



ALN Apartment Data

Nationwide Multifamily Data

An All-New Website

ALN Apartment Data, Inc. is very proud to announce our brand-new website! In 2017, we marked 26 years of providing accurate, reliable data and have exhibited or attended over two dozen trade shows, events, committee meetings and conferences! We've expanded our sales and research teams and set exciting goals for the second half of the year - and beyond.

We hope you enjoy the new website and welcome your feedback!

Patrick Searcy, CAS

Director of Sales & Marketing

[Follow ALN on Facebook](#)

[Follow ALN on Twitter](#)

[Follow ALN on LinkedIn](#)

(Continue on for Market Stats)

	OVERALL MARKET							STABILIZED PROPERTIES						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-16	May-17	bps	%CHG	May-16	May-17	%CHG	May-16	May-17	bps	%CHG	May-16	May-17	%CHG
AL - Birmingham	88.9%	90.2%	130	1.5%	\$824	\$852	3.4%	91.4%	91.6%	20	0.1%	\$815	\$832	2.1%
AL - Huntsville	90.1%	93.0%	290	3.2%	\$682	\$706	3.5%	92.0%	93.2%	120	1.4%	\$669	\$687	2.7%
AL - Mobile	92.2%	93.1%	90	1.0%	\$772	\$792	2.7%	92.7%	93.2%	50	0.6%	\$768	\$786	2.4%
AL - Montgomery	88.1%	91.3%	320	3.6%	\$736	\$755	2.6%	89.2%	91.6%	240	2.7%	\$732	\$748	2.1%
Alabama Average	89.7%	91.5%	180	2.1%	\$770	\$795	3.3%	91.5%	92.3%	80	0.9%	\$762	\$779	2.3%
AR - Little Rock	92.2%	90.3%	-190	-2.1%	\$705	\$721	2.4%	92.2%	91.2%	-100	-1.2%	\$705	\$716	1.7%
AR - Northwest Arkansas	91.2%	88.3%	-290	-3.2%	\$598	\$637	6.6%	96.4%	96.4%	0	-0.1%	\$591	\$606	2.4%
Arkansas Average	91.8%	89.7%	-210	-2.3%	\$663	\$688	3.6%	93.4%	92.6%	-80	-0.9%	\$662	\$673	1.8%
AZ - Flagstaff	91.7%	94.1%	239	2.6%	N/A	\$1,389	N/A	94.6%	96.5%	190	2.1%	N/A	\$1,367	N/A
AZ - Phoenix	93.2%	92.9%	-30	-0.4%	\$920	\$969	5.3%	94.9%	94.5%	-40	-0.4%	\$906	\$947	4.4%
AZ - Tucson	91.2%	92.3%	110	1.3%	\$666	\$706	5.9%	92.3%	92.8%	50	0.5%	\$660	\$698	5.8%
Arizona Average	92.8%	92.7%	-10	0.0%	\$872	\$926	6.2%	94.4%	94.2%	-20	-0.2%	\$859	\$905	5.4%
CA - Los Angeles	93.6%	94.0%	39	0.4%	N/A	\$2,040	N/A	96.2%	96.0%	-20	-0.2%	N/A	\$2,006	N/A
CA - Misc. CA	97.9%	97.1%	-80	-0.8%	N/A	\$1,083	N/A	97.9%	97.5%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,067	N/A
CA - Sacramento	96.2%	95.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,179	\$1,302	10.4%	96.9%	96.4%	-50	-0.5%	\$1,177	\$1,294	10.0%
CA - San Bernardino/Riverside	95.6%	95.7%	10	0.1%	\$1,322	\$1,394	5.5%	96.2%	96.1%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,316	\$1,384	5.2%
CA - San Diego	95.0%	95.4%	40	0.4%	\$1,682	\$1,772	5.4%	96.7%	96.5%	-20	-0.2%	\$1,670	\$1,753	5.0%
