

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-18	Jun-19	bps	%CHG	Jun-18	Jun-19	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	90.3%	92.7%	240	2.6%	\$1,026	\$1,030	0.4%
AK - Misc. AK	85.0%	86.4%	140	1.7%	\$1,212	\$1,248	2.9%
Alaska Average	89.1%	91.2%	210	2.4%	\$1,071	\$1,084	1.2%
AL - Birmingham	92.2%	92.6%	40	0.4%	\$872	\$909	4.3%
AL - Huntsville	93.5%	94.9%	139	1.4%	\$736	\$788	7.0%
AL - Misc. AL	92.7%	95.9%	319	3.4%	\$616	\$663	7.6%
AL - Mobile	91.1%	90.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$796	\$835	4.8%
AL - Montgomery	89.9%	90.3%	40	0.5%	\$752	\$770	2.3%
Alabama Average	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.7%	\$800	\$839	4.8%
AR - Little Rock	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.6%	\$741	\$750	1.3%
AR - Misc. AR	94.5%	94.6%	10	0.1%	\$566	\$585	3.2%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.0%	92.3%	30	0.3%	\$658	\$694	5.4%
Arkansas Average	92.2%	92.6%	40	0.5%	\$693	\$713	2.9%
AZ - Flagstaff	94.6%	93.3%	-129	-1.4%	\$1,307	\$1,371	4.9%
AZ - Misc. AZ	93.6%	94.3%	69	0.7%	\$740	\$782	5.6%
AZ - Phoenix	92.6%	93.2%	60	0.7%	\$1,041	\$1,139	9.4%
AZ - Tucson	93.2%	93.7%	50	0.5%	\$751	\$806	7.3%
Arizona Average	92.7%	93.2%	50	0.5%	\$990	\$1,080	9.1%
CA - Central Coast	96.1%	96.0%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,891	\$1,955	3.4%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	93.3%	93.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,136	\$2,215	3.7%
CA - Misc. CA	93.8%	97.2%	340	3.6%	\$952	\$1,024	7.6%
CA - Sacramento	95.7%	95.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,374	\$1,448	5.4%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	95.6%	94.1%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,474	\$1,554	5.5%
CA - San Diego	94.2%	94.1%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,892	\$1,970	4.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	93.8%	94.2%	40	0.4%	\$2,683	\$2,769	3.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.0%	97.1%	110	1.1%	\$1,038	\$1,109	6.8%
California Average	94.2%	94.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,019	\$2,098	3.9%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.7%	91.5%	80	0.9%	\$1,413	\$1,485	5.0%
CO - Misc. CO	95.1%	91.1%	-399	-4.2%	\$1,012	\$1,075	6.2%
Colorado Average	90.7%	91.4%	70	0.8%	\$1,408	\$1,479	5.0%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	92.5%	92.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,604	\$1,660	3.5%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	90.7%	89.2%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,275	\$1,299	1.9%
FL - Gainesville	93.1%	95.5%	239	2.6%	\$1,141	\$1,222	7.1%
FL - Jacksonville	93.1%	91.5%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,030	\$1,083	5.2%
FL - Melbourne	96.0%	90.9%	-509	-5.3%	\$1,102	\$1,155	4.9%
FL - Miami	87.7%	89.6%	190	2.2%	\$1,696	\$1,778	4.9%
FL - Orlando	91.8%	92.2%	40	0.5%	\$1,261	\$1,321	4.8%
