Macon	94.9%	96.0%	110	1.1%	\$857	\$976	13.8%	
GA - Savannah	90.7%	95.6%	489	5.4%	\$1,099	\$1,277	16.1%	
Georgia Average	91.4%	94.5%	309	3.4%	\$1,210	\$1,394	15.3%	
HI - Honolulu	88.7%	84.4%	-430	-4.8%	\$1,858	\$2,087	12.3%	
Hawaii Average	88.7%	84.4%	-430	-4.8%	\$1,856	\$2,087	12.4%	
IA - Des Moines	93.3%	90.3%	-300	-3.2%	\$922	\$964	4.6%	
IA - Misc. IA	96.6%	91.6%	-499	-5.1%	\$833	\$907	8.9%	
Iowa Average	92.0%	90.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$915	\$959	4.9%	
ID - Boise	94.2%	92.5%	-169	-1.7%	\$1,164	\$1,438	23.6%	
ID - Misc. ID	86.2%	98.1%	1190	13.7%	\$731	\$803	9.8%	
Idaho Average	93.7%	92.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,141	\$1,407	23.4%	
IL - Chicago	90.8%	92.8%	200	2.3%	\$1,590	\$1,696	6.7%	
IL - Misc. IL	80.1%	84.3%	419	5.3%	\$636	\$649	2.1%	
IL - Moline	92.6%	96.4%	379	4.1%	\$776	\$804	3.6%	
IL - Springfield	92.7%	95.4%	269	2.9%	\$777	\$856	10.2%	
Illinois Average	90.7%	93.1%	240	2.7%	\$1,487	\$1,590	6.9%	
IN - Evansville	94.2%	95.7%	150	1.5%	\$761	\$813	6.9%	
IN - Fort Wayne	90.6%	95.4%	479	5.2%	\$782	\$866	10.8%	
IN - Indianapolis	92.6%	94.8%	219	2.5%	\$927	\$1,013	9.3%	
IN - Misc. IN	97.0%	96.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$813	\$878	7.9%	
IN - South Bend	91.4%	93.6%	220	2.3%	\$876	\$1,017	16.0%	
Indiana Average	92.3%	94.8%	249	2.7%	\$897	\$987	10.0%	
KS - Misc. KS	91.7%	95.5%	379	4.2%	\$608	\$628	3.4%	
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.0%	93.2%	320	3.5%	\$774	\$802	3.6%	
KS - Wichita	93.6%	95.4%	179	1.9%	\$702	\$750	6.8%	
Kansas Average	92.1%	94.5%	239	2.6%	\$729	\$767	5.3%	
KY - Lexington	93.7%	96.6%	289	3.1%	\$850	\$945	11.1%	
KY - Louisville	91.2%	93.9%	269	2.9%	\$939	\$991	5.5%	
KY - Misc. KY	97.3%	94.9%	-240	-2.5%	\$658	\$673	2.4%	
Kentucky Average	92.1%	94.7%	259	2.9%	\$907	\$970	7.0%	
LA - Baton Rouge	89.1%	92.1%	300	3.3%	\$925	\$1,005	8.6%	
LA - Lake Charles	79.5%	88.3%	879	11.2%	\$905	\$1,084	19.7%	
LA - Misc. LA	91.7%	93.4%	170	1.9%	\$797	\$832	4.4%	
LA - Monroe	94.7%	95.7%	100	1.1%	\$790	\$858	8.6%	
LA - New Orleans	90.3%	93.4%	310	3.4%	\$1,044	\$1,099	5.3%	
LA - Shreveport	91.0%	94.4%	339	3.7%	\$819	\$900	9.9%	
Louisiana Average	89.6%	92.8%	320	3.5%	\$946	\$1,019	7.7%	
MA - Boston	89.4%	91.6%	220	2.4%	\$2,271	\$2,386	5.1%	



Thank you for three decades of building business and personal relationships. Here's to thirty more!