CA - San Francisco/Oakland	93.4%	93.6%	20	0.2%	\$2,523	\$2,572	2.0%	95.6%	95.9%	30	0.3%	\$2,489	\$2,526	1.5%
CA - San Joaquin Valley	96.6%	96.9%	30	0.3%	\$929	\$986	6.1%	96.7%	97.4%	70	0.6%	\$928	\$984	6.0%
California Average	94.5%	94.5%	0	0.0%	N/A	\$1,933	N/A	96.3%	96.2%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$1,897	N/A
FL - Fort Myers/Naples	91.8%	92.5%	70	0.8%	\$1,156	\$1,212	4.8%	95.8%	95.0%	-80	-0.9%	\$1,150	\$1,191	3.6%
FL - Gainesville	95.5%	94.1%	-140	-1.4%	\$1,016	\$1,081	6.3%	95.5%	96.6%	110	1.2%	\$1,016	\$1,054	3.7%
FL - Jacksonville	93.4%	94.3%	89	1.0%	\$929	\$962	3.5%	94.6%	95.0%	40	0.4%	\$920	\$948	3.1%
FL - Melbourne	94.4%	96.6%	220	2.4%	\$899	\$970	7.9%	96.3%	96.6%	30	0.3%	\$899	\$962	7.0%
FL - Miami/Ft Lauderdale	91.5%	89.7%	-180	-1.9%	\$1,510	\$1,582	4.8%	95.7%	94.2%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,486	\$1,537	3.4%
FL - Orlando	93.0%	92.9%	-10	-0.2%	\$1,103	\$1,163	5.5%	95.7%	95.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,089	\$1,140	4.7%
FL - Palm Beach	93.3%	89.4%	-390	-4.2%	\$1,446	\$1,514	4.8%	94.4%	94.7%	30	0.4%	\$1,442	\$1,458	1.1%
FL - Pensacola	94.6%	91.9%	-269	-2.8%	\$902	\$956	6.0%	95.4%	94.2%	-120	-1.2%	\$902	\$946	4.9%
FL - Tallahassee	92.7%	91.4%	-130	-1.4%	\$847	\$871	2.9%	92.7%	93.2%	50	0.5%	\$847	\$868	2.5%
FL - Tampa	94.3%	92.8%	-149	-1.7%	\$1,051	\$1,104	5.1%	95.2%	94.6%	-60	-0.6%	\$1,041	\$1,078	3.6%
Florida Average	93.2%	92.1%	-110	-1.1%	\$1,152	\$1,214	5.4%	95.3%	94.9%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,138	\$1,180	3.6%
GA - Albany	91.6%	91.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$640	\$654	2.1%	91.6%	91.1%	-50	-0.6%	\$640	\$654	2.1%
GA - Atlanta	91.6%	91.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,049	\$1,109	5.7%	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.3%	\$1,031	\$1,079	4.7%
GA - Augusta	92.0%	91.8%	-20	-0.3%	\$760	\$775	1.9%	94.9%	93.2%	-169	-1.8%	\$746	\$757	1.4%
GA - Columbus	92.3%	92.5%	20	0.2%	\$802	\$811	1.1%	92.3%	92.5%	20	0.2%	\$802	\$811	1.1%
GA - Macon	93.6%	95.0%	139	1.4%	\$724	\$738	2.0%	93.7%	95.0%	129	1.4%	\$723	\$738	2.1%
GA - Savannah	92.5%	93.8%	129	1.4%	\$925	\$980	6.0%	93.5%	94.4%	89	1.0%	\$924	\$968	4.8%
Georgia Average	91.7%	91.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,003	\$1,057	5.3%	93.6%	93.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$988	\$1,030	4.3%
IL - Chicago	91.8%	91.4%	-40	-0.5%	N/A	\$1,500	N/A	95.2%	94.6%	-60	-0.5%	N/A	\$1,434	N/A
IL - Moline	94.1%	95.7%	160	1.7%	N/A	\$730	N/A	95.2%	95.8%	60	0.7%	N/A	\$724	N/A
IL - Peoria	94.8%	92.1%	-269	-2.8%	N/A	\$727	N/A	94.8%	92.1%	-269	-2.8%	N/A	\$727	N/A
