

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jan-20	Jan-21	bps	%CHG	Jan-20	Jan-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.9%	94.8%	289	3.2%	\$1,036	\$1,074	3.7%
AK - Misc. AK	82.1%	89.4%	730	8.8%	\$1,231	\$1,248	1.4%
Alaska Average	89.9%	93.6%	370	4.1%	\$1,083	\$1,115	3.0%
AL - Birmingham	92.5%	91.5%	-100	-1.0%	\$910	\$962	5.7%
AL - Huntsville	92.8%	94.3%	149	1.6%	\$837	\$907	8.4%
AL - Misc. AL	95.1%	93.7%	-139	-1.5%	\$681	\$720	5.8%
AL - Mobile	89.0%	92.1%	310	3.5%	\$843	\$904	7.1%
AL - Montgomery	89.7%	93.3%	360	4.0%	\$779	\$809	3.9%
Alabama Average	91.5%	92.3%	80	0.9%	\$853	\$907	6.4%
AR - Little Rock	92.2%	90.9%	-130	-1.3%	\$759	\$793	4.5%
AR - Misc. AR	92.1%	95.2%	309	3.3%	\$590	\$604	2.4%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	91.2%	87.6%	-360	-4.0%	\$714	\$741	3.8%
Arkansas Average	91.9%	90.1%	-180	-1.9%	\$726	\$756	4.1%
AZ - Flagstaff	95.7%	96.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,327	\$1,379	3.9%
AZ - Misc. AZ	95.6%	97.6%	200	2.1%	\$818	\$888	8.5%
AZ - Phoenix	93.1%	93.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,184	\$1,247	5.3%
AZ - Tucson	94.2%	95.0%	80	0.9%	\$840	\$898	6.9%
Arizona Average	93.3%	93.4%	10	0.1%	\$1,123	\$1,186	5.6%
CA - Central Coast	95.1%	94.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,964	\$2,036	3.7%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.6%	91.4%	-120	-1.2%	\$2,248	\$2,204	-2.0%
CA - Misc. CA	98.2%	96.5%	-170	-1.7%	\$1,046	\$1,084	3.6%
CA - Sacramento	94.1%	95.9%	180	2.0%	\$1,478	\$1,571	6.3%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.2%	97.0%	379	4.1%	\$1,583	\$1,696	7.1%
CA - San Diego	93.9%	94.8%	90	1.0%	\$1,998	\$2,013	0.7%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.2%	88.5%	-370	-4.0%	\$2,772	\$2,517	-9.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.4%	97.2%	180	1.9%	\$1,145	\$1,230	7.5%
California Average	93.1%	92.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$2,125	\$2,081	-2.1%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	91.4%	91.5%	10	0.2%	\$1,468	\$1,465	-0.2%
CO - Misc. CO	94.3%	98.7%	440	4.7%	\$1,167	\$1,252	7.3%
Colorado Average	91.3%	91.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,463	\$1,462	-0.1%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	93.6%	90.3%	-330	-3.5%	\$1,671	\$1,709	2.3%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	85.2%	86.7%	150	1.7%	\$1,323	\$1,345	1.7%
FL - Gainesville	95.7%	91.8%	-389	-4.1%	\$1,254	\$1,258	0.3%
FL - Jacksonville	91.6%	92.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,099	\$1,147	4.4%
FL - Melbourne	93.7%	91.2%	-250	-2.7%	\$1,156	\$1,215	5.1%
FL - Miami	90.4%	87.7%	-270	-3.0%	\$1,761	\$1,776	0.8%
FL - Orlando	91.4%	90.6%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,327	\$1,289	-2.9%
FL - Palm Beach	93.1%	91.8%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,702	\$1,736	1.9%
FL - Pensacola	93.3%	94.8%	149	1.6%	\$1,151	\$1,203	4.5%
FL - Tallahassee	92.0%	93.9%	189	2.1%	\$1,003	\$1,025	2.2%
FL - Tampa	91.7%	92.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,253	\$1,302	3.9%
Florida Average	91.8%	91.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,362	\$1,387	1.9%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	90.8%	92.7%	190	2.1%	\$718	\$764	6.3%
