

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jul-20	Jul-21	bps	%CHG	Jul-20	Jul-21	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	93.6%	97.7%	409	4.3%	\$1,041	\$1,103	6.0%
AK - Misc. AK	91.8%	91.1%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,239	\$1,284	3.7%
Alaska Average	93.3%	96.1%	279	3.0%	\$1,101	\$1,147	4.2%
AL - Birmingham	91.2%	93.2%	200	2.3%	\$935	\$1,034	10.6%
AL - Huntsville	94.1%	93.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$867	\$979	12.8%
AL - Misc. AL	95.0%	95.2%	20	0.2%	\$693	\$768	10.9%
AL - Mobile	90.2%	95.4%	519	5.8%	\$862	\$991	14.9%
AL - Montgomery	92.8%	94.8%	199	2.1%	\$791	\$863	9.1%
Alabama Average	91.8%	93.8%	199	2.2%	\$875	\$979	11.9%
AR - Little Rock	92.1%	93.0%	90	0.9%	\$772	\$832	7.7%
AR - Misc. AR	93.5%	96.3%	279	3.0%	\$600	\$640	6.8%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.6%	93.4%	380	4.2%	\$722	\$780	8.0%
Arkansas Average	91.4%	93.4%	200	2.2%	\$738	\$795	7.8%
AZ - Flagstaff	90.0%	96.7%	669	7.4%	\$1,344	\$1,546	15.0%
AZ - Misc. AZ	96.4%	96.5%	10	0.1%	\$837	\$933	11.5%
AZ - Phoenix	92.8%	94.5%	169	1.9%	\$1,195	\$1,416	18.5%
AZ - Tucson	94.5%	95.6%	110	1.1%	\$850	\$999	17.6%
Arizona Average	93.0%	94.4%	139	1.5%	\$1,134	\$1,343	18.4%
CA - Central Coast	94.2%	96.4%	220	2.4%	\$1,961	\$2,167	10.5%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	91.5%	93.4%	190	2.2%	\$2,222	\$2,315	4.2%
CA - Misc. CA	94.7%	97.7%	300	3.1%	\$1,064	\$1,117	5.0%
CA - Sacramento	94.9%	96.4%	150	1.6%	\$1,510	\$1,721	14.0%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	94.2%	97.8%	360	3.7%	\$1,602	\$1,873	16.9%
CA - San Diego	93.4%	95.9%	249	2.7%	\$1,987	\$2,164	8.9%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	89.9%	90.4%	50	0.5%	\$2,714	\$2,647	-2.5%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.4%	98.3%	190	2.0%	\$1,175	\$1,323	12.5%
California Average	92.3%	93.9%	159	1.7%	\$2,109	\$2,212	4.9%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.7%	94.3%	359	3.9%	\$1,480	\$1,638	10.7%
CO - Misc. CO	84.8%	96.9%	1210	14.3%	\$1,154	\$1,355	17.4%
Colorado Average	90.6%	94.2%	359	4.0%	\$1,474	\$1,633	10.8%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.0%	94.3%	429	4.7%	\$1,687	\$1,895	12.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	83.7%	92.7%	900	10.7%	\$1,295	\$1,520	17.3%
FL - Gainesville	94.1%	91.9%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,248	\$1,328	6.4%
FL - Jacksonville	90.3%	94.4%	409	4.5%	\$1,113	\$1,298	16.7%
FL - Melbourne	89.4%	94.2%	479	5.4%	\$1,168	\$1,356	16.1%
FL - Miami	86.4%	91.4%	500	5.8%	\$1,758	\$1,955	11.2%
FL - Orlando	90.2%	93.2%	300	3.3%	\$1,301	\$1,462	12.4%
FL - Palm Beach	91.2%	95.1%	389	4.3%	\$1,674	\$1,940	15.9%
FL - Pensacola	90.4%	92.4%	200	2.2%	\$1,155	\$1,353	17.1%
FL - Tallahassee	92.0%	93.1%	110	1.2%	\$1,007	\$1,108	10.1%
FL - Tampa	91.7%	94.8%	309	3.4%	\$1,257	\$1,469	16.9%
Florida Average	90.2%	93.6%	340	3.8%	\$1,360	\$1,554	14.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	91.8%	92.9%	110	1.1%	\$735	\$793	7.9%