IL - Springfield	94.8%	91.3%	-349	-3.8%	N/A	\$728	N/A	94.8%	91.3%	-349	-3.8%	N/A	\$728	N/A
Illinois Average	92.1%	91.5%	-60	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,405	N/A	95.1%	94.5%	-60	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,342	N/A
IN - Evansville	92.7%	92.8%	10	0.1%	N/A	\$738	N/A	92.7%	92.8%	10	0.1%	N/A	\$738	N/A
IN - Fort Wayne	93.4%	92.8%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$703	N/A	93.4%	92.8%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$698	N/A
IN - Indianapolis	92.7%	92.6%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$820	N/A	93.5%	93.1%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$808	N/A
IN - South Bend	95.2%	93.4%	-179	-2.0%	N/A	\$786	N/A	95.2%	94.3%	-90	-1.0%	N/A	\$780	N/A
Indiana Average	93.0%	92.7%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$801	N/A	93.6%	93.2%	-40	-0.5%	N/A	\$791	N/A
KY - Lexington	92.4%	90.3%	-210	-2.2%	N/A	\$801	N/A	93.1%	92.8%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$792	N/A
KY - Louisville	93.9%	91.6%	-229	-2.4%	N/A	\$848	N/A	95.4%	94.5%	-90	-0.9%	N/A	\$832	N/A
Kentucky Average	93.4%	91.2%	-220	-2.4%	N/A	\$833	N/A	94.7%	94.0%	-70	-0.7%	N/A	\$819	N/A
LA - Baton Rouge	91.3%	90.1%	-120	-1.4%	\$882	\$915	3.7%	92.3%	91.3%	-100	-1.0%	\$876	\$903	3.1%
LA - Lake Charles	88.8%	91.3%	250	2.9%	N/A	\$1,024	N/A	95.2%	93.1%	-209	-2.2%	N/A	\$986	N/A
LA - Monroe	91.3%	90.6%	-70	-0.8%	N/A	\$746	N/A	91.3%	90.6%	-70	-0.8%	N/A	\$746	N/A
LA - New Orleans	93.2%	93.5%	30	0.3%	\$941	\$963	2.3%	93.7%	94.1%	39	0.4%	\$930	\$956	2.8%
LA - Shreveport	89.9%	90.8%	90	1.0%	\$768	\$777	1.3%	90.7%	91.0%	30	0.4%	\$762	\$772	1.4%
Louisiana Average	91.3%	91.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$884	\$906	2.5%	92.4%	92.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$874	\$896	2.5%
MA - Boston	92.2%	91.0%	-120	-1.2%	N/A	\$2,198	N/A	96.2%	95.9%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$2,128	N/A
MA - Springfield	97.2%	98.0%	80	0.7%	N/A	\$1,099	N/A	98.3%	98.0%	-30	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,099	N/A
Massachusetts Average	92.5%	91.0%	-150	-1.6%	N/A	\$2,118	N/A	96.3%	96.0%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$2,045	N/A
MD - Baltimore	93.4%	92.8%	-60	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,268	N/A	94.7%	93.9%	-80	-0.8%	N/A	\$1,252	N/A
MD - Misc. MD	92.7%	94.8%	209	2.3%	N/A	\$1,208	N/A	95.5%	95.4%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$1,093	N/A
Maryland Average	93.3%	93.0%	-30	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,267	N/A	94.8%	94.1%	-70	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,251	N/A

