

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-19	Oct-20	bps	%CHG	Oct-19	Oct-20	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	93.0%	94.4%	139	1.5%	\$1,033	\$1,068	3.4%
AK - Misc. AK	83.9%	91.0%	710	8.4%	\$1,231	\$1,242	0.9%
Alaska Average	90.4%	93.7%	330	3.6%	\$1,081	\$1,109	2.6%
AL - Birmingham	93.4%	91.6%	-180	-2.0%	\$919	\$959	4.4%
AL - Huntsville	92.5%	95.1%	259	2.8%	\$812	\$890	9.6%
AL - Misc. AL	96.4%	95.4%	-100	-1.0%	\$669	\$706	5.6%
AL - Mobile	89.0%	92.1%	310	3.5%	\$842	\$898	6.7%
AL - Montgomery	91.7%	94.6%	289	3.2%	\$783	\$802	2.4%
Alabama Average	92.2%	92.8%	60	0.6%	\$851	\$899	5.7%
AR - Little Rock	92.5%	93.0%	50	0.5%	\$761	\$781	2.6%
AR - Misc. AR	93.9%	95.0%	110	1.2%	\$585	\$601	2.7%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	90.5%	87.3%	-320	-3.5%	\$720	\$745	3.5%
Arkansas Average	92.0%	91.2%	-80	-0.9%	\$730	\$751	2.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	92.5%	95.8%	329	3.5%	\$1,322	\$1,365	3.2%
AZ - Misc. AZ	95.8%	97.8%	200	2.1%	\$832	\$888	6.8%
AZ - Phoenix	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.4%	\$1,169	\$1,220	4.4%
AZ - Tucson	93.9%	94.9%	100	1.0%	\$831	\$874	5.2%
Arizona Average	92.9%	93.4%	50	0.6%	\$1,109	\$1,160	4.6%
CA - Central Coast	95.0%	94.1%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,974	\$2,014	2.0%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.4%	91.6%	-80	-1.0%	\$2,234	\$2,203	-1.4%
CA - Misc. CA	98.3%	97.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,030	\$1,072	4.1%
CA - Sacramento	94.7%	95.5%	80	0.8%	\$1,470	\$1,545	5.1%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.3%	96.2%	289	3.0%	\$1,579	\$1,656	4.9%
CA - San Diego	93.6%	94.5%	89	0.9%	\$1,996	\$2,004	0.4%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.6%	88.4%	-420	-4.5%	\$2,773	\$2,597	-6.3%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.0%	97.3%	130	1.4%	\$1,129	\$1,206	6.8%
California Average	93.3%	92.4%	-90	-0.9%	\$2,117	\$2,090	-1.3%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.5%	91.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,483	\$1,475	-0.6%
CO - Misc. CO	97.3%	97.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,115	\$1,208	8.4%
Colorado Average	90.5%	91.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,477	\$1,470	-0.5%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.9%	89.9%	-300	-3.2%	\$1,666	\$1,690	1.4%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.3%	85.7%	140	1.6%	\$1,307	\$1,307	0.0%
FL - Gainesville	93.8%	92.4%	-139	-1.5%	\$1,242	\$1,239	-0.3%
FL - Jacksonville	92.0%	92.0%	0	0.0%	\$1,093	\$1,137	4.1%
FL - Melbourne	92.5%	93.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,152	\$1,184	2.8%
FL - Miami	88.2%	85.3%	-290	-3.4%	\$1,761	\$1,781	1.1%
FL - Orlando	91.0%	90.3%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,330	\$1,290	-3.0%
FL - Palm Beach	92.4%	91.1%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,686	\$1,693	0.4%
FL - Pensacola	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,154	\$1,189	3.1%
FL - Tallahassee	94.1%	94.8%	70	0.7%	\$992	\$1,021	2.8%
FL - Tampa	91.6%	92.7%	110	1.2%	\$1,243	\$1,272	2.3%
Florida Average	91.4%	90.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,356	\$1,371	1.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-19	Oct-20	bps	%CHG	Oct-19	Oct-20	%CHG