FL - Palm Beach	90.6%	92.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,587	\$1,662	4.7%
FL - Pensacola	92.4%	94.9%	249	2.8%	\$1,026	\$1,143	11.4%
FL - Tallahassee	90.1%	93.4%	330	3.7%	\$909	\$992	9.1%
FL - Tampa	91.9%	92.1%	20	0.1%	\$1,185	\$1,234	4.2%
Florida Average	91.7%	91.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,288	\$1,349	4.7%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-18	Jun-19	bps	%CHG	Jun-18	Jun-19	%CHG
GA - Albany	92.2%	91.4%	-80	-0.9%	\$673	\$704	4.6%
GA - Atlanta	91.6%	92.1%	50	0.6%	\$1,174	\$1,253	6.7%
GA - Augusta	91.0%	91.3%	30	0.4%	\$815	\$870	6.7%
GA - Columbus	93.0%	94.5%	149	1.7%	\$816	\$854	4.6%
GA - Macon	94.6%	92.8%	-179	-2.0%	\$795	\$829	4.3%
GA - Savannah	91.0%	92.3%	130	1.5%	\$1,034	\$1,075	3.9%
Georgia Average	91.6%	92.2%	60	0.6%	\$1,117	\$1,186	6.2%
IA - Des Moines	88.5%	91.6%	310	3.4%	\$887	\$908	2.4%
IA - Misc. IA	98.5%	91.1%	-739	-7.6%	\$781	\$805	3.1%
Iowa Average	88.5%	91.5%	300	3.5%	\$879	\$901	2.5%
ID - Boise	94.9%	94.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$995	\$1,100	10.5%
ID - Misc. ID	77.5%	95.3%	1779	23.0%	\$758	\$745	-1.6%
Idaho Average	93.8%	94.7%	90	0.9%	\$979	\$1,080	10.4%
IL - Chicago	91.1%	90.6%	-50	-0.6%	\$1,529	\$1,596	4.3%
IL - Misc. IL	83.5%	68.4%	-1509	-18.0%	\$643	\$633	-1.5%
IL - Moline	95.8%	93.4%	-239	-2.5%	\$742	\$781	5.3%
IL - Springfield	91.7%	92.6%	90	1.0%	\$733	\$756	3.0%
Illinois Average	91.1%	90.7%	-40	-0.5%	\$1,431	\$1,493	4.4%
IN - Evansville	93.6%	94.4%	79	0.8%	\$725	\$749	3.4%
IN - Fort Wayne	93.6%	91.2%	-240	-2.5%	\$723	\$753	4.2%
IN - Indianapolis	92.0%	92.4%	40	0.4%	\$860	\$895	4.1%
IN - Misc. IN	94.8%	98.1%	330	3.5%	\$750	\$815	8.7%
IN - South Bend	92.5%	91.1%	-140	-1.5%	\$823	\$866	5.2%
Indiana Average	92.3%	92.3%	0	0.1%	\$834	\$869	4.2%
KS - Misc. KS	93.8%	97.3%	350	3.8%	\$534	\$594	11.3%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	90.6%	90.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$747	\$771	3.2%
KS - Wichita	90.8%	93.3%	250	2.7%	\$649	\$665	2.6%
Kansas Average	90.8%	92.2%	140	1.5%	\$687	\$709	3.1%
KY - Lexington	91.9%	92.5%	60	0.6%	\$803	\$827	2.9%
KY - Louisville	92.0%	90.1%	-190	-2.0%	\$879	\$917	4.3%
KY - Misc. KY	94.7%	93.4%	-129	-1.4%	\$599	\$619	3.3%
Kentucky Average	92.0%	90.9%	-110	-1.2%	\$848	\$882	4.0%
LA - Baton Rouge	86.9%	86.7%	-20	-0.3%	\$902	\$916	1.5%
LA - Lake Charles	88.3%	90.8%	250	2.8%	\$1,046	\$984	-6.0%
LA - Misc. LA	86.3%	93.4%	710	8.3%	\$749	\$773	3.2%
LA - Monroe	90.0%	87.9%	-210	-2.3%	\$740	\$755	1.9%
LA - New Orleans	92.4%	93.2%	80	0.8%	\$972	\$1,006	3.5%
LA - Shreveport	89.4%	90.3%	90	1.0%	\$796	\$818	2.8%
Louisiana Average	89.2%	90.0%	80	0.9%	\$913	\$929	1.8%
MA - Boston	90.7%	93.4%	270	2.9%	\$2,166	\$2,263	4.5%
MA - Springfield	97.2%	97.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,151	\$1,177	2.3%
Massachusetts Average	91.1%	93.6%	250	2.7%	\$2,112	\$2,207	4.5%

(Continued on next page)



Partner with a data provider who uses **your** level of success as the measure of our own.

