

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Apr-20	Apr-21	bps	%CHG	Apr-20	Apr-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	92.1%	96.9%	479	5.1%	\$1,071	\$1,087	1.5%
AK - Misc. AK	84.3%	92.1%	780	9.2%	\$1,230	\$1,253	1.9%
Alaska Average	90.5%	95.6%	509	5.7%	\$1,108	\$1,126	1.6%
AL - Birmingham	91.0%	91.9%	90	0.9%	\$929	\$984	5.9%
AL - Huntsville	93.3%	93.6%	30	0.3%	\$850	\$924	8.8%
AL - Misc. AL	95.5%	94.0%	-150	-1.5%	\$682	\$729	6.9%
AL - Mobile	88.7%	93.1%	440	4.9%	\$852	\$921	8.2%
AL - Montgomery	91.7%	93.2%	150	1.6%	\$780	\$828	6.2%
Alabama Average	91.2%	92.7%	150	1.7%	\$865	\$926	7.0%
AR - Little Rock	91.2%	91.8%	60	0.7%	\$762	\$803	5.4%
AR - Misc. AR	92.0%	96.8%	479	5.3%	\$592	\$620	4.7%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	90.0%	90.0%	0	0.0%	\$717	\$744	3.7%
Arkansas Average	90.8%	91.6%	80	0.9%	\$730	\$764	4.7%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.3%	96.6%	230	2.5%	\$1,320	\$1,409	6.7%
AZ - Misc. AZ	96.4%	97.2%	80	0.8%	\$814	\$890	9.3%
AZ - Phoenix	92.6%	93.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,196	\$1,298	8.5%
AZ - Tucson	94.3%	95.2%	90	1.0%	\$838	\$924	10.2%
Arizona Average	93.0%	93.5%	50	0.6%	\$1,133	\$1,233	8.8%
CA - Central Coast	94.2%	95.4%	120	1.2%	\$1,961	\$2,081	6.1%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.2%	91.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$2,252	\$2,222	-1.4%
CA - Misc. CA	97.9%	97.1%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,054	\$1,101	4.4%
CA - Sacramento	94.1%	96.0%	190	1.9%	\$1,494	\$1,610	7.8%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.8%	97.4%	459	4.9%	\$1,591	\$1,777	11.7%
CA - San Diego	93.8%	94.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,996	\$2,066	3.5%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.6%	89.1%	-250	-2.8%	\$2,798	\$2,535	-9.4%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.6%	98.0%	240	2.5%	\$1,161	\$1,264	8.9%
California Average	92.8%	92.9%	10	0.1%	\$2,137	\$2,113	-1.1%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.9%	92.6%	170	1.9%	\$1,481	\$1,511	2.0%
CO - Misc. CO	85.3%	93.3%	800	9.3%	\$1,160	\$1,285	10.8%
Colorado Average	90.8%	92.3%	150	1.6%	\$1,476	\$1,507	2.1%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.4%	91.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,684	\$1,762	4.6%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	85.6%	88.8%	320	3.8%	\$1,323	\$1,398	5.6%
FL - Gainesville	95.2%	89.9%	-529	-5.6%	\$1,253	\$1,291	3.0%
FL - Jacksonville	91.4%	92.9%	150	1.7%	\$1,096	\$1,199	9.5%
FL - Melbourne	91.4%	91.4%	0	-0.1%	\$1,161	\$1,264	9.0%
FL - Miami	88.5%	90.6%	210	2.4%	\$1,769	\$1,832	3.6%
FL - Orlando	90.5%	91.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,318	\$1,336	1.4%
FL - Palm Beach	92.6%	92.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,701	\$1,804	6.0%
FL - Pensacola	90.8%	91.2%	40	0.5%	\$1,148	\$1,267	10.4%
FL - Tallahassee	91.3%	92.3%	100	1.1%	\$992	\$1,050	5.9%
FL - Tampa	91.6%	92.8%	120	1.3%	\$1,257	\$1,349	7.4%
Florida Average	91.1%	91.9%	80	0.9%	\$1,364	\$1,437	5.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	89.5%	93.4%	390	4.5%	\$725	\$778	7.3%
