

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-18	Nov-19	bps	%CHG	Nov-18	Nov-19	%CHG
AK - Anchorage	88.0%	91.4%	340	3.9%	\$1,014	\$1,025	1.1%
AK - Misc. AK	83.7%	84.1%	40	0.5%	\$1,233	\$1,228	-0.4%
Alaska Average	87.0%	90.0%	300	3.5%	\$1,068	\$1,075	0.6%
AL - Birmingham	93.3%	93.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$878	\$919	4.7%
AL - Huntsville	94.6%	94.7%	10	0.1%	\$745	\$818	9.8%
AL - Misc. AL	93.8%	95.9%	210	2.2%	\$641	\$664	3.5%
AL - Mobile	89.6%	89.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$808	\$837	3.6%
AL - Montgomery	90.1%	91.4%	130	1.4%	\$754	\$785	4.1%
Alabama Average	92.2%	92.5%	30	0.4%	\$810	\$852	5.1%
AR - Little Rock	90.1%	92.6%	250	2.8%	\$743	\$766	3.1%
AR - Misc. AR	91.0%	94.1%	309	3.4%	\$568	\$586	3.3%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	92.1%	90.4%	-170	-1.9%	\$676	\$714	5.5%
Arkansas Average	90.8%	92.0%	120	1.3%	\$701	\$729	4.0%
AZ - Flagstaff	95.2%	93.2%	-199	-2.1%	\$1,307	\$1,344	2.8%
AZ - Misc. AZ	95.4%	95.9%	50	0.6%	\$764	\$819	7.1%
AZ - Phoenix	92.4%	93.3%	90	0.9%	\$1,075	\$1,175	9.3%
AZ - Tucson	93.3%	93.7%	40	0.4%	\$777	\$832	7.1%
Arizona Average	92.7%	93.3%	60	0.7%	\$1,022	\$1,114	9.0%
CA - Central Coast	94.8%	95.7%	90	1.0%	\$1,910	\$1,965	2.9%
CA - Los Angeles/OC	93.3%	93.2%	-10	0.0%	\$2,172	\$2,237	3.0%
CA - Misc. CA	96.5%	98.0%	150	1.6%	\$974	\$1,032	5.9%
CA - Sacramento	95.3%	94.1%	-120	-1.2%	\$1,395	\$1,473	5.7%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	94.1%	93.2%	-89	-1.0%	\$1,506	\$1,577	4.7%
CA - San Diego	94.1%	93.9%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,915	\$1,994	4.1%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	93.4%	92.9%	-50	-0.5%	\$2,709	\$2,768	2.2%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.3%	95.9%	-40	-0.3%	\$1,063	\$1,141	7.4%
California Average	93.9%	93.5%	-39	-0.4%	\$2,049	\$2,117	3.4%
CO - Denver/Co Springs	90.8%	91.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,429	\$1,475	3.2%
CO - Misc. CO	86.8%	97.8%	1099	12.7%	\$1,028	\$1,132	10.1%
Colorado Average	90.6%	91.4%	80	0.8%	\$1,423	\$1,470	3.3%
FL - Fort Lauderdale	91.9%	92.7%	80	0.9%	\$1,631	\$1,672	2.5%
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	82.8%	84.6%	180	2.1%	\$1,286	\$1,313	2.1%
FL - Gainesville	95.5%	94.7%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,167	\$1,244	6.6%
FL - Jacksonville	91.2%	92.2%	100	1.1%	\$1,060	\$1,099	3.7%
FL - Melbourne	92.3%	92.8%	50	0.6%	\$1,120	\$1,149	2.5%
FL - Miami	91.4%	89.6%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,725	\$1,757	1.9%
FL - Orlando	92.2%	91.2%	-100	-1.2%	\$1,286	\$1,331	3.5%
FL - Palm Beach	90.8%	92.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,620	\$1,686	4.1%