www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%СНG	
MA - Springfield	97.3%	97.5%	20	0.2%	\$1,262	\$1,335	5.8%	
Massachusetts Average	89.4%	91.8%	240	2.7%	\$2,226	\$2,339	5.1%	
MD - Baltimore	94.1%	95.5%	140	1.4%	\$1,378	\$1,501	8.9%	
MD - Misc. MD	97.4%	99.2%	180	1.8%	\$1,107	\$1,220	10.2%	
Maryland Average	94.0%	95.2%	120	1.2%	\$1,372	\$1,495	9.0%	
ME - Augusta/Portland	96.9%	93.0%	-389	-4.0%	\$1,491	\$1,672	12.1%	
Maine Average	96.9%	93.0%	-389	-4.0%	\$1,423	\$1,597	12.2%	
MI - Detroit	94.6%	96.1%	150	1.5%	\$1,036	\$1,133	9.4%	
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.1%	96.8%	170	1.9%	\$947	\$1,081	14.1%	
MI - Misc. MI	92.8%	94.7%	189	2.0%	\$867	\$962	11.0%	
Michigan Average	94.5%	96.1%	160	1.8%	\$1,013	\$1,117	10.3%	
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.8%	\$1,322	\$1,360	2.8%	
MN - Misc. MN	96.5%	98.7%	220	2.3%	\$1,026	\$1,094	6.7%	
Minnesota Average	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.8%	\$1,314	\$1,352	2.9%	
MO - Columbia	95.2%	94.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$758	\$846	11.6%	
MO - Kansas City	91.3%	92.7%	140	1.6%	\$1,032	\$1,101	6.7%	
MO - Misc. MO	89.7%	95.9%	619	6.9%	\$582	\$625	7.5%	
MO - Springfield	95.9%	97.5%	160	1.6%	\$722	\$777	7.5%	
MO - St. Louis	92.6%	94.7%	209	2.2%	\$998	\$1,083	8.5%	
Missouri Average	92.0%	93.7%	170	1.9%	\$988	\$1,062	7.5%	
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.1%	94.9%	279	3.0%	\$800	\$880	10.0%	
MS - Jackson/Central MS	94.2%	94.5%	30	0.3%	\$874	\$962	10.0%	
MS - Misc. MS	93.5%	94.8%	129	1.4%	\$841	\$898	6.8%	
Mississippi Average	93.5%	94.6%	109	1.2%	\$847	\$925	9.2%	
NC - Asheville	90.2%	91.9%	170	1.9%	\$1,176	\$1,398	18.8%	
NC - Charlotte	90.4%	92.9%	250	2.7%	\$1,178	\$1,345	14.1%	
NC - Fayetteville	95.9%	96.7%	80	0.9%	\$902	\$1,040	15.2%	
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.9%	95.5%	160	1.7%	\$887	\$1,009	13.8%	
NC - Misc. NC	96.2%	99.4%	320	3.3%	\$1,063	\$1,097	3.3%	
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.0%	94.9%	289	3.1%	\$1,160	\$1,315	13.4%	
NC - Wilmington	88.9%	94.3%	539	6.1%	\$1,054	\$1,209	14.7%	
North Carolina Average	91.5%	94.2%	269	2.9%	\$1,109	\$1,265	14.1%	
ND - Bismarck	92.3%	93.8%	149	1.5%	\$949	\$963	1.4%	
ND - Fargo	95.6%	95.0%	-60	-0.7%	\$802	\$820	2.2%	
ND - Misc. ND	83.8%	76.4%	-739	-8.9%	\$952	\$891	-6.4%	
North Dakota Average	90.1%	85.8%	-430	-4.8%	\$881	\$867	-1.6%	
NE - Lincoln	96.5%	95.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$887	\$947	6.9%	
NE - Misc. NE	97.5%	96.0%	-150	-1.6%	\$720	\$727	1.1%	
NE - Omaha	93.0%	95.8%	279	3.0%	\$954	\$1,020	6.8%	
Nebraska Average	93.6%	95.3%	169	1.8%	\$936	\$999	6.7%	
NM - Albuquerque	95.3%	96.4%	110	1.2%	\$936	\$1,117	19.3%	
NM - Misc. NM	83.0%	87.1%	410	4.9%	\$1,063	\$1,079	1.4%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	occu	PANCY	CHANGE EFFECTIVE RENT					
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
New Mexico Average	94.3%	95.5%	120	1.3%	\$947	\$1,113	17.5%	
NV - Las Vegas	93.7%	95.7%	199	2.2%	\$1,117	\$1,338	19.8%	
NV - Misc. NV	98.1%	88.0%	-1009	-10.2%	\$984	\$1,050	6.7%	
NV - Reno	93.8%	96.7%	290	3.0%	\$1,329	\$1,530	15.2%	
Nevada Average	93.7%	95.2%	149	1.5%	\$1,149	\$1,366	18.9%	
NY - Albany	93.2%	96.1%	289	3.1%	\$1,204	\$1,309	8.7%	
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.8%	94.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,039	\$1,113	7.1%	
NY - Misc. NY	88.1%	96.7%	859	9.7%	\$1,043	\$1,102	5.7%	
NY - New York City	92.4%	92.7%	30	0.3%	\$2,664	\$2,676	0.4%	
New York Average	92.4%	93.0%	60	0.7%	\$2,351	\$2,378	1.2%	
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.2%	95.5%	229	2.5%	\$970	\$1,043	7.6%	
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.9%	95.2%	130	1.3%	\$908	\$969	6.7%	
OH - Columbus	94.0%	94.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,002	\$1,093	9.1%	
OH - Misc. OH	97.9%	100.0%	210	2.1%	\$700	\$725	3.6%	
OH - Toledo	94.0%	95.5%	150	1.6%	\$754	\$814	8.0%	
Ohio Average	93.7%	95.1%	139	1.5%	\$949	\$1,025	8.0%	
OK - Misc. OK	89.3%	88.3%	-100	-1.2%	\$647	\$690	6.6%	
OK - Oklahoma City	90.0%	93.2%	320	3.5%	\$774	\$843	8.9%	
OK - Tulsa	93.0%	95.7%	269	2.8%	\$743	\$815	9.7%	
