

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-20	May-21	bps	%CHG	May-20	May-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	92.0%	96.7%	469	5.1%	\$1,038	\$1,079	3.9%
AK - Misc. AK	84.4%	91.3%	690	8.2%	\$1,228	\$1,260	2.6%
Alaska Average	90.5%	95.3%	479	5.3%	\$1,096	\$1,123	2.4%
AL - Birmingham	90.9%	92.6%	170	1.9%	\$927	\$1,001	8.0%
AL - Huntsville	94.5%	94.5%	0	0.0%	\$853	\$936	9.7%
AL - Misc. AL	95.9%	95.3%	-60	-0.7%	\$689	\$726	5.3%
AL - Mobile	89.6%	94.2%	459	5.1%	\$856	\$939	9.7%
AL - Montgomery	92.5%	94.6%	209	2.2%	\$782	\$833	6.4%
Alabama Average	91.5%	93.7%	220	2.4%	\$867	\$940	8.5%
AR - Little Rock	91.7%	92.5%	80	0.9%	\$766	\$811	5.9%
AR - Misc. AR	92.6%	96.6%	399	4.3%	\$593	\$625	5.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	91.1%	90.0%	-110	-1.3%	\$712	\$748	5.1%
Arkansas Average	91.6%	92.0%	40	0.4%	\$730	\$770	5.5%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.0%	96.7%	270	2.9%	\$1,314	\$1,440	9.6%
AZ - Misc. AZ	95.8%	96.7%	90	1.0%	\$812	\$903	11.2%
AZ - Phoenix	92.6%	93.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,191	\$1,333	11.9%
AZ - Tucson	94.2%	95.5%	130	1.4%	\$840	\$946	12.6%
Arizona Average	92.9%	93.9%	99	1.1%	\$1,128	\$1,265	12.1%
CA - Central Coast	94.2%	95.6%	140	1.5%	\$1,959	\$2,107	7.6%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.0%	92.6%	60	0.7%	\$2,243	\$2,248	0.2%
CA - Misc. CA	98.1%	97.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,057	\$1,103	4.4%
CA - Sacramento	94.2%	96.1%	190	2.0%	\$1,491	\$1,647	10.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	92.8%	97.7%	489	5.2%	\$1,588	\$1,809	13.9%
CA - San Diego	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.6%	\$1,986	\$2,094	5.4%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	91.2%	90.0%	-120	-1.4%	\$2,781	\$2,559	-8.0%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.7%	98.1%	240	2.5%	\$1,161	\$1,284	10.5%
California Average	92.6%	93.5%	90	0.9%	\$2,128	\$2,140	0.6%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.8%	93.1%	230	2.5%	\$1,472	\$1,550	5.3%
CO - Misc. CO	84.8%	98.4%	1360	16.1%	\$1,158	\$1,305	12.7%
Colorado Average	90.6%	93.2%	260	2.8%	\$1,467	\$1,546	5.4%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.0%	92.9%	90	1.0%	\$1,678	\$1,793	6.8%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.5%	90.6%	610	7.2%	\$1,306	\$1,438	10.1%
FL - Gainesville	94.9%	90.6%	-429	-4.5%	\$1,252	\$1,302	4.0%
FL - Jacksonville	91.3%	93.3%	200	2.2%	\$1,097	\$1,226	11.8%
FL - Melbourne	90.3%	91.6%	130	1.4%	\$1,164	\$1,292	11.0%
FL - Miami	88.5%	90.4%	190	2.1%	\$1,764	\$1,872	6.1%
FL - Orlando	90.0%	92.0%	200	2.3%	\$1,304	\$1,372	5.2%
FL - Palm Beach	92.2%	94.0%	179	1.9%	\$1,688	\$1,849	9.6%
FL - Pensacola	91.0%	91.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,149	\$1,296	12.7%
FL - Tallahassee	91.6%	92.7%	110	1.2%	\$988	\$1,064	7.7%
FL - Tampa	91.5%	93.6%	210	2.3%	\$1,253	\$1,386	10.6%
Florida Average	90.8%	92.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,358	\$1,472	8.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	89.2%	93.9%	469	5.2%	\$723	\$777	7.5%
