

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Feb-20	Feb-21	bps	%CHG	Feb-20	Feb-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.4%	95.8%	439	4.8%	\$1,059	\$1,077	1.7%
AK - Misc. AK	82.0%	89.5%	750	9.2%	\$1,231	\$1,252	1.7%
Alaska Average	89.5%	94.3%	479	5.4%	\$1,099	\$1,118	1.8%
AL - Birmingham	92.4%	92.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$919	\$971	5.6%
AL - Huntsville	93.6%	94.3%	69	0.8%	\$842	\$914	8.5%
AL - Misc. AL	95.3%	93.5%	-179	-1.9%	\$685	\$721	5.2%
AL - Mobile	88.5%	92.0%	350	4.0%	\$848	\$901	6.2%
AL - Montgomery	90.1%	93.4%	330	3.7%	\$783	\$813	3.8%
Alabama Average	91.7%	92.5%	80	0.9%	\$860	\$913	6.2%
AR - Little Rock	92.2%	91.2%	-100	-1.0%	\$758	\$798	5.2%
AR - Misc. AR	91.2%	95.5%	429	4.7%	\$585	\$606	3.5%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.2%	88.0%	-420	-4.6%	\$713	\$740	3.9%
Arkansas Average	92.1%	90.5%	-160	-1.8%	\$725	\$759	4.6%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.9%	96.7%	180	1.9%	\$1,330	\$1,392	4.6%
AZ - Misc. AZ	96.0%	97.4%	140	1.4%	\$817	\$888	8.7%
AZ - Phoenix	93.1%	93.4%	30	0.3%	\$1,190	\$1,257	5.7%
AZ - Tucson	94.1%	95.0%	90	1.0%	\$843	\$901	6.9%
Arizona Average	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,128	\$1,195	5.9%
CA - Central Coast	94.3%	95.0%	70	0.7%	\$1,966	\$2,048	4.2%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.7%	91.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$2,257	\$2,203	-2.4%
CA - Misc. CA	98.5%	96.7%	-180	-1.7%	\$1,049	\$1,096	4.5%
CA - Sacramento	94.3%	95.9%	160	1.8%	\$1,484	\$1,579	6.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.9%	97.2%	429	4.6%	\$1,588	\$1,714	8.0%
CA - San Diego	93.9%	94.8%	90	0.9%	\$2,003	\$2,021	0.9%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.0%	88.5%	-350	-3.7%	\$2,791	\$2,516	-9.8%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	94.9%	97.4%	250	2.6%	\$1,150	\$1,234	7.3%
California Average	93.1%	92.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,135	\$2,085	-2.4%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.1%	91.8%	70	0.8%	\$1,479	\$1,471	-0.6%
CO - Misc. CO	93.3%	98.5%	519	5.6%	\$1,168	\$1,253	7.3%
Colorado Average	91.1%	91.8%	70	0.7%	\$1,474	\$1,467	-0.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	93.3%	90.5%	-280	-3.0%	\$1,682	\$1,722	2.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.9%	87.5%	260	3.0%	\$1,318	\$1,356	2.9%
FL - Gainesville	95.5%	90.8%	-469	-4.9%	\$1,253	\$1,272	1.5%
FL - Jacksonville	91.4%	92.0%	60	0.6%	\$1,100	\$1,153	4.9%
FL - Melbourne	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,159	\$1,221	5.4%
FL - Miami	90.1%	88.6%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,760	\$1,790	1.7%
FL - Orlando	91.2%	90.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,324	\$1,297	-2.0%
FL - Palm Beach	92.9%	92.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,715	\$1,760	2.7%
FL - Pensacola	91.2%	93.6%	240	2.6%	\$1,146	\$1,218	6.2%
FL - Tallahassee	91.8%	92.6%	80	0.9%	\$1,001	\$1,034	3.3%
FL - Tampa	91.5%	92.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,257	\$1,308	4.1%
Florida Average	91.5%	91.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,364	\$1,397	2.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	90.7%	92.8%	210	2.3%	\$720	\$768	6.7%