	OVERALL MARKET							STABILIZED PROPERTIES						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-16	May-17	bps	%CHG	May-16	May-17	%CHG	May-16	May-17	bps	%CHG	May-16	May-17	%CHG
MI - Detroit	96.2%	95.7%	-50	-0.6%	\$922	\$947	2.6%	96.8%	96.2%	-60	-0.6%	\$916	\$939	2.5%
MI - Grand Rapids / Kalamazoo / Battle Creek	96.2%	96.4%	20	0.3%	N/A	\$822	N/A	97.0%	96.4%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$815	N/A
Michigan Average	96.1%	95.8%	-30	-0.2%	N/A	\$921	N/A	96.9%	96.3%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$913	N/A
MO - Columbia	97.4%	93.6%	-379	-3.9%	N/A	\$692	N/A	97.3%	93.6%	-369	-3.8%	N/A	\$692	N/A
MO - Kansas City	92.9%	90.6%	-230	-2.4%	N/A	\$906	N/A	94.1%	93.9%	-20	-0.2%	N/A	\$882	N/A
MO - Springfield	96.3%	96.1%	-20	-0.2%	N/A	\$647	N/A	96.3%	96.3%	0	0.1%	N/A	\$646	N/A
MO - St. Louis	91.7%	92.2%	50	0.6%	N/A	\$897	N/A	93.5%	94.0%	49	0.6%	N/A	\$871	N/A
Missouri Average	92.4%	91.3%	-110	-1.2%	N/A	\$880	N/A	94.0%	94.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	\$858	N/A
MS - Gulfport/Biloxi	90.4%	90.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$711	\$722	1.6%	90.4%	90.0%	-40	-0.4%	\$711	\$722	1.6%
MS - Jackson/Central MS	94.6%	93.3%	-129	-1.4%	\$798	\$806	0.9%	94.6%	93.3%	-129	-1.4%	\$798	\$800	0.3%
Mississippi Average	93.2%	92.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$768	\$777	1.1%	93.2%	92.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$768	\$773	0.7%
NC - Asheville	92.3%	93.7%	140	1.5%	\$1,055	\$1,074	1.8%	94.7%	94.9%	20	0.2%	\$1,042	\$1,068	2.5%
NC - Charlotte	91.1%	91.5%	40	0.5%	\$994	\$1,046	5.2%	95.0%	95.2%	20	0.2%	\$973	\$1,006	3.4%
NC - Fayetteville	88.9%	90.0%	110	1.3%	\$759	\$783	3.2%	88.9%	90.0%	110	1.3%	\$759	\$783	3.2%
NC - Greensboro / Winston-Salem	91.6%	91.7%	10	0.1%	\$730	\$768	5.3%	93.1%	93.6%	50	0.5%	\$726	\$756	4.0%
NC - Raleigh-Durham	92.2%	90.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$991	\$1,035	4.4%	94.0%	94.0%	0	0.0%	\$983	\$1,014	3.1%
NC - Wilmington	94.1%	91.6%	-249	-2.7%	\$824	\$872	5.8%	94.1%	94.1%	0	0.0%	\$824	\$856	3.9%
North Carolina Average	91.6%	91.3%	-30	-0.3%	\$929	\$973	4.8%	93.9%	94.1%	20	0.2%	\$917	\$947	3.3%
ND - Bismarck	84.5%	88.4%	390	4.5%	N/A	\$851	N/A	87.6%	88.1%	50	0.6%	N/A	\$832	N/A
ND - Fargo	90.7%	89.7%	-100	-1.0%	N/A	\$776	N/A	95.0%	92.2%	-279	-2.9%	N/A	\$761	N/A
North Dakota Average	89.0%	89.3%	30	0.3%	N/A	\$794	N/A	92.9%	90.8%	-210	-2.3%	N/A	\$778	N/A
NE - Lincoln	95.1%	95.1%	0	0.0%	N/A	\$843	N/A	97.3%	96.3%	-100	-1.1%	N/A	\$842	N/A
NE - Omaha	95.0%	94.5%	-50	-0.6%	N/A	\$882	N/A	95.5%	95.3%	-20	-0.2%	N/A	\$877	N/A
Nebraska Average	95.0%	93.8%	-120	-1.3%	N/A	\$873	N/A	95.8%	95.5%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$869	N/A
NV - Las Vegas	93.5%	93.1%	-40	-0.4%	\$883	\$934	5.8%	94.3%	94.1%	-20	-0.2%	\$876	\$918	4.7%
NV - Reno	95.8%	95.3%	-50	-0.5%	N/A	\$1,091	N/A	96.9%	96.5%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,085	N/A
Nevada Average	93.8%	93.5%	-29	-0.4%	N/A	\$959	N/A	94.7%	94.4%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$945	N/A
NY - Albany	91.5%	89.5%	-200	-2.2%	N/A	\$1,152	N/A	96.4%	96.5%	10	0.2%	N/A	\$1,115	N/A
NY - Buffalo/Rochester/Syracuse	95.5%	94.7%	-80	-0.8%	N/A	\$941	N/A	96.8%	95.4%	-140	-1.4%	N/A	\$930	N/A
NY - New York City	92.1%	92.3%	20	0.3%	N/A	\$2,467	N/A	96.1%	96.8%	70	0.8%	N/A	\$2,418	N/A
