

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-19	Nov-20	bps	%CHG	Nov-19	Nov-20	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	91.4%	94.5%	309	3.4%	\$1,026	\$1,075	4.8%
AK - Misc. AK	83.4%	89.4%	600	7.1%	\$1,232	\$1,245	1.1%
Alaska Average	89.9%	93.5%	360	4.0%	\$1,075	\$1,115	3.7%
AL - Birmingham	93.0%	91.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$919	\$962	4.8%
AL - Huntsville	93.0%	95.3%	229	2.5%	\$818	\$892	9.0%
AL - Misc. AL	95.9%	95.5%	-40	-0.4%	\$667	\$709	6.4%
AL - Mobile	89.5%	92.0%	250	2.8%	\$836	\$896	7.2%
AL - Montgomery	91.5%	94.0%	249	2.8%	\$784	\$805	2.7%
Alabama Average	92.2%	92.7%	50	0.6%	\$851	\$901	5.9%
AR - Little Rock	92.5%	93.3%	80	0.8%	\$762	\$785	3.0%
AR - Misc. AR	94.1%	95.2%	110	1.2%	\$585	\$603	3.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	90.3%	87.4%	-290	-3.3%	\$712	\$743	4.3%
Arkansas Average	92.0%	90.8%	-120	-1.3%	\$727	\$752	3.5%
AZ - Flagstaff	93.0%	95.9%	289	3.2%	\$1,313	\$1,370	4.3%
AZ - Misc. AZ	95.9%	97.3%	140	1.5%	\$819	\$890	8.6%
AZ - Phoenix	92.8%	93.2%	40	0.5%	\$1,173	\$1,229	4.8%
AZ - Tucson	93.7%	94.9%	119	1.3%	\$832	\$882	6.1%
Arizona Average	92.9%	93.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,112	\$1,169	5.1%
CA - Central Coast	95.3%	94.6%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,965	\$2,023	2.9%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	92.6%	91.4%	-120	-1.4%	\$2,234	\$2,203	-1.4%
CA - Misc. CA	98.0%	97.7%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,032	\$1,076	4.3%
CA - Sacramento	94.1%	95.9%	180	1.8%	\$1,471	\$1,552	5.5%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	93.2%	96.4%	319	3.4%	\$1,576	\$1,666	5.7%
CA - San Diego	93.8%	94.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,993	\$2,013	1.0%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.6%	88.5%	-410	-4.5%	\$2,764	\$2,565	-7.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	95.2%	97.6%	240	2.5%	\$1,139	\$1,212	6.4%
California Average	93.2%	92.5%	-70	-0.7%	\$2,115	\$2,085	-1.4%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.5%	91.7%	120	1.3%	\$1,475	\$1,469	-0.4%
CO - Misc. CO	97.8%	96.5%	-130	-1.3%	\$1,124	\$1,212	7.9%
Colorado Average	90.5%	91.7%	120	1.3%	\$1,469	\$1,465	-0.3%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.8%	89.3%	-350	-3.7%	\$1,670	\$1,702	1.9%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	84.6%	85.8%	120	1.4%	\$1,311	\$1,317	0.4%
FL - Gainesville	94.7%	92.1%	-259	-2.8%	\$1,244	\$1,250	0.5%
FL - Jacksonville	92.2%	92.2%	0	0.0%	\$1,099	\$1,136	3.4%
FL - Melbourne	91.5%	90.8%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,149	\$1,206	5.0%
FL - Miami	88.3%	85.3%	-300	-3.4%	\$1,755	\$1,771	0.9%
FL - Orlando	91.0%	90.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,332	\$1,290	-3.1%
FL - Palm Beach	92.4%	91.0%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,690	\$1,705	0.9%
FL - Pensacola	93.3%	93.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,156	\$1,193	3.2%
FL - Tallahassee	92.6%	94.7%	209	2.3%	\$1,000	\$1,025	2.5%
FL - Tampa	91.6%	92.9%	130	1.4%	\$1,245	\$1,281	2.9%
Florida Average	91.4%	90.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,358	\$1,376	1.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.5%	93.8%	129	1.4%	\$714	\$754	5.7%
