

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Dec-19	Dec-20	bps	%CHG	Dec-19	Dec-20	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.2%	94.5%	329	3.6%	\$1,030	\$1,074	4.4%
AK - Misc. AK	80.7%	88.4%	769	9.5%	\$1,216	\$1,249	2.7%
Alaska Average	88.8%	93.3%	450	5.0%	\$1,074	\$1,115	3.8%
AL - Birmingham	92.6%	91.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$915	\$963	5.2%
AL - Huntsville	95.3%	95.3%	0	-0.1%	\$825	\$900	9.0%
AL - Misc. AL	95.8%	93.9%	-190	-2.0%	\$672	\$713	6.1%
AL - Mobile	89.5%	92.3%	280	3.1%	\$843	\$901	7.0%
AL - Montgomery	91.5%	94.1%	259	2.8%	\$781	\$810	3.7%
Alabama Average	92.6%	92.6%	0	0.1%	\$852	\$905	6.2%
AR - Little Rock	92.0%	92.1%	10	0.2%	\$761	\$788	3.5%
AR - Misc. AR	92.6%	94.2%	159	1.7%	\$590	\$602	2.1%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	90.5%	87.1%	-340	-3.8%	\$709	\$743	4.7%
Arkansas Average	91.6%	90.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$726	\$754	3.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	93.3%	96.1%	279	3.0%	\$1,314	\$1,374	4.6%
AZ - Misc. AZ	96.8%	97.8%	100	1.0%	\$822	\$877	6.6%
AZ - Phoenix	93.2%	93.4%	20	0.2%	\$1,178	\$1,233	4.7%
AZ - Tucson	93.8%	95.0%	120	1.3%	\$836	\$887	6.1%
Arizona Average	93.2%	93.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,117	\$1,173	5.0%
CA - Central Coast	95.3%	94.8%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,966	\$2,025	3.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.9%	91.3%	-160	-1.7%	\$2,236	\$2,201	-1.5%
CA - Misc. CA	98.0%	96.5%	-150	-1.5%	\$1,041	\$1,083	4.0%
CA - Sacramento	94.2%	95.8%	160	1.7%	\$1,475	\$1,561	5.8%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.3%	96.8%	349	3.7%	\$1,581	\$1,685	6.6%
CA - San Diego	93.8%	94.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,992	\$2,013	1.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.5%	88.7%	-380	-4.2%	\$2,762	\$2,534	-8.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.5%	97.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,142	\$1,223	7.1%
California Average	93.3%	92.6%	-70	-0.8%	\$2,116	\$2,081	-1.7%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.3%	91.9%	60	0.6%	\$1,465	\$1,464	-0.1%
CO - Misc. CO	94.1%	96.7%	260	2.8%	\$1,163	\$1,230	5.7%
Colorado Average	91.4%	91.8%	40	0.5%	\$1,460	\$1,460	0.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.9%	89.9%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,667	\$1,707	2.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	83.8%	85.6%	180	2.2%	\$1,317	\$1,338	1.6%
FL - Gainesville	95.5%	91.9%	-359	-3.7%	\$1,247	\$1,255	0.6%
FL - Jacksonville	92.2%	92.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,095	\$1,141	4.1%
FL - Melbourne	93.4%	89.9%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,147	\$1,211	5.6%
FL - Miami	90.4%	86.1%	-430	-4.7%	\$1,751	\$1,768	1.0%
FL - Orlando	91.4%	90.6%	-80	-1.0%	\$1,330	\$1,287	-3.2%
FL - Palm Beach	92.7%	91.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,693	\$1,719	1.5%
FL - Pensacola	93.8%	94.1%	30	0.3%	\$1,160	\$1,198	3.2%
FL - Tallahassee	92.3%	94.1%	179	1.9%	\$995	\$1,028	3.3%
FL - Tampa	91.6%	92.4%	80	0.9%	\$1,249	\$1,287	3.0%
Florida Average	91.7%	90.9%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,358	\$1,380	1.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.9%	93.5%	60	0.7%	\$714	\$759	6.3%