New York Average	92.7%	92.5%	-20	-0.2%	N/A	\$2,141	N/A	96.3%	96.5%	20	0.3%	N/A	\$2,095	N/A
OH - Cincinnati/Dayton	93.4%	92.9%	-50	-0.6%	N/A	\$858	N/A	94.8%	93.9%	-90	-0.9%	N/A	\$838	N/A
OH - Cleveland/Akron	95.1%	94.8%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$820	N/A	95.8%	95.3%	-50	-0.5%	N/A	\$812	N/A
OH - Columbus	94.2%	94.4%	20	0.2%	N/A	\$894	N/A	95.5%	95.4%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$877	N/A
OH - Toledo	96.1%	95.0%	-110	-1.1%	N/A	\$693	N/A	96.1%	95.0%	-110	-1.1%	N/A	\$685	N/A
Ohio Average	94.3%	94.0%	-30	-0.3%	N/A	\$848	N/A	95.4%	94.9%	-50	-0.5%	N/A	\$833	N/A
OK - Oklahoma City	88.4%	88.6%	20	0.2%	\$726	\$714	-1.8%	90.8%	90.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$709	\$694	-2.2%
OK - Tulsa	91.3%	90.5%	-80	-0.8%	\$677	\$672	-0.8%	92.2%	90.9%	-130	-1.4%	\$672	\$662	-1.4%
Oklahoma Average	89.6%	89.4%	-20	-0.2%	\$708	\$697	-1.5%	91.4%	90.4%	-100	-1.1%	\$695	\$681	-2.0%
PA - Philadelphia	94.6%	94.1%	-50	-0.6%	N/A	\$1,223	N/A	95.8%	95.2%	-60	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,204	N/A
PA - Pittsburgh	89.1%	89.7%	60	0.8%	N/A	\$1,078	N/A	94.4%	94.0%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,012	N/A
PA - State College / Altoona	96.3%	98.3%	200	2.1%	N/A	\$1,309	N/A	96.3%	98.3%	200	2.1%	N/A	\$1,309	N/A
Pennsylvania Average	93.9%	93.3%	-59	-0.6%	N/A	\$1,204	N/A	95.7%	95.1%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$1,180	N/A
SC - Charleston	87.7%	88.4%	70	0.8%	\$1,066	\$1,114	4.6%	94.2%	93.6%	-59	-0.6%	\$1,043	\$1,073	2.9%
SC - Columbia	92.5%	92.6%	10	0.1%	\$848	\$887	4.6%	94.1%	93.0%	-109	-1.2%	\$838	\$865	3.2%
SC - Greenville-Spartanburg	90.0%	89.8%	-20	-0.3%	\$867	\$883	1.9%	95.5%	94.3%	-120	-1.2%	\$849	\$853	0.5%
SC - Myrtle Beach	90.2%	88.3%	-190	-2.1%	N/A	\$953	N/A	96.8%	95.6%	-120	-1.3%	N/A	\$936	N/A
South Carolina Average	89.7%	89.5%	-20	-0.3%	\$925	\$959	3.7%	94.7%	93.7%	-99	-1.1%	\$907	\$927	2.1%
SD - Rapid City	98.4%	96.8%	-160	-1.7%	N/A	\$880	N/A	98.4%	96.8%	-160	-1.7%	N/A	\$880	N/A
SD - Sioux Falls	91.8%	89.4%	-240	-2.6%	N/A	\$800	N/A	96.0%	93.9%	-210	-2.2%	N/A	\$797	N/A
South Dakota Average	93.4%	91.2%	-220	-2.3%	N/A	\$821	N/A	96.6%	94.8%	-180	-1.9%	N/A	\$819	N/A
TN - Chattanooga	95.0%	94.8%	-20	-0.2%	\$832	\$858	3.1%	95.4%	95.1%	-30	-0.3%	\$829	\$851	2.7%
TN - Knoxville	92.6%	92.7%	10	0.0%	\$829	\$846	2.1%	94.8%	94.3%	-50	-0.6%	\$815	\$828	1.7%
TN - Memphis	90.9%	92.4%	150	1.7%	\$771	\$790	2.6%	92.0%	92.5%	50	0.6%	\$765	\$784	2.5%
TN - Nashville	92.8%	89.3%	-350	-3.8%	\$1,063	\$1,115	5.0%	95.9%	94.5%	-140	-1.5%	\$1,042	\$1,064	2.1%
Tennessee Average	92.1%	91.1%	-100	-1.1%	\$918	\$957	4.2%	94.3%	93.8%	-50	-0.5%	\$902	\$922	2.2%
TX - Dallas/Ft. Worth	92.8%	91.9%	-90	-1.0%	\$1,031	\$1,088	5.5%	95.0%	95.2%	20	0.2%	\$1,015	\$1,058	4.2%
TX - Greater Dallas	92.3%	90.8%	-150	-1.6%	\$1,073	\$1,129	5.2%	95.0%	94.6%	-40	-0.4%	\$1,055	\$1,094	3.7%
TX - Greater Fort Worth	94.0%	95.2%	120	1.2%	\$936	\$996	6.4%	95.0%	96.7%	170	1.7%	\$930	\$983	5.7%
TX - Abilene	92.2%	91.2%	-100	-1.1%	\$708	\$725	2.4%	92.2%	91.0%	-120	-1.4%	\$708	\$718	1.4%
TX - Amarillo	89.3%	89.7%	40	0.5%	\$700	\$717	2.5%	90.0%	90.1%	10	0.1%	\$694	\$714	2.8%
TX - Austin	91.2%	91.1%	-10	-0.1%	\$1,196	\$1,208	1.0%	94.8%	94.0%	-80	-0.8%	\$1,178	\$1,178	0.0%
TX - Beaumont	93.9%	92.4%	-149	-1.7%	\$771	\$786	1.9%	93.9%	92.3%	-159	-1.7%	\$771	\$786	2.0%