GA - Atlanta	91.5%	92.1%	60	0.7%	\$1,265	\$1,294	2.2%
GA - Augusta	90.4%	94.6%	419	4.7%	\$886	\$927	4.7%
GA - Columbus	95.3%	96.1%	80	0.8%	\$862	\$890	3.3%
GA - Macon	93.4%	95.6%	219	2.4%	\$840	\$871	3.7%
GA - Savannah	91.4%	90.3%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,071	\$1,116	4.1%
Georgia Average	91.7%	92.4%	70	0.8%	\$1,197	\$1,229	2.6%
HI - Honolulu	95.6%	93.7%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,841	\$1,874	1.8%
Hawaii Average	95.6%	93.7%	-189	-2.0%	\$1,841	\$1,874	1.8%
IA - Des Moines	91.8%	91.4%	-40	-0.4%	\$906	\$927	2.3%
IA - Misc. IA	95.5%	92.0%	-349	-3.7%	\$809	\$847	4.6%
Iowa Average	91.2%	91.5%	30	0.3%	\$900	\$921	2.4%
ID - Boise	93.2%	94.1%	89	0.9%	\$1,118	\$1,213	8.6%
ID - Misc. ID	99.8%	93.7%	-609	-6.1%	\$747	\$755	1.0%
Idaho Average	93.5%	94.0%	49	0.6%	\$1,097	\$1,189	8.4%
IL - Chicago	91.1%	89.7%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,594	\$1,545	-3.1%
IL - Misc. IL	80.8%	87.4%	659	8.2%	\$642	\$631	-1.7%
IL - Moline	93.7%	93.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$758	\$777	2.6%
IL - Springfield	91.6%	93.4%	180	1.9%	\$760	\$788	3.7%
Illinois Average	91.1%	90.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,489	\$1,450	-2.6%
IN - Evansville	94.6%	94.8%	20	0.2%	\$745	\$770	3.4%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.2%	94.0%	79	0.9%	\$767	\$795	3.6%
IN - Indianapolis	92.3%	93.1%	80	0.9%	\$906	\$936	3.4%
IN - Misc. IN	96.0%	97.1%	110	1.1%	\$775	\$807	4.1%
IN - South Bend	85.9%	93.7%	780	9.1%	\$871	\$901	3.4%
Indiana Average	91.9%	93.2%	130	1.4%	\$878	\$908	3.4%
KS - Misc. KS	88.1%	91.2%	310	3.6%	\$612	\$608	-0.6%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.1%	90.9%	80	0.8%	\$769	\$777	1.1%
KS - Wichita	92.4%	94.0%	159	1.8%	\$684	\$704	2.8%
Kansas Average	91.4%	92.7%	130	1.4%	\$718	\$731	1.9%
KY - Lexington	92.0%	95.1%	309	3.4%	\$831	\$861	3.5%
KY - Louisville	89.0%	90.8%	180	2.0%	\$916	\$946	3.3%
KY - Misc. KY	94.6%	95.8%	120	1.3%	\$628	\$658	4.8%
Kentucky Average	90.0%	92.1%	210	2.3%	\$884	\$915	3.5%
LA - Baton Rouge	87.7%	90.9%	320	3.7%	\$908	\$947	4.3%
LA - Lake Charles	88.8%	81.3%	-750	-8.4%	\$903	\$927	2.6%
LA - Misc. LA	89.6%	92.4%	280	3.2%	\$772	\$815	5.5%
LA - Monroe	89.9%	95.4%	549	6.1%	\$770	\$799	3.7%
LA - New Orleans	89.8%	91.0%	120	1.4%	\$1,025	\$1,047	2.2%
LA - Shreveport	90.4%	92.8%	240	2.6%	\$804	\$824	2.5%
Louisiana Average	89.1%	91.0%	190	2.2%	\$929	\$957	3.1%
MA - Boston	92.5%	89.4%	-310	-3.4%	\$2,287	\$2,201	-3.7%



HAPPY HOLIDAYS

*Merry Christmas*

AND HAPPY NEW YEAR

ALIN

APARTMENT DATA

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
MA - Springfield	97.5%	97.2%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,189	\$1,275	7.3%
Massachusetts Average	92.8%	89.8%	-300	-3.2%	\$2,232	\$2,159	-3.3%
MD - Baltimore	93.4%	94.8%	139	1.5%	\$1,366	\$1,388	1.6%
MD - Misc. MD	95.1%	99.1%	400	4.2%	\$1,045	\$1,116	6.8%
Maryland Average	93.4%	94.7%	129	1.4%	\$1,359	\$1,382	1.7%
ME - Augusta/Portland	93.3%	98.0%	469	5.0%	\$1,460	\$1,511	3.5%
ME - Misc. ME	97.1%	98.0%	90	0.9%	\$826	\$842	1.9%
Maine Average	93.7%	98.0%	429	4.6%	\$1,394	\$1,448	3.9%