GA - Atlanta	92.2%	92.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,264	\$1,297	2.6%
GA - Augusta	90.3%	94.6%	429	4.8%	\$885	\$931	5.2%
GA - Columbus	95.0%	96.2%	120	1.3%	\$859	\$893	4.0%
GA - Macon	93.3%	95.4%	209	2.3%	\$848	\$882	4.0%
GA - Savannah	90.2%	90.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,068	\$1,115	4.4%
Georgia Average	92.1%	92.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,196	\$1,232	3.0%
HI - Honolulu	95.5%	95.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,806	\$1,879	4.0%
Hawaii Average	95.5%	91.9%	-359	-3.8%	\$1,806	\$1,879	4.0%
IA - Des Moines	92.5%	91.0%	-150	-1.6%	\$904	\$925	2.3%
IA - Misc. IA	98.4%	94.2%	-420	-4.2%	\$813	\$849	4.4%
Iowa Average	92.0%	91.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$897	\$919	2.4%
ID - Boise	94.5%	94.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,116	\$1,208	8.3%
ID - Misc. ID	99.3%	95.0%	-430	-4.3%	\$733	\$756	3.1%
Idaho Average	94.7%	94.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,094	\$1,184	8.3%
IL - Chicago	91.3%	89.5%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,588	\$1,534	-3.4%
IL - Misc. IL	80.7%	88.4%	769	9.6%	\$648	\$632	-2.5%
IL - Moline	93.8%	92.4%	-139	-1.4%	\$758	\$777	2.6%
IL - Springfield	92.2%	93.5%	130	1.4%	\$762	\$786	3.2%
Illinois Average	91.2%	89.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,485	\$1,441	-3.0%
IN - Evansville	94.2%	94.3%	10	0.1%	\$744	\$773	3.9%
IN - Fort Wayne	90.9%	94.0%	309	3.5%	\$769	\$798	3.7%
IN - Indianapolis	92.5%	93.3%	80	0.9%	\$908	\$937	3.2%
IN - Misc. IN	95.6%	97.3%	170	1.8%	\$781	\$815	4.4%
IN - South Bend	88.3%	93.3%	500	5.7%	\$862	\$901	4.5%
Indiana Average	92.1%	93.3%	120	1.3%	\$879	\$910	3.5%
KS - Misc. KS	89.1%	91.9%	280	3.2%	\$609	\$609	-0.1%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.0%	91.2%	120	1.3%	\$769	\$782	1.8%
KS - Wichita	92.4%	94.1%	169	1.8%	\$687	\$706	2.8%
Kansas Average	91.4%	92.8%	140	1.6%	\$719	\$735	2.2%
KY - Lexington	91.8%	94.8%	299	3.3%	\$828	\$865	4.5%
KY - Louisville	89.9%	91.0%	110	1.2%	\$919	\$946	3.0%
KY - Misc. KY	95.1%	94.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$628	\$659	4.8%
Kentucky Average	90.6%	92.0%	140	1.5%	\$885	\$916	3.6%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.5%	90.8%	330	3.8%	\$904	\$951	5.2%
LA - Lake Charles	88.5%	82.6%	-590	-6.6%	\$895	\$946	5.6%
LA - Misc. LA	91.0%	93.7%	270	2.9%	\$767	\$812	5.9%
LA - Monroe	90.5%	95.0%	449	4.9%	\$773	\$800	3.4%
LA - New Orleans	91.1%	90.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,026	\$1,046	2.0%
LA - Shreveport	90.0%	92.4%	240	2.6%	\$801	\$821	2.4%
Louisiana Averages	89.4%	91.0%	160	1.7%	\$927	\$959	3.4%
MA - Boston	92.7%	89.5%	-320	-3.5%	\$2,287	\$2,181	-4.6%



*Thank you for three decades of  
building business and personal  
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

**ALN**

APARTMENT DATA

---

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.3%	97.4%	10	0.2%	\$1,209	\$1,286	6.4%
Massachusetts Average	92.9%	89.8%	-310	-3.3%	\$2,234	\$2,140	-4.2%
MD - Baltimore	93.4%	94.8%	139	1.5%	\$1,361	\$1,393	2.3%
MD - Misc. MD	95.4%	98.9%	350	3.7%	\$1,050	\$1,109	5.7%
Maryland Average	93.2%	94.7%	149	1.7%	\$1,354	\$1,386	2.4%
ME - Augusta/Portland	92.8%	97.7%	489	5.2%	\$1,451	\$1,499	3.3%
ME - Misc. ME	97.1%	98.0%	90	0.9%	\$826	\$842	1.9%