GA - Atlanta	91.4%	93.0%	160	1.7%	\$1,263	\$1,339	6.0%
GA - Augusta	91.4%	92.1%	70	0.7%	\$897	\$974	8.7%
GA - Columbus	95.1%	94.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$872	\$921	5.6%
GA - Macon	93.4%	96.1%	269	2.8%	\$843	\$918	8.9%
GA - Savannah	89.2%	92.4%	320	3.6%	\$1,072	\$1,151	7.3%
Georgia Average	91.4%	93.0%	160	1.8%	\$1,198	\$1,272	6.2%
HI - Honolulu	93.1%	89.3%	-380	-4.0%	\$1,861	\$1,922	3.3%
Hawaii Average	93.1%	82.5%	-1060	-11.4%	\$1,861	\$1,922	3.3%
IA - Des Moines	90.7%	88.7%	-200	-2.2%	\$914	\$925	1.2%
IA - Misc. IA	97.0%	93.9%	-310	-3.2%	\$828	\$863	4.3%
Iowa Average	91.1%	89.1%	-200	-2.2%	\$907	\$920	1.4%
ID - Boise	95.2%	94.6%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,126	\$1,302	15.7%
ID - Misc. ID	87.1%	98.2%	1109	12.7%	\$758	\$778	2.6%
Idaho Average	94.7%	94.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,105	\$1,275	15.4%
IL - Chicago	91.2%	90.0%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,610	\$1,575	-2.2%
IL - Misc. IL	84.7%	84.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$635	\$639	0.6%
IL - Moline	93.9%	93.5%	-39	-0.4%	\$769	\$783	1.8%
IL - Springfield	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.7%	\$762	\$803	5.4%
Illinois Average	91.2%	90.2%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,504	\$1,478	-1.8%
IN - Evansville	93.2%	95.9%	269	3.0%	\$751	\$789	5.1%
IN - Fort Wayne	91.0%	94.2%	319	3.6%	\$768	\$818	6.5%
IN - Indianapolis	91.9%	93.6%	170	1.8%	\$915	\$955	4.4%
IN - Misc. IN	96.8%	98.1%	130	1.3%	\$793	\$833	5.1%
IN - South Bend	88.9%	93.2%	430	4.9%	\$861	\$928	7.7%
Indiana Average	91.7%	93.8%	209	2.2%	\$885	\$929	5.0%
KS - Misc. KS	92.2%	93.7%	150	1.6%	\$608	\$613	0.8%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.4%	91.1%	70	0.8%	\$772	\$785	1.6%
KS - Wichita	91.5%	92.7%	120	1.3%	\$690	\$725	5.1%
Kansas Average	91.1%	92.1%	100	1.1%	\$722	\$746	3.4%
KY - Lexington	91.2%	94.2%	299	3.3%	\$833	\$872	4.8%
KY - Louisville	88.7%	92.2%	350	4.0%	\$933	\$956	2.5%
KY - Misc. KY	96.8%	95.7%	-110	-1.1%	\$638	\$665	4.2%
Kentucky Average	89.6%	92.9%	330	3.7%	\$896	\$925	3.2%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.5%	90.4%	190	2.2%	\$917	\$955	4.2%
LA - Lake Charles	86.3%	87.3%	100	1.2%	\$888	\$1,026	15.6%
LA - Misc. LA	90.1%	92.7%	260	2.9%	\$796	\$821	3.1%
LA - Monroe	89.2%	94.8%	559	6.3%	\$766	\$830	8.3%
LA - New Orleans	90.6%	91.0%	40	0.5%	\$1,041	\$1,050	0.8%
LA - Shreveport	88.9%	92.8%	390	4.4%	\$805	\$842	4.6%
Louisiana Average	89.2%	91.1%	190	2.1%	\$939	\$970	3.3%
MA - Boston	92.4%	90.2%	-220	-2.3%	\$2,290	\$2,205	-3.7%



*Thank you for three decades of
building business and personal
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	96.8%	97.9%	110	1.1%	\$1,238	\$1,296	4.7%
Massachusetts Average	92.5%	90.4%	-210	-2.3%	\$2,242	\$2,165	-3.4%
MD - Baltimore	92.8%	95.1%	229	2.5%	\$1,363	\$1,419	4.1%
MD - Misc. MD	95.0%	98.3%	330	3.4%	\$1,067	\$1,124	5.4%
Maryland Average	92.8%	95.2%	239	2.6%	\$1,356	\$1,412	4.1%
ME - Augusta/Portland	93.4%	94.2%	79	0.9%	\$1,482	\$1,583	6.8%
ME - Misc. ME	100.0%	98.7%	-130	-1.3%	\$826	\$847	2.5%
Maine Average	93.5%	94.5%	99	1.0%	\$1,415	\$1,509	6.7%