MI - Detroit	94.4%	95.1%	70	0.7%	\$1,011	\$1,052	4.0%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	95.1%	95.5%	40	0.4%	\$916	\$966	5.5%
MI - Misc. MI	94.7%	93.8%	-90	-1.0%	\$847	\$889	4.9%
Michigan Average	94.5%	95.0%	50	0.5%	\$987	\$1,029	4.3%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.7%	92.3%	-239	-2.6%	\$1,309	\$1,317	0.6%
MN - Misc. MN	93.3%	96.5%	319	3.4%	\$1,021	\$1,038	1.7%
Minnesota Average	94.5%	92.2%	-229	-2.4%	\$1,301	\$1,309	0.7%
MO - Columbia	95.5%	96.1%	60	0.6%	\$699	\$717	2.6%
MO - Kansas City	91.7%	90.7%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,016	\$1,032	1.6%
MO - Misc. MO	95.2%	91.4%	-379	-4.1%	\$572	\$599	4.7%
MO - Springfield	95.4%	96.4%	100	1.1%	\$695	\$724	4.2%
MO - St. Louis	91.6%	93.0%	140	1.4%	\$974	\$1,019	4.6%
Missouri Average	91.9%	91.9%	0	0.1%	\$967	\$996	2.9%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.0%	94.0%	99	1.0%	\$789	\$811	2.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.8%	93.2%	40	0.5%	\$855	\$896	4.8%
MS - Misc. MS	91.9%	93.9%	199	2.1%	\$812	\$851	4.7%
Mississippi Average	92.7%	93.6%	90	1.0%	\$827	\$863	4.3%
NC - Asheville	90.0%	92.0%	200	2.3%	\$1,183	\$1,223	3.4%
NC - Charlotte	91.3%	92.2%	90	1.1%	\$1,173	\$1,194	1.8%
NC - Fayetteville	93.6%	96.8%	319	3.4%	\$849	\$918	8.1%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	93.2%	94.9%	169	1.9%	\$867	\$904	4.2%
NC - Misc. NC	95.2%	96.9%	170	1.8%	\$1,088	\$1,065	-2.1%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.7%	92.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,143	\$1,165	2.0%
NC - Wilmington	94.5%	89.1%	-539	-5.7%	\$1,022	\$1,070	4.7%
North Carolina Average	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.4%	\$1,093	\$1,122	2.7%
ND - Bismarck	96.5%	92.6%	-389	-4.0%	\$980	\$937	-4.4%
ND - Fargo	95.2%	95.7%	50	0.6%	\$792	\$802	1.2%
ND - Misc. ND	92.2%	78.8%	-1340	-14.6%	\$1,043	\$930	-10.9%
North Dakota Average	92.7%	87.7%	-500	-5.4%	\$912	\$870	-4.6%
NE - Lincoln	94.7%	94.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$868	\$892	2.9%
NE - Misc. NE	98.1%	95.8%	-230	-2.4%	\$720	\$719	-0.1%
NE - Omaha	93.1%	93.5%	40	0.5%	\$934	\$962	3.0%
Nebraska Average	93.5%	93.5%	0	0.1%	\$915	\$942	2.9%
NM - Albuquerque	94.8%	94.8%	0	0.0%	\$907	\$960	5.8%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	89.1%	83.9%	-520	-5.8%	\$1,072	\$1,047	-2.3%
New Mexico Average	94.4%	94.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$922	\$968	5.0%
NV - Las Vegas	93.3%	95.4%	209	2.2%	\$1,110	\$1,148	3.5%
NV - Misc. NV	94.6%	93.1%	-149	-1.6%	\$956	\$971	1.5%
NV - Reno	92.1%	94.4%	229	2.4%	\$1,297	\$1,348	4.0%
Nevada Average	93.2%	95.2%	199	2.2%	\$1,138	\$1,179	3.6%
NY - Albany	94.3%	93.4%	-89	-0.9%	\$1,201	\$1,232	2.6%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.7%	94.8%	-90	-0.9%	\$1,008	\$1,049	4.0%
NY - Misc. NY	86.9%	94.1%	719	8.2%	\$1,011	\$1,070	5.8%
NY - New York City	94.1%	91.8%	-229	-2.5%	\$2,667	\$2,606	-2.3%
New York Average	93.4%	92.0%	-140	-1.4%	\$2,348	\$2,308	-1.7%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.5%	93.6%	10	0.1%	\$943	\$980	3.9%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.3%	94.0%	69	0.7%	\$887	\$914	3.1%
OH - Columbus	92.8%	93.5%	70	0.7%	\$985	\$1,013	2.9%
OH - Misc. OH	97.7%	97.7%	0	0.0%	\$698	\$700	0.3%
