	OVERALL MARKET							STABILIZED PROPERTIES							
	occui	PANCY	СНА	NGE	EFFECTIV	/E RENT		occur	PANCY CH		CHANGE EFFECT		VE RENT		
	Feb-18	Feb-19	bps	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	bps	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	%CHG	
AK - Anchorage	90.7%	89.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,025	\$1,015	-1.0%	90.7%	89.3%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,025	\$1,015	-1.0%	
AK - Misc. AK	87.3%	81.9%	-540	-6.2%	\$1,206	\$1,241	2.9%	87.3%	81.9%	-540	-6.2%	\$1,206	\$1,241	2.9%	
Alaska Average	91.7%	87.4%	-430	-4.6%	\$1,265	\$1,071	-15.3%	91.7%	87.4%	-430	-4.6%	\$1,265	\$1,071	-15.3%	
AL - Birmingham AL - Huntsville	91.4% 93.5%	92.7% 94.2%	130 69	1.4% 0.8%	\$861 \$707	\$893 \$758	3.7% 7.2%	91.9% 93.5%	93.1% 94.2%	120 69	1.3% 0.8%	\$857 \$707	\$888 \$758	3.6% 7.2%	
AL - Misc. AL	93.0%	94.2%	189	2.1%	\$638	\$648	1.6%	93.0%	94.2%	189	2.1%	\$638	\$648	1.6%	
AL - Mobile	88.3%	89.8%	150	1.6%	\$783	\$809	3.3%	89.6%	90.6%	100	1.0%	\$778	\$798	2.6%	
AL - Montgomery	87.6%	89.8%	220	2.5%	\$744	\$758	1.8%	87.6%	89.8%	220	2.5%	\$744	\$758	1.8%	
Alabama Average	91.0%	91.8%	80	0.9%	\$784	\$816	4.1%	91.5%	92.6%	110	1.3%	\$781	\$812	4.0%	
AR - Little Rock	90.1%	89.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$726	\$739	1.8%	90.6%	90.7%	10	0.1%	\$721	\$729	1.1%	
AR - Misc. AR	93.3%	93.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$562	\$578	2.8%	93.3%	93.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$562	\$578	2.8%	
AR - Northwest Arkansas	89.8%	91.9%	210	2.3%	\$649	\$684	5.4%	95.2%	95.9%	70	0.7%	\$621	\$642	3.4%	
Arkansas Average	90.3%	90.8%	50	0.5%	\$682	\$703	3.2%	92.1%	92.5%	40	0.5%	\$669	\$683	2.0%	
AZ - Flagstaff	95.1%	93.2%	-189	-2.1%	\$1,277	\$1,315	2.9%	95.1%	93.2%	-189	-2.1%	\$1,277	\$1,315	2.9%	
AZ Phonis	94.3% 92.0%	95.8%	150	1.6%	\$743 \$1,008	\$774	4.1% 8.5%	94.3%	95.8% 94.6%	150	1.6%	\$743	\$774	4.1% 7.4%	
AZ - Phoenix AZ - Tucson	92.0%	92.8% 94.2%	80 109	0.9% 1.2%	\$731	\$1,093 \$786	7.6%	94.3% 93.1%	94.6%	30 109	0.4% 1.2%	\$997 \$731	\$1,071 \$786	7.4%	
Arizona Average	92.3%	92.9%	60	0.7%	\$960	\$1,039	8.3%	94.1%	94.2%	50	0.5%	\$950	\$1,019	7.6%	
CA - Central Coast	95.9%	96.2%	30	0.7%	\$1,831	\$1,914	4.5%	96.0%	96.3%	30	0.3%	\$1,828	\$1,904	4.1%	
CA - Los Angeles/OC	93.4%	93.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,095	\$2,189	4.5%	95.6%	95.7%	10	0.2%	\$2,075	\$2,150	3.6%	
CA - Misc. CA	96.1%	98.9%	280	2.9%	\$966	\$993	2.8%	96.1%	99.5%	340	3.6%	\$966	\$996	3.1%	
CA - Sacramento	95.7%	95.4%	-30	-0.2%	\$1,335	\$1,414	5.9%	96.0%	96.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,331	\$1,404	5.4%	
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	95.1%	94.6%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,439	\$1,517	5.4%	95.3%	95.6%	30	0.3%	\$1,437	\$1,510	5.0%	
CA - San Diego	94.3%	93.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,814	\$1,918	5.8%	95.7%	95.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,803	\$1,881	4.3%	
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	92.9%	93.8%	89	0.9%	\$2,607	\$2,707	3.8%	95.0%	95.2%	20	0.2%	\$2,589	\$2,682	3.6%	
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.0%	96.7%	70	0.8%	\$1,018	\$1,079	6.0%	96.1%	96.7%	60	0.6%	\$1,016	\$1,074	5.7%	
CO Depute (Co Springs	94.0% 90.5%	94.1% 91.3%	10 80	0.1%	\$1,965 \$1,360	\$2,058 \$1,428	4.8% 4.9%	95.5% 94.0%	95.7% 94.1%	10	0.2%	\$1,948 \$1,339	\$2,026 \$1,394	4.0% 4.1%	
CO - Denver/Co Springs CO - Misc. CO	96.7%	93.4%	-329	-3.4%	\$1,041	\$1,072	3.0%	96.7%	96.9%	20	0.1%	\$1,041	\$1,045	0.3%	
Colorado Average	90.5%	91.3%	80	0.8%	\$1,357	\$1,422	4.8%	94.0%	94.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,335	\$1,388	4.0%	