GA - Atlanta	91.1%	94.2%	309	3.5%	\$1,265	\$1,439	13.7%
GA - Augusta	92.5%	93.5%	100	1.1%	\$904	\$1,013	12.1%
GA - Columbus	93.8%	95.9%	210	2.2%	\$881	\$951	8.0%
GA - Macon	94.4%	96.7%	230	2.3%	\$853	\$954	11.8%
GA - Savannah	90.3%	95.2%	489	5.5%	\$1,094	\$1,232	12.7%
Georgia Average	91.3%	94.2%	289	3.2%	\$1,202	\$1,362	13.3%
HI - Honolulu	88.0%	91.1%	310	3.6%	\$1,847	\$2,020	9.4%
Hawaii Average	88.0%	84.4%	-360	-4.1%	\$1,847	\$2,020	9.4%
IA - Des Moines	92.3%	90.9%	-140	-1.4%	\$918	\$949	3.4%
IA - Misc. IA	96.6%	90.8%	-579	-6.0%	\$831	\$900	8.3%
Iowa Average	92.5%	90.9%	-160	-1.7%	\$911	\$945	3.7%
ID - Boise	95.6%	91.9%	-369	-3.9%	\$1,140	\$1,408	23.4%
ID - Misc. ID	84.1%	97.0%	1290	15.3%	\$733	\$804	9.7%
Idaho Average	94.9%	92.1%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,118	\$1,378	23.3%
IL - Chicago	90.8%	92.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,606	\$1,666	3.7%
IL - Misc. IL	79.4%	84.3%	489	6.2%	\$637	\$649	1.9%
IL - Moline	94.6%	96.2%	160	1.7%	\$771	\$800	3.8%
IL - Springfield	92.0%	95.1%	309	3.3%	\$777	\$831	6.9%
Illinois Average	90.8%	92.5%	170	1.9%	\$1,502	\$1,561	4.0%
IN - Evansville	92.7%	95.4%	269	3.0%	\$759	\$809	6.7%
IN - Fort Wayne	90.7%	95.7%	499	5.6%	\$775	\$856	10.5%
IN - Indianapolis	92.1%	94.8%	269	2.8%	\$923	\$1,000	8.4%
IN - Misc. IN	96.8%	96.7%	-10	-0.1%	\$813	\$848	4.3%
IN - South Bend	90.4%	93.6%	320	3.5%	\$859	\$1,000	16.5%
Indiana Average	91.9%	94.6%	269	2.9%	\$892	\$975	9.3%
KS - Misc. KS	90.8%	95.2%	439	4.9%	\$609	\$627	2.9%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.0%	92.4%	240	2.7%	\$778	\$797	2.5%
KS - Wichita	93.2%	95.0%	179	1.9%	\$698	\$743	6.3%
Kansas Average	91.9%	93.9%	199	2.2%	\$728	\$761	4.5%
KY - Lexington	92.9%	96.5%	359	3.9%	\$846	\$931	10.0%
KY - Louisville	90.3%	93.2%	290	3.2%	\$935	\$985	5.4%
KY - Misc. KY	96.5%	93.5%	-299	-3.1%	\$654	\$671	2.6%
Kentucky Average	91.2%	94.2%	299	3.3%	\$902	\$962	6.6%
LA - Baton Rouge	88.8%	91.8%	300	3.4%	\$920	\$994	8.0%
LA - Lake Charles	81.6%	90.8%	920	11.2%	\$880	\$1,074	22.1%
LA - Misc. LA	91.3%	94.6%	329	3.6%	\$796	\$830	4.3%
LA - Monroe	93.6%	95.3%	169	1.8%	\$778	\$844	8.5%
LA - New Orleans	90.0%	92.4%	240	2.7%	\$1,044	\$1,086	4.0%
LA - Shreveport	90.6%	93.5%	290	3.2%	\$816	\$885	8.5%
Louisiana Average	89.4%	92.3%	290	3.3%	\$943	\$1,007	6.8%
MA - Boston	91.3%	91.1%	-20	-0.3%	\$2,282	\$2,345	2.8%



*Thank you for three decades of
building business and personal
relationships. Here's to thirty more!*

ALN

APARTMENT DATA

www.alndata.com

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.1%	97.3%	20	0.2%	\$1,243	\$1,328	6.9%
Massachusetts Average	91.5%	91.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,236	\$2,300	2.9%
MD - Baltimore	93.5%	95.3%	179	1.9%	\$1,375	\$1,476	7.4%
MD - Misc. MD	97.6%	99.2%	160	1.6%	\$1,079	\$1,220	13.1%
Maryland Average	93.6%	95.4%	179	1.9%	\$1,368	\$1,470	7.5%
ME - Augusta/Portland	95.3%	93.6%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,486	\$1,661	11.8%
Maine Average	95.3%	93.6%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,418	\$1,588	11.9%