(Continued on next page)

	OVERALL MARKET							STABILIZED PROPERTIES						
	OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT			OCCUPANCY		CHANGE		EFFECTIVE RENT		
	May-16	May-17	bps	%CHG	May-16	May-17	%CHG	May-16	May-17	bps	%CHG	May-16	May-17	%CHG
TX - College Station	80.3%	83.1%	279	3.5%	\$1,188	\$1,245	4.8%	95.1%	92.9%	-219	-2.3%	\$1,142	\$1,153	1.0%
TX - Corpus Christi	86.0%	87.0%	100	1.1%	\$925	\$909	-1.8%	92.1%	90.5%	-160	-1.8%	\$905	\$878	-3.0%
TX - El Paso	92.1%	92.1%	0	0.0%	\$755	\$757	0.3%	92.7%	92.6%	-10	-0.1%	\$753	\$755	0.3%
TX - Harlingen	93.6%	88.7%	-490	-5.3%	\$737	\$748	1.5%	93.8%	92.2%	-159	-1.7%	\$732	\$725	-1.0%
TX - Houston	89.6%	87.8%	-180	-2.0%	\$1,014	\$1,014	0.0%	92.7%	91.1%	-160	-1.8%	\$991	\$970	-2.0%
TX - Laredo	76.7%	93.8%	1709	22.3%	\$973	\$948	-2.6%	85.1%	93.8%	869	10.2%	\$971	\$937	-3.4%
TX - Longview/Tyler	91.7%	90.0%	-170	-1.9%	\$779	\$786	0.9%	91.9%	90.0%	-190	-2.1%	\$775	\$781	0.8%
TX - Lubbock	91.1%	91.1%	0	0.0%	\$719	\$736	2.3%	93.3%	91.8%	-150	-1.7%	\$719	\$720	0.1%
TX - Lufkin	93.0%	90.3%	-270	-2.9%	N/A	\$715	N/A	93.0%	90.3%	-270	-2.9%	N/A	\$715	N/A
TX - Midland-Odessa	85.3%	92.4%	710	8.4%	\$941	\$1,057	12.2%	87.4%	93.0%	560	6.3%	\$928	\$1,045	12.6%
TX - San Angelo	89.4%	93.6%	420	4.8%	\$733	\$747	1.9%	89.4%	93.6%	420	4.8%	\$733	\$747	1.9%
TX - San Antonio	89.1%	89.5%	40	0.4%	\$913	\$939	2.9%	92.9%	92.4%	-50	-0.6%	\$896	\$914	2.0%
TX - Texarkana	94.3%	93.3%	-99	-1.0%	N/A	\$658	N/A	94.3%	93.3%	-99	-1.0%	N/A	\$658	N/A
TX - Victoria	82.5%	90.3%	780	9.4%	\$775	\$792	2.2%	88.1%	89.6%	150	1.8%	\$738	\$726	-1.6%
TX - Waco/Temple/Killeen	89.4%	89.3%	-10	-0.1%	\$719	\$753	4.7%	90.6%	90.8%	20	0.2%	\$716	\$739	3.2%
TX - Wichita Falls	86.3%	86.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$615	\$640	4.1%	86.3%	86.0%	-30	-0.3%	\$615	\$640	4.1%
Texas Average	90.8%	90.1%	-70	-0.8%	\$1,001	\$1,027	2.6%	93.7%	93.1%	-60	-0.6%	\$983	\$994	1.1%
VA - Norfolk	91.8%	92.0%	20	0.2%	N/A	\$1,049	N/A	93.3%	93.2%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$1,040	N/A
VA - Richmond	93.0%	93.8%	79	0.8%	N/A	\$1,038	N/A	94.8%	94.7%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$1,029	N/A
VA - Roanoke	95.7%	94.7%	-100	-1.1%	N/A	\$822	N/A	95.7%	95.5%	-20	-0.2%	N/A	\$814	N/A
Virginia Average	92.4%	92.9%	50	0.6%	N/A	\$1,024	N/A	94.1%	94.0%	-10	-0.1%	N/A	\$1,015	N/A
WA - Seattle	94.2%	93.3%	-89	-0.9%	N/A	\$1,611	N/A	96.4%	96.0%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,578	N/A