GA - Atlanta	92.1%	92.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,263	\$1,306	3.4%
GA - Augusta	90.6%	94.3%	369	4.0%	\$890	\$932	4.8%
GA - Columbus	94.7%	94.9%	20	0.2%	\$856	\$900	5.1%
GA - Macon	93.1%	95.6%	249	2.7%	\$840	\$885	5.2%
GA - Savannah	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,065	\$1,119	5.1%
Georgia Average	92.1%	92.3%	20	0.3%	\$1,195	\$1,240	3.7%
HI - Honolulu	94.4%	95.4%	100	1.0%	\$1,809	\$1,884	4.1%
Hawaii Average	94.4%	90.9%	-349	-3.7%	\$1,809	\$1,884	4.1%
IA - Des Moines	91.4%	90.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$908	\$919	1.3%
IA - Misc. IA	97.4%	94.2%	-320	-3.3%	\$800	\$837	4.7%
Iowa Average	90.9%	88.5%	-240	-2.7%	\$900	\$913	1.4%
ID - Boise	94.7%	94.5%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,110	\$1,220	9.9%
ID - Misc. ID	98.3%	97.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$740	\$759	2.6%
Idaho Average	94.9%	94.6%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,090	\$1,196	9.8%
IL - Chicago	91.6%	89.4%	-220	-2.3%	\$1,591	\$1,531	-3.8%
IL - Misc. IL	77.3%	88.4%	1109	14.4%	\$645	\$632	-2.1%
IL - Moline	93.7%	93.2%	-50	-0.5%	\$762	\$779	2.2%
IL - Springfield	92.5%	94.1%	159	1.7%	\$758	\$786	3.7%
Illinois Average	91.6%	89.9%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,488	\$1,438	-3.3%
IN - Evansville	93.3%	95.1%	179	1.9%	\$744	\$776	4.3%
IN - Fort Wayne	90.2%	94.2%	399	4.4%	\$769	\$798	3.8%
IN - Indianapolis	92.5%	93.1%	60	0.7%	\$909	\$939	3.3%
IN - Misc. IN	96.3%	98.1%	180	1.8%	\$781	\$829	6.2%
IN - South Bend	88.8%	92.6%	380	4.2%	\$859	\$911	6.1%
Indiana Average	92.1%	93.3%	120	1.3%	\$880	\$913	3.7%
KS - Misc. KS	91.4%	93.1%	170	1.8%	\$606	\$610	0.6%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.7%	91.6%	90	1.1%	\$773	\$784	1.4%
KS - Wichita	91.7%	93.6%	190	2.1%	\$686	\$709	3.3%
Kansas Average	91.3%	92.7%	140	1.6%	\$721	\$737	2.3%
KY - Lexington	91.7%	94.0%	229	2.6%	\$825	\$866	5.0%
KY - Louisville	89.5%	90.9%	140	1.6%	\$922	\$945	2.5%
KY - Misc. KY	94.9%	95.3%	40	0.4%	\$636	\$659	3.7%
Kentucky Average	90.2%	91.9%	170	1.8%	\$886	\$915	3.3%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.6%	90.1%	250	2.8%	\$909	\$951	4.6%
LA - Lake Charles	88.6%	82.7%	-590	-6.6%	\$920	\$978	6.3%
LA - Misc. LA	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.3%	\$765	\$813	6.3%
LA - Monroe	89.9%	94.7%	479	5.4%	\$774	\$803	3.7%
LA - New Orleans	91.2%	90.5%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,027	\$1,045	1.7%
LA - Shreveport	89.9%	92.2%	230	2.6%	\$801	\$822	2.6%
Louisiana Average	89.5%	90.5%	100	1.1%	\$930	\$960	3.1%
MA - Boston	92.7%	90.1%	-260	-2.9%	\$2,288	\$2,181	-4.7%



*Thank you for three decades of
building business and personal
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	96.9%	97.7%	80	0.8%	\$1,229	\$1,293	5.2%
Massachusetts Average	92.9%	90.4%	-250	-2.7%	\$2,239	\$2,141	-4.4%
MD - Baltimore	93.1%	94.7%	159	1.7%	\$1,363	\$1,396	2.4%
MD - Misc. MD	95.2%	98.8%	360	3.8%	\$1,052	\$1,110	5.5%
Maryland Average	93.1%	94.7%	159	1.7%	\$1,356	\$1,389	2.4%
ME - Augusta/Portland	92.1%	97.3%	519	5.7%	\$1,441	\$1,501	4.2%
ME - Misc. ME	97.9%	98.0%	10	0.1%	\$826	\$847	2.5%
Maine Average	92.7%	97.4%	469	5.1%	\$1,376	\$1,439	4.5%