OH - Toledo	94.7%	93.3%	-139	-1.4%	\$726	\$760	4.7%
Ohio Average	93.3%	93.6%	30	0.3%	\$927	\$958	3.4%
OK - Misc. OK	88.7%	86.6%	-210	-2.4%	\$662	\$672	1.4%
OK - Oklahoma City	89.9%	89.9%	0	0.0%	\$768	\$781	1.8%
OK - Tulsa	91.9%	93.4%	150	1.6%	\$717	\$751	4.7%
Oklahoma Average	90.7%	91.2%	50	0.5%	\$746	\$767	2.9%
OR - Misc. OR	91.0%	98.4%	739	8.1%	\$1,047	\$1,089	4.1%
OR - Portland	92.8%	93.0%	20	0.2%	\$1,397	\$1,403	0.4%
Oregon Average	92.6%	92.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,392	\$1,399	0.5%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.4%	96.7%	130	1.4%	\$1,098	\$1,139	3.7%
PA - Misc. PA	95.4%	96.9%	150	1.6%	\$960	\$1,005	4.7%
PA - Philadelphia	93.8%	94.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,366	\$1,401	2.5%
PA - Pittsburgh	93.7%	94.4%	69	0.8%	\$1,146	\$1,160	1.2%
PA - State College/Altoona	96.7%	91.8%	-489	-5.1%	\$1,206	\$1,222	1.3%
Pennsylvania Average	94.0%	94.6%	60	0.6%	\$1,296	\$1,329	2.5%
RI - Providence	96.3%	96.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,488	\$1,525	2.4%
Rhode Island Average	96.3%	96.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,488	\$1,525	2.4%
SC - Charleston	89.0%	88.4%	-60	-0.7%	\$1,237	\$1,273	2.9%
SC - Columbia	92.7%	93.1%	40	0.5%	\$948	\$993	4.7%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.1%	92.7%	260	2.8%	\$977	\$987	1.1%
SC - Misc. SC	95.1%	95.3%	20	0.2%	\$752	\$756	0.6%
SC - Myrtle Beach	82.8%	92.9%	1010	12.2%	\$1,037	\$1,086	4.7%
South Carolina Average	90.0%	91.4%	140	1.5%	\$1,056	\$1,088	3.1%
SD - Misc. SD	95.3%	96.2%	90	1.0%	\$844	\$856	1.4%
SD - Rapid City	96.6%	97.3%	70	0.7%	\$922	\$947	2.7%
SD - Sioux Falls	91.3%	95.6%	429	4.7%	\$838	\$858	2.4%
South Dakota Average	92.9%	96.1%	319	3.5%	\$856	\$876	2.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	91.0%	92.1%	110	1.2%	\$958	\$1,020	6.5%
TN - Knoxville	94.0%	95.7%	170	1.8%	\$942	\$980	4.0%
TN - Memphis	90.8%	93.4%	260	2.8%	\$871	\$924	6.1%
TN - Misc. TN	94.5%	96.7%	220	2.3%	\$789	\$816	3.4%
TN - Nashville	92.1%	91.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,251	\$1,252	0.1%
Tennessee Average	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.7%	\$1,068	\$1,095	2.6%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.3%	90.3%	0	-0.1%	\$1,186	\$1,197	0.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.5%	90.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,229	\$1,232	0.2%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.3%	90.6%	30	0.4%	\$1,092	\$1,119	2.5%
TX - Abilene	91.4%	91.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$764	\$787	3.1%
TX - Amarillo	88.1%	88.8%	70	0.7%	\$745	\$751	0.9%
TX - Austin	90.7%	89.1%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,321	\$1,275	-3.5%
TX - Beaumont	88.7%	91.1%	240	2.7%	\$879	\$890	1.3%
TX - College Station	88.9%	87.2%	-170	-1.9%	\$1,197	\$1,220	1.9%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.2%	91.2%	100	1.1%	\$968	\$998	3.1%
TX - El Paso	95.0%	95.8%	80	0.8%	\$794	\$816	2.8%
TX - Houston	89.8%	88.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,086	\$1,082	-0.3%
TX - Laredo	94.1%	93.4%	-69	-0.7%	\$912	\$902	-1.1%
TX - Longview/Tyler	92.5%	93.4%	90	0.9%	\$871	\$887	1.8%
TX - Lubbock	91.1%	91.3%	20	0.3%	\$772	\$794	2.9%