FL - Fort Lauderdale	90.8%	91.8%	100	1.1%	\$1,590	\$1,646	3.5%	94.4%	94.6%	20	0.2%	\$1,564	\$1,611	3.0%	
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	93.9%	91.1%	-279	-3.0%	\$1,272	\$1,307	2.7%	94.4%	94.0%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,271	\$1,300	2.2%	
FL - Gainesville	96.0%	95.0%	-100	-1.1%	\$1,129	\$1,186	5.0%	97.0%	96.3%	-70	-0.7%	\$1,122	\$1,173	4.5%	
FL - Jacksonville	92.9%	90.3%	-260	-2.7%	\$1,001	\$1,064	6.3%	94.2%	93.7%	-49	-0.5%	\$996	\$1,038	4.2%	
FL - Melbourne	95.9%	92.5%	-339	-3.5%	\$1,061	\$1,137	7.1%	96.1%	94.4%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,059	\$1,128	6.5%	
FL - Miami	87.4%	90.8%	340	3.8%	\$1,667	\$1,738	4.3%	94.5%	95.0%	50	0.5%	\$1,604	\$1,654	3.1%	
FL - Orlando	92.3% 88.2%	92.7% 92.1%	40 390	0.5% 4.4%	\$1,219 \$1,582	\$1,291 \$1,642	5.9% 3.8%	95.2% 92.6%	95.1% 94.5%	-10 189	-0.1% 2.1%	\$1,212 \$1,552	\$1,271 \$1,612	4.8% 3.9%	
FL - Palm Beach FL - Pensacola	91.1%	94.3%	319	3.5%	\$990	\$1,042	12.0%	93.1%	94.5%	159	1.8%	\$978	\$1,012	11.8%	
FL - Tallahassee	87.8%	92.9%	510	5.7%	\$911	\$963	5.7%	91.2%	93.3%	210	2.4%	\$904	\$949	4.9%	
FL - Tampa	91.4%	91.6%	20	0.3%	\$1,150	\$1,208	5.1%	94.4%	94.4%	0	0.0%	\$1,130	\$1,170	3.6%	
Florida Average	91.4%	91.9%	50	0.6%	\$1,261	\$1,325	5.1%	94.5%	94.6%	10	0.1%	\$1,237	\$1,287	4.0%	
GA - Albany	91.8%	90.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$670	\$693	3.4%	91.8%	90.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$670	\$693	3.4%	
GA - Atlanta	91.2%	91.9%	70	0.8%	\$1,145	\$1,221	6.7%	93.0%	93.5%	50	0.5%	\$1,126	\$1,189	5.6%	
GA - Augusta	90.9%	87.3%	-360	-3.9%	\$794	\$850	6.9%	92.5%	90.3%	-220	-2.3%	\$788	\$835	5.9%	
GA - Columbus	93.4%	93.7%	30	0.3%	\$810	\$845	4.3%	94.3%	93.6%	-69	-0.7%	\$806	\$841	4.4%	
GA - Macon	93.1%	93.4% 91.8%	30 190	0.4% 2.1%	\$774 \$985	\$812 \$1,054	4.9% 6.9%	94.0%	93.6% 93.7%	-39	-0.4%	\$769 \$964	\$807 \$1,023	5.0%	
GA - Savannah Georgia Average	89.9% 91.2%	91.8%	60	0.6%	\$1,087	\$1,054	6.5%	93.5% 93.1%	93.7%	20	0.3%	\$964 \$1,069	\$1,023	6.1% 5.4%	
IA - Des Moines	90.3%	89.3%	-100	-1.1%	\$869	\$898	3.4%	92.7%	92.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$853	\$873	2.4%	
IA - Misc. IA	95.5%	91.0%	-449	-4.7%	\$747	\$808	8.2%	95.5%	96.0%	50	0.5%	\$747	\$801	7.2%	
Iowa Average	90.6%	89.4%	-120	-1.3%	\$860	\$892	3.7%	92.9%	92.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$845	\$867	2.7%	
ID - Boise	91.9%	94.8%	289	3.2%	\$972	\$1,040	7.0%	94.5%	95.9%	140	1.5%	\$955	\$1,017	6.5%	
ID - Misc. ID	77.8%	98.8%	2099	26.9%	\$747	\$740	-1.0%	95.1%	98.8%	370	3.8%	\$724	\$740	2.2%	
Idaho Average	91.0%	95.1%	409	4.4%	\$955	\$1,020	6.8%	94.5%	96.1%	160	1.7%	\$938	\$997	6.2%	
IL - Chicago	89.7%	89.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,474	\$1,547	4.9%	92.4%	93.3%	90	1.0%	\$1,443	\$1,480	2.6%	
IL - Misc. IL	82.6%	76.0%	-659	-8.1%	\$661	\$647	-2.2%	82.6%	76.0%	-659	-8.1%	\$661	\$647	-2.2%	
IL - Moline	93.8% 91.2%	92.2%	-159	-1.7%	\$732 \$710	\$772	5.4%	93.8%	95.8%	200	2.1%	\$732 \$710	\$753	2.8%	
IL - Springfield Illinois Average	89.9%	90.4% 89.6%	-80 -30	-0.9% -0.3%	\$719 \$1,381	\$742 \$1,448	3.1% 4.9%	91.2% 92.3%	90.4%	-80 80	-0.9% 0.9%	\$719 \$1,350	\$742 \$1,383	3.1% 2.4%	
IN - Evansville	88.5%	92.6%	410	4.5%	\$717	\$732	2.1%	92.5%	93.1%	90	0.9%	\$707	\$720	1.9%	
IN - Fort Wayne	93.6%	92.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$717	\$741	2.1%	93.6%	92.3%	-130	-1.4%	\$707	\$741	2.3%	
IN - Indianapolis	91.3%	91.4%	10	0.0%	\$842	\$879	4.4%	92.5%	92.8%	30	0.3%	\$834	\$864	3.6%	
IN - Misc. IN	89.2%	96.1%	689	7.8%	\$766	\$738	-3.6%	89.2%	96.1%	689	7.8%	\$766	\$738	-3.6%	
IN - South Bend	93.0%	92.9%	-10	-0.1%	\$799	\$843	5.5%	94.3%	94.7%	40	0.4%	\$799	\$828	3.5%	
Indiana Average	91.5%	91.7%	20	0.2%	\$818	\$853	4.2%	92.7%	92.9%	20	0.2%	\$812	\$839	3.3%	