FL - Pensacola	93.2%	94.4%	119	1.3%	\$1,070	\$1,156	8.0%
FL - Tallahassee	90.5%	92.6%	210	2.3%	\$957	\$1,004	4.9%
FL - Tampa	91.5%	91.8%	30	0.3%	\$1,200	\$1,247	3.9%
Florida Average	91.5%	91.6%	10	0.1%	\$1,314	\$1,359	3.4%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-18	Nov-19	bps	%CHG	Nov-18	Nov-19	%CHG
GA - Albany	90.4%	93.9%	349	3.8%	\$681	\$714	4.8%
GA - Atlanta	91.9%	92.1%	20	0.1%	\$1,207	\$1,265	4.9%
GA - Augusta	87.9%	90.3%	240	2.8%	\$828	\$890	7.5%
GA - Columbus	93.9%	95.3%	140	1.5%	\$826	\$861	4.2%
GA - Macon	94.1%	93.4%	-69	-0.8%	\$810	\$839	3.6%
GA - Savannah	90.6%	92.4%	180	2.0%	\$1,057	\$1,077	1.9%
Georgia Average	91.8%	92.2%	40	0.4%	\$1,145	\$1,198	4.6%
HI - Honolulu	92.3%	95.5%	319	3.4%	\$1,768	\$1,822	3.1%
Hawaii Average	92.3%	95.5%	319	3.4%	\$1,768	\$1,822	3.1%
IA - Des Moines	88.4%	92.3%	390	4.4%	\$897	\$907	1.1%
IA - Misc. IA	98.4%	95.5%	-290	-2.9%	\$788	\$809	2.6%
Iowa Average	88.9%	91.7%	280	3.1%	\$889	\$900	1.2%
ID - Boise	91.0%	93.2%	220	2.4%	\$1,025	\$1,117	8.9%
ID - Misc. ID	97.4%	99.8%	240	2.5%	\$744	\$747	0.5%
Idaho Average	91.4%	93.5%	210	2.4%	\$1,009	\$1,095	8.6%
IL - Chicago	90.6%	90.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,538	\$1,591	3.5%
IL - Misc. IL	83.7%	79.1%	-459	-5.5%	\$644	\$625	-3.0%
IL - Moline	90.5%	93.1%	260	2.9%	\$749	\$779	3.9%
IL - Springfield	91.3%	92.3%	100	1.2%	\$743	\$756	1.7%
Illinois Average	90.2%	90.6%	40	0.4%	\$1,440	\$1,490	3.4%
IN - Evansville	92.9%	94.6%	169	1.9%	\$730	\$745	2.1%
IN - Fort Wayne	91.1%	93.2%	210	2.2%	\$734	\$769	4.9%
IN - Indianapolis	91.9%	92.6%	70	0.7%	\$871	\$906	4.0%
IN - Misc. IN	95.4%	96.0%	60	0.7%	\$745	\$747	0.4%
IN - South Bend	92.6%	88.4%	-420	-4.6%	\$839	\$875	4.3%
Indiana Average	92.0%	92.3%	30	0.4%	\$845	\$879	4.0%
KS - Misc. KS	90.7%	88.8%	-190	-2.2%	\$602	\$611	1.6%
KS - Topeka/Manhattan/Lawrence	92.6%	90.1%	-250	-2.7%	\$748	\$770	3.0%
KS - Wichita	90.5%	92.4%	190	2.1%	\$652	\$681	4.4%
Kansas Average	91.3%	91.4%	10	0.1%	\$692	\$717	3.6%
KY - Lexington	92.7%	92.0%	-70	-0.7%	\$801	\$831	3.8%
KY - Louisville	91.0%	89.4%	-160	-1.7%	\$887	\$916	3.3%
KY - Misc. KY	93.9%	94.6%	70	0.7%	\$609	\$628	3.0%
Kentucky Average	91.5%	90.3%	-120	-1.3%	\$854	\$883	3.4%
LA - Baton Rouge	86.0%	87.7%	170	1.9%	\$908	\$910	0.1%
LA - Lake Charles	90.3%	88.8%	-150	-1.7%	\$1,001	\$903	-9.8%
LA - Misc. LA	88.0%	89.6%	160	1.8%	\$748	\$772	3.2%
LA - Monroe	84.5%	89.9%	540	6.4%	\$755	\$776	2.8%
LA - New Orleans	90.8%	90.8%	0	-0.1%	\$975	\$1,025	5.1%
LA - Shreveport	89.4%	90.7%	130	1.4%	\$800	\$806	0.8%
Louisiana Average	88.4%	89.4%	100	1.1%	\$912	\$931	2.0%
MA - Boston	92.6%	92.5%	-10	-0.1%	\$2,205	\$2,295	4.1%