MI - Detroit	94.5%	94.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,013	\$1,057	4.3%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	93.9%	95.5%	160	1.6%	\$925	\$977	5.7%
MI - Misc. MI	93.9%	94.9%	100	1.1%	\$856	\$894	4.4%
Michigan Average	94.2%	94.7%	50	0.5%	\$990	\$1,035	4.6%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	95.0%	91.9%	-309	-3.2%	\$1,313	\$1,311	-0.1%
MN - Misc. MN	93.9%	97.6%	370	4.0%	\$1,020	\$1,033	1.3%
Minnesota Average	94.6%	91.7%	-289	-3.0%	\$1,304	\$1,303	-0.1%
MO - Columbia	94.7%	94.0%	-70	-0.8%	\$703	\$718	2.1%
MO - Kansas City	91.6%	90.6%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,015	\$1,034	1.8%
MO - Misc. MO	93.2%	90.5%	-270	-2.8%	\$578	\$601	3.9%
MO - Springfield	95.4%	95.9%	50	0.5%	\$700	\$730	4.3%
MO - St. Louis	91.5%	92.4%	90	1.1%	\$980	\$1,020	4.1%
Missouri Average	91.7%	91.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$969	\$997	2.9%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.9%	93.3%	140	1.5%	\$778	\$814	4.6%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.6%	93.5%	90	1.0%	\$850	\$897	5.5%
MS - Misc. MS	91.5%	94.5%	299	3.2%	\$822	\$847	3.0%
Mississippi Average	92.2%	93.7%	150	1.6%	\$825	\$864	4.7%
NC - Asheville	88.4%	92.0%	360	4.0%	\$1,179	\$1,233	4.6%
NC - Charlotte	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,173	\$1,205	2.8%
NC - Fayetteville	93.5%	97.1%	359	3.9%	\$857	\$921	7.5%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.1%	94.9%	179	1.9%	\$869	\$911	4.9%
NC - Misc. NC	95.4%	96.9%	150	1.6%	\$1,090	\$1,078	-1.1%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.5%	92.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,136	\$1,164	2.5%
NC - Wilmington	93.0%	88.6%	-440	-4.8%	\$1,030	\$1,075	4.4%
North Carolina Average	92.0%	92.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,091	\$1,128	3.4%
ND - Bismarck	86.9%	91.3%	440	5.1%	\$988	\$942	-4.6%
ND - Fargo	94.5%	95.3%	80	0.8%	\$782	\$807	3.1%
ND - Misc. ND	92.3%	77.6%	-1470	-15.8%	\$1,036	\$919	-11.3%
North Dakota Average	91.8%	86.0%	-580	-6.4%	\$908	\$870	-4.2%
NE - Lincoln	95.0%	94.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$874	\$895	2.4%
NE - Misc. NE	97.1%	97.2%	10	0.0%	\$721	\$720	-0.2%
NE - Omaha	93.4%	93.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$935	\$962	2.9%
Nebraska Average	93.8%	93.4%	-39	-0.4%	\$917	\$943	2.8%
NM - Albuquerque	93.9%	94.8%	90	0.9%	\$905	\$966	6.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	90.7%	83.7%	-700	-7.7%	\$1,113	\$1,035	-7.1%
New Mexico Average	93.7%	93.9%	19	0.2%	\$924	\$972	5.3%
NV - Las Vegas	93.2%	95.4%	219	2.4%	\$1,109	\$1,151	3.9%
NV - Misc. NV	94.1%	94.4%	30	0.4%	\$957	\$989	3.4%
NV - Reno	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.2%	\$1,293	\$1,363	5.4%
Nevada Average	93.2%	95.2%	199	2.2%	\$1,137	\$1,184	4.2%
NY - Albany	94.6%	91.5%	-309	-3.2%	\$1,199	\$1,241	3.5%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.7%	95.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,011	\$1,057	4.5%
NY - Misc. NY	85.5%	96.2%	1069	12.5%	\$999	\$1,065	6.7%
NY - New York City	94.6%	91.7%	-289	-3.1%	\$2,667	\$2,587	-3.0%
New York Average	93.7%	91.3%	-240	-2.5%	\$2,349	\$2,294	-2.4%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.1%	93.6%	-49	-0.5%	\$946	\$983	3.9%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.1%	94.3%	119	1.3%	\$886	\$920	3.7%
