

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-20	Jun-21	bps	%CHG	Jun-20	Jun-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	92.5%	96.8%	429	4.7%	\$1,039	\$1,089	4.8%
AK - Misc. AK	88.0%	90.5%	250	2.8%	\$1,240	\$1,272	2.6%
Alaska Average	91.5%	95.3%	379	4.1%	\$1,100	\$1,134	3.1%
AL - Birmingham	91.2%	93.5%	230	2.5%	\$929	\$1,022	10.0%
AL - Huntsville	94.2%	92.7%	-149	-1.6%	\$859	\$967	12.6%
AL - Misc. AL	95.1%	95.5%	40	0.4%	\$693	\$734	5.9%
AL - Mobile	90.1%	95.2%	509	5.7%	\$856	\$959	12.0%
AL - Montgomery	92.7%	94.3%	159	1.7%	\$789	\$846	7.2%
Alabama Average	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.1%	\$870	\$961	10.5%
AR - Little Rock	92.2%	92.9%	70	0.7%	\$767	\$820	7.0%
AR - Misc. AR	92.8%	96.4%	359	3.8%	\$602	\$632	4.9%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.0%	89.2%	20	0.2%	\$722	\$764	5.8%
Arkansas Average	91.2%	91.9%	70	0.8%	\$735	\$782	6.4%
AZ - Flagstaff	92.1%	96.3%	419	4.5%	\$1,310	\$1,471	12.3%
AZ - Misc. AZ	95.8%	96.9%	110	1.1%	\$817	\$924	13.0%
AZ - Phoenix	92.6%	94.1%	149	1.7%	\$1,188	\$1,376	15.8%
AZ - Tucson	94.3%	95.7%	140	1.5%	\$846	\$979	15.7%
Arizona Average	92.9%	94.1%	119	1.3%	\$1,127	\$1,306	15.9%
CA - Central Coast	93.7%	96.0%	229	2.5%	\$1,956	\$2,134	9.1%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.8%	92.8%	100	1.1%	\$2,231	\$2,276	2.0%
CA - Misc. CA	97.0%	97.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,060	\$1,114	5.1%
CA - Sacramento	94.4%	96.4%	200	2.1%	\$1,497	\$1,690	12.9%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.2%	97.7%	449	4.8%	\$1,594	\$1,848	15.9%
CA - San Diego	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.8%	\$1,987	\$2,128	7.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	90.7%	89.7%	-100	-1.1%	\$2,746	\$2,593	-5.6%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.0%	98.1%	210	2.2%	\$1,167	\$1,305	11.8%
California Average	92.5%	93.5%	100	1.1%	\$2,116	\$2,173	2.7%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.5%	93.6%	310	3.4%	\$1,471	\$1,591	8.1%
CO - Misc. CO	84.1%	95.4%	1130	13.4%	\$1,139	\$1,320	15.9%
Colorado Average	90.3%	93.6%	330	3.6%	\$1,466	\$1,586	8.2%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.9%	93.7%	280	3.1%	\$1,685	\$1,845	9.5%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	83.1%	91.6%	850	10.3%	\$1,296	\$1,481	14.3%
FL - Gainesville	94.5%	91.4%	-309	-3.3%	\$1,246	\$1,317	5.7%
FL - Jacksonville	90.8%	93.9%	309	3.4%	\$1,103	\$1,264	14.6%
FL - Melbourne	89.0%	93.2%	420	4.7%	\$1,165	\$1,338	14.8%
FL - Miami	87.3%	90.6%	330	3.7%	\$1,755	\$1,914	9.1%
FL - Orlando	90.4%	92.8%	240	2.7%	\$1,301	\$1,419	9.0%
FL - Palm Beach	91.3%	94.6%	329	3.5%	\$1,679	\$1,896	12.9%
FL - Pensacola	91.0%	93.0%	200	2.3%	\$1,151	\$1,334	15.9%
FL - Tallahassee	91.7%	92.9%	120	1.3%	\$996	\$1,080	8.4%
FL - Tampa	91.5%	94.2%	269	2.9%	\$1,254	\$1,424	13.6%
Florida Average	90.4%	93.3%	290	3.1%	\$1,357	\$1,514	11.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	90.9%	93.8%	289	3.3%	\$730	\$788	7.9%