(Continued on next page)



Happy Holidays

from all of us at

ALN

APARTMENT DATA, INC.

www.alndata.com

and a very

— * Happy New Year * —

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-18	Nov-19	bps	%CHG	Nov-18	Nov-19	%CHG
MA - Springfield	98.1%	97.5%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,152	\$1,197	3.9%
Massachusetts Average	92.9%	92.6%	-30	-0.3%	\$2,149	\$2,241	4.3%
MD - Baltimore	92.7%	93.4%	70	0.7%	\$1,319	\$1,362	3.3%
MD - Misc. MD	96.4%	94.9%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,055	\$1,086	3.0%
Maryland Average	92.8%	93.4%	60	0.6%	\$1,313	\$1,356	3.3%
ME - Augusta/Portland	88.2%	93.3%	510	5.8%	\$1,312	\$1,409	7.4%
ME - Misc. ME	96.0%	97.1%	110	1.1%	\$758	\$826	9.0%
Maine Average	88.8%	93.7%	490	5.5%	\$1,258	\$1,348	7.2%
MI - Detroit	95.2%	94.7%	-50	-0.5%	\$977	\$1,012	3.6%
MI - Grand Rapids/Kalamazoo/Battle Creek	94.0%	95.1%	110	1.1%	\$877	\$916	4.5%
MI - Misc. MI	94.2%	94.8%	60	0.6%	\$801	\$846	5.6%
Michigan Average	94.9%	94.7%	-20	-0.2%	\$951	\$987	3.9%
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	95.3%	95.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,261	\$1,310	3.9%
MN - Misc. MN	94.1%	93.3%	-79	-0.8%	\$1,016	\$1,021	0.5%
Minnesota Average	95.3%	94.7%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,254	\$1,302	3.8%
MO - Columbia	94.4%	95.5%	110	1.1%	\$675	\$699	3.5%
MO - Kansas City	91.5%	91.7%	20	0.2%	\$977	\$1,016	3.9%
MO - Misc. MO	92.7%	95.2%	249	2.7%	\$596	\$572	-4.0%
MO - Springfield	95.6%	95.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$701	\$695	-0.8%
MO - St. Louis	89.6%	91.7%	210	2.3%	\$934	\$976	4.5%
Missouri Average	91.0%	91.9%	90	1.0%	\$931	\$968	3.9%
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	93.7%	92.7%	-100	-1.1%	\$754	\$785	4.2%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	93.0%	92.8%	-20	-0.3%	\$822	\$854	3.9%
MS - Misc. MS	90.8%	91.9%	110	1.3%	\$797	\$812	1.8%
Mississippi Average	92.6%	92.6%	0	-0.1%	\$798	\$826	3.4%
NC - Asheville	89.2%	92.1%	290	3.3%	\$1,170	\$1,181	0.9%
NC - Charlotte	89.8%	91.4%	160	1.8%	\$1,113	\$1,173	5.4%
NC - Fayetteville	93.3%	93.6%	30	0.3%	\$812	\$849	4.6%
NC - Greensboro/Winston-Salem	92.2%	93.2%	100	1.1%	\$814	\$867	6.5%
NC - Misc. NC	92.0%	95.2%	319	3.4%	\$1,018	\$1,088	6.8%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	91.6%	92.8%	120	1.3%	\$1,089	\$1,142	4.9%
NC - Wilmington	94.0%	94.4%	40	0.5%	\$946	\$1,009	6.7%
North Carolina Average	91.2%	92.4%	120	1.3%	\$1,035	\$1,092	5.5%
ND - Bismarck	95.1%	96.5%	140	1.4%	\$944	\$980	3.8%
ND - Fargo	91.6%	95.2%	359	3.9%	\$778	\$792	1.8%
ND - Misc. ND	94.4%	92.4%	-199	-2.2%	\$1,004	\$1,061	5.6%
North Dakota Average	93.3%	92.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$884	\$917	3.8%
NE - Lincoln	95.8%	94.8%	-100	-1.0%	\$840	\$858	2.1%
NE - Misc. NE	90.1%	98.1%	799	8.9%	\$690	\$720	4.3%