GA - Atlanta	91.8%	92.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,265	\$1,312	3.7%
GA - Augusta	91.0%	94.2%	319	3.4%	\$892	\$941	5.4%
GA - Columbus	95.4%	95.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$863	\$906	5.0%
GA - Macon	92.8%	95.6%	279	3.1%	\$842	\$891	5.9%
GA - Savannah	90.5%	90.8%	30	0.4%	\$1,068	\$1,125	5.4%
Georgia Average	91.8%	92.5%	70	0.8%	\$1,198	\$1,246	4.0%
HI - Honolulu	94.2%	91.0%	-319	-3.4%	\$1,790	\$1,916	7.0%
Hawaii Average	94.2%	91.0%	-319	-3.4%	\$1,790	\$1,916	7.0%
IA - Des Moines	91.5%	88.0%	-350	-3.9%	\$909	\$921	1.3%
IA - Misc. IA	97.4%	94.1%	-330	-3.4%	\$798	\$843	5.7%
Iowa Average	91.8%	88.4%	-340	-3.8%	\$901	\$915	1.5%
ID - Boise	95.0%	94.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,109	\$1,233	11.2%
ID - Misc. ID	91.2%	97.5%	629	6.9%	\$749	\$766	2.3%
Idaho Average	94.7%	95.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,089	\$1,208	11.0%
IL - Chicago	91.8%	89.4%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,592	\$1,540	-3.3%
IL - Misc. IL	79.7%	88.4%	869	10.9%	\$635	\$632	-0.4%
IL - Moline	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.7%	\$763	\$779	2.1%
IL - Springfield	91.6%	93.5%	190	2.1%	\$757	\$790	4.4%
Illinois Average	91.8%	89.9%	-190	-2.1%	\$1,488	\$1,446	-2.8%
IN - Evansville	93.2%	94.5%	129	1.3%	\$750	\$778	3.9%
IN - Fort Wayne	89.4%	93.9%	449	5.0%	\$767	\$799	4.2%
IN - Indianapolis	92.1%	93.2%	110	1.1%	\$915	\$944	3.1%
IN - Misc. IN	96.8%	98.3%	150	1.5%	\$781	\$834	6.7%
IN - South Bend	88.5%	92.6%	410	4.6%	\$864	\$917	6.1%
Indiana Average	91.7%	93.3%	160	1.7%	\$885	\$917	3.6%
KS - Misc. KS	91.7%	93.0%	130	1.4%	\$605	\$608	0.4%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.3%	91.5%	120	1.3%	\$770	\$783	1.7%
KS - Wichita	91.5%	93.4%	190	2.1%	\$687	\$713	3.7%
Kansas Average	91.1%	92.0%	90	1.0%	\$719	\$739	2.7%
KY - Lexington	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.2%	\$828	\$867	4.7%
KY - Louisville	89.8%	91.0%	120	1.4%	\$923	\$949	2.8%
KY - Misc. KY	94.7%	95.3%	60	0.6%	\$636	\$663	4.2%
Kentucky Average	90.5%	91.9%	140	1.6%	\$888	\$919	3.5%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.7%	89.8%	210	2.4%	\$913	\$950	4.1%
LA - Lake Charles	87.6%	84.1%	-350	-4.1%	\$910	\$992	9.0%
LA - Misc. LA	91.0%	93.4%	240	2.7%	\$765	\$813	6.2%
LA - Monroe	90.5%	94.4%	389	4.4%	\$766	\$797	4.0%
LA - New Orleans	91.6%	90.5%	-110	-1.3%	\$1,029	\$1,046	1.6%
LA - Shreveport	89.2%	92.0%	280	3.2%	\$802	\$825	2.8%
Louisiana Average	89.5%	90.4%	90	1.0%	\$932	\$961	3.1%
MA - Boston	93.0%	90.3%	-270	-2.9%	\$2,298	\$2,181	-5.1%



*Thank you for three decades of  
building business and personal  
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

**ALN**

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	96.9%	97.8%	90	0.9%	\$1,234	\$1,288	4.4%
Massachusetts Average	93.1%	90.6%	-250	-2.7%	\$2,248	\$2,140	-4.8%
MD - Baltimore	93.0%	94.7%	169	1.8%	\$1,362	\$1,403	3.0%
MD - Misc. MD	95.5%	98.6%	310	3.3%	\$1,054	\$1,115	5.8%
Maryland Average	93.1%	94.7%	159	1.7%	\$1,355	\$1,397	3.1%
ME - Augusta/Portland	92.9%	97.4%	449	4.8%	\$1,444	\$1,503	4.0%
ME - Misc. ME	100.0%	98.0%	-200	-2.0%	\$826	\$847	2.5%
Maine Average	93.1%	97.5%	439	4.7%	\$1,382	\$1,441	4.2%
MI - Detroit	94.0%	94.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,021	\$1,060	3.9%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.6%	95.7%	209	2.3%	\$931	\$981	5.4%