Comprehensive analysis and multifamily research from a name you can trust.

**ALN**  
APARTMENT DATA, INC.

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

Nationwide Multifamily Data

[www.alndata.com](http://www.alndata.com)

800-643-6416 ext. 3

**Let's Schedule a Webinar**

*(Continue on for Market Stats)*

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-18	Jun-19	bps	%CHG	Jun-18	Jun-19	%CHG
MD - Baltimore	91.9%	93.8%	189	2.1%	\$1,312	\$1,352	3.1%
MD - Misc. MD	95.1%	97.6%	250	2.6%	\$1,048	\$1,081	3.2%
Maryland Average	91.9%	93.9%	199	2.1%	\$1,306	\$1,346	3.1%
ME - Augusta/Portland	96.9%	98.2%	130	1.3%	\$1,283	\$1,391	8.4%
ME - Misc. ME	98.0%	89.1%	-889	-9.0%	\$756	\$817	8.1%
Maine Average	97.0%	97.1%	10	0.1%	\$1,225	\$1,327	8.3%
MI - Detroit	95.5%	95.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$968	\$1,007	4.1%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.4%	95.0%	60	0.6%	\$855	\$899	5.2%
MI - Misc. MI	93.2%	94.0%	79	0.9%	\$818	\$821	0.3%
Michigan Average	95.2%	95.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$940	\$979	4.2%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	94.6%	95.5%	90	1.0%	\$1,249	\$1,304	4.4%
MN - Misc. MN	94.3%	96.3%	200	2.0%	\$1,000	\$1,020	2.1%
Minnesota Average	94.6%	95.6%	100	1.0%	\$1,243	\$1,295	4.2%
MO - Columbia	94.2%	95.2%	100	1.1%	\$683	\$679	-0.7%
MO - Kansas City	91.0%	92.3%	130	1.4%	\$960	\$998	3.9%
MO - Misc. MO	87.9%	94.9%	699	8.0%	\$726	\$644	-11.4%
MO - Springfield	95.4%	95.8%	40	0.5%	\$705	\$696	-1.2%
MO - St. Louis	91.0%	92.0%	100	1.1%	\$920	\$966	5.0%
Missouri Average	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.3%	\$919	\$954	3.8%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	91.8%	94.3%	249	2.7%	\$736	\$771	4.7%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	92.7%	93.6%	90	0.9%	\$821	\$850	3.5%
MS - Misc. MS	88.0%	90.2%	220	2.6%	\$799	\$810	1.3%
Mississippi Average	91.3%	92.9%	160	1.8%	\$794	\$819	3.2%
NC - Asheville	89.4%	91.2%	180	1.9%	\$1,153	\$1,198	3.9%
NC - Charlotte	90.0%	91.2%	120	1.3%	\$1,088	\$1,153	6.0%
NC - Fayetteville	92.9%	94.1%	119	1.3%	\$811	\$844	4.1%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	92.1%	93.1%	100	1.1%	\$793	\$845	6.5%
NC - Misc. NC	74.9%	100.0%	2510	33.6%	\$999	\$1,066	6.7%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	90.9%	91.9%	100	1.1%	\$1,071	\$1,128	5.3%
NC - Wilmington	92.3%	93.8%	149	1.6%	\$915	\$1,007	10.1%
North Carolina Average	90.9%	92.0%	110	1.3%	\$1,014	\$1,076	6.0%
ND - Bismarck	93.8%	95.1%	130	1.4%	\$932	\$963	3.3%
ND - Fargo	90.9%	91.1%	20	0.2%	\$780	\$794	1.9%
ND - Misc. ND	91.0%	92.3%	130	1.5%	\$946	\$1,059	11.9%
North Dakota Average	91.4%	92.3%	90	1.0%	\$863	\$913	5.8%