NE - Omaha	90.9%	93.4%	250	2.8%	\$916	\$935	2.0%
Nebraska Average	91.9%	93.7%	180	2.0%	\$895	\$914	2.1%
NM - Albuquerque	93.0%	94.9%	189	2.0%	\$853	\$909	6.6%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-18	Nov-19	bps	%CHG	Nov-18	Nov-19	%CHG
NM - Misc. NM	94.0%	89.1%	-489	-5.3%	\$957	\$1,072	12.0%
New Mexico Average	92.6%	94.5%	189	2.0%	\$862	\$924	7.1%
NV - Las Vegas	93.4%	93.5%	10	0.2%	\$1,035	\$1,111	7.3%
NV - Misc. NV	94.7%	94.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$945	\$956	1.2%
NV - Reno	93.0%	94.0%	99	1.1%	\$1,249	\$1,305	4.5%
Nevada Average	93.4%	93.6%	20	0.3%	\$1,068	\$1,141	6.8%
NY - Albany	92.8%	94.9%	209	2.2%	\$1,191	\$1,201	0.8%
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	94.8%	95.7%	90	1.0%	\$978	\$1,009	3.2%
NY - Misc. NY	89.6%	86.9%	-270	-3.0%	\$997	\$1,005	0.9%
NY - New York City	92.4%	94.7%	229	2.6%	\$2,591	\$2,665	2.9%
New York Average	91.8%	93.9%	209	2.2%	\$2,280	\$2,344	2.8%
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	94.0%	94.3%	30	0.3%	\$896	\$943	5.3%
OH - Cleveland/Akron	93.0%	93.3%	30	0.3%	\$860	\$886	3.0%
OH - Columbus	92.6%	92.9%	30	0.3%	\$948	\$985	3.8%
OH - Misc. OH	98.7%	97.7%	-100	-1.0%	\$676	\$698	3.3%
OH - Toledo	94.8%	94.6%	-20	-0.1%	\$714	\$725	1.5%
Ohio Average	93.2%	93.5%	30	0.4%	\$892	\$927	3.9%
OK - Misc. OK	91.2%	88.7%	-250	-2.8%	\$652	\$659	1.1%
OK - Oklahoma City	88.5%	89.9%	140	1.6%	\$741	\$768	3.7%
OK - Tulsa	90.9%	91.9%	100	1.1%	\$689	\$718	4.2%
Oklahoma Average	89.6%	90.7%	110	1.3%	\$719	\$746	3.8%
OR - Misc. OR	96.6%	91.0%	-559	-5.7%	\$955	\$1,047	9.6%
OR - Portland	93.6%	93.6%	0	-0.1%	\$1,355	\$1,396	3.0%
Oregon Average	93.7%	93.4%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,351	\$1,391	3.0%
PA - Harrisburg/Lancaster	95.4%	95.5%	10	0.1%	\$1,069	\$1,098	2.7%
PA - Misc. PA	95.1%	95.5%	40	0.4%	\$948	\$959	1.2%
PA - Philadelphia	94.2%	94.2%	0	0.0%	\$1,320	\$1,367	3.6%
PA - Pittsburgh	92.9%	94.3%	139	1.5%	\$1,109	\$1,147	3.4%
PA - State College/Altoona	97.7%	96.1%	-160	-1.7%	\$1,171	\$1,205	2.9%
Pennsylvania Average	94.2%	94.3%	10	0.1%	\$1,253	\$1,297	3.5%
RI - Providence	96.6%	96.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,448	\$1,487	2.7%
Rhode Island Average	96.7%	96.3%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,452	\$1,487	2.4%
SC - Charleston	86.5%	89.0%	250	2.9%	\$1,171	\$1,239	5.8%
SC - Columbia	90.2%	92.6%	240	2.7%	\$923	\$949	2.9%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	91.1%	90.1%	-100	-1.0%	\$938	\$978	4.3%
SC - Misc. SC	95.3%	95.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$674	\$752	11.6%
SC - Myrtle Beach	88.6%	82.4%	-620	-6.9%	\$1,014	\$1,038	2.4%
South Carolina Average	89.2%	90.0%	80	0.9%	\$1,012	\$1,058	4.5%
SD - Misc. SD	97.7%	95.3%	-240	-2.5%	\$839	\$848	1.0%
SD - Rapid City	96.9%	96.6%	-30	-0.3%	\$913	\$922	1.0%
SD - Sioux Falls	87.6%	91.3%	370	4.3%	\$814	\$836	2.8%