GA - Albany	93.1%	93.5%	40	0.4%	\$712	\$750	5.4%
GA - Atlanta	91.6%	92.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,268	\$1,289	1.7%
GA - Augusta	90.2%	95.0%	479	5.3%	\$884	\$920	4.1%
GA - Columbus	95.4%	96.0%	60	0.7%	\$860	\$888	3.3%
GA - Macon	93.1%	95.6%	249	2.7%	\$837	\$868	3.7%
GA - Savannah	92.4%	90.0%	-240	-2.6%	\$1,078	\$1,111	3.1%
Georgia Average	91.8%	92.3%	50	0.5%	\$1,200	\$1,224	2.0%
HI - Honolulu	96.0%	92.5%	-349	-3.6%	\$1,817	\$1,820	0.2%
Hawaii Average	96.0%	92.5%	-349	-3.6%	\$1,817	\$1,820	0.2%
IA - Des Moines	92.5%	91.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$913	\$922	0.9%
IA - Misc. IA	94.8%	97.4%	260	2.6%	\$813	\$835	2.7%
Iowa Average	92.6%	92.0%	-60	-0.6%	\$906	\$915	1.0%
ID - Boise	93.6%	93.2%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,125	\$1,201	6.7%
ID - Misc. ID	99.8%	92.5%	-729	-7.3%	\$748	\$755	0.9%
Idaho Average	93.9%	93.2%	-69	-0.7%	\$1,103	\$1,177	6.7%
IL - Chicago	90.8%	90.1%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,597	\$1,552	-2.8%
IL - Misc. IL	78.8%	84.1%	529	6.7%	\$634	\$637	0.5%
IL - Moline	93.9%	93.5%	-39	-0.4%	\$757	\$779	3.0%
IL - Springfield	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.5%	\$752	\$781	3.8%
Illinois Average	90.9%	90.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,494	\$1,456	-2.5%
IN - Evansville	95.1%	94.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$745	\$765	2.7%
IN - Fort Wayne	94.7%	93.5%	-119	-1.2%	\$764	\$793	3.8%
IN - Indianapolis	92.4%	93.2%	80	0.9%	\$903	\$932	3.2%
IN - Misc. IN	97.1%	97.3%	20	0.2%	\$789	\$807	2.4%
IN - South Bend	85.9%	93.1%	720	8.4%	\$868	\$896	3.3%
Indiana Average	92.0%	93.2%	120	1.3%	\$876	\$905	3.3%
KS - Misc. KS	90.7%	92.4%	170	1.8%	\$618	\$607	-1.8%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	89.6%	90.4%	80	1.0%	\$760	\$778	2.3%
KS - Wichita	91.0%	93.8%	279	3.0%	\$677	\$703	3.8%
Kansas Average	90.4%	92.4%	200	2.1%	\$711	\$731	2.9%
KY - Lexington	92.2%	95.2%	299	3.2%	\$831	\$859	3.4%
KY - Louisville	88.7%	90.3%	160	1.8%	\$919	\$942	2.5%
KY - Misc. KY	95.0%	95.3%	30	0.3%	\$629	\$655	4.2%
Kentucky Average	89.9%	91.8%	190	2.1%	\$886	\$912	2.9%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.2%	90.3%	310	3.6%	\$914	\$940	2.9%
LA - Lake Charles	89.1%	83.1%	-600	-6.8%	\$926	\$910	-1.8%
LA - Misc. LA	89.9%	91.6%	170	1.9%	\$774	\$814	5.1%
LA - Monroe	90.6%	95.2%	459	5.1%	\$769	\$793	3.1%
LA - New Orleans	87.8%	91.2%	340	3.8%	\$1,029	\$1,047	1.8%
LA - Shreveport	90.2%	93.2%	300	3.3%	\$808	\$826	2.2%
Louisiana Average	88.2%	90.9%	270	3.1%	\$934	\$954	2.2%
MA - Boston	92.4%	89.8%	-260	-2.8%	\$2,299	\$2,214	-3.7%

*From all of us at
ALN Apartment Data, Inc.*

HAPPY
THANKSGIVING



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-19	Oct-20	bps	%CHG	Oct-19	Oct-20	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.5%	97.3%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,185	\$1,270	7.2%
Massachusetts Average	92.6%	90.2%	-240	-2.6%	\$2,244	\$2,171	-3.2%
MD - Baltimore	93.4%	94.7%	129	1.4%	\$1,367	\$1,384	1.2%
MD - Misc. MD	95.3%	98.9%	360	3.8%	\$1,041	\$1,116	7.2%
Maryland Average	93.4%	94.7%	129	1.3%	\$1,360	\$1,377	1.3%
ME - Augusta/Portland	93.2%	98.0%	479	5.2%	\$1,465	\$1,511	3.2%
ME - Misc. ME	100.0%	98.0%	-200	-2.0%	\$826	\$842	1.9%
Maine Average	93.3%	98.0%	469	5.0%	\$1,398	\$1,448	3.6%