No. Process Proces		OVERALL MARKET								STABILIZED PROPERTIES							
KS Misser SS Misser 65,194 66,194 66,294 20 2999 1732 2279 1510 </th <th></th> <th>occu</th> <th>PANCY</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th colspan="2"></th> <th></th> <th>occui</th> <th>PANCY</th> <th colspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">EFFECTIVE RENT</th> <th colspan="2"></th>		occu	PANCY						occui	PANCY			EFFECTIVE RENT				
Sx Topichamontational Samol 88 More 91.096 23 More 25 More 27 More 91.596 90.91 11.98 23 More 23 More<		Feb-18	Feb-19	bps	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	bps	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	%CHG		
SX withins	KS - Misc. KS	96.1%	96.9%	80	0.9%		\$587	12.4%	96.1%	96.9%		0.9%		\$587	12.4%		
Manuse Average 90.1% 91.6% 91.6% 92.7% 93.00 4.9% 93.0	•																
NY - Learningmon																	
NY - Louville 90.96 91.24 50. 0 1.28 50. 0 1.29 50.9 50.96 50.							-										
N. Misc. Ker 9.0%						_	_										
Mathematic Mat							_										
Mathematic Name Mathematic							-							-			
LA-Lake Charles							-							-			
LA-Miscria 6.5% 90.3% 280 4.4% 73.09 73.79 0.0% 280 4.4% 73.70 73.79 0.0% 1.4. Morrore 90.4% 30.9% 4.00 4.04 4.720 4.726 2.7% 1.29% 8.14% 80.0% 4.0 4.04 1.720 4.726 1.726 1.20 1.						_											
LA - Noveroprians	LA - Misc. LA						_										
LA-Shreeport	LA - Monroe	89.4%	89.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$730	\$751	2.9%	89.4%	89.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$730	\$751	2.9%		
Designation B8.59 B8.59 G8.59	LA - New Orleans	92.4%	91.7%	-70	-0.7%	\$958	\$984	2.7%	92.6%	92.7%	10	0.1%	\$953	\$969	1.7%		
MA - Beston 80.34 93.34 20.0 4.45 20.05 23.19 5.004 95.05 95.05 95.05 1.00 1.15 95.06 20.05 1.12 1.16 4.15	LA - Shreveport	88.9%	89.8%	90	0.9%	\$778	\$799	2.6%	88.9%	90.1%	120	1.4%	\$778	\$798	2.5%		
Mass-chuests/average	Louisiana Average	88.9%	88.5%	-40	-0.5%	\$895	\$915	2.2%	90.1%	89.9%	-20	-0.3%	\$886	\$899	1.5%		
Masselumers Average	MA - Boston			400	4.4%	\$2,085	\$2,189	5.0%	95.0%	96.0%	100	1.1%	\$2,048	\$2,141	4.6%		
Mo - Battimore	MA - Springfield						-										
MB-Misc. MD																	
Maryland Average	MD - Baltimore																
ME - Augustar/Portland 94 - Mis 96 - Mis 200 21 Mis 12,67 31,312 3,69 Mis 96 - Mis 4-0 -0.4 Mis 1,255 1,3135 4.7 Mis Mis - Misc. MIS 95 - Mis 94 - Mis 95							-							- 1			
Maine Average																	
Maine Average							-										
M Detroit																	
Miles Mile	MI - Detroit						-							-			
Michigan Average	MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek					-	_							-			
MN - Mincapolis - St. Paul 94.6% 95.0% 400 0.4% \$1.213 51.274 5.1% 96.2% 96.3% 100 0.1% \$1.203 51.204 3.8% MN - Misc. MN 95.4% 95.0% 95.4% 95.4% 97.0% 160 0.6% \$1.203 51.204 3.8% MN - Misc. MN 95.4% 95.0% 95.4% 97.0% 160 0.6% \$1.203 1.3% 95.4% 95.0% 96.3% 100 0.1% \$1.198 \$1.241 3.6% MO - Columbia 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 -0.7% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 -0.7% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 -0.7% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 -0.7% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 -0.7% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 1.0% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.90 1.0% \$663 \$6.73 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% 95.9% 95.6% \$1.00 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% MO - Springfield 94.4% 94.5% 95.6% 130 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% 94.3% 95.6% \$1.00 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% MO - Springfield 94.4% 94.7% 90.00 1.1% \$915 \$943 3.0% \$91.7% 92.4% 50.6% \$884 \$910 1.8% MS-springfield 94.4% 94.0% 94.5% 95.0% 91.0% 94.4% 95.8% \$1.00 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% MO - Springfield 94.4% 94.0% 94.5% 95.0% 94.4% 95.8% \$1.00 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% MO - Springfield 94.4% 94.0% 94.5% 95.0% 95.0% 95.0% 95.0% 95.0% \$1.00 1.3% \$	MI - Misc. MI	93.1%	94.4%	129	1.4%	\$807	\$810	0.3%	93.1%	94.4%	129	1.4%	\$807	\$810	0.3%		
MN - Misc. MN	Michigan Average	94.7%	94.4%	-30	-0.4%	\$921	\$955	3.7%	95.4%	95.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$918	\$948	3.2%		
Minesota Average	MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.6%	95.0%	40	0.4%	\$1,213	\$1,274	5.1%	96.2%	96.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,203	\$1,249	3.8%		
MO - Columbia 93.8% 93.2% -59 -0.7% \$663 \$673 1.5% 93.8% 93.2% -59 -0.7% \$663 \$673 1.5% MO - Kansas City 90.8% 91.1% 30 0.3% \$942 \$979 4.0% 93.5% 93.5% 29 -0.3% \$923 9346 2.5% MO - Mansas City 90.8% 91.1% 30 0.3% \$936 \$730 \$9.5% 93.5% 93.5% 29 0.3% \$923 9346 2.5% MO - Miscouri Mo -	MN - Misc. MN	95.4%	94.5%	-90	-0.9%	\$988	\$1,014	2.6%	95.4%	97.0%	160	1.6%	\$988	\$999	1.1%		
MO - Kansas City 90.8% 91.1% 30 0.3% 8942 8799 4.0% 93.5% 93.8% 29 0.3% 8923 8946 2.5% MO - Misc. MO 94.3% 95.6% 130 1.3% 8713 \$666 -6.6% 94.3% 95.6% 130 1.3% \$773 \$666 -6.6% 94.3% 95.8% 140 1.3% \$773 \$666 -6.6% 94.3% 95.8% 140 1.5% \$667 \$770 2.9% 40 95.8% 140 1.3% \$666 -6.6% 94.3% 95.0% 130 1.3% \$771 \$700 2.0% MO - St. Louis 89.7% 90.7% 1100 1.1% \$915 \$933 3.0% 91.2% 50 0.6% \$890 \$90.5% \$90.7% \$90.7% \$90.7% \$936 \$3936 \$2.8% \$92.8% \$92.8% \$91.01 \$1.8% \$910 \$1.8% MS - Jackson/Central MS 91.0% 90.3% 190 <	Minnesota Average					-											
MO - Misc. MO 94.3% 95.6% 130 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% 94.3% 95.6% 130 1.3% \$713 \$666 -6.6% MO - St. Louis 89.7% 90.7% 100 1.1% \$915 \$943 3.0% 95.8% 140 1.5% \$667 \$704 2.5% Missouri Average 90.4% 91.2% 80 0.9% \$906 \$936 3.3% 92.8% 93.3% 50 0.5% \$887 \$905 2.0% MS - Guttport/Biloxi 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$724 \$745 2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$724 \$745 2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$724 \$745 2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$745 2.9% MS - Satispial Average 90.3% 70 0.8% \$800 \$801 2.0% 91.9% 92.9% 150 2.0 7745 \$736 \$831	MO - Columbia																
MO - Springfield 94.4% 94.7% 30 0.3% \$687 \$707 2.9% 94.4% 95.8% 140 1.5% \$687 \$704 2.5% MO - St. Louis 88.7% 90.7% 100 1.1% \$915 \$943 3.0% 91.7% 50 0.6% \$936 3.9% 93.3% 93.0% 50 0.5% \$887 9505 2.9% MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$724 \$745 2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.9% 90.0% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% 8815 \$831 2.0% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$185 \$831 2.0% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$185 \$831 2.0% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$155 \$831 2.0% MS - Jackson/Central MS 99.6% 90.3% 70 0.83% 8800 800 0.1% 92.9% 190 2.1%									-								