TX - Lufkin	94.4%	93.8%	-60	-0.6%	\$759	\$770	1.4%
TX - Midland-Odessa	90.4%	76.0%	-1440	-15.9%	\$1,379	\$966	-30.0%
TX - Misc. TX	91.1%	93.5%	240	2.6%	\$716	\$735	2.7%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	91.0%	93.9%	289	3.2%	\$780	\$798	2.3%
TX - San Angelo	96.1%	93.8%	-230	-2.4%	\$859	\$866	0.8%
TX - San Antonio	90.2%	90.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,011	\$1,021	1.0%
TX - Texarkana	91.7%	91.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$691	\$746	7.9%
TX - Victoria	91.5%	89.3%	-220	-2.3%	\$886	\$904	1.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	92.8%	93.9%	109	1.2%	\$810	\$861	6.2%
TX - Wichita Falls	90.2%	90.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$678	\$709	4.5%
Texas Average	90.3%	89.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,114	\$1,111	-0.2%
UT - Misc. UT	94.6%	96.7%	210	2.2%	\$1,017	\$1,091	7.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	89.8%	92.0%	220	2.4%	\$1,171	\$1,204	2.8%
Utah Average	89.9%	92.0%	210	2.3%	\$1,168	\$1,201	2.9%
VA - Norfolk	95.0%	96.0%	100	1.1%	\$1,126	\$1,172	4.0%
VA - Richmond	93.7%	93.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,154	\$1,203	4.2%
VA - Roanoke	96.0%	95.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$916	\$940	2.6%
Virginia Average	94.5%	94.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,118	\$1,164	4.1%
WA - Misc. WA	91.9%	82.6%	-930	-10.1%	\$911	\$934	2.6%
WA - SE Washington	95.4%	96.4%	100	1.0%	\$1,037	\$1,094	5.5%
WA - Seattle	93.6%	92.1%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,792	\$1,758	-1.9%
WA - Spokane	95.4%	96.9%	150	1.6%	\$1,007	\$1,072	6.4%



	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Y/Y	Last Mo	bps	%CHG	Y/Y	Last Mo	%CHG
<b>Washington Average</b>	93.7%	92.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,699	\$1,676	-1.3%
<b>WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh</b>	96.5%	97.5%	100	1.0%	\$762	\$779	2.2%
<b>WI - Madison</b>	95.9%	95.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,112	\$1,155	3.9%
<b>WI - Milwaukee</b>	92.2%	92.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,125	\$1,145	1.8%
<b>WI - Misc. WI</b>	94.6%	96.3%	170	1.7%	\$761	\$805	5.7%
<b>Wisconsin Average</b>	93.8%	94.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,046	\$1,074	2.7%
<b>WV - Charleston</b>	93.2%	94.0%	79	0.9%	\$908	\$923	1.6%
<b>WV - Miscellaneous</b>	95.6%	95.1%	-50	-0.5%	\$769	\$764	-0.7%
<b>West Virginia Average</b>	93.8%	94.3%	50	0.5%	\$881	\$891	1.1%
<b>CT - Hartford</b>	93.4%	95.0%	159	1.7%	\$1,338	\$1,380	3.1%
<b>DC - Washington</b>	92.9%	91.5%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,849	\$1,807	-2.3%
<b>DE - Miscellaneous</b>	96.9%	97.7%	80	0.8%	\$1,153	\$1,200	4.1%
<b>MT - All of Montana</b>	95.7%	92.2%	-349	-3.7%	\$934	\$1,073	14.9%
<b>NH - Concord</b>	92.2%	96.8%	459	4.9%	\$1,358	\$1,419	4.5%
<b>VT - All of Vermont</b>	97.5%	98.4%	90	0.9%	\$1,587	\$1,588	0.1%
<b>WY - All of Wyoming</b>	93.0%	93.5%	50	0.5%	\$951	\$915	-3.8%
<b>National Average</b>	92.1%	92.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,354	\$1,361	0.5%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Senior Reg. Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)