MI - Detroit	94.5%	95.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,017	\$1,050	3.3%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.1%	95.6%	50	0.5%	\$911	\$962	5.5%
MI - Misc. MI	92.7%	93.6%	90	0.9%	\$845	\$889	5.3%
Michigan Average	94.5%	95.1%	60	0.6%	\$990	\$1,027	3.8%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	95.0%	92.8%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,308	\$1,319	0.9%
MN - Misc. MN	93.2%	97.0%	379	4.1%	\$1,019	\$1,032	1.3%
Minnesota Average	94.8%	92.6%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,300	\$1,311	0.9%
MO - Columbia	95.4%	96.0%	60	0.7%	\$696	\$717	3.1%
MO - Kansas City	91.9%	91.0%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,014	\$1,032	1.8%
MO - Misc. MO	97.1%	91.9%	-519	-5.4%	\$565	\$600	6.2%
MO - Springfield	95.3%	96.6%	130	1.3%	\$695	\$727	4.5%
MO - St. Louis	91.6%	92.5%	90	1.0%	\$973	\$1,016	4.4%
Missouri Average	92.1%	91.7%	-40	-0.3%	\$966	\$994	3.0%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.5%	93.6%	10	0.1%	\$788	\$809	2.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.6%	93.1%	50	0.5%	\$857	\$893	4.2%
MS - Misc. MS	92.4%	93.9%	149	1.6%	\$812	\$847	4.3%
Mississippi Average	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.6%	\$828	\$860	3.9%
NC - Asheville	89.5%	92.3%	280	3.2%	\$1,190	\$1,206	1.3%
NC - Charlotte	91.3%	92.1%	80	0.9%	\$1,171	\$1,192	1.8%
NC - Fayetteville	93.9%	96.9%	300	3.1%	\$847	\$915	8.0%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.1%	94.3%	119	1.3%	\$866	\$903	4.3%
NC - Misc. NC	92.6%	96.2%	359	4.0%	\$1,082	\$1,063	-1.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.6%	92.0%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,144	\$1,162	1.6%
NC - Wilmington	94.8%	89.7%	-509	-5.4%	\$1,025	\$1,063	3.7%
North Carolina Average	92.3%	92.5%	20	0.3%	\$1,093	\$1,119	2.4%
ND - Bismarck	96.7%	93.3%	-339	-3.5%	\$965	\$943	-2.2%
ND - Fargo	95.6%	95.9%	30	0.4%	\$794	\$801	0.8%
ND - Misc. ND	92.2%	80.0%	-1220	-13.3%	\$1,041	\$938	-9.9%
North Dakota Average	93.1%	88.7%	-440	-4.7%	\$910	\$875	-3.9%
NE - Lincoln	94.9%	92.8%	-209	-2.1%	\$865	\$889	2.8%
NE - Misc. NE	98.1%	96.2%	-190	-1.9%	\$720	\$719	-0.1%
NE - Omaha	91.8%	93.6%	180	2.0%	\$939	\$958	2.0%
Nebraska Average	92.5%	93.4%	90	1.0%	\$919	\$938	2.1%
NM - Albuquerque	95.2%	95.4%	20	0.2%	\$908	\$952	4.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-19	Oct-20	bps	%CHG	Oct-19	Oct-20	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	88.6%	85.2%	-340	-3.9%	\$1,059	\$1,045	-1.3%
New Mexico Average	94.7%	94.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$922	\$961	4.2%
NV - Las Vegas	93.2%	95.3%	209	2.2%	\$1,109	\$1,142	2.9%
NV - Misc. NV	94.3%	92.4%	-189	-2.0%	\$952	\$985	3.4%
NV - Reno	92.5%	94.5%	199	2.2%	\$1,301	\$1,343	3.3%
Nevada Average	93.1%	95.1%	199	2.2%	\$1,139	\$1,173	3.0%
NY - Albany	94.5%	94.1%	-40	-0.3%	\$1,199	\$1,227	2.3%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.6%	95.2%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,010	\$1,043	3.3%
NY - Misc. NY	89.4%	92.9%	350	3.9%	\$1,017	\$1,085	6.6%
NY - New York City	93.9%	92.3%	-159	-1.7%	\$2,664	\$2,624	-1.5%
New York Average	93.0%	92.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$2,346	\$2,322	-1.0%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.1%	93.7%	-39	-0.5%	\$940	\$975	3.8%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.3%	93.7%	40	0.4%	\$886	\$914	3.1%