MO - St. Louis 89.7% 90.7% 100 1.1% \$915 \$943 3.0% 91.7% 92.2% 50 0.6% \$894 \$910 1.8% Missouri Average 90.4% 91.2% 80 0.9% \$906 \$936 3.3% 92.8% 93.3% 50 0.5% \$887 \$905 2.0% Missouri Average 90.4% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$15 \$31 2.0% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$15 \$31 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$31 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$31 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$31 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$31 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$31 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$100 2.1% \$100 2.1% \$100 2.0% \$100 2							_										
Missouri Average 90.4% 91.2% 80 0.9% \$906 \$936 3.3% 92.8% 93.3% 50 0.5% \$887 \$905 2.0% MS - Gultport/Biloxi 90.1% 92.9% 120 2.1% \$724 \$745 \$2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 120 \$2.1% \$2.2% \$2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 120 \$2.1% \$2.2% \$2.0% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$31 2.0% 90.9% 190 2.1% \$381 2.0% 90.9% 190 2.1% \$788 \$801 1.7% 91.1% 92.6% 150 1.7% \$786 \$800 1.8% Mississippi Average 90.4% 92.3% 190 2.1% \$788 \$801 1.7% 91.1% 92.6% 150 1.7% \$786 \$800 1.8% MC - Arabeteuille 87.7% 90.9% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.00 \$576							-						-				
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$724 \$745 2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 280 3.1% \$724 \$745 2.9% 90.1% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$815 \$831 2.0% 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$800 \$800 \$800 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$800 \$800 91.0% 92.9% 190 2.1% \$788 \$801 1.7% 91.5% -60 0.7% \$796 5800 1.8% MC - Asheville 87.2% 90.3% 310 3.6% \$1,112 \$1,117 5.7% 94.3% 94.8% 50 0.5% \$1,02 \$1,150 4.3% NC - Asheville 87.1% 90.3% 23.0 2.5% \$785 \$820 4.5% 91.5% 60 0.5% \$1,022 2.5% \$784 \$1,022 2.1,08 \$784 \$1,022																	
MS - Jackson/Central MS							-							-			
MS-Misc. MS 89.6% 90.3% 70 0.8% \$800 \$800 0.1% 92.1% 91.5% 6-0 -0.7% \$793 \$796 0.5% Mississipi Average 90.4% 92.3% 190 2.1% \$788 \$801 1.7% 91.1% 92.6% 150 1.7% \$786 \$800 1.8% NC - Asheville 87.2% 90.3% 310 3.6% \$11,113 \$11,177 5.7% 94.3% 94.8% 50 0.5% \$1,102 \$11,50 4.3% NC - Charlotte 88.9.7% 90.9% 120 1.4% \$1,060 \$11,116 5.3% 93.8% 94.4% 60 0.6% \$1,042 \$1,081 3.8% NC - Fayetteville 91.1% 93.4% 230 2.5% \$785 \$820 4.5% 91.5% 93.7% 220 2.5% \$784 \$817 4.2% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.5% 92.7% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.0% \$766 \$810 5.8% NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 96.6% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.0% \$766 \$810 5.8% NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 96.6% 120 1.3% \$941 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,052 11.7% NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 94.9% 32.9 3.6% \$894 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% NOT- Laroina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 99 1.0% \$973 \$1,015 4.3% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 \$6.5% \$10.5 \$10.5 \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$91.5 \$10.5 \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$91.5 \$10.5 \$10.5 \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$91.2 \$10.05 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$91.2 \$10.05 \$10.2% 89.3% 94.8% 90 4.9% \$13.0 \$13.0 \$10.1% \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 \$1.0% \$838 \$3.5 \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.3% 94.8% 90 \$1.0% \$838 \$838 \$5.5% \$10.2% \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 90 \$1.0% \$838 \$838 \$5.5% \$10.2% \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 90 \$1.0% \$838 \$1.0% \$10.2% \$10.5 \$10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 90 \$1.0% \$838 \$1.0% \$10.2% \$10.5 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.2% \$10.05 \$10.2% \$1	·																
NC - Asheville 87.2% 90.3% 310 3.6% \$1,113 \$1,177 5.7% 94.3% 94.8% 50 0.5% \$1,102 \$1,150 4.3% NC - Charlotte 89.7% 90.9% 120 1.4% \$1,060 \$1,116 5.3% 93.8% 94.4% 60 0.6% \$1,042 \$1,081 3.8% NC - Fayetteville 91.1% 93.4% 230 2.5% \$785 \$820 4.5% 91.5% 93.7% 220 2.5% \$784 \$817 4.2% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.5% 92.7% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.0% \$766 \$810 5.8% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.5% 92.7% 120 1.3% \$941 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,052 11.7% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 90.2% 91.5% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,095 4.8% 93.4% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,013 \$1,071 3.9% NC - Raleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.6% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,095 4.8% 93.4% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,031 \$1,071 3.9% NC - Willmington 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$894 \$96.8 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% NOT- Acrolina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 99 1.0% \$973 \$1,015 4.3% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.9% 189 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 \$6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 \$6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 \$6.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 99.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% NOT- Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% 96.9% 91.6% 56.9% \$89.4 \$89.9 \$92.2 \$2.6% 94.8% 94.9% 150 1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% 70 0.8% \$89.9 \$92.2 \$2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$89.4 \$910 1.3% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% 70 0.8% \$89.9 \$92.2 \$2.6% 94.8% 94.9% 10 0.0% \$89.4 \$910 1.8% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% 70 0.8% \$89.9 \$92.2 \$2.6% 94.8% 94.9% 59 0.0% \$89.4 \$910 1.8% NE - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% 93.0% 93.8%	MS - Misc. MS	-				-					-60						