GA - Atlanta	91.3%	93.4%	210	2.3%	\$1,260	\$1,367	8.4%
GA - Augusta	91.6%	92.8%	120	1.3%	\$897	\$988	10.1%
GA - Columbus	94.5%	94.9%	40	0.4%	\$875	\$935	6.8%
GA - Macon	93.8%	96.5%	270	2.9%	\$847	\$931	10.0%
GA - Savannah	89.6%	93.6%	400	4.5%	\$1,076	\$1,173	9.1%
Georgia Average	91.4%	93.5%	210	2.3%	\$1,196	\$1,297	8.5%
HI - Honolulu	88.2%	90.7%	250	2.8%	\$1,852	\$1,987	7.3%
Hawaii Average	88.2%	84.2%	-400	-4.6%	\$1,852	\$1,987	7.3%
IA - Des Moines	90.9%	90.1%	-80	-0.9%	\$911	\$937	3.0%
IA - Misc. IA	97.7%	84.5%	-1320	-13.5%	\$828	\$889	7.3%
Iowa Average	91.3%	89.5%	-180	-2.0%	\$904	\$933	3.2%
ID - Boise	95.1%	91.6%	-349	-3.6%	\$1,127	\$1,345	19.4%
ID - Misc. ID	85.1%	97.5%	1240	14.6%	\$724	\$780	7.7%
Idaho Average	94.5%	91.6%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,105	\$1,317	19.2%
IL - Chicago	90.8%	91.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,613	\$1,608	-0.3%
IL - Misc. IL	82.3%	84.0%	170	2.0%	\$641	\$642	0.3%
IL - Moline	94.1%	94.2%	10	0.0%	\$769	\$787	2.4%
IL - Springfield	91.7%	94.6%	289	3.2%	\$768	\$810	5.5%
Illinois Average	90.7%	91.3%	60	0.7%	\$1,507	\$1,508	0.1%
IN - Evansville	92.5%	96.3%	379	4.1%	\$752	\$797	6.0%
IN - Fort Wayne	91.0%	95.9%	489	5.3%	\$767	\$825	7.6%
IN - Indianapolis	91.9%	94.2%	229	2.5%	\$916	\$969	5.8%
IN - Misc. IN	97.4%	98.1%	70	0.7%	\$797	\$833	4.5%
IN - South Bend	89.5%	93.3%	380	4.2%	\$863	\$936	8.5%
Indiana Average	91.7%	94.4%	269	2.9%	\$886	\$941	6.2%
KS - Misc. KS	89.7%	93.5%	380	4.3%	\$601	\$628	4.5%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.5%	91.6%	110	1.1%	\$772	\$787	2.0%
KS - Wichita	91.9%	93.3%	140	1.5%	\$691	\$728	5.4%
Kansas Average	91.3%	92.6%	130	1.4%	\$722	\$749	3.8%
KY - Lexington	91.6%	95.6%	399	4.4%	\$837	\$884	5.6%
KY - Louisville	88.9%	92.5%	360	4.1%	\$934	\$966	3.4%
KY - Misc. KY	96.0%	95.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$639	\$663	3.8%
Kentucky Average	89.8%	93.5%	370	4.1%	\$898	\$934	4.0%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.2%	91.0%	280	3.1%	\$912	\$971	6.4%
LA - Lake Charles	86.1%	85.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$867	\$1,041	20.1%
LA - Misc. LA	89.8%	93.4%	360	4.0%	\$797	\$821	3.1%
LA - Monroe	90.0%	94.6%	459	5.1%	\$766	\$829	8.2%
LA - New Orleans	90.6%	91.4%	80	0.9%	\$1,042	\$1,058	1.5%
LA - Shreveport	89.5%	92.5%	300	3.4%	\$812	\$849	4.6%
Louisiana Average	89.2%	91.4%	220	2.4%	\$938	\$980	4.5%
MA - Boston	91.9%	90.1%	-180	-2.0%	\$2,286	\$2,258	-1.2%



*Thank you for three decades of
building business and personal
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	96.8%	96.9%	10	0.1%	\$1,236	\$1,305	5.6%
Massachusetts Average	92.1%	90.3%	-180	-2.0%	\$2,240	\$2,216	-1.1%
MD - Baltimore	93.0%	95.1%	209	2.3%	\$1,365	\$1,440	5.4%
MD - Misc. MD	95.7%	98.7%	300	3.2%	\$1,072	\$1,136	5.9%
Maryland Average	93.1%	95.1%	199	2.2%	\$1,359	\$1,433	5.4%
ME - Augusta/Portland	92.7%	93.9%	119	1.3%	\$1,478	\$1,580	6.9%
ME - Misc. ME	96.9%	98.7%	180	1.9%	\$828	\$853	2.9%