NE - Lincoln	96.7%	94.7%	-200	-2.1%	\$836	\$864	3.2%
NE - Misc. NE	90.0%	91.8%	180	2.1%	\$695	\$724	4.2%
NE - Omaha	91.7%	92.6%	90	1.0%	\$913	\$936	2.5%
Nebraska Average	92.1%	93.0%	90	1.0%	\$891	\$916	2.8%
NM - Albuquerque	94.0%	95.6%	160	1.7%	\$843	\$901	6.9%
NM - Misc. NM	90.0%	88.5%	-150	-1.7%	\$933	\$1,059	13.5%
New Mexico Average	93.7%	95.1%	139	1.5%	\$851	\$915	7.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-18	Jun-19	bps	%CHG	Jun-18	Jun-19	%CHG
NV - Las Vegas	93.2%	94.3%	109	1.1%	\$1,001	\$1,092	9.0%
NV - Misc. NV	95.0%	97.1%	210	2.3%	\$1,024	\$974	-4.9%
NV - Reno	93.7%	92.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$1,215	\$1,304	7.4%
Nevada Average	93.3%	94.0%	69	0.8%	\$1,034	\$1,124	8.7%
NY - Albany	91.8%	94.6%	279	3.1%	\$1,186	\$1,194	0.7%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.9%	95.0%	10	0.1%	\$961	\$995	3.5%
NY - Misc. NY	89.3%	89.0%	-30	-0.4%	\$1,010	\$1,012	0.2%
NY - New York City	91.6%	93.8%	219	2.4%	\$2,562	\$2,634	2.8%
New York Average	91.2%	93.1%	190	2.1%	\$2,252	\$2,317	2.9%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.4%	94.7%	129	1.4%	\$885	\$927	4.7%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.4%	93.5%	10	0.1%	\$845	\$880	4.1%
OH - Columbus	93.1%	92.2%	-90	-1.1%	\$937	\$975	4.0%
OH - Misc. OH	97.7%	98.7%	100	1.0%	\$670	\$680	1.4%
OH - Toledo	94.4%	95.0%	60	0.7%	\$718	\$733	2.1%
Ohio Average	93.3%	93.4%	10	0.2%	\$880	\$917	4.2%
OK - Misc. OK	91.9%	88.8%	-310	-3.4%	\$652	\$654	0.2%
OK - Oklahoma City	88.5%	89.4%	90	1.1%	\$732	\$757	3.5%
OK - Tulsa	90.6%	92.1%	150	1.6%	\$679	\$704	3.7%
Oklahoma Average	89.4%	90.5%	110	1.2%	\$710	\$735	3.5%
OR - Misc. OR	96.8%	88.1%	-869	-8.9%	\$940	\$1,052	11.8%
OR - Portland	94.0%	93.7%	-29	-0.3%	\$1,341	\$1,382	3.0%
Oregon Average	94.0%	93.4%	-59	-0.7%	\$1,337	\$1,378	3.1%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	96.0%	96.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,048	\$1,101	5.0%
PA - Misc. PA	94.8%	94.5%	-30	-0.3%	\$982	\$991	0.9%
PA - Philadelphia	94.1%	94.5%	40	0.5%	\$1,307	\$1,356	3.8%
PA - Pittsburgh	90.2%	94.4%	419	4.7%	\$1,100	\$1,137	3.4%
PA - State College/Altoona	99.0%	97.0%	-200	-2.1%	\$1,183	\$1,196	1.1%
Pennsylvania Average	93.8%	94.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,241	\$1,288	3.8%
SC - Charleston	85.7%	88.0%	230	2.7%	\$1,175	\$1,239	5.4%
SC - Columbia	90.4%	92.2%	180	1.9%	\$898	\$940	4.6%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.8%	91.7%	90	0.9%	\$915	\$969	5.9%
SC - Misc. SC	94.1%	97.3%	320	3.3%	\$696	\$695	-0.1%
SC - Myrtle Beach	86.5%	89.8%	330	3.8%	\$979	\$1,029	5.1%
South Carolina Average	88.8%	90.5%	170	1.9%	\$996	\$1,052	5.6%
SD - Misc. SD	97.7%	91.5%	-619	-6.4%	\$836	\$842	0.7%
