

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Sep-20	Sep-21	bps	%CHG	Sep-20	Sep-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	94.9%	97.5%	260	2.8%	\$1,056	\$1,124	6.4%
AK - Misc. AK	92.3%	89.4%	-290	-3.1%	\$1,242	\$1,317	6.0%
Alaska Average	94.3%	95.6%	130	1.4%	\$1,113	\$1,171	5.2%
AL - Birmingham	91.3%	93.5%	220	2.5%	\$953	\$1,064	11.6%
AL - Huntsville	95.1%	94.4%	-70	-0.7%	\$882	\$988	12.1%
AL - Misc. AL	96.1%	95.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$656	\$702	7.0%
AL - Mobile	89.5%	95.1%	559	6.2%	\$884	\$1,046	18.3%
AL - Montgomery	94.4%	94.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$798	\$883	10.6%
Alabama Average	92.1%	94.2%	209	2.2%	\$890	\$1,004	12.8%
AR - Little Rock	92.3%	92.6%	30	0.3%	\$779	\$845	8.5%
AR - Misc. AR	95.0%	96.4%	140	1.4%	\$598	\$653	9.1%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	90.8%	94.7%	389	4.3%	\$729	\$794	8.9%
Arkansas Average	90.9%	93.5%	260	2.8%	\$744	\$808	8.7%
AZ - Flagstaff	92.2%	97.1%	489	5.3%	\$1,367	\$1,619	18.5%
AZ - Misc. AZ	97.0%	96.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$878	\$974	11.0%
AZ - Phoenix	92.7%	94.6%	189	2.0%	\$1,209	\$1,502	24.2%
AZ - Tucson	93.9%	95.0%	110	1.2%	\$881	\$1,051	19.3%
Arizona Average	92.9%	94.4%	149	1.6%	\$1,151	\$1,422	23.5%
CA - Central Coast	92.7%	96.7%	399	4.3%	\$1,990	\$2,243	12.7%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.2%	94.1%	289	3.2%	\$2,205	\$2,400	8.8%
CA - Misc. CA	94.7%	98.3%	360	3.8%	\$1,071	\$1,126	5.1%
CA - Sacramento	95.1%	96.3%	120	1.3%	\$1,533	\$1,778	16.0%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	95.7%	97.7%	200	2.1%	\$1,643	\$1,936	17.9%
CA - San Diego	93.6%	96.4%	279	3.0%	\$2,002	\$2,280	13.9%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.1%	91.8%	270	3.1%	\$2,633	\$2,701	2.6%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.7%	97.8%	110	1.1%	\$1,198	\$1,375	14.8%
California Average	92.2%	94.6%	239	2.5%	\$2,094	\$2,286	9.2%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.3%	94.0%	269	3.0%	\$1,482	\$1,697	14.6%
CO - Misc. CO	88.2%	98.4%	1019	11.5%	\$1,176	\$1,363	16.0%
Colorado Average	91.2%	93.7%	250	2.7%	\$1,477	\$1,692	14.6%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	89.8%	95.5%	569	6.4%	\$1,683	\$1,996	18.6%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.1%	93.7%	960	11.3%	\$1,310	\$1,611	23.0%
FL - Gainesville	92.8%	94.6%	179	2.0%	\$1,240	\$1,376	11.0%
FL - Jacksonville	91.4%	95.3%	389	4.2%	\$1,128	\$1,376	22.0%
FL - Melbourne	86.9%	95.4%	849	9.8%	\$1,188	\$1,415	19.1%
FL - Miami	84.7%	92.3%	760	9.0%	\$1,766	\$2,035	15.3%
FL - Orlando	90.5%	94.0%	349	3.8%	\$1,295	\$1,555	20.1%
FL - Palm Beach	88.9%	95.4%	649	7.3%	\$1,688	\$2,074	22.9%
FL - Pensacola	89.7%	91.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,175	\$1,427	21.5%
FL - Tallahassee	93.2%	94.8%	159	1.8%	\$1,028	\$1,136	10.5%
FL - Tampa	92.1%	95.0%	289	3.1%	\$1,270	\$1,586	24.9%
Florida Average	90.0%	94.2%	419	4.6%	\$1,369	\$1,650	20.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.3%	93.7%	140	1.5%	\$746	\$799	7.0%