MI - Detroit	94.3%	96.2%	190	2.1%	\$1,031	\$1,118	8.4%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.0%	97.3%	230	2.4%	\$944	\$1,054	11.6%
MI - Misc. MI	92.0%	94.7%	269	2.9%	\$866	\$950	9.8%
Michigan Average	94.1%	96.2%	210	2.2%	\$1,008	\$1,100	9.0%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.0%	92.8%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,324	\$1,351	2.1%
MN - Misc. MN	94.5%	98.6%	410	4.4%	\$1,021	\$1,087	6.4%
Minnesota Average	94.0%	92.5%	-149	-1.6%	\$1,315	\$1,344	2.2%
MO - Columbia	95.0%	93.3%	-169	-1.8%	\$756	\$840	11.2%
MO - Kansas City	91.1%	93.0%	190	2.1%	\$1,030	\$1,091	6.0%
MO - Misc. MO	92.0%	94.1%	209	2.3%	\$583	\$621	6.6%
MO - Springfield	95.2%	97.7%	250	2.6%	\$717	\$773	7.8%
MO - St. Louis	92.4%	94.3%	189	2.1%	\$986	\$1,069	8.4%
Missouri Average	91.9%	93.8%	189	2.1%	\$982	\$1,052	7.1%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	92.9%	94.5%	159	1.8%	\$801	\$867	8.2%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.6%	94.1%	49	0.5%	\$868	\$950	9.5%
MS - Misc. MS	92.1%	95.1%	299	3.3%	\$835	\$882	5.6%
Mississippi Average	93.1%	94.4%	129	1.5%	\$843	\$912	8.2%
NC - Asheville	89.3%	91.3%	200	2.3%	\$1,179	\$1,357	15.1%
NC - Charlotte	90.3%	92.7%	240	2.7%	\$1,175	\$1,310	11.5%
NC - Fayetteville	95.5%	96.6%	110	1.1%	\$891	\$1,028	15.3%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.2%	95.3%	209	2.2%	\$882	\$982	11.4%
NC - Misc. NC	96.2%	99.4%	320	3.3%	\$1,063	\$1,097	3.3%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.0%	94.3%	229	2.5%	\$1,157	\$1,282	10.8%
NC - Wilmington	87.3%	94.3%	699	8.1%	\$1,045	\$1,177	12.6%
North Carolina Average	91.3%	93.6%	230	2.6%	\$1,105	\$1,234	11.7%
ND - Bismarck	93.9%	93.9%	0	0.0%	\$951	\$949	-0.2%
ND - Fargo	95.5%	94.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$802	\$818	2.0%
ND - Misc. ND	83.6%	78.1%	-549	-6.5%	\$966	\$910	-5.8%
North Dakota Average	89.8%	85.8%	-400	-4.5%	\$887	\$872	-1.6%
NE - Lincoln	95.8%	96.3%	50	0.4%	\$885	\$927	4.7%
NE - Misc. NE	92.3%	96.0%	369	4.0%	\$733	\$727	-0.8%
NE - Omaha	93.3%	95.7%	239	2.6%	\$949	\$1,006	6.0%
Nebraska Average	93.6%	95.4%	179	1.9%	\$931	\$983	5.6%
NM - Albuquerque	95.1%	95.6%	50	0.6%	\$930	\$1,088	17.0%
NM - Misc. NM	83.8%	87.3%	350	4.2%	\$1,070	\$1,077	0.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
New Mexico Average	94.2%	94.6%	40	0.4%	\$943	\$1,087	15.3%
NV - Las Vegas	93.5%	95.9%	239	2.6%	\$1,111	\$1,296	16.7%
NV - Misc. NV	98.3%	86.6%	-1170	-12.0%	\$979	\$1,049	7.2%
NV - Reno	93.3%	96.7%	339	3.6%	\$1,313	\$1,502	14.5%
Nevada Average	93.5%	95.2%	169	1.8%	\$1,142	\$1,328	16.3%
NY - Albany	92.5%	94.4%	189	2.0%	\$1,215	\$1,293	6.4%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.6%	95.2%	60	0.6%	\$1,034	\$1,098	6.2%
NY - Misc. NY	87.6%	96.4%	879	10.1%	\$1,031	\$1,103	6.9%
NY - New York City	93.0%	92.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$2,673	\$2,648	-0.9%