MI - Misc. MI	92.9%	95.0%	209	2.2%	\$855	\$887	3.8%
Michigan Average	93.8%	94.7%	90	0.9%	\$997	\$1,039	4.1%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.7%	92.0%	-269	-2.8%	\$1,318	\$1,310	-0.6%
MN - Misc. MN	93.6%	97.1%	349	3.7%	\$1,018	\$1,034	1.6%
Minnesota Average	94.7%	91.9%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,310	\$1,302	-0.6%
MO - Columbia	94.4%	93.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$703	\$726	3.2%
MO - Kansas City	91.7%	90.7%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,019	\$1,033	1.5%
MO - Misc. MO	94.5%	90.9%	-359	-3.8%	\$590	\$596	1.1%
MO - Springfield	95.4%	96.0%	60	0.7%	\$708	\$732	3.5%
MO - St. Louis	91.6%	92.3%	70	0.8%	\$983	\$1,020	3.8%
Missouri Average	91.8%	91.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$973	\$997	2.5%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.5%	93.2%	170	1.8%	\$783	\$816	4.2%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.3%	94.3%	199	2.1%	\$852	\$903	5.9%
MS - Misc. MS	90.8%	93.7%	290	3.2%	\$825	\$847	2.6%
Mississippi Average	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.3%	\$828	\$867	4.7%
NC - Asheville	88.0%	92.4%	440	5.0%	\$1,182	\$1,244	5.2%
NC - Charlotte	91.0%	92.3%	130	1.4%	\$1,172	\$1,210	3.2%
NC - Fayetteville	93.5%	97.6%	409	4.4%	\$865	\$925	7.0%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.2%	\$867	\$917	5.7%
NC - Misc. NC	96.0%	97.3%	130	1.3%	\$1,104	\$1,078	-2.4%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.3%	92.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,143	\$1,168	2.2%
NC - Wilmington	92.5%	88.4%	-410	-4.5%	\$1,025	\$1,076	5.0%
North Carolina Average	91.9%	92.6%	70	0.7%	\$1,094	\$1,133	3.5%
ND - Bismarck	95.3%	92.2%	-309	-3.3%	\$984	\$944	-4.1%
ND - Fargo	94.3%	95.4%	110	1.1%	\$786	\$807	2.6%
ND - Misc. ND	92.1%	78.2%	-1390	-15.1%	\$1,048	\$917	-12.5%
North Dakota Average	93.0%	86.5%	-650	-7.0%	\$916	\$869	-5.2%
NE - Lincoln	95.6%	94.1%	-150	-1.6%	\$878	\$898	2.3%
NE - Misc. NE	97.9%	96.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$721	\$720	-0.2%
NE - Omaha	92.5%	93.1%	60	0.6%	\$939	\$963	2.5%
Nebraska Average	93.1%	93.3%	20	0.2%	\$922	\$944	2.4%
NM - Albuquerque	93.7%	94.6%	89	1.0%	\$906	\$969	6.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	90.0%	83.6%	-640	-7.0%	\$1,119	\$1,025	-8.4%
New Mexico Average	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.4%	\$925	\$974	5.3%
NV - Las Vegas	93.0%	95.2%	219	2.3%	\$1,113	\$1,158	4.1%
NV - Misc. NV	94.4%	93.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$941	\$990	5.2%
NV - Reno	91.7%	94.5%	279	3.1%	\$1,291	\$1,378	6.8%
Nevada Average	92.8%	95.0%	219	2.3%	\$1,140	\$1,193	4.6%
NY - Albany	94.3%	92.1%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,199	\$1,246	3.9%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.4%	95.5%	10	0.1%	\$1,017	\$1,062	4.4%
NY - Misc. NY	85.5%	95.8%	1029	12.0%	\$1,006	\$1,065	5.8%
NY - New York City	93.6%	91.5%	-210	-2.2%	\$2,680	\$2,585	-3.6%
New York Average	93.2%	91.1%	-210	-2.2%	\$2,362	\$2,294	-2.9%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.0%	93.6%	-39	-0.5%	\$949	\$987	4.0%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.5%	94.2%	69	0.7%	\$888	\$922	3.8%
OH - Columbus	92.5%	93.2%	70	0.7%	\$984	\$1,016	3.2%