Maine Average	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.2%	\$1,412	\$1,512	7.1%
MI - Detroit	93.5%	95.3%	179	1.9%	\$1,028	\$1,088	5.8%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.1%	97.0%	290	3.0%	\$937	\$1,019	8.8%
MI - Misc. MI	91.3%	94.0%	269	3.0%	\$861	\$921	6.9%
Michigan Average	93.5%	95.3%	179	2.0%	\$1,004	\$1,068	6.4%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.3%	92.0%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,325	\$1,334	0.6%
MN - Misc. MN	95.3%	98.1%	280	3.0%	\$1,020	\$1,055	3.5%
Minnesota Average	94.2%	92.1%	-209	-2.3%	\$1,317	\$1,326	0.7%
MO - Columbia	95.2%	95.7%	50	0.5%	\$752	\$795	5.7%
MO - Kansas City	90.8%	92.1%	130	1.4%	\$1,027	\$1,059	3.2%
MO - Misc. MO	94.0%	94.1%	10	0.1%	\$603	\$605	0.3%
MO - Springfield	96.0%	97.2%	120	1.3%	\$716	\$747	4.3%
MO - St. Louis	92.0%	93.1%	110	1.2%	\$986	\$1,043	5.8%
Missouri Average	91.4%	92.6%	120	1.3%	\$980	\$1,022	4.3%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.2%	\$795	\$841	5.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.8%	94.2%	139	1.5%	\$857	\$926	8.0%
MS - Misc. MS	91.0%	94.2%	319	3.5%	\$824	\$857	3.9%
Mississippi Average	92.1%	94.1%	199	2.1%	\$833	\$887	6.4%
NC - Asheville	86.3%	92.5%	620	7.2%	\$1,174	\$1,290	9.8%
NC - Charlotte	90.5%	92.7%	220	2.4%	\$1,174	\$1,262	7.5%
NC - Fayetteville	94.7%	97.4%	270	2.9%	\$873	\$984	12.7%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.1%	94.4%	129	1.4%	\$875	\$949	8.5%
NC - Misc. NC	98.6%	99.4%	80	0.7%	\$1,057	\$1,087	2.9%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	91.8%	93.6%	180	2.0%	\$1,149	\$1,215	5.8%
NC - Wilmington	89.0%	90.4%	140	1.7%	\$1,029	\$1,120	8.8%
North Carolina Average	91.3%	93.2%	190	2.1%	\$1,099	\$1,180	7.4%
ND - Bismarck	93.9%	93.6%	-29	-0.3%	\$973	\$952	-2.1%
ND - Fargo	93.9%	96.2%	230	2.5%	\$795	\$813	2.2%
ND - Misc. ND	89.4%	80.3%	-909	-10.1%	\$1,003	\$901	-10.2%
North Dakota Average	91.7%	88.1%	-360	-4.0%	\$901	\$866	-3.8%
NE - Lincoln	95.4%	95.6%	20	0.2%	\$881	\$912	3.4%
NE - Misc. NE	97.1%	97.7%	60	0.6%	\$723	\$723	0.0%
NE - Omaha	92.6%	94.0%	139	1.5%	\$947	\$981	3.6%
Nebraska Average	93.1%	93.8%	69	0.7%	\$929	\$961	3.5%
NM - Albuquerque	93.6%	94.8%	119	1.3%	\$910	\$1,029	13.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	88.6%	86.2%	-240	-2.7%	\$1,082	\$1,065	-1.5%
New Mexico Average	93.3%	93.8%	49	0.5%	\$926	\$1,032	11.5%
NV - Las Vegas	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.6%	\$1,107	\$1,221	10.3%
NV - Misc. NV	98.3%	96.2%	-210	-2.2%	\$972	\$1,033	6.3%
NV - Reno	93.7%	95.5%	179	1.9%	\$1,280	\$1,424	11.2%
Nevada Average	93.4%	94.2%	79	0.9%	\$1,134	\$1,252	10.4%
NY - Albany	94.1%	93.2%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,207	\$1,274	5.6%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.5%	95.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,022	\$1,079	5.6%
NY - Misc. NY	85.1%	95.1%	999	11.8%	\$994	\$1,098	10.5%
NY - New York City	93.5%	91.6%	-190	-2.0%	\$2,679	\$2,592	-3.3%