WA - Spokane	97.0%	95.4%	-160	-1.7%	N/A	\$922	N/A	97.5%	96.7%	-80	-0.8%	N/A	\$921	N/A
Washington Average	94.4%	93.3%	-109	-1.2%	N/A	\$1,539	N/A	96.5%	96.1%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,506	N/A
WI - Madison	97.0%	96.3%	-70	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,070	N/A	98.6%	97.8%	-80	-0.8%	N/A	\$1,063	N/A
WI - Milwaukee	94.5%	94.8%	30	0.3%	N/A	\$1,026	N/A	97.7%	96.7%	-100	-1.0%	N/A	\$1,002	N/A
WI - Misc. WI	98.0%	97.6%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$658	N/A	98.0%	98.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	\$658	N/A
Wisconsin Average	95.8%	95.7%	-10	-0.2%	N/A	\$1,023	N/A	98.0%	97.3%	-70	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,005	N/A
AK - Anchorage	95.9%	92.5%	-339	-3.5%	N/A	\$1,080	N/A	95.9%	92.5%	-339	-3.5%	N/A	\$1,077	N/A
CO - Denver/Co Springs	93.1%	91.4%	-170	-1.8%	\$1,285	\$1,347	4.9%	95.1%	94.8%	-30	-0.3%	\$1,270	\$1,318	3.8%
CT - Hartford	92.2%	93.3%	110	1.2%	N/A	\$1,288	N/A	95.3%	95.2%	-10	0.0%	N/A	\$1,267	N/A
DC - Washington	93.2%	92.8%	-40	-0.4%	N/A	\$1,711	N/A	94.9%	95.1%	20	0.2%	N/A	\$1,684	N/A
HI - Honolulu	85.2%	87.7%	250	2.9%	N/A	\$2,036	N/A	93.2%	95.8%	259	2.7%	N/A	\$1,968	N/A
IA - Des Moines	93.9%	91.4%	-249	-2.7%	N/A	\$873	N/A	96.0%	94.7%	-130	-1.4%	N/A	\$845	N/A
ID - Boise	89.7%	92.6%	290	3.2%	N/A	\$937	N/A	97.3%	96.4%	-90	-0.9%	N/A	\$923	N/A
KS - Wichita	92.3%	92.7%	40	0.5%	N/A	\$637	N/A	93.4%	92.9%	-50	-0.6%	N/A	\$632	N/A
ME - Misc. ME	97.0%	94.3%	-270	-2.9%	N/A	\$1,210	N/A	97.0%	96.4%	-60	-0.7%	N/A	\$1,204	N/A
MN - Minneapolis - St. Paul	95.8%	95.2%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$1,184	N/A	97.1%	97.2%	10	0.1%	N/A	\$1,145	N/A
MT - All of Montana	94.3%	92.6%	-169	-1.9%	N/A	\$903	N/A	94.3%	94.4%	10	0.1%	N/A	\$895	N/A
NH - Concord	98.1%	97.5%	-60	-0.6%	N/A	\$1,252	N/A	98.1%	98.3%	20	0.2%	N/A	\$1,247	N/A
NM - Albuquerque	94.2%	94.7%	50	0.5%	\$790	\$819	3.6%	94.4%	94.7%	30	0.3%	\$787	\$815	3.6%
OR - Portland	94.0%	93.5%	-49	-0.5%	N/A	\$1,297	N/A	96.3%	95.6%	-70	-0.8%	N/A	\$1,278	N/A
RI - Providence	94.9%	96.5%	160	1.6%	N/A	\$1,342	N/A	96.3%	96.8%	50	0.5%	N/A	\$1,333	N/A
UT - Salt Lake City	92.7%	92.1%	-60	-0.7%	\$973	\$1,037	6.6%	95.5%	95.3%	-20	-0.2%	\$967	\$1,021	5.6%
VT - All of Vermont	59.7%	83.9%	2420	40.5%	N/A	\$1,515	N/A	100.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,444	N/A
WV - Charleston	93.3%	97.7%	439	4.8%	N/A	\$775	N/A	93.3%	97.7%	439	4.8%	N/A	\$775	N/A
WY - All of Wyoming	84.1%	86.8%	270	3.2%	N/A	\$852	N/A	84.1%	86.8%	270	3.2%	N/A	\$852	N/A