MI - Detroit	93.6%	94.8%	119	1.3%	\$1,027	\$1,075	4.6%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.2%	96.6%	240	2.6%	\$933	\$1,000	7.2%
MI - Misc. MI	93.0%	94.9%	189	2.1%	\$858	\$907	5.7%
Michigan Average	93.6%	95.1%	149	1.6%	\$1,003	\$1,054	5.1%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.3%	92.1%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,323	\$1,323	0.1%
MN - Misc. MN	94.4%	97.4%	300	3.2%	\$1,017	\$1,042	2.5%
Minnesota Average	94.2%	92.2%	-199	-2.2%	\$1,314	\$1,316	0.1%
MO - Columbia	94.3%	95.8%	150	1.6%	\$753	\$790	4.9%
MO - Kansas City	90.9%	91.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,025	\$1,049	2.3%
MO - Misc. MO	92.8%	91.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$602	\$600	-0.3%
MO - Springfield	95.7%	96.7%	100	1.1%	\$711	\$740	4.1%
MO - St. Louis	91.7%	92.7%	100	1.0%	\$988	\$1,030	4.2%
Missouri Average	91.5%	92.1%	60	0.7%	\$979	\$1,011	3.2%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	90.9%	93.5%	260	2.8%	\$789	\$831	5.3%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.6%	94.3%	169	1.9%	\$856	\$908	6.0%
MS - Misc. MS	90.9%	93.8%	289	3.2%	\$823	\$849	3.2%
Mississippi Average	91.8%	94.0%	219	2.4%	\$831	\$874	5.2%
NC - Asheville	84.4%	91.4%	700	8.4%	\$1,184	\$1,272	7.5%
NC - Charlotte	90.8%	92.5%	170	1.8%	\$1,174	\$1,237	5.4%
NC - Fayetteville	93.7%	97.4%	369	3.9%	\$870	\$957	10.1%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.2%	94.1%	89	1.0%	\$870	\$934	7.4%
NC - Misc. NC	97.7%	99.4%	170	1.7%	\$1,057	\$1,077	1.9%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$1,150	\$1,187	3.1%
NC - Wilmington	89.8%	89.4%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,025	\$1,101	7.5%
North Carolina Average	91.4%	92.9%	150	1.7%	\$1,098	\$1,155	5.2%
ND - Bismarck	95.9%	92.3%	-359	-3.8%	\$989	\$947	-4.3%
ND - Fargo	93.6%	95.8%	219	2.4%	\$789	\$807	2.3%
ND - Misc. ND	91.0%	79.6%	-1140	-12.5%	\$1,031	\$903	-12.4%
North Dakota Average	92.7%	87.6%	-510	-5.5%	\$911	\$864	-5.2%
NE - Lincoln	95.3%	95.1%	-20	-0.3%	\$880	\$904	2.8%
NE - Misc. NE	98.5%	97.5%	-100	-1.0%	\$728	\$720	-1.0%
NE - Omaha	92.9%	93.5%	60	0.7%	\$944	\$976	3.3%
Nebraska Average	93.4%	93.4%	0	0.1%	\$926	\$955	3.1%
NM - Albuquerque	93.7%	95.1%	139	1.5%	\$909	\$996	9.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	89.7%	84.2%	-550	-6.1%	\$1,098	\$1,037	-5.6%
New Mexico Average	93.4%	93.9%	49	0.5%	\$926	\$999	8.0%
NV - Las Vegas	93.4%	94.7%	129	1.3%	\$1,107	\$1,189	7.4%
NV - Misc. NV	97.1%	95.8%	-130	-1.4%	\$968	\$1,016	4.9%
NV - Reno	93.5%	95.0%	149	1.6%	\$1,284	\$1,402	9.2%
Nevada Average	93.4%	94.0%	59	0.7%	\$1,135	\$1,222	7.7%
NY - Albany	93.9%	93.0%	-89	-0.9%	\$1,199	\$1,259	5.0%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.4%	95.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,019	\$1,073	5.3%
NY - Misc. NY	86.8%	95.3%	849	9.7%	\$1,017	\$1,090	7.2%
NY - New York City	93.7%	90.9%	-280	-3.0%	\$2,682	\$2,568	-4.3%
New York Average	93.3%	91.4%	-190	-2.0%	\$2,363	\$2,284	-3.4%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.0%	93.9%	89	0.9%	\$960	\$1,002	4.4%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.6%	94.8%	119	1.3%	\$895	\$931	4.0%