GA - Atlanta	91.1%	93.8%	269	3.0%	\$1,261	\$1,404	11.3%
GA - Augusta	92.1%	93.1%	100	1.1%	\$901	\$1,002	11.1%
GA - Columbus	94.7%	95.7%	100	1.0%	\$877	\$946	7.8%
GA - Macon	94.1%	96.5%	240	2.6%	\$850	\$941	10.7%
GA - Savannah	89.9%	94.5%	459	5.1%	\$1,092	\$1,201	10.0%
Georgia Average	91.3%	94.0%	269	2.9%	\$1,197	\$1,331	11.1%
HI - Honolulu	88.1%	91.0%	290	3.3%	\$1,849	\$1,988	7.5%
Hawaii Average	88.1%	84.3%	-380	-4.4%	\$1,849	\$1,988	7.5%
IA - Des Moines	91.8%	90.6%	-120	-1.3%	\$916	\$940	2.7%
IA - Misc. IA	97.3%	85.1%	-1220	-12.5%	\$823	\$893	8.5%
Iowa Average	92.1%	90.2%	-190	-2.1%	\$909	\$936	3.0%
ID - Boise	94.7%	90.9%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,133	\$1,378	21.6%
ID - Misc. ID	83.2%	97.1%	1390	16.7%	\$734	\$780	6.3%
Idaho Average	94.0%	91.2%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,111	\$1,349	21.4%
IL - Chicago	90.7%	91.8%	110	1.1%	\$1,606	\$1,645	2.4%
IL - Misc. IL	79.4%	84.0%	459	5.8%	\$637	\$650	2.0%
IL - Moline	94.0%	95.4%	140	1.5%	\$769	\$794	3.2%
IL - Springfield	91.7%	94.8%	309	3.4%	\$769	\$823	6.9%
Illinois Average	90.7%	92.0%	130	1.5%	\$1,501	\$1,541	2.7%
IN - Evansville	93.6%	95.5%	189	2.0%	\$754	\$801	6.3%
IN - Fort Wayne	92.8%	96.3%	349	3.8%	\$771	\$838	8.6%
IN - Indianapolis	92.1%	94.4%	229	2.6%	\$919	\$986	7.3%
IN - Misc. IN	97.6%	98.6%	100	0.9%	\$797	\$846	6.2%
IN - South Bend	90.2%	92.8%	260	2.9%	\$861	\$964	12.0%
Indiana Average	92.1%	94.5%	239	2.6%	\$888	\$958	7.8%
KS - Misc. KS	90.0%	95.0%	499	5.6%	\$609	\$627	2.9%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.2%	92.5%	230	2.5%	\$773	\$791	2.4%
KS - Wichita	92.4%	94.1%	169	1.8%	\$695	\$735	5.7%
Kansas Average	91.5%	93.5%	200	2.2%	\$724	\$754	4.1%
KY - Lexington	92.4%	96.1%	369	4.0%	\$839	\$911	8.6%
KY - Louisville	89.8%	92.7%	290	3.2%	\$930	\$978	5.2%
KY - Misc. KY	96.5%	94.8%	-170	-1.7%	\$653	\$669	2.4%
Kentucky Average	90.7%	93.7%	300	3.3%	\$897	\$951	6.1%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.0%	91.8%	380	4.3%	\$917	\$981	7.0%
LA - Lake Charles	82.0%	87.1%	510	6.1%	\$882	\$1,059	20.1%
LA - Misc. LA	90.3%	94.1%	379	4.1%	\$796	\$824	3.5%
LA - Monroe	90.6%	94.9%	429	4.7%	\$773	\$835	8.0%
LA - New Orleans	90.5%	91.9%	140	1.6%	\$1,043	\$1,077	3.2%
LA - Shreveport	90.3%	93.1%	280	3.1%	\$815	\$869	6.7%
Louisiana Average	89.1%	92.0%	290	3.3%	\$941	\$995	5.7%
MA - Boston	91.5%	89.9%	-160	-1.9%	\$2,281	\$2,301	0.9%



*Thank you for three decades of
building business and personal
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	96.6%	97.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,235	\$1,325	7.3%
Massachusetts Average	91.7%	90.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$2,234	\$2,258	1.1%
MD - Baltimore	93.2%	95.4%	219	2.4%	\$1,368	\$1,459	6.7%
MD - Misc. MD	96.5%	99.1%	260	2.6%	\$1,075	\$1,188	10.5%
Maryland Average	93.2%	95.4%	219	2.4%	\$1,361	\$1,453	6.8%
ME - Augusta/Portland	94.4%	93.4%	-99	-1.0%	\$1,478	\$1,661	12.4%
ME - Misc. ME	100.0%	97.3%	-270	-2.7%	\$828	\$881	6.3%
Maine Average	94.5%	93.7%	-79	-0.8%	\$1,412	\$1,588	12.5%