Maine Average	93.2%	97.7%	449	4.8%	\$1,386	\$1,437	3.7%
MI - Detroit	94.6%	94.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,009	\$1,054	4.6%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.6%	95.6%	100	1.0%	\$922	\$974	5.7%
MI - Misc. MI	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.2%	\$853	\$892	4.6%
Michigan Average	94.5%	94.8%	30	0.4%	\$986	\$1,033	4.8%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	95.0%	91.9%	-309	-3.2%	\$1,309	\$1,314	0.4%
MN - Misc. MN	93.7%	97.2%	349	3.7%	\$1,021	\$1,027	0.6%
Minnesota Average	94.7%	91.8%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,301	\$1,306	0.4%
MO - Columbia	95.3%	96.0%	70	0.7%	\$703	\$718	2.2%
MO - Kansas City	91.6%	90.9%	-70	-0.9%	\$1,015	\$1,031	1.5%
MO - Misc. MO	93.2%	91.1%	-210	-2.3%	\$578	\$604	4.5%
MO - Springfield	95.4%	95.7%	30	0.4%	\$698	\$724	3.7%
MO - St. Louis	91.3%	92.8%	150	1.7%	\$978	\$1,019	4.3%
Missouri Average	91.8%	91.9%	10	0.1%	\$968	\$995	2.8%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.0%	94.1%	109	1.1%	\$787	\$816	3.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.1%	93.4%	30	0.3%	\$855	\$900	5.2%
MS - Misc. MS	91.8%	94.2%	239	2.7%	\$819	\$844	3.1%
Mississippi Average	92.8%	93.8%	99	1.1%	\$829	\$865	4.3%
NC - Asheville	91.4%	93.0%	160	1.8%	\$1,186	\$1,226	3.3%
NC - Charlotte	91.4%	92.4%	100	1.2%	\$1,175	\$1,197	1.9%
NC - Fayetteville	93.6%	96.7%	309	3.3%	\$855	\$918	7.4%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.4%	94.9%	149	1.6%	\$868	\$907	4.6%
NC - Misc. NC	95.1%	96.9%	180	1.8%	\$1,088	\$1,078	-0.9%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.8%	92.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,138	\$1,163	2.1%
NC - Wilmington	93.7%	88.3%	-540	-5.8%	\$1,025	\$1,071	4.5%
North Carolina Average	92.2%	92.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,092	\$1,123	2.8%
ND - Bismarck	86.2%	91.3%	510	5.9%	\$987	\$928	-6.0%
ND - Fargo	94.9%	95.7%	80	0.9%	\$789	\$802	1.7%
ND - Misc. ND	92.4%	78.6%	-1380	-14.9%	\$1,051	\$922	-12.3%
North Dakota Average	92.0%	87.1%	-490	-5.3%	\$917	\$866	-5.5%
NE - Lincoln	95.3%	94.1%	-120	-1.3%	\$869	\$892	2.6%
NE - Misc. NE	96.8%	94.6%	-220	-2.3%	\$719	\$720	0.0%
NE - Omaha	93.1%	93.2%	10	0.1%	\$934	\$960	2.8%
Nebraska Averages	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$916	\$940	2.7%
NM - Albuquerque	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.3%	\$902	\$964	6.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	89.2%	84.0%	-520	-5.9%	\$1,086	\$1,038	-4.4%
New Mexico Average	94.2%	94.0%	-20	-0.2%	\$918	\$970	5.7%
NV - Las Vegas	93.4%	95.2%	179	2.0%	\$1,109	\$1,150	3.7%
NV - Misc. NV	94.8%	95.4%	60	0.7%	\$957	\$985	2.9%
NV - Reno	93.5%	94.3%	79	0.8%	\$1,297	\$1,354	4.4%
Nevada Average	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.7%	\$1,137	\$1,181	3.8%
NY - Albany	94.5%	92.9%	-159	-1.7%	\$1,205	\$1,234	2.4%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.7%	95.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,008	\$1,053	4.5%
NY - Misc. NY	85.2%	95.1%	989	11.6%	\$1,003	\$1,072	6.9%
NY - New York City	94.6%	92.0%	-259	-2.8%	\$2,666	\$2,594	-2.7%
New York Average	93.7%	92.3%	-140	-1.6%	\$2,349	\$2,299	-2.1%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.1%	93.5%	-59	-0.6%	\$942	\$981	4.1%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.0%	94.3%	129	1.3%	\$886	\$918	3.6%