New York Average	93.1%	92.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,361	\$2,304	-2.4%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.5%	94.1%	159	1.7%	\$964	\$1,016	5.4%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.0%	95.0%	100	1.1%	\$894	\$940	5.1%
OH - Columbus	93.1%	93.1%	0	0.1%	\$991	\$1,050	5.9%
OH - Misc. OH	97.2%	100.0%	280	2.9%	\$704	\$714	1.3%
OH - Toledo	91.7%	95.1%	339	3.7%	\$749	\$782	4.4%
Ohio Average	93.0%	94.0%	99	1.0%	\$939	\$991	5.5%
OK - Misc. OK	87.1%	87.9%	80	1.0%	\$661	\$689	4.3%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.4%	92.0%	260	2.9%	\$770	\$803	4.2%
OK - Tulsa	92.1%	93.9%	179	1.9%	\$732	\$776	6.0%
Oklahoma Average	90.5%	92.7%	220	2.5%	\$753	\$790	4.9%
OR - Misc. OR	93.4%	99.2%	579	6.1%	\$1,052	\$1,159	10.2%
OR - Portland	92.8%	94.3%	149	1.6%	\$1,396	\$1,448	3.8%
Oregon Average	92.8%	94.3%	149	1.6%	\$1,391	\$1,445	3.8%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.2%	97.2%	200	2.1%	\$1,110	\$1,177	6.1%
PA - Misc. PA	95.4%	98.1%	270	2.8%	\$965	\$1,044	8.1%
PA - Philadelphia	93.1%	94.6%	149	1.6%	\$1,383	\$1,448	4.6%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.6%	94.5%	89	0.9%	\$1,151	\$1,180	2.5%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.1%	90.9%	-519	-5.3%	\$1,133	\$1,146	1.2%
Pennsylvania Average	93.5%	94.8%	129	1.4%	\$1,310	\$1,370	4.6%
RI - Providence	95.6%	96.4%	80	0.8%	\$1,484	\$1,604	8.1%
Rhode Island Average	95.6%	96.4%	80	0.8%	\$1,484	\$1,604	8.1%
SC - Charleston	86.9%	89.4%	250	2.9%	\$1,234	\$1,348	9.3%
SC - Columbia	90.4%	94.6%	419	4.6%	\$961	\$1,037	7.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.1%	93.6%	350	3.9%	\$981	\$1,036	5.6%
SC - Misc. SC	94.0%	95.9%	190	2.0%	\$716	\$765	6.8%
SC - Myrtle Beach	85.2%	94.6%	939	10.9%	\$1,021	\$1,146	12.3%
South Carolina Average	88.8%	92.4%	360	4.1%	\$1,059	\$1,147	8.3%
SD - Misc. SD	97.0%	99.0%	200	2.0%	\$851	\$865	1.6%
SD - Rapid City	96.0%	99.2%	320	3.4%	\$912	\$994	9.1%
SD - Sioux Falls	92.7%	95.4%	269	2.9%	\$839	\$873	4.0%
South Dakota Average	94.0%	96.5%	250	2.7%	\$855	\$896	4.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	89.7%	94.5%	479	5.4%	\$969	\$1,088	12.3%
TN - Knoxville	93.9%	95.8%	190	2.1%	\$957	\$1,025	7.1%
TN - Memphis	92.0%	94.0%	199	2.2%	\$885	\$970	9.5%
TN - Misc. TN	95.0%	93.8%	-120	-1.2%	\$784	\$822	4.9%
TN - Nashville	91.8%	92.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,250	\$1,288	3.1%
Tennessee Average	92.0%	93.4%	140	1.5%	\$1,074	\$1,137	5.9%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.2%	91.3%	110	1.3%	\$1,193	\$1,256	5.3%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.3%	91.3%	100	1.2%	\$1,236	\$1,295	4.8%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.2%	91.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,100	\$1,174	6.8%
TX - Abilene	92.2%	91.1%	-110	-1.2%	\$773	\$796	3.0%
TX - Amarillo	85.6%	92.0%	640	7.5%	\$750	\$778	3.8%
TX - Austin	89.5%	90.5%	100	1.2%	\$1,310	\$1,371	4.7%
TX - Beaumont	88.7%	89.6%	90	1.1%	\$886	\$908	2.5%
TX - College Station	85.4%	87.9%	250	3.0%	\$1,223	\$1,241	1.4%
TX - Corpus Christi	88.8%	90.8%	200	2.3%	\$976	\$1,018	4.3%