MI - Detroit	93.8%	95.7%	190	2.1%	\$1,030	\$1,109	7.6%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.4%	97.2%	280	3.0%	\$937	\$1,037	10.6%
MI - Misc. MI	91.3%	94.4%	309	3.3%	\$864	\$937	8.4%
Michigan Average	93.7%	95.9%	219	2.4%	\$1,006	\$1,088	8.2%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.2%	92.5%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,324	\$1,346	1.6%
MN - Misc. MN	94.2%	98.8%	460	4.8%	\$1,018	\$1,081	6.2%
Minnesota Average	94.1%	92.6%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,315	\$1,338	1.7%
MO - Columbia	95.1%	94.2%	-90	-0.9%	\$753	\$799	6.0%
MO - Kansas City	90.9%	92.6%	170	1.8%	\$1,027	\$1,077	4.9%
MO - Misc. MO	94.0%	94.0%	0	0.0%	\$603	\$617	2.3%
MO - Springfield	95.7%	97.7%	200	2.1%	\$717	\$768	7.2%
MO - St. Louis	92.3%	93.8%	149	1.7%	\$986	\$1,054	6.8%
Missouri Average	91.7%	93.3%	160	1.7%	\$981	\$1,037	5.8%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.1%	94.2%	209	2.2%	\$796	\$852	7.1%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.1%	94.1%	99	1.1%	\$859	\$941	9.6%
MS - Misc. MS	91.0%	94.5%	349	3.9%	\$828	\$865	4.5%
Mississippi Average	92.3%	94.2%	189	2.0%	\$835	\$899	7.7%
NC - Asheville	86.6%	90.7%	410	4.8%	\$1,181	\$1,321	11.9%
NC - Charlotte	90.5%	92.6%	210	2.3%	\$1,175	\$1,283	9.2%
NC - Fayetteville	95.0%	97.3%	230	2.3%	\$882	\$1,007	14.1%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.1%	95.2%	209	2.2%	\$876	\$968	10.4%
NC - Misc. NC	98.7%	99.4%	70	0.6%	\$1,057	\$1,097	3.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	91.7%	94.2%	249	2.7%	\$1,154	\$1,251	8.4%
NC - Wilmington	87.8%	93.4%	560	6.3%	\$1,037	\$1,145	10.5%
North Carolina Average	91.3%	93.7%	240	2.6%	\$1,102	\$1,206	9.5%
ND - Bismarck	94.5%	94.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$967	\$948	-2.0%
ND - Fargo	94.6%	94.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$799	\$816	2.1%
ND - Misc. ND	86.3%	79.8%	-649	-7.5%	\$993	\$911	-8.2%
North Dakota Average	90.9%	87.4%	-350	-3.9%	\$898	\$871	-3.0%
NE - Lincoln	94.9%	95.9%	100	1.0%	\$883	\$919	4.2%
NE - Misc. NE	92.4%	95.3%	289	3.1%	\$733	\$723	-1.4%
NE - Omaha	92.8%	95.4%	259	2.8%	\$947	\$999	5.5%
Nebraska Average	93.2%	95.0%	179	1.9%	\$929	\$976	5.1%
NM - Albuquerque	93.9%	95.1%	120	1.3%	\$918	\$1,051	14.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	84.4%	85.1%	70	0.8%	\$1,074	\$1,072	-0.2%
New Mexico Average	93.2%	94.0%	79	0.9%	\$932	\$1,053	13.0%
NV - Las Vegas	93.4%	95.5%	209	2.3%	\$1,108	\$1,261	13.8%
NV - Misc. NV	98.0%	94.4%	-360	-3.7%	\$972	\$1,047	7.7%
NV - Reno	94.2%	95.7%	150	1.6%	\$1,289	\$1,462	13.4%
Nevada Average	93.5%	94.8%	129	1.4%	\$1,136	\$1,292	13.7%
NY - Albany	94.2%	94.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,210	\$1,284	6.2%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,028	\$1,093	6.3%
NY - Misc. NY	83.3%	94.7%	1140	13.8%	\$996	\$1,102	10.6%
NY - New York City	93.2%	91.8%	-140	-1.5%	\$2,676	\$2,616	-2.3%