OH - Columbus	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.7%	\$984	\$1,017	3.4%
OH - Misc. OH	96.7%	99.5%	280	2.9%	\$710	\$710	0.0%
OH - Toledo	94.3%	92.5%	-179	-1.9%	\$727	\$767	5.5%
Ohio Average	93.3%	93.6%	30	0.3%	\$927	\$963	3.8%
OK - Misc. OK	90.9%	85.1%	-580	-6.4%	\$663	\$682	2.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.5%	89.8%	30	0.4%	\$767	\$781	1.8%
OK - Tulsa	91.6%	93.9%	229	2.5%	\$724	\$756	4.5%
Oklahoma Average	90.0%	91.4%	140	1.6%	\$748	\$770	2.9%
OR - Misc. OR	90.6%	99.1%	849	9.4%	\$1,063	\$1,092	2.7%
OR - Portland	93.2%	93.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,399	\$1,400	0.0%
Oregon Average	93.1%	92.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,395	\$1,396	0.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.0%	96.7%	170	1.8%	\$1,100	\$1,144	4.0%
PA - Misc. PA	94.9%	97.6%	270	2.9%	\$953	\$1,014	6.4%
PA - Philadelphia	94.1%	94.3%	20	0.2%	\$1,372	\$1,410	2.8%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.3%	94.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,152	\$1,157	0.4%
PA - State College/Altoona	95.9%	91.0%	-489	-5.0%	\$1,206	\$1,225	1.6%
Pennsylvania Average	94.2%	94.5%	30	0.2%	\$1,301	\$1,335	2.7%
RI - Providence	96.1%	96.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,475	\$1,545	4.7%
Rhode Island Average	96.1%	96.5%	40	0.4%	\$1,475	\$1,545	4.7%
SC - Charleston	88.8%	88.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,231	\$1,287	4.5%
SC - Columbia	91.8%	93.3%	150	1.6%	\$955	\$1,001	4.8%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.0%	92.6%	260	2.8%	\$978	\$994	1.6%
SC - Misc. SC	94.5%	94.4%	-10	0.0%	\$736	\$733	-0.4%
SC - Myrtle Beach	83.2%	92.8%	960	11.4%	\$1,016	\$1,102	8.4%
South Carolina Average	89.7%	91.3%	160	1.9%	\$1,055	\$1,098	4.1%
SD - Misc. SD	96.4%	97.3%	90	1.0%	\$845	\$858	1.5%
SD - Rapid City	95.6%	98.0%	240	2.5%	\$910	\$957	5.2%
SD - Sioux Falls	91.2%	95.1%	389	4.3%	\$845	\$860	1.8%
South Dakota Average	92.6%	96.1%	349	3.7%	\$859	\$880	2.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	90.4%	92.7%	230	2.5%	\$961	\$1,039	8.1%
TN - Knoxville	94.8%	96.0%	120	1.3%	\$947	\$990	4.5%
TN - Memphis	90.8%	93.4%	260	2.9%	\$875	\$930	6.3%
TN - Misc. TN	94.7%	96.9%	220	2.4%	\$779	\$815	4.6%
TN - Nashville	92.6%	91.2%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,254	\$1,248	-0.5%
Tennessee Average	92.1%	92.6%	50	0.5%	\$1,072	\$1,097	2.4%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.3%	90.3%	0	-0.1%	\$1,187	\$1,201	1.2%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.4%	90.3%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,229	\$1,237	0.6%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.3%	90.3%	0	0.1%	\$1,092	\$1,121	2.7%
TX - Abilene	91.6%	89.5%	-210	-2.3%	\$765	\$785	2.7%
TX - Amarillo	88.2%	89.9%	170	1.8%	\$745	\$750	0.6%
TX - Austin	90.5%	89.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,319	\$1,271	-3.7%
TX - Beaumont	87.7%	90.0%	230	2.6%	\$883	\$883	0.0%
TX - College Station	87.8%	86.1%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,229	\$1,219	-0.9%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.0%	89.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$960	\$1,000	4.2%