OH - Columbus	92.9%	92.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$990	\$1,033	4.3%
OH - Misc. OH	96.7%	100.0%	330	3.4%	\$710	\$710	0.0%
OH - Toledo	92.8%	94.0%	119	1.3%	\$743	\$777	4.5%
Ohio Average	93.1%	93.6%	50	0.6%	\$937	\$978	4.3%
OK - Misc. OK	88.6%	87.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$660	\$684	3.7%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.1%	90.7%	160	1.8%	\$769	\$791	2.8%
OK - Tulsa	92.3%	93.6%	130	1.4%	\$731	\$767	4.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.4%	91.8%	140	1.6%	\$752	\$779	3.6%
OR - Misc. OR	90.6%	99.4%	879	9.7%	\$1,057	\$1,153	9.0%
OR - Portland	93.0%	93.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,399	\$1,427	2.0%
Oregon Average	93.0%	93.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,395	\$1,424	2.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.1%	97.0%	190	2.0%	\$1,106	\$1,169	5.7%
PA - Misc. PA	95.7%	97.8%	210	2.1%	\$960	\$1,022	6.5%
PA - Philadelphia	93.4%	94.1%	69	0.8%	\$1,379	\$1,431	3.8%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.7%	93.9%	19	0.2%	\$1,155	\$1,168	1.1%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.5%	90.7%	-579	-5.9%	\$1,226	\$1,211	-1.2%
Pennsylvania Average	93.7%	94.4%	69	0.8%	\$1,307	\$1,355	3.7%
RI - Providence	95.6%	96.9%	130	1.4%	\$1,484	\$1,564	5.4%
Rhode Island Average	95.6%	96.9%	130	1.4%	\$1,484	\$1,564	5.4%
SC - Charleston	87.6%	88.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,240	\$1,318	6.3%
SC - Columbia	90.3%	93.8%	349	3.9%	\$960	\$1,016	5.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	89.9%	93.0%	310	3.4%	\$979	\$1,012	3.3%
SC - Misc. SC	94.4%	95.9%	150	1.6%	\$716	\$763	6.6%
SC - Myrtle Beach	86.0%	93.6%	760	8.8%	\$1,018	\$1,122	10.3%
South Carolina Average	89.0%	91.5%	250	2.9%	\$1,060	\$1,122	5.8%
SD - Misc. SD	97.0%	96.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$851	\$865	1.6%
SD - Rapid City	95.8%	98.9%	310	3.2%	\$916	\$989	7.9%
SD - Sioux Falls	91.3%	94.1%	279	3.1%	\$840	\$871	3.7%
South Dakota Average	93.0%	95.6%	259	2.7%	\$857	\$894	4.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	90.6%	94.0%	339	3.7%	\$963	\$1,071	11.2%
TN - Knoxville	93.7%	95.6%	189	2.0%	\$954	\$1,018	6.8%
TN - Memphis	91.6%	93.9%	229	2.5%	\$879	\$955	8.6%
TN - Misc. TN	93.6%	94.6%	99	1.1%	\$776	\$820	5.7%
TN - Nashville	91.9%	91.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,254	\$1,264	0.8%
Tennessee Average	91.9%	93.0%	110	1.1%	\$1,073	\$1,118	4.2%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.3%	90.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,195	\$1,233	3.2%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.5%	90.9%	40	0.4%	\$1,237	\$1,270	2.6%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.3%	90.5%	20	0.3%	\$1,100	\$1,154	4.9%
TX - Abilene	91.7%	90.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$767	\$790	3.0%
TX - Amarillo	85.7%	91.8%	610	7.0%	\$746	\$771	3.4%
TX - Austin	90.0%	90.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,321	\$1,330	0.7%
TX - Beaumont	89.0%	89.7%	70	0.8%	\$879	\$903	2.7%
TX - College Station	86.7%	88.4%	170	2.0%	\$1,229	\$1,237	0.7%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.2%	89.8%	60	0.8%	\$977	\$1,004	2.8%