New York Average	93.0%	92.1%	-90	-1.0%	\$2,359	\$2,326	-1.4%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.7%	94.7%	199	2.2%	\$966	\$1,027	6.4%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.9%	94.7%	80	0.8%	\$901	\$951	5.6%
OH - Columbus	93.5%	93.6%	10	0.1%	\$994	\$1,067	7.3%
OH - Misc. OH	97.2%	100.0%	280	2.9%	\$704	\$719	2.1%
OH - Toledo	92.6%	95.5%	289	3.1%	\$747	\$794	6.3%
Ohio Average	93.3%	94.3%	99	1.1%	\$942	\$1,004	6.6%
OK - Misc. OK	85.7%	89.0%	330	3.8%	\$656	\$694	5.8%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.7%	92.6%	290	3.2%	\$772	\$815	5.6%
OK - Tulsa	92.7%	94.3%	159	1.8%	\$734	\$785	6.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.8%	93.2%	240	2.7%	\$755	\$801	6.1%
OR - Misc. OR	95.6%	99.2%	360	3.8%	\$1,053	\$1,170	11.1%
OR - Portland	92.9%	94.6%	169	1.8%	\$1,394	\$1,477	5.9%
Oregon Average	92.9%	94.6%	169	1.9%	\$1,390	\$1,473	6.0%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.4%	97.7%	230	2.5%	\$1,116	\$1,204	7.9%
PA - Misc. PA	96.0%	98.3%	230	2.4%	\$970	\$1,055	8.8%
PA - Philadelphia	93.1%	94.9%	179	1.9%	\$1,386	\$1,470	6.1%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.4%	95.5%	209	2.3%	\$1,160	\$1,192	2.7%
PA - State College/Altoona	95.7%	90.4%	-529	-5.5%	\$1,138	\$1,140	0.2%
Pennsylvania Average	93.5%	95.2%	169	1.9%	\$1,314	\$1,391	5.8%
RI - Providence	95.8%	96.5%	70	0.8%	\$1,500	\$1,617	7.8%
Rhode Island Average	95.8%	96.5%	70	0.8%	\$1,500	\$1,617	7.8%
SC - Charleston	86.8%	90.7%	390	4.5%	\$1,234	\$1,390	12.6%
SC - Columbia	90.7%	95.1%	439	4.8%	\$966	\$1,062	9.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.3%	94.1%	379	4.2%	\$981	\$1,071	9.2%
SC - Misc. SC	92.0%	96.1%	409	4.4%	\$723	\$765	5.8%
SC - Myrtle Beach	86.6%	94.9%	829	9.5%	\$1,021	\$1,162	13.8%
South Carolina Average	89.0%	93.1%	410	4.7%	\$1,061	\$1,180	11.3%
SD - Misc. SD	96.8%	98.6%	180	1.9%	\$851	\$866	1.7%
SD - Rapid City	96.1%	99.1%	300	3.0%	\$925	\$1,014	9.6%
SD - Sioux Falls	92.8%	93.5%	70	0.7%	\$843	\$884	4.9%
South Dakota Average	94.0%	95.2%	120	1.2%	\$860	\$908	5.5%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	90.1%	94.8%	469	5.3%	\$980	\$1,105	12.7%
TN - Knoxville	94.2%	96.7%	250	2.6%	\$957	\$1,054	10.2%
TN - Memphis	92.4%	94.2%	179	1.9%	\$889	\$988	11.0%
TN - Misc. TN	95.3%	94.9%	-40	-0.5%	\$786	\$841	6.9%
TN - Nashville	91.4%	93.4%	200	2.2%	\$1,248	\$1,320	5.7%
Tennessee Average	92.0%	94.0%	199	2.2%	\$1,075	\$1,162	8.1%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.4%	91.9%	150	1.6%	\$1,194	\$1,281	7.3%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.4%	91.8%	140	1.6%	\$1,236	\$1,323	7.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.7%	92.1%	140	1.6%	\$1,102	\$1,192	8.1%
TX - Abilene	91.7%	92.2%	50	0.5%	\$778	\$819	5.3%
TX - Amarillo	87.1%	91.9%	480	5.6%	\$752	\$779	3.7%
TX - Austin	89.1%	91.3%	220	2.5%	\$1,304	\$1,419	8.8%
TX - Beaumont	88.6%	90.0%	140	1.6%	\$887	\$915	3.1%
TX - College Station	84.9%	87.3%	240	2.9%	\$1,221	\$1,246	2.1%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.0%	91.0%	200	2.1%	\$976	\$1,029	5.4%
TX - El Paso	94.9%	96.2%	130	1.3%	\$802	\$852	6.2%