OH - Misc. OH	97.2%	100.0%	280	2.9%	\$710	\$710	0.0%
OH - Toledo	94.4%	93.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$731	\$770	5.3%
Ohio Average	93.3%	93.5%	20	0.1%	\$930	\$964	3.7%
OK - Misc. OK	91.3%	87.9%	-340	-3.8%	\$667	\$686	2.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.3%	90.0%	70	0.7%	\$766	\$782	2.0%
OK - Tulsa	92.1%	94.1%	199	2.1%	\$724	\$759	4.8%
Oklahoma Average	90.1%	91.6%	150	1.7%	\$748	\$771	3.1%
OR - Misc. OR	90.0%	99.1%	909	10.1%	\$1,063	\$1,095	3.0%
OR - Portland	93.1%	93.2%	10	0.1%	\$1,400	\$1,402	0.1%
Oregon Average	93.0%	92.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,396	\$1,398	0.2%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.0%	96.7%	170	1.8%	\$1,104	\$1,150	4.2%
PA - Misc. PA	95.0%	97.3%	230	2.4%	\$954	\$1,018	6.7%
PA - Philadelphia	94.0%	94.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,371	\$1,411	2.9%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.2%	93.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,156	\$1,164	0.8%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.6%	90.8%	-579	-6.1%	\$1,223	\$1,215	-0.6%
Pennsylvania Average	94.2%	94.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,301	\$1,338	2.8%
RI - Providence	96.2%	96.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,474	\$1,547	4.9%
Rhode Island Average	95.2%	96.3%	110	1.2%	\$1,474	\$1,547	4.9%
SC - Charleston	89.0%	89.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,233	\$1,287	4.3%
SC - Columbia	91.0%	93.3%	230	2.5%	\$957	\$1,002	4.7%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	89.4%	93.1%	370	4.2%	\$979	\$1,000	2.2%
SC - Misc. SC	93.7%	94.0%	29	0.3%	\$716	\$733	2.3%
SC - Myrtle Beach	84.7%	92.6%	790	9.3%	\$1,020	\$1,099	7.7%
South Carolina Average	89.4%	91.5%	210	2.4%	\$1,057	\$1,101	4.2%
SD - Misc. SD	96.7%	95.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$845	\$863	2.1%
SD - Rapid City	95.9%	98.6%	270	2.8%	\$912	\$972	6.6%
SD - Sioux Falls	91.8%	95.7%	389	4.3%	\$839	\$864	3.0%
South Dakota Average	93.1%	96.5%	339	3.6%	\$855	\$885	3.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	90.7%	92.8%	210	2.3%	\$965	\$1,043	8.1%
TN - Knoxville	94.8%	95.9%	110	1.1%	\$950	\$999	5.2%
TN - Memphis	90.9%	93.9%	299	3.4%	\$880	\$935	6.3%
TN - Misc. TN	93.3%	97.0%	369	4.0%	\$777	\$816	5.0%
TN - Nashville	92.6%	91.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,254	\$1,248	-0.5%
Tennessee Average	92.2%	92.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,073	\$1,100	2.5%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.3%	90.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,192	\$1,205	1.1%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.4%	90.5%	10	0.1%	\$1,234	\$1,242	0.6%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.2%	90.2%	0	0.0%	\$1,096	\$1,125	2.6%
TX - Abilene	92.4%	89.5%	-290	-3.1%	\$763	\$781	2.4%
TX - Amarillo	87.4%	91.0%	360	4.0%	\$741	\$758	2.3%
TX - Austin	90.8%	89.7%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,324	\$1,282	-3.1%
TX - Beaumont	88.0%	89.6%	160	1.9%	\$885	\$886	0.2%
TX - College Station	87.2%	88.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,225	\$1,208	-1.4%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.8%	89.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$975	\$1,002	2.8%
TX - El Paso	94.5%	95.6%	110	1.2%	\$795	\$817	2.8%