TX - El Paso	94.4%	95.4%	100	1.0%	\$798	\$816	2.3%
TX - Houston	89.5%	88.6%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,087	\$1,083	-0.4%
TX - Laredo	93.6%	92.2%	-140	-1.6%	\$915	\$897	-1.9%
TX - Longview/Tyler	90.9%	93.2%	230	2.5%	\$873	\$899	3.1%
TX - Lubbock	90.6%	91.5%	90	1.0%	\$773	\$794	2.8%
TX - Lufkin	93.4%	93.8%	39	0.4%	\$762	\$775	1.7%
TX - Midland-Odessa	86.9%	76.8%	-1009	-11.6%	\$1,369	\$953	-30.4%
TX - Misc. TX	91.4%	92.7%	130	1.4%	\$718	\$738	2.8%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	93.1%	93.5%	40	0.4%	\$782	\$798	2.1%
TX - San Angelo	96.2%	91.5%	-469	-4.9%	\$871	\$870	-0.2%
TX - San Antonio	89.9%	90.7%	80	0.8%	\$1,008	\$1,022	1.4%
TX - Texarkana	92.3%	94.1%	179	1.9%	\$690	\$749	8.7%
TX - Victoria	91.4%	89.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$869	\$891	2.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	92.7%	93.7%	100	1.1%	\$814	\$868	6.6%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.6%	91.6%	200	2.2%	\$684	\$710	3.7%
Texas Average	90.1%	89.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,114	\$1,113	-0.1%
UT - Misc. UT	79.9%	98.3%	1839	23.1%	\$1,028	\$1,098	6.8%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.4%	92.8%	140	1.6%	\$1,172	\$1,213	3.5%
Utah Average	91.2%	92.9%	170	1.8%	\$1,168	\$1,211	3.6%
VA - Norfolk	94.5%	96.1%	160	1.7%	\$1,125	\$1,183	5.1%
VA - Richmond	93.9%	93.5%	-39	-0.4%	\$1,149	\$1,210	5.3%
VA - Roanoke	95.4%	95.7%	30	0.2%	\$920	\$951	3.3%
Virginia Average	94.3%	94.9%	60	0.7%	\$1,116	\$1,173	5.1%
WA - Misc. WA	91.8%	78.5%	-1330	-14.5%	\$925	\$940	1.6%
WA - SE Washington	95.1%	96.6%	150	1.5%	\$1,035	\$1,087	5.1%
WA - Seattle	93.4%	92.0%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,797	\$1,746	-2.9%
WA - Spokane	95.2%	97.2%	200	2.1%	\$1,006	\$1,074	6.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
Washington Average	93.6%	92.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,703	\$1,666	-2.2%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	96.7%	97.8%	110	1.2%	\$763	\$781	2.4%
WI - Madison	96.9%	96.1%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,117	\$1,156	3.5%
WI - Milwaukee	92.0%	92.8%	80	0.9%	\$1,124	\$1,155	2.7%
WI - Misc. WI	94.9%	96.3%	140	1.5%	\$762	\$806	5.8%
Wisconsin Average	94.2%	94.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,048	\$1,080	3.1%
WV - Charleston	93.5%	93.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$915	\$924	1.0%
WV - Miscellaneous	96.9%	93.2%	-369	-3.8%	\$766	\$764	-0.2%
West Virginia Average	94.6%	93.3%	-129	-1.4%	\$886	\$892	0.7%
CT - Hartford	93.7%	94.9%	119	1.2%	\$1,338	\$1,390	3.9%
DC - Washington	92.8%	91.3%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,850	\$1,801	-2.7%
DE - Miscellaneous	94.7%	97.9%	320	3.4%	\$1,129	\$1,210	7.2%
MT - All of Montana	95.7%	93.3%	-239	-2.4%	\$930	\$1,106	18.9%
NH - Concord	90.6%	96.0%	539	5.9%	\$1,365	\$1,421	4.1%
VT - All of Vermont	98.0%	98.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,576	\$1,584	0.5%
WY - All of Wyoming	93.2%	93.2%	0	0.0%	\$959	\$920	-4.1%
National Average	92.1%	91.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,356	\$1,362	0.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com