TX - Houston	88.9%	90.7%	180	2.0%	\$1,088	\$1,138	4.6%
TX - Laredo	91.6%	95.3%	369	4.0%	\$900	\$940	4.5%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.3%	94.5%	319	3.5%	\$874	\$946	8.3%
TX - Lubbock	90.4%	92.5%	210	2.3%	\$780	\$809	3.7%
TX - Lufkin	91.5%	94.7%	319	3.4%	\$767	\$799	4.2%
TX - Midland-Odessa	76.7%	79.3%	260	3.4%	\$1,150	\$992	-13.7%
TX - Misc. TX	91.3%	94.2%	289	3.2%	\$726	\$758	4.4%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	93.3%	95.7%	239	2.7%	\$777	\$822	5.9%
TX - San Angelo	95.2%	91.0%	-419	-4.4%	\$869	\$891	2.5%
TX - San Antonio	90.0%	92.6%	260	2.9%	\$1,012	\$1,084	7.1%
TX - Texarkana	91.9%	92.1%	20	0.2%	\$704	\$751	6.8%
TX - Victoria	86.8%	90.0%	320	3.7%	\$874	\$913	4.5%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	94.3%	95.5%	120	1.3%	\$829	\$903	8.9%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.7%	92.5%	180	2.0%	\$688	\$745	8.3%
Texas Average	89.6%	91.4%	180	2.1%	\$1,114	\$1,186	6.4%
UT - Misc. UT	88.0%	88.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,056	\$1,256	19.0%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.6%	94.2%	259	2.9%	\$1,185	\$1,315	11.0%
Utah Average	91.5%	94.1%	259	2.8%	\$1,182	\$1,314	11.1%
VA - Norfolk	94.8%	97.1%	230	2.4%	\$1,143	\$1,249	9.3%
VA - Richmond	93.6%	94.8%	119	1.3%	\$1,167	\$1,282	9.8%
VA - Roanoke	95.4%	97.6%	220	2.3%	\$929	\$989	6.4%
Virginia Average	94.2%	96.2%	200	2.1%	\$1,134	\$1,240	9.3%
WA - Misc. WA	90.2%	78.8%	-1140	-12.6%	\$937	\$968	3.3%
WA - SE Washington	96.0%	96.6%	60	0.6%	\$1,048	\$1,198	14.3%
WA - Seattle	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.3%	\$1,803	\$1,821	1.0%
WA - Spokane	93.3%	98.5%	519	5.6%	\$1,036	\$1,214	17.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Washington Average	93.5%	93.8%	29	0.4%	\$1,709	\$1,747	2.2%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	98.0%	96.0%	-200	-2.0%	\$773	\$803	4.0%
WI - Madison	97.0%	95.2%	-180	-1.8%	\$1,138	\$1,180	3.7%
WI - Milwaukee	93.1%	93.7%	60	0.7%	\$1,136	\$1,204	6.0%
WI - Misc. WI	96.5%	96.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$793	\$845	6.6%
Wisconsin Average	95.1%	94.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,062	\$1,117	5.2%
WV - Charleston	96.6%	96.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$909	\$940	3.4%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.3%	95.3%	0	0.0%	\$781	\$866	10.9%
West Virginia Average	96.1%	96.1%	0	0.0%	\$884	\$922	4.3%
CT - Hartford	93.6%	95.7%	209	2.2%	\$1,355	\$1,465	8.1%
DC - Washington	92.9%	92.5%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,855	\$1,850	-0.3%
DE - Miscellaneous	97.0%	89.1%	-789	-8.2%	\$1,169	\$1,288	10.2%
MT - All of Montana	87.1%	95.8%	869	10.0%	\$1,020	\$1,199	17.6%
NH - Concord	92.9%	96.2%	329	3.5%	\$1,371	\$1,486	8.4%
VT - All of Vermont	97.7%	100.0%	230	2.3%	\$1,591	\$1,635	2.8%
WY - All of Wyoming	90.8%	94.8%	399	4.4%	\$927	\$985	6.3%
National Average	91.6%	93.2%	160	1.7%	\$1,360	\$1,437	5.7%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com