OH - Columbus	92.7%	93.6%	90	0.9%	\$980	\$1,014	3.5%
OH - Misc. OH	97.7%	97.5%	-20	-0.3%	\$702	\$703	0.1%
OH - Toledo	94.4%	93.5%	-89	-0.9%	\$729	\$759	4.1%
Ohio Average	93.3%	93.6%	30	0.3%	\$925	\$960	3.8%
OK - Misc. OK	86.7%	86.7%	0	0.0%	\$660	\$679	2.7%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.0%	90.0%	0	0.0%	\$768	\$782	1.8%
OK - Tulsa	92.3%	93.7%	140	1.5%	\$719	\$754	4.8%
Oklahoma Average	90.5%	91.4%	90	1.0%	\$747	\$769	3.0%
OR - Misc. OR	89.9%	99.3%	939	10.5%	\$1,053	\$1,091	3.7%
OR - Portland	93.6%	93.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,396	\$1,399	0.2%
Oregon Average	93.4%	93.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,391	\$1,395	0.2%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.3%	96.8%	150	1.6%	\$1,097	\$1,140	3.9%
PA - Misc. PA	95.1%	97.6%	250	2.6%	\$959	\$1,009	5.2%
PA - Philadelphia	94.2%	94.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,367	\$1,406	2.9%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.4%	94.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,147	\$1,154	0.6%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.5%	91.0%	-549	-5.7%	\$1,206	\$1,197	-0.8%
Pennsylvania Average	94.3%	94.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,296	\$1,332	2.7%
RI - Providence	95.9%	96.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,483	\$1,532	3.3%
Rhode Island Average	95.9%	96.5%	60	0.6%	\$1,483	\$1,532	3.3%
SC - Charleston	89.0%	88.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,232	\$1,279	3.8%
SC - Columbia	91.9%	92.6%	70	0.8%	\$947	\$998	5.4%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.2%	93.0%	280	3.1%	\$978	\$990	1.2%
SC - Misc. SC	96.0%	95.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$747	\$759	1.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	83.3%	94.0%	1069	12.9%	\$1,034	\$1,092	5.6%
South Carolina Average	89.9%	91.5%	160	1.8%	\$1,054	\$1,093	3.7%
SD - Misc. SD	95.8%	96.4%	60	0.6%	\$844	\$856	1.4%
SD - Rapid City	95.4%	97.8%	240	2.5%	\$912	\$953	4.6%
SD - Sioux Falls	91.3%	96.1%	479	5.3%	\$839	\$858	2.4%
South Dakota Averages	92.6%	96.6%	399	4.3%	\$855	\$877	2.7%



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	90.9%	92.5%	160	1.7%	\$958	\$1,026	7.1%
TN - Knoxville	94.9%	96.0%	110	1.2%	\$947	\$986	4.1%
TN - Memphis	91.4%	93.3%	190	2.1%	\$875	\$927	6.0%
TN - Misc. TN	94.3%	96.6%	230	2.4%	\$784	\$818	4.3%
TN - Nashville	92.3%	91.3%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,253	\$1,248	-0.4%
Tennessee Average	92.2%	92.6%	40	0.4%	\$1,071	\$1,095	2.2%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.6%	90.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,184	\$1,196	1.0%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.6%	90.2%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,227	\$1,230	0.3%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.5%	90.6%	10	0.0%	\$1,089	\$1,119	2.7%
TX - Abilene	90.4%	91.5%	110	1.3%	\$764	\$786	2.9%
TX - Amarillo	87.5%	89.3%	180	2.0%	\$742	\$751	1.2%
TX - Austin	90.9%	89.4%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,313	\$1,267	-3.5%
TX - Beaumont	88.7%	90.7%	200	2.2%	\$877	\$885	0.9%
TX - College Station	88.9%	87.5%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,204	\$1,223	1.6%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.1%	90.1%	0	0.1%	\$970	\$998	2.9%