TX - El Paso	94.7%	96.6%	190	2.0%	\$799	\$838	4.9%
TX - Houston	89.0%	90.2%	120	1.4%	\$1,091	\$1,118	2.4%
TX - Laredo	91.9%	95.1%	319	3.5%	\$898	\$905	0.9%
TX - Longview/Tyler	90.8%	94.5%	369	4.0%	\$878	\$928	5.7%
TX - Lubbock	90.6%	91.5%	90	1.0%	\$773	\$806	4.3%
TX - Lufkin	91.6%	93.9%	229	2.5%	\$774	\$798	3.1%
TX - Midland-Odessa	79.4%	78.0%	-140	-1.8%	\$1,205	\$966	-19.8%
TX - Misc. TX	90.4%	94.2%	379	4.2%	\$729	\$750	3.0%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.6%	95.4%	279	3.1%	\$775	\$815	5.2%
TX - San Angelo	95.2%	90.2%	-499	-5.2%	\$870	\$887	2.0%
TX - San Antonio	89.7%	91.9%	220	2.4%	\$1,012	\$1,061	4.9%
TX - Texarkana	91.4%	91.8%	40	0.5%	\$706	\$745	5.5%
TX - Victoria	87.0%	89.8%	280	3.2%	\$870	\$898	3.2%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	93.7%	95.1%	139	1.5%	\$824	\$884	7.3%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.3%	92.3%	200	2.2%	\$684	\$731	6.8%
Texas Average	89.6%	90.9%	130	1.5%	\$1,116	\$1,161	4.1%
UT - Misc. UT	85.4%	98.2%	1280	15.1%	\$1,046	\$1,171	12.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.2%	94.0%	279	3.0%	\$1,184	\$1,269	7.2%
Utah Average	91.1%	94.1%	299	3.3%	\$1,181	\$1,267	7.3%
VA - Norfolk	94.7%	96.7%	200	2.2%	\$1,137	\$1,226	7.8%
VA - Richmond	93.5%	94.0%	49	0.5%	\$1,162	\$1,261	8.5%
VA - Roanoke	95.7%	96.6%	90	0.9%	\$932	\$976	4.7%
Virginia Average	94.1%	95.4%	130	1.4%	\$1,129	\$1,218	7.9%
WA - Misc. WA	90.8%	75.4%	-1540	-17.0%	\$932	\$946	1.5%
WA - SE Washington	95.9%	97.3%	140	1.5%	\$1,047	\$1,125	7.5%
WA - Seattle	93.6%	92.9%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,806	\$1,793	-0.8%
WA - Spokane	93.5%	98.2%	469	5.1%	\$1,032	\$1,156	12.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Washington Average	93.6%	93.3%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,712	\$1,715	0.2%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.8%	98.3%	50	0.5%	\$772	\$794	3.0%
WI - Madison	97.1%	95.3%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,135	\$1,173	3.4%
WI - Milwaukee	92.8%	93.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,132	\$1,191	5.2%
WI - Misc. WI	96.0%	93.9%	-210	-2.2%	\$793	\$836	5.5%
Wisconsin Average	94.9%	93.8%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,059	\$1,106	4.5%
WV - Charleston	95.0%	96.3%	130	1.3%	\$920	\$935	1.6%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.4%	95.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$777	\$860	10.8%
West Virginia Average	95.2%	95.9%	70	0.8%	\$892	\$917	2.8%
CT - Hartford	93.7%	95.4%	169	1.8%	\$1,356	\$1,448	6.8%
DC - Washington	93.0%	92.0%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,859	\$1,822	-2.0%
DE - Miscellaneous	95.9%	87.4%	-849	-8.9%	\$1,163	\$1,260	8.3%
MT - All of Montana	87.0%	95.5%	849	9.8%	\$1,021	\$1,166	14.2%
NH - Concord	91.7%	99.2%	749	8.2%	\$1,373	\$1,459	6.3%
VT - All of Vermont	97.1%	98.1%	100	1.0%	\$1,593	\$1,634	2.6%
WY - All of Wyoming	90.9%	94.6%	369	4.0%	\$931	\$958	2.9%
National Average	91.7%	92.8%	110	1.3%	\$1,361	\$1,410	3.6%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com