NC - Charlotte 89.7% 90.9% 120 1.4% \$1,060 \$1,116 5.3% 93.8% 94.4% 60 0.6% \$1,042 \$1,081 3.8% NC - Fayetteville 91.1% 93.4% 230 2.5% \$785 \$820 4.5% 91.5% 93.7% 220 2.5% \$784 \$817 4.2% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.5% 92.7% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.0% \$766 \$810 5.8% NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 96.6% 120 1.3% \$941 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,052 11.7% NC - Raleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.5% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,051 11.7% NC - Misc. NC 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$894 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% NO - Misc. NG Paleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,031 \$1,071 3.9% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 8.5% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$10.00 \$10.1% NO - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$10.00 \$10.1% NO - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.3% 38.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$838 \$5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% 39.9 3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% 1.50 1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% 39.0 4.2% \$899 \$4.2% \$899 \$2.4% 95.2% 94.8% 40 0.0% \$894 \$91.0% \$889 \$1.0% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% 39.0 4.2% \$899 \$4.2% \$899 \$2.4% 95.2% 94.8% 40 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Ne - Makasa Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 0.8% \$889 \$892 \$4.4% 95.2% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Ne - Makasa Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 0.8% \$889 \$895 \$1.00 11.4% 95.2% 94.8% 94.0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Ne - Makasa Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 0.8% \$889 \$890 \$2.4% 93.6% 94.8% 94.0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Ne - Makasa Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 0.8% \$889 \$890 \$2.4% 93.6% 94.8% 94.0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Ne - Makasa Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 0.8% \$889 \$890 \$2.4% 93.6% 94.8% 94.0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Ne - Makasa Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 0.8% \$810 \$810 \$810 \$810 \$91.0% 91.0% 91.8% \$890 \$91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0% 91.0%	Mississippi Average										150						
NC - Fayetteville 91.1% 93.4% 230 2.5% \$785 \$820 4.5% 91.5% 93.7% 220 2.5% \$784 \$817 4.2% NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.5% 92.7% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.0% \$766 \$810 5.8% NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 96.6% 120 1.3% \$941 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,052 11.7% NC - Raleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.5% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,055 4.8% 93.4% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,031 \$1,071 3.9% NC - Wilmington 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$894 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% ND - Fargo 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 91.9% 260 2.9% \$766 \$777 1.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 90.6% 89.3% -309 -3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% -150 -1.5% 826 \$840 1.7% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -309 -3.2% \$829 \$829 \$92.0% 93.0% 94.8% -0.9% \$893 \$838 \$883 \$5.5% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% -0.0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.8% 94.2% 10.9 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.8% -0.0 0.0% \$874 \$888 1.6% NE - Misc. NE 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$838 \$883 \$884 4.9% 93.6% 94.8% -0.0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.8% 93.0%	NC - Asheville	87.2%	90.3%	310	3.6%	\$1,113	\$1,177	5.7%	94.3%	94.8%	50	0.5%	\$1,102	\$1,150	4.3%		
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem 91.5% 92.7% 120 1.3% \$772 \$820 6.2% 92.8% 93.7% 90 1.0% \$766 \$810 5.8% NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 96.6% 120 1.3% \$941 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,052 11.7% NC - Raleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.5% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,095 4.8% 93.4% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,031 \$1,071 3.9% NC - Wilmington 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$884 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% North Carolina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.4% 1089 \$947 6.5% ND - Bisc. architecture 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3%	NC - Charlotte	89.7%	90.9%	120	1.4%	\$1,060	\$1,116	5.3%	93.8%	94.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,042	\$1,081	3.8%		
NC - Misc. NC 95.4% 96.6% 120 1.3% \$941 \$1,050 11.5% 95.4% 97.7% 230 2.4% \$941 \$1,052 11.7% NC - Raleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.5% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,095 4.8% 93.4% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,031 \$1,071 3.9% NC - Wilmington 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$894 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% NOrth Carolina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 99 1.0% \$991 1.0% \$973 \$1,015 4.3% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$879 \$1,001 \$4.8% 89.09% \$1.001 \$1.001 \$1.001 \$1.002 \$1.001 \$1.001 \$1.002 \$1.001 \$1.001 \$1.002 \$1.001 \$1.001 \$1.002 \$1.001 \$1.00	NC - Fayetteville	91.1%		230	2.5%	\$785	\$820	4.5%	91.5%	93.7%	220	2.5%	\$784	\$817	4.2%		
NC - Raleigh-Durham 90.2% 91.5% 130 1.5% \$1,044 \$1,095 4.8% 93.4% 93.4% 94.3% 89 0.9% \$1,031 \$1,071 3.9% NC - Wilmington 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$894 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% North Carolina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 99 1.0% \$973 \$1,015 4.3% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% ND - Fargo 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 91.9% 260 2.9% \$766 \$777 1.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 88.8% 92.8% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 88.5% 92.8% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 96.4	NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem							6.2%						<u> </u>			
NC - Wilmington 91.6% 94.9% 329 3.6% \$894 \$968 8.3% 92.8% 95.0% 219 2.4% \$879 \$947 7.8% North Carolina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 99 1.0% \$973 \$1,015 4.3% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% ND - Fargo 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 91.9% 260 2.9% \$766 \$777 1.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 \$5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$695 \$1.2% \$89.9% \$92.2% \$93.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.2% \$93.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$89.9% \$1.0% \$1	NC - Misc. NC						-										