TX - El Paso	94.3%	95.6%	130	1.4%	\$795	\$817	2.8%
TX - Houston	89.7%	88.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,087	\$1,081	-0.5%
TX - Laredo	93.7%	92.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$911	\$894	-1.8%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.6%	93.2%	160	1.8%	\$873	\$892	2.1%
TX - Lubbock	91.3%	91.6%	30	0.4%	\$771	\$795	3.1%
TX - Lufkin	94.9%	93.9%	-100	-1.1%	\$764	\$775	1.5%
TX - Midland-Odessa	90.0%	76.4%	-1360	-15.1%	\$1,371	\$955	-30.4%
TX - Misc. TX	91.6%	93.1%	150	1.7%	\$717	\$734	2.4%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.5%	93.3%	80	0.9%	\$778	\$800	2.8%
TX - San Angelo	96.5%	92.1%	-439	-4.5%	\$861	\$868	0.8%
TX - San Antonio	90.1%	91.0%	90	0.9%	\$1,010	\$1,018	0.8%
TX - Texarkana	91.3%	92.8%	150	1.7%	\$695	\$751	8.1%
TX - Victoria	91.4%	88.1%	-330	-3.6%	\$876	\$886	1.1%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	92.1%	93.7%	160	1.7%	\$812	\$862	6.2%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.5%	91.2%	70	0.7%	\$679	\$713	5.1%
Texas Average	90.3%	89.7%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,112	\$1,109	-0.2%
UT - Misc. UT	93.4%	97.3%	389	4.2%	\$1,013	\$1,097	8.2%
UT - Salt Lake City	90.9%	92.1%	120	1.3%	\$1,170	\$1,209	3.3%
Utah Average	90.8%	92.0%	120	1.3%	\$1,167	\$1,206	3.4%
VA - Norfolk	94.8%	96.1%	130	1.4%	\$1,123	\$1,180	5.0%
VA - Richmond	94.1%	93.6%	-49	-0.6%	\$1,155	\$1,206	4.4%
VA - Roanoke	95.9%	95.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$916	\$949	3.6%
Virginia Averages	94.6%	95.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,117	\$1,170	4.7%
WA - Misc. WA	91.9%	79.6%	-1230	-13.3%	\$913	\$935	2.4%
WA - SE Washington	95.6%	96.1%	50	0.5%	\$1,030	\$1,090	5.9%
WA - Seattle	93.5%	92.1%	-140	-1.6%	\$1,792	\$1,748	-2.4%
WA - Spokane	95.2%	97.1%	190	2.0%	\$1,006	\$1,072	6.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Washington Average</b>	93.6%	92.4%	-120	-1.4%	\$1,698	\$1,668	-1.8%
<b>WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh</b>	96.6%	97.9%	130	1.3%	\$763	\$780	2.2%
<b>WI - Madison</b>	96.6%	95.8%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,114	\$1,156	3.8%
<b>WI - Milwaukee</b>	92.4%	93.2%	80	0.8%	\$1,122	\$1,149	2.4%
<b>WI - Misc. WI</b>	96.2%	96.3%	10	0.1%	\$761	\$806	5.9%
<b>Wisconsin Average</b>	94.0%	94.7%	70	0.7%	\$1,045	\$1,076	3.0%
<b>WV - Charleston</b>	93.6%	93.6%	0	0.0%	\$904	\$923	2.1%
<b>WV - Miscellaneous</b>	95.8%	93.8%	-200	-2.1%	\$767	\$764	-0.5%
<b>West Virginia Average</b>	94.1%	93.7%	-39	-0.5%	\$877	\$891	1.6%
<b>CT - Hartford</b>	93.1%	95.1%	199	2.1%	\$1,338	\$1,386	3.6%
<b>DC - Washington</b>	93.0%	91.3%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,847	\$1,801	-2.5%
<b>DE - Miscellaneous</b>	95.7%	98.0%	230	2.5%	\$1,133	\$1,199	5.8%
<b>MT - All of Montana</b>	96.1%	92.8%	-329	-3.5%	\$932	\$1,084	16.3%
<b>NH - Concord</b>	92.4%	96.1%	369	4.0%	\$1,364	\$1,412	3.6%
<b>VT - All of Vermont</b>	97.5%	98.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,587	\$1,588	0.1%
<b>WY - All of Wyoming</b>	92.8%	92.7%	-10	-0.2%	\$947	\$917	-3.2%
<b>National Averages</b>	92.3%	92.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,353	\$1,360	0.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)