SD - Rapid City	96.7%	96.2%	-50	-0.6%	\$899	\$912	1.4%
SD - Sioux Falls	89.4%	89.9%	50	0.6%	\$794	\$851	7.1%
South Dakota Average	92.0%	91.3%	-70	-0.8%	\$823	\$863	4.8%
TN - Chattanooga	90.7%	92.8%	210	2.2%	\$904	\$962	6.3%
TN - Knoxville	92.8%	91.8%	-100	-1.1%	\$888	\$932	5.0%
TN - Memphis	91.4%	91.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$820	\$859	4.8%
TN - Misc. TN	90.3%	95.8%	549	6.1%	\$737	\$769	4.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-18	Jun-19	bps	%CHG	Jun-18	Jun-19	%CHG
TN - Nashville	90.3%	93.1%	280	3.1%	\$1,174	\$1,225	4.4%
<b>Tennessee Average</b>	90.9%	92.5%	160	1.7%	\$1,001	\$1,049	4.8%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	91.1%	91.4%	30	0.4%	\$1,133	\$1,172	3.5%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.5%	91.4%	90	1.0%	\$1,174	\$1,211	3.1%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	92.4%	91.2%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,043	\$1,091	4.6%
TX - Abilene	90.4%	92.3%	190	2.2%	\$741	\$765	3.2%
TX - Amarillo	88.3%	87.4%	-90	-1.1%	\$714	\$734	2.7%
TX - Austin	90.5%	92.2%	170	1.9%	\$1,234	\$1,303	5.6%
TX - Beaumont	89.1%	91.4%	230	2.6%	\$864	\$865	0.1%
TX - College Station	79.8%	83.0%	319	4.0%	\$1,222	\$1,198	-2.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.4%	90.8%	40	0.5%	\$950	\$956	0.6%
TX - El Paso	91.4%	92.9%	150	1.6%	\$764	\$784	2.6%
TX - Harlingen	89.1%	92.1%	300	3.3%	\$751	\$771	2.7%
TX - Houston	89.9%	90.4%	50	0.5%	\$1,073	\$1,088	1.4%
TX - Laredo	94.4%	95.4%	100	1.1%	\$888	\$903	1.6%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.5%	91.5%	0	0.0%	\$819	\$875	6.8%
TX - Lubbock	89.6%	88.7%	-90	-1.1%	\$738	\$762	3.2%
TX - Lufkin	89.3%	91.7%	240	2.7%	\$769	\$763	-0.8%
TX - Midland-Odessa	94.8%	93.7%	-109	-1.2%	\$1,506	\$1,515	0.6%
TX - Misc. TX	90.2%	94.2%	399	4.4%	\$663	\$696	4.9%
TX - San Angelo	94.5%	96.0%	150	1.5%	\$801	\$841	4.9%
TX - San Antonio	89.6%	90.4%	80	0.9%	\$967	\$1,016	5.0%
TX - Texarkana	93.8%	90.7%	-309	-3.3%	\$664	\$683	2.9%
TX - Victoria	92.1%	92.5%	40	0.4%	\$858	\$880	2.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	88.3%	93.3%	500	5.6%	\$766	\$802	4.6%
TX - Wichita Falls	89.0%	90.8%	180	2.0%	\$649	\$668	3.0%
<b>Texas Average</b>	90.4%	91.0%	60	0.7%	\$1,074	\$1,107	3.2%
UT - Misc. UT	73.3%	90.7%	1740	23.7%	\$822	\$948	15.3%
UT - Salt Lake City	92.3%	93.4%	110	1.2%	\$1,106	\$1,164	5.2%
<b>Utah Average</b>	91.9%	93.3%	140	1.6%	\$1,102	\$1,159	5.2%
VA - Norfolk	92.6%	94.6%	199	2.1%	\$1,074	\$1,112	3.5%
VA - Richmond	93.4%	93.2%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,092	\$1,152	5.5%
VA - Roanoke	95.2%	96.0%	80	0.8%	\$833	\$884	6.1%
<b>Virginia Average</b>	93.0%	94.1%	109	1.2%	\$1,060	\$1,108	4.6%