GA - Atlanta	91.3%	94.9%	359	4.0%	\$1,283	\$1,511	17.8%
GA - Augusta	93.0%	94.2%	119	1.3%	\$918	\$1,042	13.4%
GA - Columbus	94.7%	95.7%	100	1.1%	\$890	\$991	11.3%
GA - Macon	95.3%	95.9%	60	0.7%	\$867	\$994	14.6%
GA - Savannah	90.3%	96.0%	569	6.3%	\$1,107	\$1,297	17.1%
Georgia Average	91.6%	95.0%	339	3.7%	\$1,218	\$1,427	17.2%
HI - Honolulu	88.0%	83.5%	-450	-5.1%	\$1,832	\$2,102	14.7%
Hawaii Average	88.0%	83.5%	-450	-5.1%	\$1,830	\$2,102	14.9%
IA - Des Moines	91.0%	90.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$925	\$972	5.1%
IA - Misc. IA	97.2%	95.0%	-220	-2.3%	\$823	\$910	10.5%
Iowa Average	91.4%	91.2%	-20	-0.3%	\$918	\$967	5.4%
ID - Boise	94.7%	92.9%	-179	-1.9%	\$1,180	\$1,458	23.5%
ID - Misc. ID	89.8%	99.0%	919	10.2%	\$738	\$812	9.9%
Idaho Average	94.4%	93.2%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,156	\$1,426	23.3%
IL - Chicago	90.2%	93.6%	340	3.7%	\$1,574	\$1,707	8.4%
IL - Misc. IL	83.8%	85.0%	120	1.5%	\$636	\$650	2.3%
IL - Moline	92.0%	96.3%	429	4.7%	\$779	\$805	3.3%
IL - Springfield	92.9%	95.7%	279	3.0%	\$779	\$868	11.5%
Illinois Average	90.4%	93.4%	300	3.3%	\$1,474	\$1,601	8.6%
IN - Evansville	94.3%	95.9%	160	1.7%	\$765	\$820	7.2%
IN - Fort Wayne	92.4%	95.5%	309	3.4%	\$786	\$867	10.3%
IN - Indianapolis	92.9%	94.9%	199	2.2%	\$931	\$1,022	9.7%
IN - Misc. IN	96.7%	95.4%	-130	-1.3%	\$789	\$932	18.2%
IN - South Bend	92.2%	93.8%	159	1.7%	\$883	\$1,020	15.5%
Indiana Average	92.9%	94.8%	189	2.1%	\$902	\$994	10.3%
KS - Misc. KS	95.4%	96.6%	120	1.3%	\$613	\$639	4.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.7%	92.9%	220	2.3%	\$777	\$805	3.7%
KS - Wichita	93.8%	95.2%	140	1.6%	\$703	\$755	7.4%
Kansas Average	92.6%	94.3%	169	1.9%	\$730	\$772	5.7%
KY - Lexington	94.5%	96.4%	190	2.0%	\$856	\$955	11.6%
KY - Louisville	91.1%	94.4%	329	3.7%	\$941	\$1,006	6.9%
KY - Misc. KY	97.3%	95.1%	-220	-2.2%	\$656	\$677	3.2%
Kentucky Average	91.8%	95.0%	319	3.5%	\$910	\$983	8.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	89.5%	92.4%	290	3.2%	\$934	\$1,020	9.3%
LA - Lake Charles	79.9%	87.7%	779	9.8%	\$905	\$1,070	18.3%
LA - Misc. LA	91.9%	93.7%	180	2.0%	\$798	\$828	3.8%
LA - Monroe	95.4%	95.9%	50	0.5%	\$793	\$864	8.9%
LA - New Orleans	89.8%	93.0%	320	3.6%	\$1,051	\$1,108	5.4%
LA - Shreveport	91.8%	94.4%	259	2.8%	\$823	\$906	10.1%
Louisiana Average	89.8%	92.6%	280	3.1%	\$953	\$1,028	7.9%
MA - Boston	89.1%	92.7%	360	4.0%	\$2,248	\$2,411	7.3%



*Thank you for three decades of
building business and personal
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.3%	97.9%	60	0.5%	\$1,266	\$1,338	5.7%
Massachusetts Average	89.4%	92.6%	320	3.6%	\$2,205	\$2,364	7.2%
MD - Baltimore	94.3%	95.5%	120	1.2%	\$1,383	\$1,521	10.0%
MD - Misc. MD	97.7%	99.1%	140	1.5%	\$1,110	\$1,239	11.6%
Maryland Average	94.3%	94.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,376	\$1,514	10.0%
ME - Augusta/Portland	97.8%	95.2%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,502	\$1,691	12.6%
Maine Average	97.8%	88.9%	-889	-9.2%	\$1,438	\$1,614	12.3%