North Carolina Average 90.2% 91.6% 140 1.6% \$988 \$1,042 5.5% 93.3% 94.3% 99 1.0% \$973 \$1,015 4.3% ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% ND - Fargo 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 91.9% 260 2.9% \$766 \$777 1.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 \$5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% <th>NC - Raleigh-Durham</th> <th></th>	NC - Raleigh-Durham																
ND - Bismarck 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% 83.5% 94.4% 1089 13.0% \$889 \$947 6.5% ND - Fargo 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 91.9% 260 2.9% \$766 \$777 1.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -309 -3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% -150 -1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 -0.8% \$878 \$899 2.4% 95.2% 94.8% -40 -0.4% \$874 \$888 1.6% NM - Albuquerque 93.1% 94.2% 109 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.2% 59 0.7% \$821 \$855 4.2% NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9% -30 -0.3% \$911 \$980 7.5% NEW Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3% 59 0.6% \$829 \$866 4.5% NV - Las Vegas 94.9% 95.5% 93.3% -219 -2.3% \$1,152 \$1,258 9.2% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3% NV - Reno																	
ND - Fargo 89.3% 91.9% 260 3.0% \$767 \$779 1.6% 89.3% 91.9% 260 2.9% \$766 \$777 1.5% ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -309 -3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% -150 -1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>																	
ND - Misc. ND 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,005 10.2% 89.2% 93.1% 390 4.4% \$912 \$1,004 10.1% North Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -309 -3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% -150 -1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 -0.8% \$878 \$899 2.4% 95.2% 94.8% -40 -0.4% \$874 \$888 1.6% NM - Albuquerque 93.1% 94.2% 109 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.2% 59 0.7% \$821 \$855 4.2% NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9% -30 -0.3% \$911 \$980 7.5% New Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3% 59 0.6% \$829 \$866 4.5% NV - Las Vegas 92.3% 93.6% 130 1.4% \$965 \$1,048 8.7% 93.5% 94.1% 59 0.7% \$959 \$1,039 8.3% NV - Misc. NV 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3%																	
North Dakota Average 88.4% 92.8% 440 5.0% \$838 \$884 5.5% 88.5% 92.8% 430 4.9% \$838 \$883 5.5% NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -309 -3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% -150 -1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$676 -3.0% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 -0.8% \$878 \$899 2.4% 95.2% 94.8% -40 -0.4% \$874 \$888 1.6%																	
NE - Lincoln 96.4% 93.3% -309 -3.2% \$826 \$841 1.8% 96.4% 94.9% -150 -1.5% \$826 \$840 1.7% NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$676 -3.0% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 -0.8% \$878 \$899 2.4% 95.2% 94.8% -40 -0.4% \$874 \$888 1.6% NM - Albuquerque 93.1% 94.2% 109 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.2% 59 0.7% \$821 \$855 4.2% NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9%														-			
NE - Misc. NE 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$697 \$676 -3.0% 96.0% 89.3% -669 -6.9% \$676 -3.0% NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 -0.8% \$878 \$899 2.4% 95.2% 94.8% -40 -0.4% \$874 \$888 1.6% NM - Albuquerque 93.1% 94.2% 109 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.2% 59 0.7% \$821 \$855 4.2% NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9% -30 -0.3% \$911 \$980 7.5% New Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3%	NE - Lincoln																
NE - Omaha 92.3% 91.6% -70 -0.8% \$899 \$922 2.6% 94.8% 94.8% 0 0.0% \$894 \$910 1.8% Nebraska Average 92.6% 91.9% -70 -0.8% \$878 \$899 2.4% 95.2% 94.8% -40 -0.4% \$874 \$888 1.6% NM - Albuquerque 93.1% 94.2% 109 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.2% 59 0.7% \$821 \$855 4.2% NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9% -30 -0.3% \$911 \$980 7.5% New Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3% 59 0.6% \$829 \$866 4.5% NV - Las Vegas 92.3% 93.6% 130 1.4% \$965 \$1,048 8.7% 93.5% <th< th=""><th>NE - Misc. NE</th><th> </th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	NE - Misc. NE	 															
NM - Albuquerque 93.1% 94.2% 109 1.3% \$823 \$864 4.9% 93.6% 94.2% 59 0.7% \$821 \$855 4.2% NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9% -30 -0.3% \$911 \$980 7.5% New Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3% 59 0.6% \$829 \$866 4.5% NV - Las Vegas 92.3% 93.6% 130 1.4% \$965 \$1,048 8.7% 93.5% 94.1% 59 0.7% \$959 \$1,039 8.3% NV - Misc. NV 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3% NV - Reno 95.5%	NE - Omaha																
NM - Misc. NM 91.8% 87.9% -390 -4.2% \$915 \$1,020 11.4% 95.2% 94.9% -30 -0.3% \$911 \$980 7.5% New Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3% 59 0.6% \$829 \$866 4.5% NV - Las Vegas 92.3% 93.6% 130 1.4% \$965 \$1,048 8.7% 93.5% 94.1% 59 0.7% \$959 \$1,039 8.3% NV - Misc. NV 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,152 \$1,258 9.2% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3%	Nebraska Average	92.6%	91.9%	-70	-0.8%	\$878	\$899	2.4%	95.2%	94.8%	-40	-0.4%	\$874	\$888	1.6%		
New Mexico Average 93.0% 93.8% 79 0.9% \$831 \$878 5.6% 93.7% 94.3% 59 0.6% \$829 \$866 4.5% NV - Las Vegas 92.3% 93.6% 130 1.4% \$965 \$1,048 8.7% 93.5% 94.1% 59 0.7% \$959 \$1,039 8.3% NV - Misc. NV 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3% NV - Reno 95.5% 93.3% -219 -2.3% \$1,152 \$1,258 9.2% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3%	NM - Albuquerque	93.1%	94.2%	109	1.3%	\$823	\$864	4.9%	93.6%	94.2%	59	0.7%	\$821	\$855	4.2%		
NV - Las Vegas 92.3% 93.6% 130 1.4% \$965 \$1,048 8.7% 93.5% 94.1% 59 0.7% \$959 \$1,039 8.3% NV - Misc. NV 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% NV - Reno 95.5% 93.3% -219 -2.3% \$1,152 \$1,258 9.2% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3%	NM - Misc. NM	91.8%	87.9%	-390	-4.2%	\$915	\$1,020	11.4%	95.2%	94.9%	-30	-0.3%	\$911	\$980	7.5%		
NV - Misc. NV 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% 91.5% 95.3% 379 4.2% \$1,050 \$959 -8.7% NV - Reno 95.5% 93.3% -219 -2.3% \$1,152 \$1,258 9.2% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3%	New Mexico Average																
NV - Reno 95.5% 93.3% -219 -2.3% \$1,152 \$1,258 9.2% 95.5% 96.1% 60 0.6% \$1,152 \$1,236 7.3%	NV - Las Vegas						-										
	NV - Misc. NV																
Nevada Average 92.8% 93.6% 80 0.9% \$995 \$1,080 8.5% 93.8% 94.4% 60 0.7% \$991 \$1,069 7.8%	NV - Reno																
	Nevada Average	92.8%	93.6%	80	0.9%	\$995	\$1,080	8.5%	93.8%	94.4%	60	0.7%	\$991	\$1,069	7.8%		