OH - Columbus	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.7%	\$984	\$1,012	2.9%
OH - Misc. OH	97.5%	97.9%	40	0.5%	\$698	\$700	0.3%
OH - Toledo	94.5%	93.8%	-70	-0.7%	\$719	\$758	5.5%
Ohio Average	93.4%	93.5%	10	0.1%	\$925	\$956	3.4%
OK - Misc. OK	90.5%	89.2%	-130	-1.5%	\$662	\$660	-0.4%
OK - Oklahoma City	90.0%	89.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$766	\$779	1.7%
OK - Tulsa	91.1%	93.5%	240	2.6%	\$713	\$748	4.9%
Oklahoma Average	90.5%	91.3%	80	0.9%	\$743	\$765	2.9%
OR - Misc. OR	90.9%	98.2%	729	8.1%	\$1,048	\$1,077	2.8%
OR - Portland	92.6%	92.9%	30	0.2%	\$1,399	\$1,404	0.4%
Oregon Average	92.6%	92.8%	20	0.2%	\$1,394	\$1,400	0.4%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.4%	96.8%	140	1.5%	\$1,102	\$1,136	3.1%
PA - Misc. PA	96.0%	97.1%	110	1.2%	\$956	\$1,007	5.3%
PA - Philadelphia	93.9%	94.1%	20	0.2%	\$1,368	\$1,397	2.1%
PA - Pittsburgh	94.2%	94.7%	50	0.5%	\$1,150	\$1,161	1.0%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.3%	91.0%	-529	-5.5%	\$1,210	\$1,221	0.9%
Pennsylvania Average	94.0%	94.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,298	\$1,326	2.1%
RI - Providence	96.5%	96.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,493	\$1,527	2.3%
Rhode Island Average	96.5%	96.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,493	\$1,527	2.3%
SC - Charleston	89.2%	88.7%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,236	\$1,273	3.0%
SC - Columbia	92.8%	92.9%	10	0.1%	\$945	\$989	4.6%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.7%	92.6%	190	2.1%	\$973	\$988	1.5%
SC - Misc. SC	96.1%	95.3%	-80	-0.7%	\$748	\$756	1.0%
SC - Myrtle Beach	82.9%	91.9%	900	10.8%	\$1,033	\$1,071	3.7%
South Carolina Average	90.2%	91.3%	110	1.2%	\$1,054	\$1,086	3.1%
SD - Misc. SD	94.8%	96.0%	120	1.3%	\$840	\$856	1.9%
SD - Rapid City	96.9%	97.4%	50	0.5%	\$921	\$943	2.4%
SD - Sioux Falls	92.0%	94.3%	229	2.6%	\$837	\$858	2.5%
South Dakota Average	93.4%	95.3%	189	2.0%	\$855	\$875	2.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-19	Oct-20	bps	%CHG	Oct-19	Oct-20	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	92.6%	93.4%	80	0.9%	\$967	\$1,009	4.4%
TN - Knoxville	93.6%	95.4%	179	1.9%	\$941	\$977	3.9%
TN - Memphis	90.7%	93.8%	309	3.3%	\$873	\$919	5.2%
TN - Misc. TN	92.9%	96.3%	339	3.7%	\$782	\$806	3.1%
TN - Nashville	92.5%	91.5%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,241	\$1,252	0.9%
Tennessee Average	92.0%	92.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,063	\$1,093	2.8%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.5%	90.5%	0	0.0%	\$1,185	\$1,195	0.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.7%	90.5%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,228	\$1,232	0.4%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.3%	90.8%	50	0.6%	\$1,092	\$1,115	2.1%
TX - Abilene	92.7%	91.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$765	\$786	2.7%
TX - Amarillo	89.3%	89.8%	50	0.5%	\$741	\$749	1.1%
TX - Austin	91.2%	89.6%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,328	\$1,275	-4.0%
TX - Beaumont	90.1%	91.0%	90	1.0%	\$875	\$888	1.5%
TX - College Station	86.0%	87.8%	180	2.1%	\$1,192	\$1,218	2.2%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.6%	91.2%	60	0.7%	\$969	\$997	2.9%