MI - Detroit	95.0%	96.5%	150	1.6%	\$1,041	\$1,146	10.0%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.1%	97.1%	200	2.2%	\$958	\$1,093	14.1%
MI - Misc. MI	93.7%	94.5%	79	0.9%	\$878	\$964	9.9%
Michigan Average	94.6%	96.1%	150	1.6%	\$1,019	\$1,129	10.8%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	93.8%	93.2%	-59	-0.6%	\$1,320	\$1,370	3.8%
MN - Misc. MN	96.7%	98.9%	220	2.2%	\$1,026	\$1,110	8.2%
Minnesota Average	93.6%	92.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,312	\$1,363	3.9%
MO - Columbia	95.3%	97.2%	190	1.9%	\$756	\$799	5.8%
MO - Kansas City	91.1%	93.4%	230	2.5%	\$1,029	\$1,109	7.7%
MO - Misc. MO	91.7%	95.6%	389	4.2%	\$598	\$626	4.7%
MO - Springfield	96.3%	97.6%	130	1.3%	\$725	\$782	7.8%
MO - St. Louis	92.7%	94.7%	199	2.2%	\$1,004	\$1,093	8.8%
Missouri Average	91.8%	93.9%	209	2.3%	\$989	\$1,070	8.2%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.0%	95.0%	199	2.1%	\$804	\$886	10.2%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.5%	94.2%	69	0.8%	\$880	\$969	10.1%
MS - Misc. MS	94.1%	94.5%	40	0.4%	\$841	\$900	7.0%
Mississippi Average	93.5%	94.5%	99	1.0%	\$851	\$930	9.3%
NC - Asheville	91.4%	92.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,198	\$1,437	20.0%
NC - Charlotte	90.9%	93.2%	230	2.5%	\$1,187	\$1,375	15.8%
NC - Fayetteville	96.0%	96.8%	80	0.8%	\$907	\$1,049	15.7%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.9%	95.7%	180	1.9%	\$894	\$1,025	14.7%
NC - Misc. NC	96.2%	99.4%	320	3.3%	\$1,063	\$1,097	3.3%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	91.9%	95.2%	329	3.5%	\$1,164	\$1,350	15.9%
NC - Wilmington	89.8%	94.6%	479	5.3%	\$1,053	\$1,225	16.4%
North Carolina Average	91.9%	94.3%	239	2.6%	\$1,116	\$1,294	16.0%
ND - Bismarck	92.9%	94.0%	109	1.1%	\$946	\$974	2.9%
ND - Fargo	95.9%	96.8%	90	0.9%	\$801	\$825	3.1%
ND - Misc. ND	81.9%	78.6%	-329	-4.0%	\$944	\$890	-5.7%
North Dakota Average	89.6%	86.8%	-280	-3.2%	\$877	\$871	-0.7%
NE - Lincoln	94.1%	96.0%	190	2.1%	\$886	\$958	8.1%
NE - Misc. NE	97.4%	96.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$719	\$728	1.2%
NE - Omaha	93.2%	95.8%	259	2.8%	\$955	\$1,024	7.2%
Nebraska Average	93.4%	94.9%	149	1.5%	\$936	\$1,004	7.3%
NM - Albuquerque	95.6%	96.2%	60	0.7%	\$946	\$1,145	21.0%
NM - Misc. NM	85.6%	89.4%	380	4.5%	\$1,054	\$1,059	0.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
New Mexico Average	94.4%	95.5%	110	1.2%	\$956	\$1,137	19.0%
NV - Las Vegas	94.2%	95.9%	170	1.8%	\$1,130	\$1,373	21.5%
NV - Misc. NV	94.9%	88.5%	-639	-6.8%	\$978	\$1,052	7.5%
NV - Reno	94.2%	96.3%	210	2.3%	\$1,343	\$1,537	14.4%
Nevada Average	94.2%	95.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,163	\$1,397	20.1%
NY - Albany	92.2%	96.0%	379	4.0%	\$1,213	\$1,314	8.3%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.2%	95.3%	10	0.2%	\$1,042	\$1,115	7.0%
NY - Misc. NY	89.6%	96.5%	689	7.6%	\$1,067	\$1,093	2.4%
NY - New York City	91.8%	93.7%	190	2.0%	\$2,638	\$2,703	2.4%
New York Average	92.1%	93.0%	90	0.9%	\$2,332	\$2,400	2.9%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.4%	95.7%	229	2.4%	\$972	\$1,053	8.3%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.0%	95.5%	150	1.6%	\$908	\$975	7.3%