On a monthly basis, ALN surveys all apartment communities in each of the markets that we cover and an average of 92% of these surveys are successfully completed. The above statistics reflect only Conventional, Midrise, and High-Rise apartment communities. In addition, unless otherwise noted, these statistics do not include Income Restricted, Student Housing, or Senior Independent Housing. In-depth, property level research and data is available for all property types (including Senior and Income Restricted) through ALN OnLine, which includes Market and Effective Rents, Occupancy, Floor Plan & Unit Mix information, Market & Submarket statistics, Market Surveys, Historical Trends & Customizable Reports. By using ALN OnLine, you are able to see monthly fluctuations in any submarket you need which will greatly enhance your ability to respond to changes quickly and efficiently.

Overall Market Occupancy	
Market	
AK - Misc. AK	93.0%
AL - Misc. AL	93.2%
AR - Misc. AR	94.2%
AZ - Misc. AZ	92.9%
CO - Misc. CO	93.9%
DE - Miscellaneous	96.5%
GA - Misc. Georgia	84.1%
IA - Misc. IA	87.9%
ID - Misc. ID	95.3%
IL - Misc. IL	91.6%
IN - Misc. IN	95.2%
KS - Misc. KS	85.8%
KY - Misc. KY	96.4%
LA - Misc. LA	90.4%
MA - Misc. MA	99.6%
MI - Misc. MI	93.5%
MO - Misc. MO	92.3%
MS - Misc. MS	92.2%
NC - Misc. NC	97.1%
ND - Misc. ND	81.6%
NE - Misc. NE	96.1%
NM - Misc. NM	91.1%
NV - Misc. NV	90.7%
NY - Misc. NY	95.0%
OH - Misc. OH	94.6%
OK - Misc. OK	91.6%
OR - Misc. OR	98.4%
PA - Misc. PA	95.0%
SC - Misc. SC	87.2%
SD - Misc. SD	89.7%
TN - Misc. TN	89.9%
TX - Misc. TX	92.8%
UT - Misc. UT	97.1%
WA - Misc. WA	97.1%
WV - Miscellaneous	95.4%

Why Does ALN Update Monthly?

Most data providers update their data quarterly. For some, that is often enough. However, this industry moves way too quickly and many opportunities are missed when waiting on slow reacting data providers to catch up with your market. Only ALN can provide you with monthly updated data throughout the U.S.

ALN Apartment Data, Inc.

2611 Westgrove, Suite 104
Carrollton, Texas 75006
www.alndata.com

Wayne Williams

President, ALN Apartment Data
Wayne@alndata.com

Laura Reese-Williams, CAS

VP Business Development
1.800.643.6416 x 205
Laura@alndata.com

Patrick Searcy, CAS

Director of Sales & Marketing
1.800.643.6416 x 252
Patrick@alndata.com

Paige Kitchens

Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 251
Paige@alndata.com

Heidi Andersen

Regional Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 253
Heidi@alndata.com

Robert Durham

Account Executive
1.800.643.6416 x 256
Robert@alndata.com

Brenda Mallory, CAS

Account Manager
1.800.643.6416 x 225
Brenda@alndata.com

Juan Martinez

Account Manager
1.800.643.6416 x 218
Juan@alndata.com

Cindy Berry

Account Manager
1.800.643.6416 x 220
Cindy@alndata.com