TX - El Paso	95.0%	96.0%	100	1.1%	\$796	\$812	2.1%
TX - Houston	90.1%	89.0%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,086	\$1,085	-0.1%
TX - Laredo	94.4%	91.8%	-259	-2.8%	\$913	\$897	-1.8%
TX - Longview/Tyler	92.8%	93.6%	80	0.9%	\$883	\$885	0.2%
TX - Lubbock	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.2%	\$771	\$786	2.0%
TX - Lufkin	94.1%	94.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$757	\$769	1.7%
TX - Midland-Odessa	90.7%	76.3%	-1440	-15.9%	\$1,426	\$992	-30.4%
TX - Misc. TX	91.2%	93.3%	210	2.2%	\$716	\$733	2.4%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	89.8%	94.2%	439	5.0%	\$782	\$797	1.9%
TX - San Angelo	96.1%	94.5%	-160	-1.6%	\$852	\$871	2.2%
TX - San Antonio	90.6%	91.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,015	\$1,020	0.5%
TX - Texarkana	90.1%	91.1%	100	1.1%	\$698	\$744	6.7%
TX - Victoria	91.6%	89.8%	-180	-1.9%	\$887	\$913	2.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	93.4%	93.8%	39	0.4%	\$812	\$862	6.2%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.0%	90.4%	40	0.4%	\$679	\$707	4.0%
Texas Average	90.5%	90.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,115	\$1,112	-0.3%
UT - Misc. UT	92.5%	96.7%	419	4.5%	\$1,008	\$1,091	8.2%
UT - Salt Lake City	89.1%	91.5%	240	2.8%	\$1,172	\$1,202	2.6%
Utah Average	89.2%	91.5%	230	2.7%	\$1,168	\$1,199	2.7%
VA - Norfolk	95.1%	95.7%	60	0.6%	\$1,126	\$1,170	3.9%
VA - Richmond	93.8%	92.9%	-89	-0.9%	\$1,153	\$1,199	3.9%
VA - Roanoke	95.6%	95.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$913	\$937	2.6%
Virginia Average	94.6%	94.6%	0	-0.1%	\$1,117	\$1,161	3.9%
WA - Misc. WA	97.0%	82.7%	-1430	-14.7%	\$910	\$934	2.7%
WA - SE Washington	94.8%	95.6%	80	0.8%	\$1,038	\$1,085	4.5%
WA - Seattle	93.3%	92.3%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,798	\$1,770	-1.6%
WA - Spokane	95.6%	96.5%	90	0.9%	\$1,008	\$1,070	6.2%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Oct-19	Oct-20	bps	%CHG	Oct-19	Oct-20	%CHG
Washington Average	93.6%	92.5%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,704	\$1,687	-1.0%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	96.1%	97.9%	180	1.9%	\$760	\$779	2.4%
WI - Madison	95.6%	92.9%	-269	-2.9%	\$1,110	\$1,153	3.9%
WI - Milwaukee	92.4%	93.3%	90	1.1%	\$1,125	\$1,145	1.8%
WI - Misc. WI	94.8%	95.8%	100	1.0%	\$761	\$806	5.8%
Wisconsin Average	93.7%	93.8%	9	0.2%	\$1,045	\$1,073	2.8%
WV - Charleston	92.9%	93.7%	80	0.9%	\$909	\$924	1.6%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.3%	96.2%	90	0.9%	\$769	\$769	-0.1%
West Virginia Average	93.5%	94.4%	89	1.0%	\$882	\$893	1.3%
CT - Hartford	93.9%	94.8%	90	0.9%	\$1,343	\$1,380	2.7%
DC - Washington	93.1%	91.8%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,849	\$1,818	-1.7%
DE - Miscellaneous	97.1%	97.8%	70	0.7%	\$1,158	\$1,207	4.2%
MT - All of Montana	95.6%	90.4%	-519	-5.5%	\$934	\$1,061	13.5%
NH - Concord	92.3%	95.7%	339	3.7%	\$1,349	\$1,417	5.0%
VT - All of Vermont	98.2%	99.1%	90	0.9%	\$1,586	\$1,588	0.2%
WY - All of Wyoming	93.5%	94.6%	109	1.2%	\$950	\$913	-3.9%
National Average	92.1%	92.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,355	\$1,361	0.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data, Inc. makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Senior Reg. Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com