WA - Misc. WA	92.6%	83.1%	-950	-10.3%	\$1,002	\$1,041	3.9%
WA - SE Washington	95.9%	95.3%	-60	-0.7%	\$981	\$1,033	5.3%
WA - Seattle	93.0%	93.5%	50	0.6%	\$1,706	\$1,790	4.9%
WA - Spokane	95.9%	95.8%	-10	-0.1%	\$933	\$1,000	7.2%
<b>Washington Average</b>	93.3%	93.6%	30	0.4%	\$1,614	\$1,696	5.1%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	96.1%	95.3%	-80	-0.9%	\$727	\$760	4.4%
WI - Madison	93.0%	96.0%	299	3.2%	\$1,069	\$1,097	2.6%
WI - Milwaukee	93.1%	93.6%	50	0.5%	\$1,063	\$1,107	4.1%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Jun-18	Jun-19	bps	%CHG	Jun-18	Jun-19	%CHG
<b>WI - Misc. WI</b>	93.9%	95.1%	120	1.3%	\$728	\$758	4.1%
<b>Wisconsin Average</b>	93.5%	94.6%	109	1.2%	\$994	\$1,031	3.7%
<b>WV - Charleston</b>	97.8%	93.7%	-409	-4.3%	\$912	\$906	-0.6%
<b>WV - Miscellaneous</b>	94.8%	93.3%	-149	-1.5%	\$776	\$768	-0.9%
<b>West Virginia Average</b>	96.9%	93.6%	-329	-3.4%	\$882	\$877	-0.6%
<b>CT - Hartford</b>	94.1%	93.6%	-49	-0.5%	\$1,297	\$1,350	4.0%
<b>DC - Washington</b>	93.4%	93.9%	49	0.6%	\$1,764	\$1,831	3.8%
<b>DE - Miscellaneous</b>	95.0%	93.8%	-120	-1.3%	\$1,096	\$1,156	5.5%
<b>HI - Honolulu</b>	90.8%	94.2%	339	3.7%	\$1,738	\$1,830	5.2%
<b>MT - All of Montana</b>	94.8%	94.8%	0	0.0%	\$884	\$919	4.0%
<b>NH - Concord</b>	98.6%	99.3%	70	0.7%	\$1,204	\$1,281	6.5%
<b>RI - Providence</b>	95.5%	97.1%	160	1.7%	\$1,405	\$1,480	5.4%
<b>VT - All of Vermont</b>	99.7%	99.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,520	\$1,584	4.2%
<b>WY - All of Wyoming</b>	91.2%	93.9%	269	2.9%	\$873	\$933	6.9%
<b>National Average</b>	92.0%	92.6%	60	0.7%	\$1,287	\$1,342	4.3%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

*Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data, Inc. makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.*

**Laura Reese-Williams, CAS**  
Executive Vice President  
1.800.643.6416 x 205  
[Laura@alndata.com](mailto:Laura@alndata.com)

**Susan Stroud, CAS**  
Vice President of Sales  
1.800.643.6416 x 207  
[SStroud@alndata.com](mailto:SStroud@alndata.com)

**Patrick Searcy, CAS**  
Director of Marketing  
1.800.643.6416 x 252  
[Patrick@alndata.com](mailto:Patrick@alndata.com)

**Paige Kitchens, CAS**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 251  
[Paige@alndata.com](mailto:Paige@alndata.com)

**Jackie James**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 253  
[Jackie@alndata.com](mailto:Jackie@alndata.com)

**Karen Nelsen**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 259  
[KNelsen@alndata.com](mailto:KNelsen@alndata.com)

**Mark Pennings**  
Regional Account Executive  
1.800.643.6416 x 232  
[Mark@alndata.com](mailto:Mark@alndata.com)