Partner with a data provider who uses your level of success as the measure of our own.

Comprehensive analysis and multifamily research from a name you can trust.

IALN Apartment Data

Nationwide Multifamily Data www.alndata.com 800-643-6416 ext. 3

Let's Schedule a Webinar

			STABILIZED PROPERTIES											
	occui		CHANGE EFFECTIVE RENT			OCCUPANCY 5-1-40			CHANGE EFFECTIVE REN					
NY - Albany	Feb-18 90.8%	Feb-19 93.3%	bps 250	%CHG 2.7%	Feb-18 \$1,154	Feb-19 \$1,182	%сн G 2.5%	Feb-18 93.8%	Feb-19 94.7%	bps 90	%CHG 1.0%	Feb-18 \$1,131	Feb-19 \$1,161	%снс 2.6%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.1%	94.9%	80	0.8%	\$944	\$976	3.3%	94.8%	95.1%	30	0.4%	\$942	\$972	3.2%
NY - Misc. NY	88.9%	86.8%	-210	-2.3%	\$976	\$990	1.4%	88.9%	86.8%	-210	-2.3%	\$976	\$990	1.4%
NY - New York City	91.2%	92.6%	140	1.4%	\$2,470	\$2,606	5.5%	95.0%	95.6%	60	0.6%	\$2,443	\$2,563	4.9%
New York Average	90.7%	92.0%	130	1.4%	\$2,168	\$2,294	5.8%	94.7%	95.4%	70	0.7%	\$2,140	\$2,245	4.9%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	91.9%	93.7%	180	2.0%	\$871	\$905	3.9%	93.9%	94.9%	100	1.1%	\$856	\$885	3.3%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.7%	93.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$831	\$866	4.2%	94.4%	94.3%	-10	-0.2%	\$827	\$851	3.0%
OH - Columbus	93.0%	92.1%	-90	-0.9%	\$907	\$956	5.4%	94.5%	94.4%	-10	-0.2%	\$901	\$936	3.8%
OH - Misc. OH	97.4%	98.9%	150	1.5%	\$670	\$680	1.4%	97.4%	98.9%	150	1.5%	\$670	\$680	1.4%
OH - Toledo	94.1%	94.7%	60 10	0.6%	\$696 \$860	\$718 \$899	3.2% 4.6%	94.9% 94.3%	94.6%	-30 20	-0.3% 0.2%	\$695 \$852	\$717 \$881	3.2%
Ohio Average OK - Misc. OK	92.7%	90.6%	-210	-2.3%	\$666	\$647	-2.8%	92.7%	90.6%	-210	-2.3%	\$666	\$647	-2.8%
OK - Oklahoma City	88.3%	88.4%	10	0.1%	\$719	\$745	3.6%	89.1%	89.6%	50	0.6%	\$713	\$732	2.7%
OK - Tulsa	89.1%	91.1%	200	2.3%	\$677	\$694	2.5%	90.3%	91.4%	110	1.3%	\$669	\$685	2.4%
Oklahoma Average	88.7%	89.5%	80	1.0%	\$702	\$723	3.0%	89.6%	90.4%	80	0.8%	\$695	\$712	2.4%
OR - Misc. OR	98.4%	82.2%	-1620	-16.4%	\$979	\$1,033	5.6%	98.4%	97.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$979	\$1,049	7.1%
OR - Portland	92.7%	93.6%	90	1.0%	\$1,307	\$1,348	3.1%	94.6%	94.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,294	\$1,334	3.1%
Oregon Average	92.7%	93.3%	60	0.6%	\$1,304	\$1,344	3.1%	94.7%	94.9%	20	0.3%	\$1,291	\$1,331	3.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.4%	95.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,030	\$1,079	4.7%	95.6%	96.0%	40	0.3%	\$1,030	\$1,071	4.0%
PA - Misc. PA	95.7%	93.9%	-180	-1.9%	\$983	\$983	0.1%	95.7%	93.9%	-180	-1.9%	\$983	\$983	0.1%
PA - Philadelphia	93.2%	93.9%	69 250	0.8%	\$1,280	\$1,326	3.6%	94.5%	95.2% 94.6%	70 119	0.8%	\$1,264	\$1,299 \$1,079	2.8%
PA - Pittsburgh PA - State College/Altoona	90.4% 98.1%	92.9% 94.5%	-360	2.8% -3.6%	\$1,063 \$1,173	\$1,108 \$1,178	4.2% 0.4%	93.4% 98.1%	94.6%	-360	1.4% -3.6%	\$1,048 \$1,173	\$1,079	3.0% 0.4%
Pennsylvania Average	93.1%	93.9%	79	0.8%	\$1,173	\$1,178	3.7%	94.5%	95.2%	70	0.7%	\$1,173	\$1,176	2.9%
SC - Charleston	84.0%	87.1%	310	3.7%	\$1,149	\$1,176	2.4%	92.0%	91.9%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,111	\$1,133	1.9%
SC - Columbia	90.8%	90.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$886	\$923	4.2%	92.4%	92.4%	0	0.0%	\$884	\$912	3.2%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.7%	92.4%	170	2.0%	\$889	\$940	5.8%	93.1%	93.3%	20	0.3%	\$880	\$924	5.1%
SC - Misc. SC	93.2%	93.8%	59	0.6%	\$743	\$678	-8.8%	93.2%	93.8%	59	0.6%	\$743	\$678	-8.8%
SC - Myrtle Beach	85.2%	92.4%	720	8.4%	\$955	\$1,004	5.1%	92.5%	92.7%	20	0.3%	\$947	\$982	3.6%
South Carolina Average	88.1%	89.9%	180	2.0%	\$974	\$1,014	4.1%	92.5%	92.6%	10	0.1%	\$954	\$985	3.3%
SD - Misc. SD	95.6%	90.6%	-499	-5.3%	\$841	\$841	0.0%	95.6%	97.0%	140	1.4%	\$841	\$845	0.5%
SD - Rapid City SD - Sioux Falls	94.3% 83.8%	96.9% 88.0%	260 420	2.7% 5.0%	\$878 \$797	\$916 \$831	4.3%	95.7% 86.9%	97.2% 92.7%	150 580	1.5% 6.8%	\$876 \$785	\$915 \$806	4.4% 2.6%
South Dakota Average	87.6%	90.0%	240	2.7%	\$821	\$850	3.6%	90.0%	94.0%	399	4.5%	\$813	\$836	2.8%
TN - Chattanooga	91.7%	90.0%	-170	-1.8%	\$875	\$952	8.9%	94.1%	94.7%	60	0.7%	\$867	\$924	6.5%
TN - Knoxville	94.7%	90.2%	-449	-4.7%	\$874	\$905	3.6%	95.9%	94.6%	-130	-1.3%	\$872	\$880	0.9%
TN - Memphis	91.3%	90.3%	-100	-1.1%	\$799	\$848	6.1%	91.3%	90.5%	-80	-0.9%	\$799	\$842	5.4%
TN - Misc. TN	93.6%	94.4%	79	0.9%	\$714	\$746	4.5%	94.4%	95.4%	100	1.0%	\$707	\$736	4.1%
TN - Nashville	89.4%	91.5%	210	2.4%	\$1,150	\$1,188	3.3%	93.7%	94.2%	49	0.5%	\$1,098	\$1,133	3.2%
Tennessee Average	90.8%	91.0%	20	0.2%	\$977	\$1,023	4.7%	93.2%	93.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$948	\$985	3.9%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.8%	90.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,103	\$1,148	4.1%	93.4%	93.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,084	\$1,116	2.9%
TX - Greater Dallas TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.4%	90.4%	-100	0.0%	\$1,143 \$1,016	\$1,187	3.8%	93.3% 93.7%	93.0% 93.6%	-30 -10	-0.3% -0.2%	\$1,123 \$1,002	\$1,151 \$1,043	2.5% 4.0%
TX - Abilene	90.2%	91.9%	170	1.8%	\$709	\$1,066 \$731	3.0%	90.2%	91.9%	170	1.8%	\$709	\$731	3.0%
TX - Amarillo	86.9%	88.2%	130	1.5%	\$709	\$720	1.5%	87.3%	88.0%	70	0.8%	\$709	\$718	1.5%
TX - Austin	89.8%	91.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,192	\$1,262	5.9%	92.8%	94.1%	129	1.4%	\$1,184	\$1,252	5.7%
TX - Beaumont	89.3%	88.9%	-40	-0.5%	\$836	\$860	2.9%	89.3%	88.9%	-40	-0.5%	\$836	\$860	2.9%
TX - College Station	81.2%	83.8%	259	3.2%	\$1,221	\$1,195	-2.2%	88.7%	87.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$1,153	\$1,134	-1.6%
TX - Corpus Christi	88.3%	89.6%	130	1.5%	\$928	\$942	1.6%	89.4%	89.6%	20	0.2%	\$914	\$930	1.7%
TX - El Paso	91.0%	91.5%	50	0.5%	\$751	\$770	2.5%	91.7%	91.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$751	\$770	2.6%
TX - Harlingen	88.6%	90.0%	140	1.6%	\$759	\$768	1.2%	91.1%	91.7%	60	0.7%	\$744	\$754	1.5%
TX - Houston	89.5%	89.8%	30	0.4%	\$1,057	\$1,069	1.1%	91.1%	90.7%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,039	\$1,044	0.5%
TX - Laredo TX - Longview/Tyler	93.3% 91.8%	93.8% 91.2%	-60	0.6% -0.7%	\$853 \$796	\$898 \$853	5.3% 7.1%	93.3% 92.2%	93.8% 92.7%	49 50	0.6%	\$853 \$794	\$898 \$840	5.3% 5.8%
TX - Lubbock	90.2%	87.7%	-250	-0.7%	\$735	\$755	2.7%	90.4%	88.8%	-160	-1.8%	\$733	\$746	1.8%
TX - Lufkin	90.3%	91.6%	130	1.4%	\$733	\$765	3.3%	90.3%	91.6%	130	1.4%	\$733	\$765	3.3%
TX - Midland-Odessa	94.6%	92.9%	-169	-1.8%	\$1,292	\$1,514	17.1%	96.1%	95.3%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,293	\$1,501	16.1%
TX - Misc. TX	92.5%	92.0%	-50	-0.6%	\$652	\$675	3.6%	92.5%	93.2%	70	0.7%	\$652	\$670	2.8%
TX - San Angelo	93.2%	94.9%	169	1.8%	\$790	\$825	4.4%	93.2%	94.9%	169	1.8%	\$790	\$825	4.4%
TX - San Antonio	87.7%	89.5%	180	2.0%	\$947	\$990	4.6%	90.9%	91.6%	70	0.7%	\$932	\$969	4.0%
TX - Texarkana	90.7%	91.8%	110	1.2%	\$653	\$677	3.7%	90.7%	91.8%	110	1.2%	\$653	\$677	3.7%
TX - Victoria	94.0%	93.3%	-69	-0.8%	\$842	\$883	4.9%	94.0%	93.3%	-69	-0.8%	\$842	\$883	4.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	87.3%	91.6%	430	4.9%	\$757	\$787	3.9%	91.4%	92.4%	100	1.1%	\$744	\$768	3.1%
TX - Wichita Falls	87.4%	89.0%	160	1.8%	\$648	\$661	2.2%	87.4%	89.0%	160	1.8%	\$648	\$661	2.2%
Texas Average	89.8%	90.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,047	\$1,085	3.6%	92.1%	92.1%	0	0.0%	\$1,031	\$1,059	2.7%