Oklahoma Average	91.2%	94.2%	299	3.3%	\$760	\$829	9.2%	
OR - Misc. OR	96.8%	99.1%	230	2.4%	\$1,070	\$1,209	13.0%	
OR - Portland	92.7%	95.2%	249	2.7%	\$1,401	\$1,526	8.9%	
Oregon Average	92.5%	95.3%	279	3.0%	\$1,397	\$1,521	8.9%	
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	96.3%	97.5%	120	1.2%	\$1,127	\$1,230	9.1%	
PA - Misc. PA	97.4%	98.6%	120	1.3%	\$982	\$1,094	11.4%	
PA - Philadelphia	93.8%	95.6%	180	1.9%	\$1,392	\$1,510	8.5%	
PA - Pittsburgh	94.2%	95.1%	90	0.9%	\$1,164	\$1,220	4.8%	
PA - State College/Altoona	93.9%	92.0%	-189	-2.1%	\$1,126	\$1,156	2.7%	
Pennsylvania Average	94.2%	95.7%	150	1.7%	\$1,320	\$1,427	8.1%	
RI - Providence	96.7%	97.2%	50	0.5%	\$1,512	\$1,657	9.6%	
Rhode Island Average	95.6%	97.2%	160	1.7%	\$1,512	\$1,657	9.6%	
SC - Charleston	86.8%	90.2%	340	3.9%	\$1,265	\$1,457	15.2%	
SC - Columbia	92.6%	95.0%	239	2.7%	\$975	\$1,094	12.2%	
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	91.6%	93.5%	190	2.1%	\$981	\$1,127	14.8%	
SC - Misc. SC	92.5%	94.2%	169	1.8%	\$751	\$782	4.2%	
SC - Myrtle Beach	88.9%	92.4%	350	3.9%	\$1,040	\$1,252	20.3%	
South Carolina Average	90.0%	92.6%	260	2.9%	\$1,075	\$1,238	15.1%	
SD - Misc. SD	96.3%	97.0%	70	0.6%	\$854	\$872	2.1%	
SD - Rapid City	97.8%	99.7%	190	2.0%	\$933	\$1,032	10.5%	
SD - Sioux Falls	92.7%	92.8%	10	0.1%	\$851	\$906	6.5%	
South Dakota Average	94.3%	92.6%	-169	-1.8%	\$868	\$928	6.9%	
TN - Chattanooga	91.0%	95.9%	489	5.5%	\$1,002	\$1,133	13.0%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	осси	PANCY	CHANGE EFFECTIVE RENT					
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%СНG	
TN - Knoxville	95.4%	96.6%	120	1.2%	\$964	\$1,091	13.2%	
TN - Memphis	93.6%	94.0%	39	0.4%	\$901	\$1,017	12.8%	
TN - Misc. TN	96.9%	95.7%	-120	-1.2%	\$793	\$856	8.0%	
TN - Nashville	91.2%	93.7%	250	2.7%	\$1,259	\$1,387	10.2%	
Tennessee Average	92.5%	94.2%	169	1.8%	\$1,087	\$1,211	11.4%	
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.6%	92.8%	220	2.5%	\$1,196	\$1,334	11.6%	
TX - Greater Dallas	90.5%	92.8%	230	2.6%	\$1,235	\$1,381	11.8%	
TX - Greater Fort Worth	91.1%	92.9%	180	2.0%	\$1,109	\$1,234	11.3%	
TX - Abilene	91.9%	92.7%	80	0.8%	\$781	\$823	5.4%	
TX - Amarillo	89.0%	91.9%	290	3.3%	\$747	\$800	7.0%	
TX - Austin	89.2%	92.1%	290	3.2%	\$1,291	\$1,516	17.4%	
TX - Beaumont	89.9%	90.1%	20	0.3%	\$888	\$922	3.8%	
TX - College Station	83.7%	86.5%	280	3.3%	\$1,216	\$1,259	3.5%	
TX - Corpus Christi	90.6%	91.2%	60	0.7%	\$990	\$1,064	7.5%	
TX - El Paso	95.7%	95.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$808	\$890	10.1%	
TX - Houston	88.9%	92.0%	310	3.5%	\$1,086	\$1,181	8.7%	
TX - Laredo	91.8%	95.8%	399	4.3%	\$910	\$940	3.3%	
TX - Longview/Tyler	92.7%	95.5%	279	3.1%	\$877	\$986	12.4%	
TX - Lubbock	91.1%	92.7%	160	1.8%	\$784	\$821	4.8%	
TX - Lufkin	90.6%	95.7%	509	5.7%	\$772	\$803	4.0%	
TX - Midland-Odessa	72.7%	82.1%	939	13.0%	\$1,048	\$997	-4.9%	
TX - Misc. TX	92.0%	95.1%	309	3.3%	\$729	\$778	6.6%	
TX - Rio Grande Valley	94.4%	97.2%	280	2.9%	\$792	\$839	5.9%	
TX - San Angelo	95.2%	91.4%	-379	-4.0%	\$866	\$907	4.8%	
TX - San Antonio	90.9%	94.0%	309	3.4%	\$1,017	\$1,132	11.4%	
TX - Texarkana	90.6%	93.7%	310	3.4%	\$719	\$758	5.5%	
TX - Victoria	87.6%	91.8%	420	4.9%	\$908	\$918	1.1%	
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	95.0%	96.3%	130	1.4%	\$849	\$934	10.0%	
TX - Wichita Falls	89.7%	95.7%	599	6.7%	\$694	\$762	9.8%	
Texas Average	89.8%	92.5%	270	2.9%	\$1,114	\$1,237	11.1%	
UT - Misc. UT	95.5%	91.5%	-399	-4.2%	\$1,069	\$1,253	17.2%	
UT - Salt Lake City	91.4%	94.7%	329	3.5%	\$1,195	\$1,379	15.4%	
Utah Average	91.4%	94.6%	319	3.5%	\$1,192	\$1,376	15.4%	
VA - Norfolk	95.3%	96.8%	150	1.6%	\$1,149	\$1,292	12.5%	
VA - Richmond	92.9%	94.9%	199	2.1%	\$1,183	\$1,321	11.7%	
VA - Roanoke	95.6%	97.8%	220	2.3%	\$936	\$1,004	7.2%	
Virginia Average	94.3%	96.0%	170	1.8%	\$1,144	\$1,279	11.8%	
WA - Misc. WA	87.5%	78.4%	-909	-10.3%	\$925	\$977	5.6%	
WA - SE Washington	95.3%	95.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,057	\$1,250	18.2%	
WA - Seattle	92.9%	94.5%	159	1.8%	\$1,788	\$1,891	5.7%	
WA - Spokane	93.8%	98.2%	440	4.7%	\$1,049	\$1,273	21.4%	
Washington Average	92.8%	94.6%	179	1.9%	\$1,698	\$1,814	6.8%	