OH - Columbus	93.2%	94.6%	139	1.5%	\$1,009	\$1,104	9.4%
OH - Misc. OH	97.7%	100.0%	230	2.4%	\$700	\$725	3.6%
OH - Toledo	94.4%	95.4%	100	1.0%	\$754	\$820	8.7%
Ohio Average	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.8%	\$952	\$1,034	8.5%
OK - Misc. OK	88.1%	86.7%	-140	-1.6%	\$655	\$691	5.5%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.1%	93.5%	340	3.7%	\$777	\$850	9.4%
OK - Tulsa	93.5%	96.1%	259	2.7%	\$748	\$824	10.1%
Oklahoma Average	91.5%	94.2%	269	3.0%	\$764	\$837	9.6%
OR - Misc. OR	96.9%	98.9%	200	2.1%	\$1,072	\$1,230	14.7%
OR - Portland	92.9%	95.3%	239	2.6%	\$1,402	\$1,553	10.7%
Oregon Average	92.6%	93.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,398	\$1,549	10.8%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	96.3%	97.5%	120	1.2%	\$1,132	\$1,235	9.1%
PA - Misc. PA	97.5%	98.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,003	\$1,099	9.6%
PA - Philadelphia	93.8%	96.1%	230	2.5%	\$1,398	\$1,528	9.3%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.6%	95.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,167	\$1,232	5.6%
PA - State College/Altoona	91.2%	95.8%	459	5.0%	\$1,134	\$1,174	3.6%
Pennsylvania Average	94.1%	96.0%	190	1.9%	\$1,327	\$1,443	8.8%
RI - Providence	95.8%	97.6%	180	1.9%	\$1,522	\$1,664	9.4%
Rhode Island Average	95.8%	97.6%	180	1.9%	\$1,522	\$1,664	9.4%
SC - Charleston	86.8%	91.1%	430	4.9%	\$1,274	\$1,485	16.6%
SC - Columbia	93.1%	95.2%	209	2.3%	\$983	\$1,106	12.5%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	92.2%	93.8%	159	1.7%	\$987	\$1,142	15.6%
SC - Misc. SC	94.1%	94.2%	10	0.1%	\$755	\$779	3.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	90.8%	93.2%	240	2.7%	\$1,065	\$1,281	20.3%
South Carolina Average	90.5%	93.0%	250	2.7%	\$1,084	\$1,257	15.9%
SD - Misc. SD	96.9%	97.1%	20	0.2%	\$853	\$874	2.5%
SD - Rapid City	98.3%	99.7%	140	1.4%	\$942	\$1,038	10.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	93.5%	92.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$855	\$911	6.6%
South Dakota Average	95.0%	90.5%	-449	-4.7%	\$872	\$933	7.0%
TN - Chattanooga	91.9%	95.2%	329	3.6%	\$1,006	\$1,159	15.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Knoxville	94.8%	96.7%	190	2.0%	\$971	\$1,111	14.4%
TN - Memphis	94.0%	94.0%	0	0.0%	\$908	\$1,032	13.6%
TN - Misc. TN	95.8%	95.9%	10	0.2%	\$799	\$866	8.4%
TN - Nashville	91.0%	93.7%	270	2.9%	\$1,257	\$1,412	12.3%
Tennessee Average	92.2%	93.9%	169	1.9%	\$1,090	\$1,233	13.1%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.4%	93.4%	300	3.4%	\$1,194	\$1,369	14.6%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.3%	93.4%	310	3.5%	\$1,231	\$1,418	15.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.9%	93.7%	280	3.0%	\$1,113	\$1,266	13.8%
TX - Abilene	89.8%	93.1%	330	3.7%	\$782	\$826	5.6%
TX - Amarillo	89.7%	92.5%	280	3.1%	\$751	\$810	7.9%
TX - Austin	89.2%	92.3%	310	3.5%	\$1,281	\$1,558	21.6%
TX - Beaumont	90.3%	90.4%	10	0.1%	\$894	\$929	3.9%