TX - Houston	89.3%	88.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,090	\$1,086	-0.4%
TX - Laredo	93.1%	93.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$907	\$903	-0.5%
TX - Longview/Tyler	90.9%	93.6%	270	3.0%	\$870	\$900	3.4%
TX - Lubbock	90.6%	91.0%	40	0.5%	\$773	\$796	2.9%
TX - Lufkin	92.3%	93.5%	120	1.3%	\$772	\$778	0.9%
TX - Midland-Odessa	87.0%	76.1%	-1089	-12.6%	\$1,350	\$953	-29.4%
TX - Misc. TX	92.0%	92.1%	10	0.1%	\$723	\$736	1.9%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.4%	93.5%	110	1.2%	\$780	\$801	2.7%
TX - San Angelo	96.2%	92.3%	-389	-4.0%	\$871	\$869	-0.2%
TX - San Antonio	89.7%	90.9%	120	1.3%	\$1,010	\$1,026	1.6%
TX - Texarkana	90.3%	92.9%	260	2.8%	\$691	\$742	7.4%
TX - Victoria	88.6%	88.3%	-30	-0.4%	\$879	\$893	1.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	92.6%	93.9%	129	1.5%	\$820	\$868	5.9%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.6%	90.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$680	\$711	4.5%
Texas Average	90.0%	89.7%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,117	\$1,117	0.0%
UT - Misc. UT	82.1%	98.0%	1590	19.5%	\$1,027	\$1,089	6.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.2%	93.4%	220	2.4%	\$1,176	\$1,217	3.4%
Utah Average	90.7%	93.5%	280	3.0%	\$1,173	\$1,214	3.5%
VA - Norfolk	94.4%	96.2%	180	1.9%	\$1,125	\$1,186	5.4%
VA - Richmond	93.7%	93.6%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,153	\$1,217	5.5%
VA - Roanoke	95.5%	95.7%	20	0.2%	\$925	\$952	3.0%
Virginia Average	94.2%	94.9%	70	0.7%	\$1,118	\$1,178	5.4%
WA - Misc. WA	91.3%	78.5%	-1280	-14.0%	\$925	\$940	1.6%
WA - SE Washington	94.8%	96.8%	200	2.1%	\$1,027	\$1,090	6.2%
WA - Seattle	93.6%	92.0%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,809	\$1,750	-3.3%
WA - Spokane	94.9%	97.5%	260	2.8%	\$1,013	\$1,088	7.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Washington Average</b>	93.7%	92.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,714	\$1,670	-2.6%
<b>WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh</b>	97.2%	97.9%	70	0.7%	\$771	\$781	1.3%
<b>WI - Madison</b>	97.0%	95.5%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,125	\$1,161	3.2%
<b>WI - Milwaukee</b>	92.3%	93.3%	100	1.0%	\$1,127	\$1,156	2.5%
<b>WI - Misc. WI</b>	94.3%	96.7%	240	2.5%	\$767	\$806	5.1%
<b>Wisconsin Average</b>	94.6%	94.7%	10	0.1%	\$1,053	\$1,081	2.7%
<b>WV - Charleston</b>	92.9%	95.0%	209	2.2%	\$912	\$928	1.8%
<b>WV - Miscellaneous</b>	95.6%	92.2%	-339	-3.5%	\$775	\$770	-0.6%
<b>West Virginia Average</b>	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.5%	\$885	\$896	1.2%
<b>CT - Hartford</b>	92.9%	94.8%	189	2.1%	\$1,352	\$1,397	3.4%
<b>DC - Washington</b>	92.9%	91.4%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,856	\$1,796	-3.2%
<b>DE - Miscellaneous</b>	95.6%	98.2%	260	2.7%	\$1,143	\$1,226	7.3%
<b>MT - All of Montana</b>	95.8%	93.9%	-190	-1.9%	\$946	\$1,102	16.5%
<b>NH - Concord</b>	91.6%	96.1%	449	5.0%	\$1,361	\$1,427	4.9%
<b>VT - All of Vermont</b>	98.3%	98.1%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,586	\$1,592	0.4%
<b>WY - All of Wyoming</b>	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.5%	\$956	\$926	-3.0%
<b>National Average</b>	92.0%	92.0%	0	-0.1%	\$1,361	\$1,366	0.4%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)