TX - El Paso	94.6%	96.5%	190	2.0%	\$795	\$828	4.2%
TX - Houston	89.5%	89.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,094	\$1,103	0.8%
TX - Laredo	92.5%	94.5%	199	2.1%	\$904	\$896	-0.9%
TX - Longview/Tyler	90.7%	94.5%	379	4.2%	\$876	\$911	4.0%
TX - Lubbock	90.0%	91.6%	160	1.7%	\$773	\$803	4.0%
TX - Lufkin	91.4%	93.4%	200	2.2%	\$771	\$788	2.2%
TX - Midland-Odessa	84.5%	77.7%	-679	-8.1%	\$1,261	\$953	-24.4%
TX - Misc. TX	90.8%	93.5%	270	2.9%	\$727	\$742	2.1%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	91.7%	94.6%	289	3.1%	\$774	\$809	4.5%
TX - San Angelo	95.6%	92.7%	-289	-3.1%	\$867	\$867	0.1%
TX - San Antonio	89.7%	91.1%	140	1.6%	\$1,015	\$1,044	2.9%
TX - Texarkana	90.4%	91.9%	150	1.7%	\$697	\$740	6.1%
TX - Victoria	87.6%	89.1%	150	1.8%	\$880	\$901	2.4%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	93.2%	94.7%	149	1.6%	\$820	\$879	7.3%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.1%	90.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$684	\$729	6.5%
Texas Average	89.9%	90.3%	40	0.4%	\$1,119	\$1,141	2.0%
UT - Misc. UT	85.3%	98.6%	1330	15.6%	\$1,036	\$1,108	7.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.3%	94.1%	279	3.1%	\$1,179	\$1,245	5.6%
Utah Average	91.1%	93.4%	230	2.5%	\$1,176	\$1,242	5.6%
VA - Norfolk	94.4%	96.4%	200	2.0%	\$1,137	\$1,207	6.2%
VA - Richmond	93.9%	93.5%	-39	-0.4%	\$1,159	\$1,240	6.9%
VA - Roanoke	95.6%	96.5%	90	0.9%	\$931	\$960	3.1%
Virginia Average	94.3%	95.0%	70	0.8%	\$1,127	\$1,199	6.3%
WA - Misc. WA	92.2%	77.9%	-1430	-15.6%	\$931	\$946	1.6%
WA - SE Washington	95.7%	96.8%	110	1.1%	\$1,045	\$1,107	6.0%
WA - Seattle	93.8%	92.1%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,813	\$1,766	-2.6%
WA - Spokane	93.7%	98.1%	439	4.7%	\$1,030	\$1,128	9.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Washington Average	93.8%	92.4%	-139	-1.5%	\$1,717	\$1,688	-1.7%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.0%	98.2%	120	1.2%	\$772	\$789	2.3%
WI - Madison	96.9%	94.6%	-230	-2.4%	\$1,131	\$1,166	3.1%
WI - Milwaukee	92.9%	93.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,134	\$1,172	3.3%
WI - Misc. WI	95.9%	92.9%	-299	-3.1%	\$791	\$829	4.8%
Wisconsin Average	94.7%	94.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,059	\$1,094	3.3%
WV - Charleston	95.7%	96.4%	70	0.7%	\$920	\$934	1.5%
WV - Miscellaneous	94.9%	91.5%	-339	-3.5%	\$773	\$781	1.0%
West Virginia Average	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$891	\$902	1.3%
CT - Hartford	93.3%	95.1%	179	1.9%	\$1,359	\$1,427	5.0%
DC - Washington	93.1%	91.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,862	\$1,806	-3.0%
DE - Miscellaneous	95.8%	86.5%	-929	-9.7%	\$1,158	\$1,258	8.7%
MT - All of Montana	87.3%	94.5%	719	8.3%	\$1,021	\$1,142	11.8%
NH - Concord	92.1%	99.0%	689	7.5%	\$1,372	\$1,449	5.6%
VT - All of Vermont	98.1%	98.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,592	\$1,594	0.1%
WY - All of Wyoming	91.3%	93.9%	259	2.8%	\$940	\$954	1.5%
National Average	91.8%	92.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,364	\$1,388	1.8%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com