	OVERALL MARKET								STABILIZED PROPERTIES							
	OCCUPANCY		СНА	NGE	EFFECTIV	CTIVE RENT		OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT				
	Feb-18	Feb-19	bps	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	bps	%CHG	Feb-18	Feb-19	%CHG		
VA - Norfolk	92.0%	93.9%	189	2.0%	\$1,056	\$1,089	3.1%	92.7%	94.6%	189	2.0%	\$1,055	\$1,084	2.8%		
VA - Richmond	94.8%	93.6%	-119	-1.3%	\$1,068	\$1,121	4.9%	95.3%	95.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,065	\$1,111	4.3%		
VA - Roanoke	94.1%	95.0%	90	0.9%	\$816	\$867	6.2%	94.1%	96.1%	200	2.2%	\$816	\$863	5.7%		
Virginia Average	93.3%	93.8%	49	0.5%	\$1,039	\$1,082	4.1%	93.9%	94.9%	100	1.1%	\$1,037	\$1,074	3.6%		
WA - Misc. WA	85.4%	84.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$989	\$1,015	2.6%	85.4%	84.7%	-70	-0.8%	\$989	\$1,015	2.6%		
WA - SE Washington	96.1%	91.7%	-439	-4.6%	\$947	\$987	4.2%	96.1%	95.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$947	\$979	3.4%		
WA - Seattle	92.5%	92.5%	0	0.1%	\$1,644	\$1,730	5.2%	94.4%	94.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,615	\$1,691	4.7%		
WA - Spokane	94.9%	95.2%	30	0.3%	\$913	\$964	5.5%	94.9%	95.2%	30	0.3%	\$913	\$963	5.4%		
Washington Average	92.7%	92.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,557	\$1,638	5.2%	94.4%	94.9%	50	0.5%	\$1,530	\$1,600	4.6%		
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	97.7%	96.6%	-110	-1.2%	\$715	\$744	4.1%	97.7%	98.5%	80	0.8%	\$715	\$731	2.3%		
WI - Madison	94.2%	94.6%	40	0.5%	\$1,047	\$1,082	3.3%	96.8%	97.4%	60	0.7%	\$1,036	\$1,061	2.4%		
WI - Milwaukee	93.2%	92.2%	-100	-1.0%	\$1,043	\$1,083	3.9%	94.7%	96.1%	140	1.5%	\$1,031	\$1,049	1.8%		
WI - Misc. WI	95.9%	96.7%	80	0.8%	\$712	\$754	6.0%	95.9%	97.3%	140	1.4%	\$712	\$737	3.5%		
Wisconsin Average	94.1%	93.7%	-39	-0.4%	\$976	\$1,012	3.7%	95.8%	96.9%	110	1.1%	\$965	\$984	2.0%		
WV - Charleston	95.7%	93.7%	-199	-2.1%	\$791	\$893	12.9%	95.7%	93.7%	-199	-2.1%	\$791	\$893	12.9%		
WV - Miscellaneous	97.1%	95.2%	-190	-2.0%	\$761	\$774	1.7%	97.1%	95.2%	-190	-2.0%	\$761	\$774	1.7%		
West Virginia Average	96.0%	94.1%	-190	-2.1%	\$784	\$867	10.7%	96.0%	94.1%	-190	-2.1%	\$784	\$867	10.7%		
CT - Hartford	92.9%	93.0%	10	0.1%	\$1,272	\$1,323	4.0%	94.1%	94.4%	30	0.3%	\$1,268	\$1,310	3.3%		
DC - Washington	92.5%	93.3%	80	0.9%	\$1,727	\$1,796	4.0%	94.5%	94.9%	40	0.4%	\$1,709	\$1,770	3.5%		
DE - Miscellaneous	95.2%	91.4%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,090	\$1,093	0.3%	95.2%	91.4%	-379	-4.0%	\$1,090	\$1,093	0.3%		
HI - Honolulu	87.1%	93.1%	600	6.8%	\$1,788	\$1,769	-1.1%	94.7%	95.0%	30	0.4%	\$1,789	\$1,760	-1.6%		
MT - All of Montana	93.4%	96.6%	319	3.4%	\$891	\$900	1.0%	93.4%	96.6%	319	3.4%	\$891	\$900	1.0%		
NH - Concord	98.3%	99.4%	110	1.0%	\$1,179	\$1,247	5.8%	98.3%	99.4%	110	1.0%	\$1,179	\$1,247	5.8%		
RI - Providence	92.6%	96.2%	359	3.9%	\$1,408	\$1,444	2.5%	94.9%	96.9%	200	2.0%	\$1,392	\$1,428	2.6%		
UT - Salt Lake City	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,078	\$1,138	5.6%	95.0%	95.0%	0	0.1%	\$1,064	\$1,107	4.1%		
VT - All of Vermont	84.9%	98.6%	1370	16.1%	\$1,437	\$1,565	8.9%	87.2%	99.4%	1220	14.0%	\$1,452	\$1,484	2.2%		
WY - All of Wyoming	88.4%	92.6%	420	4.7%	\$867	\$894	3.1%	88.4%	92.4%	400	4.4%	\$867	\$888	2.4%		
National Average	91.5%	92.0%	50	0.6%	\$1,252	\$1,313	4.8%	93.6%	94.0%	39	0.4%	\$1,236	\$1,285	3.9%		

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data, Inc. makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

VP Business Development 1.800.643.6416 x 205

Laura@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 251

Paige@alndata.com

Patrick Searcy, CAS

Director of Marketing 1.800.643.6416 x 252

Patrick@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 256

Robert@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS

Director of Sales 1.800.643.6416 x 207

SStroud@alndata.com

Jackie James

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 253

<u>Jackie@alndata.com</u>

Karen Nelsen

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 259

KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings

Regional Account Executive 1.800.643.6416 x 232

Mark@alndata.com

ALN Apartment Data, Inc. www.alndata.com