South Dakota Average	90.2%	92.9%	270	2.9%	\$838	\$855	2.0%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-18	Nov-19	bps	%CHG	Nov-18	Nov-19	%CHG
TN - Chattanooga	92.0%	92.6%	60	0.6%	\$932	\$959	2.8%
TN - Knoxville	91.6%	94.7%	309	3.3%	\$909	\$942	3.6%
TN - Memphis	91.0%	90.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$838	\$868	3.6%
TN - Misc. TN	92.6%	94.4%	179	1.9%	\$746	\$791	6.0%
TN - Nashville	90.7%	92.5%	180	2.0%	\$1,189	\$1,253	5.4%
Tennessee Average	91.0%	92.3%	130	1.4%	\$1,019	\$1,067	4.7%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	90.6%	90.6%	0	0.0%	\$1,143	\$1,187	3.9%
TX - Greater Dallas	90.5%	90.7%	20	0.2%	\$1,180	\$1,229	4.2%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	90.8%	90.4%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,063	\$1,093	2.9%
TX - Abilene	92.1%	91.4%	-70	-0.8%	\$730	\$764	4.6%
TX - Amarillo	88.5%	88.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$718	\$745	3.8%
TX - Austin	91.3%	91.2%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,257	\$1,320	5.0%
TX - Beaumont	90.4%	88.7%	-170	-1.8%	\$863	\$879	1.8%
TX - College Station	85.1%	89.1%	400	4.7%	\$1,191	\$1,197	0.5%
TX - Corpus Christi	90.5%	90.2%	-30	-0.3%	\$939	\$973	3.6%
TX - El Paso	90.5%	95.0%	449	5.0%	\$767	\$794	3.6%
TX - Houston	89.6%	89.9%	30	0.3%	\$1,067	\$1,087	1.9%
TX - Laredo	94.2%	94.1%	-10	-0.2%	\$898	\$912	1.6%
TX - Longview/Tyler	92.4%	92.5%	10	0.1%	\$845	\$871	3.2%
TX - Lubbock	88.3%	91.2%	290	3.3%	\$747	\$774	3.6%
TX - Lufkin	91.9%	94.3%	239	2.6%	\$741	\$761	2.8%
TX - Midland-Odessa	92.0%	90.4%	-160	-1.8%	\$1,489	\$1,379	-7.4%
TX - Misc. TX	91.8%	91.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$679	\$717	5.6%
TX - Rio Grande Valley	89.3%	92.2%	290	3.2%	\$756	\$780	3.1%
TX - San Angelo	94.8%	96.1%	130	1.4%	\$823	\$859	4.3%
TX - San Antonio	89.6%	90.4%	80	0.9%	\$979	\$1,011	3.3%
TX - Texarkana	91.3%	91.7%	40	0.5%	\$670	\$691	3.1%
TX - Victoria	92.0%	91.5%	-50	-0.6%	\$870	\$886	1.9%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	89.3%	92.9%	360	4.0%	\$773	\$810	4.8%
TX - Wichita Falls	87.8%	90.2%	240	2.7%	\$653	\$678	3.9%
Texas Average	90.2%	90.5%	30	0.3%	\$1,079	\$1,114	3.2%
UT - Misc. UT	67.4%	94.6%	2719	40.3%	\$918	\$1,017	10.8%
UT - Salt Lake City	91.2%	91.3%	10	0.0%	\$1,133	\$1,171	3.3%
Utah Average	90.8%	91.3%	50	0.6%	\$1,130	\$1,167	3.3%
VA - Norfolk	93.5%	94.9%	139	1.5%	\$1,079	\$1,126	4.4%
VA - Richmond	93.5%	94.1%	59	0.7%	\$1,113	\$1,152	3.5%
VA - Roanoke	93.3%	95.9%	259	2.8%	\$852	\$905	6.2%
Virginia Average	93.5%	94.7%	119	1.3%	\$1,072	\$1,117	4.1%
WA - Misc. WA	83.3%	90.5%	720	8.6%	\$940	\$1,038	10.3%
WA - SE Washington	95.6%	95.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$986	\$1,037	5.1%
WA - Seattle	92.0%	93.5%	150	1.6%	\$1,725	\$1,793	3.9%
WA - Spokane	96.5%	95.4%	-110	-1.1%	\$948	\$1,007	6.3%