New York Average	92.6%	92.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$2,358	\$2,353	-0.2%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	92.7%	95.3%	259	2.8%	\$964	\$1,034	7.2%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	94.0%	95.0%	100	1.1%	\$904	\$961	6.3%
OH - Columbus	93.8%	93.8%	0	0.0%	\$999	\$1,079	8.0%
OH - Misc. OH	97.2%	98.4%	120	1.2%	\$708	\$720	1.7%
OH - Toledo	93.5%	95.4%	189	2.0%	\$751	\$804	7.1%
Ohio Average	93.5%	94.5%	99	1.1%	\$945	\$1,014	7.3%
OK - Misc. OK	88.2%	88.2%	0	-0.1%	\$649	\$694	6.9%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.8%	93.2%	340	3.7%	\$772	\$827	7.2%
OK - Tulsa	92.6%	94.8%	219	2.4%	\$737	\$801	8.7%
Oklahoma Average	91.0%	93.8%	279	3.1%	\$756	\$814	7.8%
OR - Misc. OR	96.5%	99.2%	270	2.9%	\$1,065	\$1,189	11.6%
OR - Portland	92.8%	95.0%	219	2.4%	\$1,398	\$1,496	7.0%
Oregon Average	92.8%	95.0%	219	2.4%	\$1,394	\$1,492	7.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.8%	97.5%	170	1.8%	\$1,121	\$1,213	8.2%
PA - Misc. PA	96.1%	98.4%	230	2.4%	\$972	\$1,089	12.0%
PA - Philadelphia	93.4%	95.3%	189	1.9%	\$1,387	\$1,490	7.4%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.8%	94.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,161	\$1,210	4.3%
PA - State College/Altoona	95.7%	90.9%	-479	-5.0%	\$1,134	\$1,154	1.8%
Pennsylvania Average	93.8%	95.3%	150	1.6%	\$1,316	\$1,410	7.1%
RI - Providence	96.2%	97.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,507	\$1,633	8.4%
Rhode Island Average	96.2%	97.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,507	\$1,633	8.4%
SC - Charleston	86.9%	91.6%	470	5.4%	\$1,242	\$1,417	14.1%
SC - Columbia	91.6%	95.1%	349	3.8%	\$972	\$1,080	11.1%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	91.0%	93.7%	270	3.0%	\$981	\$1,104	12.6%
SC - Misc. SC	92.1%	95.1%	299	3.3%	\$746	\$774	3.7%
SC - Myrtle Beach	87.5%	95.5%	799	9.1%	\$1,024	\$1,202	17.5%
South Carolina Average	89.4%	93.2%	380	4.3%	\$1,065	\$1,208	13.4%
SD - Misc. SD	96.6%	99.0%	240	2.5%	\$853	\$871	2.1%
SD - Rapid City	96.6%	99.6%	300	3.1%	\$927	\$1,016	9.7%
SD - Sioux Falls	92.3%	93.4%	110	1.2%	\$849	\$897	5.7%
South Dakota Average	93.8%	95.3%	150	1.7%	\$865	\$918	6.2%
TN - Chattanooga	90.4%	95.5%	509	5.6%	\$994	\$1,117	12.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Knoxville	94.7%	96.1%	140	1.4%	\$962	\$1,077	11.9%
TN - Memphis	93.3%	94.2%	89	1.0%	\$897	\$999	11.4%
TN - Misc. TN	96.1%	95.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$790	\$847	7.2%
TN - Nashville	90.8%	93.9%	309	3.4%	\$1,253	\$1,353	8.0%
Tennessee Average	92.0%	94.2%	219	2.3%	\$1,082	\$1,185	9.5%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.6%	92.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,197	\$1,306	9.2%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.4%	92.3%	190	2.1%	\$1,238	\$1,349	9.0%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	91.3%	92.8%	150	1.7%	\$1,106	\$1,215	9.9%
TX - Abilene	91.8%	92.2%	40	0.4%	\$781	\$820	4.9%
TX - Amarillo	88.3%	91.6%	330	3.7%	\$752	\$787	4.6%
TX - Austin	88.8%	92.1%	330	3.7%	\$1,299	\$1,472	13.4%
TX - Beaumont	89.4%	89.5%	10	0.1%	\$888	\$912	2.8%
TX - College Station	84.2%	87.0%	280	3.3%	\$1,223	\$1,257	2.8%