	OVERALL MARKET							
	OCCUPANCY		CHAI	CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG	
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.4%	96.3%	-110	-1.2%	\$779	\$820	5.2%	
WI - Madison	95.7%	95.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,146	\$1,193	4.1%	
WI - Milwaukee	93.6%	95.0%	139	1.5%	\$1,142	\$1,221	6.9%	
WI - Misc. WI	93.9%	97.9%	400	4.2%	\$796	\$864	8.5%	
Wisconsin Average	94.4%	95.2%	80	0.8%	\$1,068	\$1,132	6.0%	
WV - Charleston	96.5%	97.6%	110	1.1%	\$914	\$943	3.1%	
WV - Miscellaneous	96.0%	97.7%	170	1.8%	\$776	\$874	12.7%	
West Virginia Average	94.6%	97.6%	300	3.2%	\$887	\$926	4.4%	
CT - Hartford	93.7%	96.2%	249	2.7%	\$1,369	\$1,508	10.2%	
DC - Washington	92.3%	93.1%	80	0.8%	\$1,849	\$1,899	2.7%	
DE - Miscellaneous	98.0%	86.4%	-1160	-11.8%	\$1,199	\$1,306	8.9%	
MT - All of Montana	88.8%	94.4%	559	6.3%	\$1,043	\$1,259	20.7%	
NH - Concord	94.4%	99.3%	490	5.1%	\$1,401	\$1,559	11.2%	
VT - All of Vermont	97.9%	100.0%	210	2.1%	\$1,588	\$1,635	3.0%	
WY - All of Wyoming	93.1%	94.8%	169	1.8%	\$906	\$1,002	10.7%	
National Average	91.7%	93.9%	219	2.4%	\$1,362	\$1,491	9.5%	

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

Executive Vice President 1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 253

lackie@alndata.com

Tammy Longo

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 249 Tammy@alndata.com Susan Stroud, CAS

Vice President of Sales 1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 259

KNelsen@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Senior Reg. Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com