	OVERALL MARKET						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	Nov-18	Nov-19	bps	%CHG	Nov-18	Nov-19	%CHG
Washington Average	92.4%	93.5%	110	1.2%	\$1,633	\$1,700	4.1%
WI - Green Bay/Appleton/Oshkosh	96.4%	96.5%	10	0.1%	\$740	\$762	3.0%
WI - Madison	92.0%	96.2%	419	4.5%	\$1,074	\$1,106	3.0%
WI - Milwaukee	91.6%	92.3%	70	0.8%	\$1,073	\$1,122	4.6%
WI - Misc. WI	95.2%	96.5%	130	1.4%	\$751	\$759	1.0%
Wisconsin Average	92.5%	94.1%	159	1.7%	\$1,004	\$1,043	3.9%
WV - Charleston	96.9%	93.2%	-369	-3.8%	\$892	\$910	2.0%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.5%	95.6%	10	0.1%	\$774	\$769	-0.6%
West Virginia Average	96.5%	93.8%	-270	-2.8%	\$866	\$880	1.5%
CT - Hartford	92.7%	93.0%	30	0.3%	\$1,319	\$1,345	1.9%
DC - Washington	93.5%	93.3%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,791	\$1,852	3.4%
DE - Miscellaneous	95.9%	96.9%	100	1.0%	\$1,109	\$1,153	3.9%
MT - All of Montana	96.5%	95.7%	-80	-0.9%	\$901	\$934	3.6%
NH - Concord	98.5%	92.2%	-629	-6.4%	\$1,229	\$1,344	9.3%
VT - All of Vermont	98.0%	97.5%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,569	\$1,587	1.1%
WY - All of Wyoming	91.4%	93.0%	160	1.8%	\$884	\$951	7.5%
National Average	91.9%	92.4%	50	0.4%	\$1,305	\$1,355	3.8%

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Disclaimer: All content and information within this review is for informational purposes only. ALN Apartment Data, Inc. makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of any information in this or any other materia posted on its site or found by following any link on its site. The owner will not be held liable for any losses, injuries, or damages from the display or use of this information. All content and information in this review may be shared provided a link to the article or page on the ALN website this review originated is included in the shared content.

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS
Executive Vice President
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Susan Stroud, CAS
Vice President of Sales
1.800.643.6416 x 207
SStroud@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens, CAS
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Jackie James
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Jackie@alndata.com

Karen Nelsen
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 259
KNelsen@alndata.com

Mark Pennings
Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 232
Mark@alndata.com