TX - College Station	87.2%	90.0%	280	3.2%	\$1,223	\$1,264	3.3%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.4%	91.5%	110	1.2%	\$993	\$1,075	8.4%
TX - El Paso	96.0%	95.4%	-60	-0.6%	\$811	\$902	11.3%
TX - Houston	88.8%	92.4%	360	4.1%	\$1,084	\$1,200	10.7%
TX - Laredo	92.5%	95.6%	309	3.3%	\$909	\$972	7.0%
TX - Longview/Tyler	92.8%	95.8%	299	3.2%	\$880	\$1,003	14.0%
TX - Lubbock	92.3%	91.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$786	\$828	5.3%
TX - Lufkin	91.4%	92.2%	80	0.9%	\$773	\$840	8.6%
TX - Midland-Odessa	72.3%	82.2%	989	13.7%	\$1,020	\$994	-2.5%
TX - Misc. TX	92.0%	95.0%	299	3.3%	\$731	\$791	8.3%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	94.3%	97.2%	290	3.0%	\$797	\$847	6.3%
TX - San Angelo	94.9%	90.9%	-399	-4.2%	\$873	\$905	3.6%
TX - San Antonio	90.9%	94.6%	369	4.2%	\$1,020	\$1,157	13.4%
TX - Texarkana	90.5%	94.0%	349	3.9%	\$723	\$766	5.9%
TX - Victoria	88.5%	91.9%	340	3.8%	\$907	\$937	3.3%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	94.1%	96.3%	220	2.4%	\$852	\$951	11.6%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.8%	95.5%	569	6.3%	\$700	\$765	9.4%
Texas Average	89.7%	92.7%	300	3.3%	\$1,112	\$1,264	13.7%
UT - Misc. UT	95.0%	91.3%	-369	-3.8%	\$1,064	\$1,269	19.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.6%	94.9%	329	3.6%	\$1,201	\$1,409	17.3%
Utah Average	91.7%	94.6%	289	3.2%	\$1,198	\$1,406	17.4%
VA - Norfolk	95.5%	96.9%	140	1.4%	\$1,162	\$1,307	12.4%
VA - Richmond	92.1%	95.3%	319	3.5%	\$1,192	\$1,334	11.9%
VA - Roanoke	95.3%	97.5%	220	2.3%	\$938	\$1,011	7.8%
Virginia Average	94.1%	96.2%	210	2.3%	\$1,154	\$1,292	11.9%
WA - Misc. WA	91.1%	83.6%	-750	-8.3%	\$930	\$972	4.5%
WA - SE Washington	96.0%	96.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,073	\$1,250	16.5%
WA - Seattle	92.4%	94.7%	229	2.4%	\$1,782	\$1,917	7.6%
WA - Spokane	95.0%	95.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,064	\$1,292	21.5%
Washington Average	92.7%	94.3%	159	1.7%	\$1,694	\$1,837	8.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.8%	96.4%	-140	-1.5%	\$778	\$819	5.2%
WI - Madison	95.3%	95.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,146	\$1,202	4.8%
WI - Milwaukee	93.6%	95.7%	209	2.3%	\$1,144	\$1,232	7.6%
WI - Misc. WI	94.5%	97.8%	330	3.5%	\$799	\$863	7.9%
Wisconsin Average	94.3%	95.3%	100	1.0%	\$1,070	\$1,140	6.5%
WV - Charleston	93.9%	97.4%	350	3.7%	\$921	\$947	2.8%
WV - Miscellaneous	96.4%	96.9%	50	0.5%	\$776	\$874	12.7%
West Virginia Average	94.7%	97.2%	250	2.7%	\$892	\$929	4.2%
CT - Hartford	93.8%	96.3%	250	2.6%	\$1,374	\$1,523	10.8%
DC - Washington	92.0%	93.3%	130	1.4%	\$1,841	\$1,919	4.3%
DE - Miscellaneous	94.5%	86.8%	-769	-8.1%	\$1,203	\$1,322	9.9%
MT - All of Montana	90.9%	92.9%	200	2.1%	\$1,055	\$1,273	20.6%
NH - Concord	94.2%	99.1%	490	5.2%	\$1,403	\$1,560	11.1%
VT - All of Vermont	99.1%	100.0%	90	0.9%	\$1,588	\$1,654	4.1%
WY - All of Wyoming	93.7%	96.0%	229	2.4%	\$913	\$1,005	10.0%
National Average	91.7%	94.0%	229	2.5%	\$1,362	\$1,515	11.2%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com