TX - Corpus Christi	89.7%	91.5%	180	2.0%	\$979	\$1,043	6.5%
TX - El Paso	95.3%	96.1%	80	0.8%	\$805	\$868	7.8%
TX - Houston	88.9%	91.4%	250	2.8%	\$1,087	\$1,164	7.0%
TX - Laredo	92.0%	95.0%	299	3.3%	\$903	\$922	2.2%
TX - Longview/Tyler	92.0%	94.7%	269	3.0%	\$872	\$963	10.4%
TX - Lubbock	90.4%	92.1%	170	1.9%	\$781	\$813	4.0%
TX - Lufkin	90.2%	94.7%	449	4.9%	\$772	\$800	3.6%
TX - Midland-Odessa	74.9%	81.0%	610	8.2%	\$1,084	\$992	-8.5%
TX - Misc. TX	92.1%	94.6%	249	2.7%	\$728	\$769	5.7%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	92.7%	96.5%	379	4.1%	\$788	\$827	5.0%
TX - San Angelo	95.5%	91.6%	-389	-4.0%	\$868	\$901	3.9%
TX - San Antonio	90.3%	93.3%	300	3.4%	\$1,018	\$1,111	9.2%
TX - Texarkana	92.2%	93.6%	140	1.4%	\$712	\$753	5.8%
TX - Victoria	87.4%	91.8%	440	5.0%	\$878	\$907	3.4%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	94.6%	95.8%	120	1.2%	\$837	\$917	9.6%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.8%	94.0%	319	3.5%	\$694	\$754	8.8%
Texas Average	89.6%	91.9%	230	2.6%	\$1,115	\$1,213	8.8%
UT - Misc. UT	91.5%	88.5%	-300	-3.3%	\$1,063	\$1,251	17.7%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.8%	94.7%	289	3.2%	\$1,187	\$1,341	13.0%
Utah Average	91.3%	94.5%	319	3.5%	\$1,184	\$1,339	13.1%
VA - Norfolk	94.8%	96.8%	200	2.1%	\$1,150	\$1,271	10.6%
VA - Richmond	93.2%	95.3%	209	2.2%	\$1,174	\$1,302	11.0%
VA - Roanoke	95.5%	97.5%	200	2.1%	\$936	\$998	6.6%
Virginia Average	94.2%	96.1%	190	2.0%	\$1,140	\$1,260	10.5%
WA - Misc. WA	86.9%	77.7%	-919	-10.5%	\$937	\$971	3.7%
WA - SE Washington	96.2%	97.3%	110	1.1%	\$1,058	\$1,219	15.2%
WA - Seattle	93.0%	94.1%	109	1.1%	\$1,796	\$1,853	3.2%
WA - Spokane	93.8%	98.4%	460	4.9%	\$1,045	\$1,243	19.0%
Washington Average	93.2%	94.2%	99	1.1%	\$1,704	\$1,777	4.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.1%	96.2%	-90	-0.9%	\$778	\$815	4.7%
WI - Madison	96.1%	95.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,146	\$1,189	3.7%
WI - Milwaukee	93.3%	94.2%	89	1.0%	\$1,141	\$1,212	6.1%
WI - Misc. WI	95.8%	97.7%	190	2.0%	\$793	\$856	8.0%
Wisconsin Average	94.7%	94.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,068	\$1,125	5.3%
WV - Charleston	97.5%	96.4%	-110	-1.2%	\$913	\$936	2.6%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.5%	94.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$787	\$873	11.0%
West Virginia Average	96.8%	95.8%	-100	-1.0%	\$888	\$921	3.8%
CT - Hartford	93.4%	96.0%	259	2.8%	\$1,363	\$1,495	9.7%
DC - Washington	92.5%	92.9%	40	0.5%	\$1,855	\$1,873	1.0%
DE - Miscellaneous	97.7%	83.7%	-1400	-14.4%	\$1,179	\$1,293	9.6%
MT - All of Montana	87.7%	93.6%	590	6.8%	\$1,044	\$1,239	18.7%
NH - Concord	93.7%	94.6%	89	0.9%	\$1,386	\$1,491	7.6%
VT - All of Vermont	97.9%	100.0%	210	2.2%	\$1,587	\$1,635	3.0%
WY - All of Wyoming	92.0%	95.0%	299	3.2%	\$908	\$998	9.9%
National Average	91.7%	93.6%	190	2.1%	\$1,362	\$1,463	7.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com

Tammy Longo
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 249
Tammy@alndata.com